Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: 280 Bergen Street Rezoning

Contact: Jay Segal, Greenberg Traurig; segalj@gtlaw.com

Prepared by: Alex Moscovitz, VHB Date 05/27/2022

Note: Racial Equity Reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

PROJECT TYPE

Projects that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant actions or project types and describe the project details associated with the relevant project type:

- ☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts
- ☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

- ☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☑ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet
- ☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet
- ☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks
- ☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The executive summary should provide readers with a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

The Proposed Project located at 280 Bergen Street will contribute toward the City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable opportunity by creating more housing choice for New Yorkers.

The Proposed Project is for a mixed-income, mixed-use project containing residential, commercial and community facility uses with a total of 307,000 gsf. It will include 300 units with 90 units affordable under MIH Option 2 at an average of 80% AMI and the residents within Brooklyn CD 2 would get preference for 50% of the income restricted units. An average income of households occupying the proposed affordable residential units at 80 percent of AMI is estimated to be $78,800 and the monthly rent is estimated at $1,970. The neighborhood is one of the most diverse in the city, and is in the lower displacement risk category with constituent factors like population vulnerability in the lower category but housing conditions and market pressure risk in higher categories. As population has grown in the neighborhood, the share of Black and Hispanic residents has decreased. There have also been increases in median income, but there have been only small gains for Black and Hispanic groups. Furthermore, although 17,000 new units have been constructed since 2010, only 2,200 have been income restricted since 2014. The Proposed Project would further Goal 2 of Where We Live by producing new income restricted housing units in an amenity rich neighborhood that has high quality of life and is highly accessible to important resources. The Proposed Project will help create more housing options for low-income families in a relatively high-income neighborhood and provide options that are more accessible to families of color that more often have incomes below the median. The proposed commercial and community facility uses would also create new jobs and improve access to goods and services for neighbors that currently live in a majority residential use area. Furthermore, the Proposed Project would further Goal 5 of Where We Live by creating new ADA compliant housing, which would bolster options for independent living in the community.

2. PROJECT SPECIFIC INFORMATION

For guidance, please refer to the racial equity report submission guide.

2.1. Residential affordability

Section not required for historic district designations

2.1.1 Proposed residential floor area:

228,262 Total residential zoning square feet

268,627 Gross residential square feet

2.1.2 Estimated new housing units

Report the number of anticipated housing units. If income-restricted, report unit count by U.S. Housing & Urban Development Area Median Income (AMI) tiers. If not, report as "not-income restricted." Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units. Report the household income needed to afford such units without spending more than 30% of household income on housing costs.

Income Restriction	Unit Count	Example Rents* Low - High	Example Eligible Incomes* Low - High
0-30% AMI			
31-50% AMI			
51-80% AMI	45	$1,592-$2,456	$63,680- $98,240 (80% AMI
81-120% AMI	45	$2,388-$3,684	$95,520-$147,360 (120% AI
121-165% AMI			
Not Income Restricted	210	$2,200-$3,700	$88,000 to $148,000
Total New Units	300		

Provide additional comments below, such as anticipated unit sizes. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.

It is not yet known the exact unit and affordability mix that the project will use, and incomes and rents that are shown here are for illustrative purposes only. The analysis represented here reflected the analysis done in the EAS in Section 3, Socioeconomic Conditions and is based on 2020 Area Median Income (AMI). The EAS evaluated a total of 90 affordable units under MIH Option 2 (30 percent of floor area for residents with incomes averaging 80% of AMI). An average income of households occupying the proposed affordable residential units at 80% of AMI is estimated to be $78,800 and the monthly rent is estimated at $1,970. The market-rate units would have a weighted average of approximately $103,300 based on the assumed unit mix. The average income overall of households occupying the proposed residential units is estimated to be approximately $95,900. The table above shows an example of income bands and range of incomes and rents that could be associated with the Proposed

*For any income-restricted units, incomes and rents are shown using current year U.S. HUD Income Limits (HUDIL) and are for illustrative purposes only. Income-restricted rents will be set at time of project closing and eligible household incomes will be set at time of marketing.

Project, which would average 80% AMI for all affordable units. To be conservative, the table represents the high end of the 51-80% AMI and 81-120% AMI income bands. U.S. HUD Income Limits (HUDIL) are based on family size, therefore the range that is given for eligible incomes represents the HUDIL for families of 1 to 5 people. Similarly, the expected rent is calculated for the range of HUDIL by family size based off of an assumption that 30% of income is spent on rent. The estimated incomes and rents would be different for AMIs at the lower end of each of the ranges, and in practice the affordable units will be distributed across AMI bands and comply with MIH Option 2.

2.2 Profile of anticipated jobs

Section not required for historic district designations

2.2.1 Proposed specific non-residential floor area

10,158	Total non-residential zoning square feet
19,680	Gross non-residential square feet

2.2.2 Anticipated new jobs

If the application proposes specific non-residential uses[1], report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector or occupation, as well as current information about each sector or occupation:

Sector	Job Count
Total new jobs	

Describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those job sectors for New York City.

The application does not propose specific non-residential uses and tenants are not known at this time, therefore this section is not required. However, the new building will include space that can be occupied by retail such as food and clothing stores or community facility uses such as community centers, medical office, or daycares. The RWCDS in the EAS assumed 9,680 gsf of retail space and 10,000 gsf of medical office space, which would produce approximately 69 jobs. There are also expected to be approximately 12 jobs to support the residential use. There would also be 125 parking garage spaces and recreational space for tenants.

[1] *Specific non-residential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build entirely for their own use.*

2.3 Anticipated construction jobs

All projects must report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
280 Bergen	298	99
Total	298	99

Additional comments if needed:

Calculated using RER guidance based off of average daily construction workers by quarter as outlined in Section 14, Construction of the 280 Bergen Street Rezoning EAS.

3. Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary is intended to provide a user-friendly snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators in the project area. This summary includes graphs and charts of selected indicators found in the full community profile (Section 5) and the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

If helpful to understand the proposed project or the Narrative in section 4, provide a brief written description of key neighborhood, demographic and socioeconomic conditions and trends using the data that is shown in the Community Profile Summary.

In the Brooklyn Heights and Fort Greene PUMA, according to the 2020 Census, approximately 47% of residents are white, 20% are Black, 12% are Asian, 14% are Hispanic/Latino, and 7% are some other race or combination of races. From 2010 to 2020, the people of some other race or combination of races, Asian, white, and Hispanic/Latino populations in the PUMA have increased (by 132%,109%, 40%, and 11% respectively) while the Black population has decreased (-12%) as overall population has increased by about 34,000 people. The Where We Live NYC Plan Figure 5.33 demonstrates that the NTA containing the project (BK38) is one of the most diverse neighborhoods in the City. The housing stock has also grown, with about 17,000 units created from 2010 to 2020. The Brooklyn Heights and Fort Greene PUMA has contributed about 21% of Brooklyn's new housing production in the same period. However, since 2014, only about 2,200 units have been built that are income restricted. In terms of vulnerability and displacement risk, the NTA containing the Proposed Project is in the lower displacement risk category according to the EDDE, although the constituent factor scores vary. Constituent factors like population vulnerability are in the lower category but housing conditions and market pressure risk are in higher categories. The higher constituent factors may be due to subfactors like a higher share of rental units (76%), a low share of rent stabilized units (24%), and rising rents and educational attainment (89% increase in population with Bachelor's Degree) compared to the City overall. In the NTA, about 30% of residents have incomes below 200% of the Federal Poverty Line and about 18% of renting households are severely rent burdened (meaning they spend more than 50% of their income on rent) which is a smaller share than the City overall.

The annual median household income of the Brooklyn Heights and Fort Green PUMA is $99,253 for the total population, which has risen over time (27% from the 2008-2012 ACS to the 2015-2019 ACS) and is greater than that of the borough and City. However, for Black Non-Hispanic and Hispanic households, the median income is much lower, around $43,000 and over the same period Black households have seen a 7% increase in median income while Hispanic households have seen a 5% decrease. Income categories as defined by the HUD range from extremely low (0-30% of AMI), to moderate (81-120% AMI) to high income (166% of AMI or higher) with a few categories in between. About half of households in the PUMA (about 52%) fall into the middle- and- high income categories (121%+ AMI) as compared to 33% in the City overall. However Black and Hispanic households have a lower share around 24% in both groups in the middle- and high-income categories in the PUMA, and 21% and 17% respectively in the City overall.

Community Profile Summary

Brooklyn Community District 2 (Brooklyn Heights & Fort Greene)

Source tables refer to Equitable Development Data Explorer download, available here.

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2
Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6
Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes

Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, X percent of the population in Y area identified as Z race/ethnicity."



Source:
Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the X race/ethnicity population in Y area increased/decreased by Z percent."



Source:
Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2019 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The estimated median household income in X area was $Y for 2015-2019."



Source: Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each HUD AMI band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"An estimated X percent of households in Y area were in Z HUD AMI band in 2015-2019."



Source: Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated X percent of Y race/ethnicity households were in Z AMI band in 2015-2019."



Source:
Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"An estimated X percent in Y area were employed or seeking work in 2015-2019."



Source: Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"An estimated X percent of Y race/ethnicity group in Z area were employed or seeking work in 2015-2019."



Source: Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector[1], 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"An estimated X percent of employed people in Y area worked in Z business sector in 2015-2019."



Source:
Table 2.06

[1] Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for Y race and ethnicity group in Z area was X percent."



Source:
Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"An estimated X percent of the population 25 years and over in Y area had an educational attainment of Z in 2015-2019."



Source:
Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2020

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"About X percent of residents in Y area lived near an accessible subway station in 2020."



Source:
Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"An estimated X percent of households in Y area were severely rent-burdened in 2015-2019."



Source:
Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The estimated median price of rental units in Y area was $X from 2015-2019"



Source:
Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, housing units in Y area increased/decreased by X percent and population increased/decreased by Z percent."



Source:
Table 4.01 & 1.01

Understanding error bars



Figure 14: Change in Median Gross Rent, Five-Year Estimate (2008-2012 to 2015-2019)

Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates comes with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as ***errror bars.***

These "I" figures are called ***error bars***. Error bars account for uncertainty in ***sample data*** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the ***error bars*** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about $160 and $245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller ***error bar***, which is why the size of the ***error bar*** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.

Correct intrepretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about $50 and $190."

Based on the ***error bar*** for Chinatown, this is correct.

Incorrect intrepretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."

Because the ***error bars*** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan ($160-$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown ($45-$195).

4. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, Where We Live NYC.

The Proposed Project furthers Goals 2 and 5 of Where We Live (WWL) NYC's Goals and will contribute to the City's goals and strategies to affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable opportunity by creating more housing choices for New Yorkers, including residents of Boerum Hill and surrounding neighborhoods, in an amenity-rich neighborhood.

The WWL NYC Plan details fair housing challenges rooted in historic laws and policies that created the persistent disparities in NYC. Furthermore, barriers to creating multi-family and low-cost housing significantly constrain the choices available to people in New York City. The plan cites that low-density zoning, limited public transportation, and, in some areas, a history of discrimination and opposition to multi-family housing are among the barriers that result in limited housing options for low-income households and communities of color in the region. The report shows that declines in the region's housing production, combined with strong economic growth, pose major fair housing challenges. The continued limited production of housing across the region both reduces choices for where to live and likely contributes to increasing housing prices in New York City. The high cost of housing in New York City severely limits housing and neighborhood choice for people of color, people with disabilities, and other groups protected by the Fair Housing Act and NYC Human Rights Law (HRL).

The Proposed Project represents an opportunity to create more mixed income housing to help meet increasing demand in a stressed market, and new housing options reserved for low- and moderate-income households (as defined by HUD). The Proposed Project would create approximately 300 new units, 30% of which would be reserved for households averaging 80% AMI, or about $78,800 with a monthly rent of $1,970, and the residents within Brooklyn CD 2 would get preference for 50% of the income restricted units. As discussed in Section 3 above, the Proposed Project is located in an area with a low share of rent stabilized units and high market pressures. The majority of households in the PUMA fall into middle- and high-income categories (as defined by HUD), and a smaller share of Black and Hispanic households have middle- and high-incomes per those categories. Therefore, the Proposed Project will help create more housing options for low-income families in a relatively high-income neighborhood and provide options that are more accessible to families of color that more often have incomes below the median.

The Proposed Project would also further Goal 2 of Where We Live by producing new income restricted housing units in a location with a high quality of life as discussed above in Section 3. The Proposed Project is in a neighborhood with low premature mortality rates that is amenity rich with access to transportation, jobs, and open space. It is located 3 blocks from Atlantic Terminal, a major transit hub with connections to 9 of the 14 subway lines and the LIRR. The proposed development will also increase

(Continued)

amenities in the neighborhood by rezoning an area in the middle of a residential neighborhood that has been used for manufacturing since the early 1900's. The proposed commercial and community facility uses would also create new jobs and improve access to goods and services for neighbors that currently live in a majority residential use area. Furthermore, the Proposed Project would further Goal 5 of Where We Live by creating new ADA compliant housing, which would bolster options for independent living in the community. Therefore, the Proposed Project will play a role in ensuring that New Yorkers with disabilities have housing options that allow them to be independent and integrated through providing more accessible options.

5. Community profile

The community profile is a full report of all indicators in the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the local community, the borough and the city. The community profile report is available by download from the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

Demographic Conditions

PUMA 4004

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	115,060	100.0%	118,579	100.0%	152,369	100.0%	33,790	28.5%	
Asian non-Hispanic	4,867	4.2%	8,684	7.3%	18,185	11.9%	9,501	109.4%	4.6
Black non-Hispanic	48,065	41.8%	34,902	29.4%	30,774	20.2%	-4,128	-11.8%	-9.2
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	22,156	19.3%	19,045	16.1%	21,211	13.9%	2,166	11.4%	-2.2
White non-Hispanic	35,750	31.1%	51,663	43.6%	72,254	47.4%	20,591	39.9%	3.8
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	4,222	3.7%	4,285	3.6%	9,945	6.5%	5,660	132.1%	2.9

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	113,068	2,394	1.3	100.0%		122,268	3,487	1.7	100.0%		142,331	2,345	1.0	100.0%		20,063	4,202	16.4%	3.8%		
Under 16 years	18,648	1,163	3.8	16.5%	1.0%	18,474	1,068	3.5	15.1%	0.8%	23,317	1,226	3.2	16.4%	0.8%	4,843	1,626	26.2%	9.9%	1.3	1.1
16 to 64 years	83,890	1,372	1.0	74.2%	2.0%	91,289	2,703	1.8	74.7%	0.6%	103,987	1,809	1.1	73.1%	0.4%	12,698	3,252	13.9%	3.9%	-1.6	0.7
65 years and over	10,530	911	5.3	9.3%	0.8%	12,505	823	4.0	10.2%	0.6%	15,027	621	2.5	10.6%	0.4%	2,522	1,031	20.2%	9.3%	0.4	0.7
Median age (years)	33.5	0.6	1.1			34.2	0.4	0.8			34.4	0.4	0.7			0.2	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	4,508	1,038	14.0	100.0%		8,572	919	6.5	100.0%		13,976	924	4.0	100.0%		5,404	1,303	63.0%	20.5%		
Under 16 years	521	212	24.8	11.6%	3.9%	823	259	19.2	9.6%	2.8%	1,299	337	15.8	9.3%	2.3%	476	425	57.8%	64.4%	-0.3	3.6
16 to 64 years	3,794	564	9.0	84.2%	23.1%	6,975	699	6.1	81.4%	11.9%	11,637	781	4.1	83.3%	1.0%	4,662	1,048	66.8%	20.1%	1.9	11.9
65 years and over	193	129	40.8	4.3%	2.7%	774	266	20.9	9.0%	2.9%	1,040	320	18.7	7.4%	2.2%	266	416	34.4%	62.0%	-1.6	3.6
Median age (years)	28.8	1.3	2.7			33.2	1.0	1.8			33.7	1.1	2.1			0.5	1.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	44,673	2,593	3.5	100.0%		34,573	2,010	3.5	100.0%		34,443	1,859	3.3	100.0%		-130	2,738	-0.4%	7.9%		
Under 16 years	8,662	834	5.9	19.4%	1.5%	5,241	751	8.7	15.2%	2.0%	5,286	777	8.9	15.3%	2.1%	45	1,081	0.9%	20.7%	0.1	2.9
16 to 64 years	31,147	1,400	2.7	69.7%	5.1%	24,037	1,483	3.8	69.5%	1.4%	22,920	1,401	3.7	66.5%	1.9%	-1,117	2,040	-4.6%	8.3%	-3.0	2.4
65 years and over	4,864	636	7.9	10.9%	1.3%	5,295	575	6.6	15.3%	1.4%	6,237	466	4.5	18.1%	0.9%	942	740	17.8%	15.5%	2.8	1.7
Median age (years)	34.3	1.1	1.9			39.1	1.3	2.0			40.9	1.9	2.9			1.8	2.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	22,420	2,115	5.7	100.0%		21,058	1,434	4.1	100.0%		19,799	1,427	4.4	100.0%		-1,259	2,023	-6.0%	9.3%		
Under 16 years	5,134	653	7.7	22.9%	2.0%	4,084	596	8.9	19.4%	2.5%	4,008	675	10.2	20.2%	3.1%	-76	900	-1.9%	21.9%	0.8	4.0
16 to 64 years	15,537	1,079	4.2	69.3%	8.1%	14,475	1,134	4.8	68.7%	2.7%	13,506	1,036	4.7	68.2%	1.8%	-969	1,536	-6.7%	10.2%	-0.5	3.2
65 years and over	1,749	387	13.4	7.8%	1.6%	2,499	318	7.7	11.9%	1.3%	2,285	323	8.6	11.5%	1.4%	-214	453	-8.6%	17.4%	-0.4	1.9
Median age (years)	31.2	1.8	3.5			34.5	1.7	3.0			33.6	1.4	2.6			-0.9	2.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	36,711	2,484	4.1	100.0%		53,415	1,880	2.1	100.0%		68,251	1,682	1.5	100.0%		14,836	2,523	27.8%	5.5%		
Under 16 years	3,487	542	9.4	9.5%	1.3%	6,647	744	6.8	12.4%	1.3%	10,407	745	4.4	15.2%	1.0%	3,760	1,053	56.6%	20.8%	2.8	1.6
16 to 64 years	29,711	1,380	2.8	80.9%	6.6%	43,047	1,463	2.1	80.6%	3.9%	52,586	1,392	1.6	77.0%	0.7%	9,539	2,019	22.2%	5.3%	-3.6	4.0
65 years and over	3,513	544	9.4	9.6%	1.3%	3,721	358	5.8	7.0%	0.6%	5,258	433	5.0	7.7%	0.6%	1,537	562	41.3%	17.9%	0.7	0.8
Median age (years)	35.2	1.1	1.9			33.2	0.5	0.9			34.0	0.4	0.8			0.8	1.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	113,068	2,394	1.3	100.0%		122,268	3,487	1.7	100.0%		142,331	2,345	1.0	100.0%		20,063	4,202	16.4%	3.8%		
Foreign-born	19,249	1,359	4.3	17.0%	1.1%	24,117	1,748	4.4	19.7%	1.3%	26,733	1,297	2.9	18.8%	0.9%	2,616	2,177	10.8%	9.7%	-0.9	1.6

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	4,508	1,038	14.0	100.0%		8,572	919	6.5	100.0%		13,976	924	4.0	100.0%		5,404	1,303	63.0%	20.5%		
Foreign-born	3,071	588	11.6	68.1%	20.4%	5,619	801	8.7	65.6%	6.2%	8,359	749	5.4	59.8%	3.6%	2,740	1,097	48.8%	25.0%	-5.8	7.2

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	44,673	2,593	3.5	100.0%		34,573	2,010	3.5	100.0%		34,443	1,859	3.3	100.0%		-130	2,738	-0.4%	7.9%		
Foreign-born	6,050	814	8.2	13.5%	1.6%	5,970	923	9.4	17.3%	2.5%	5,006	945	11.5	14.5%	2.6%	-964	1,321	-16.1%	20.5%	-2.8	3.6

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	22,420	2,115	5.7	100.0%		21,058	1,434	4.1	100.0%		19,799	1,427	4.4	100.0%		-1,259	2,023	-6.0%	9.3%		
Foreign-born	5,524	780	8.6	24.6%	2.6%	5,115	746	8.9	24.3%	3.1%	4,563	632	8.4	23.0%	2.7%	-552	978	-10.8%	17.9%	-1.3	4.1

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	36,711	2,484	4.1	100.0%		53,415	1,880	2.1	100.0%		68,251	1,682	1.5	100.0%		14,836	2,523	27.8%	5.5%		
Foreign-born	2,875	569	12.0	7.8%	1.5%	6,676	744	6.8	12.5%	1.3%	7,965	764	5.8	11.7%	1.1%	1,289	1,066	19.3%	17.5%	-0.8	1.7

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	106,382	739	0.4	100.0%		114,772	3,265	1.7	100.0%		132,777	2,289	1.0	100.0%		18,005	3,987	15.7%	3.8%		
Speak English less than "very well"	11,990	1,188	6.0	11.3%	1.1%	11,280	1,043	5.6	9.8%	0.9%	10,572	1,077	6.2	8.0%	0.8%	-708	1,499	-6.3%	12.9%	-1.8	1.2

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	4,285	599	8.5	100.0%		8,296	871	6.4	100.0%		13,432	916	4.1	100.0%		5,136	1,264	61.9%	20.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	1,529	446	17.7	35.7%	9.1%	2,634	551	12.7	31.8%	5.7%	3,678	491	8.1	27.4%	3.1%	1,044	738	39.6%	34.7%	-4.4	6.5

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	41,975	1,514	2.2	100.0%		33,022	1,964	3.6	100.0%		32,916	1,734	3.2	100.0%		-106	2,620	-0.3%	7.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	1,082	376	21.1	2.6%	0.9%	1,024	346	20.5	3.1%	1.0%	368	207	34.2	1.1%	0.6%	-656	403	-64.1%	23.6%	-2.0	1.2

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	20,725	1,213	3.6	100.0%		19,699	1,327	4.1	100.0%		18,516	1,369	4.5	100.0%		-1,183	1,907	-6.0%	9.4%		
Speak English less than "very well"	7,627	968	7.7	36.8%	4.1%	5,875	817	8.5	29.8%	3.6%	4,098	673	10.0	22.1%	3.2%	-1,777	1,058	-30.2%	15.0%	-7.7	4.8

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	35,022	1,449	2.5	100.0%		50,064	1,679	2.0	100.0%		63,366	1,540	1.5	100.0%		13,302	2,278	26.6%	5.2%		
Speak English less than "very well"	965	355	22.4	2.8%	1.0%	1,567	451	17.5	3.1%	0.9%	2,231	614	16.7	3.5%	1.0%	664	762	42.4%	56.7%	0.4	1.3

Household Economic Security

PUMA 4004

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	79,118	1,437	1.1	100.0%		88,370	2,579	1.8	100.0%		104,526	1,693	1.0	100.0%		16,156	3,085	18.3%	3.9%		
Less than high school degree	16,793	1,115	4.0	21.2%	1.4%	11,394	1,387	7.4	12.9%	1.5%	10,866	1,154	6.5	10.4%	1.1%	-528	1,804	-4.6%	15.4%	-2.5	1.9
High school degree or equivalent	13,784	1,026	4.5	17.4%	1.3%	11,860	1,183	6.1	13.4%	1.3%	11,848	977	5.0	11.3%	0.9%	-12	1,534	-0.1%	12.9%	-2.1	1.6
Some college or Associate's degree	14,353	1,043	4.4	18.1%	1.3%	13,972	1,044	4.5	15.8%	1.1%	14,213	1,032	4.4	13.6%	1.0%	241	1,468	1.7%	10.6%	-2.2	1.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	34,188	1,440	2.6	43.2%	1.6%	51,144	1,496	1.8	57.9%	0.1%	67,599	1,623	1.5	64.7%	1.1%	16,455	2,207	32.2%	5.0%	6.8	1.1

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	3,156	516	9.9	100.0%		6,748	716	6.5	100.0%		10,275	793	4.7	100.0%		3,527	1,068	52.3%	20.0%		
Less than high school degree	614	230	22.8	19.5%	6.6%	1,099	303	16.8	16.3%	4.1%	1,676	363	13.2	16.3%	3.3%	577	473	52.5%	53.5%	0.0	5.3
High school degree or equivalent	139	110	48.0	4.4%	3.4%	668	263	23.9	9.9%	3.7%	682	227	20.2	6.6%	2.1%	14	347	2.1%	52.6%	-3.3	4.3
Some college or Associate's degree	489	206	25.6	15.5%	6.0%	552	206	22.7	8.2%	2.9%	689	200	17.6	6.7%	1.9%	137	287	24.8%	59.0%	-1.5	3.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,914	404	12.8	60.6%	8.1%	4,429	551	7.6	65.6%	4.3%	7,228	606	5.1	70.3%	2.3%	2,799	819	63.2%	24.5%	4.7	4.9

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	30,369	1,389	2.8	100.0%		24,500	1,645	4.1	100.0%		25,339	1,380	3.3	100.0%		839	2,147	3.4%	8.9%		
Less than high school degree	7,108	761	6.5	23.4%	2.3%	4,606	1,016	13.4	18.8%	4.0%	4,325	896	12.6	17.1%	3.4%	-281	1,355	-6.1%	28.4%	-1.7	5.2
High school degree or equivalent	7,598	785	6.3	25.0%	2.3%	5,768	712	7.5	23.5%	2.4%	5,826	755	7.9	23.0%	2.7%	58	1,038	1.0%	18.1%	-0.5	3.6
Some college or Associate's degree	7,220	766	6.5	23.8%	2.3%	6,493	727	6.8	26.5%	2.4%	6,281	805	7.8	24.8%	2.9%	-212	1,085	-3.3%	16.5%	-1.7	3.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	8,443	824	5.9	27.8%	2.4%	7,633	805	6.4	31.2%	2.5%	8,907	970	6.6	35.2%	3.3%	1,274	1,261	16.7%	17.7%	4.0	4.1

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	13,495	1,016	4.6	100.0%		13,857	901	4.0	100.0%		13,166	870	4.0	100.0%		-691	1,252	-5.0%	8.8%		
Less than high school degree	6,639	737	6.7	49.2%	4.0%	4,842	634	8.0	34.9%	4.0%	3,532	506	8.7	26.8%	3.4%	-1,310	811	-27.1%	14.2%	-8.1	5.2
High school degree or equivalent	2,930	498	10.3	21.7%	3.3%	2,577	444	10.5	18.6%	3.0%	2,217	452	12.4	16.8%	3.2%	-360	634	-14.0%	23.0%	-1.8	4.4
Some college or Associate's degree	2,295	442	11.7	17.0%	3.0%	2,606	480	11.2	18.8%	3.2%	2,846	531	11.3	21.6%	3.8%	240	716	9.2%	28.6%	2.8	5.0
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,631	374	13.9	12.1%	2.6%	3,832	502	8.0	27.7%	3.1%	4,571	747	9.9	34.7%	5.2%	739	900	19.3%	25.0%	7.0	6.1

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	29,133	1,371	2.9	100.0%		40,630	1,207	1.8	100.0%		52,863	1,194	1.4	100.0%		12,233	1,698	30.1%	4.9%		
Less than high school degree	1,658	377	13.8	5.7%	1.3%	708	218	18.7	1.7%	0.5%	1,203	398	20.1	2.3%	0.8%	495	454	69.9%	76.8%	0.6	0.9
High school degree or equivalent	2,465	458	11.3	8.5%	1.5%	2,717	578	12.9	6.7%	1.4%	2,909	475	9.9	5.5%	0.9%	192	748	7.1%	28.7%	-1.2	1.7
Some college or Associate's degree	3,622	552	9.3	12.4%	1.8%	4,041	554	8.3	9.9%	1.3%	4,077	556	8.3	7.7%	1.0%	36	785	0.9%	19.5%	-2.2	1.6
Bachelor's degree or higher	21,388	1,228	3.5	73.4%	2.4%	33,164	1,096	2.0	81.6%	1.2%	44,674	1,218	1.7	84.5%	1.3%	11,510	1,639	34.7%	5.8%	2.9	1.8

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	53,060	695	0.8	61,995	677	0.7	8,935	970	16.8%	2.0%
Median household income (dollars)	$78,096	$4,789	3.7	$99,253	$5,749	3.5	$21,157	$7,482	27.1%	10.7%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,632	493	8.3	5,831	526	5.5	2,199	721	60.5%	26.2%
Median household income (dollars)	$79,055	$14,104	10.8	$103,567	$17,835	10.5	$24,512	$22,738	31.0%	32.5%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	14,639	710	2.9	15,697	893	3.5	1,058	1,141	7.2%	8.0%
Median household income (dollars)	$44,911	$6,830	9.2	$44,236	$5,197	7.1	-$675	$8,582	-1.5%	18.9%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	7,846	624	4.8	7,442	764	6.2	-404	986	-5.1%	12.3%
Median household income (dollars)	$32,164	$8,544	16.1	$42,803	$8,251	11.7	$10,639	$11,878	33.1%	43.7%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	25,197	759	1.8	31,224	972	1.9	6,027	1,233	23.9%	5.4%
Median household income (dollars)	$117,948	$8,997	4.6	$148,907	$9,058	3.7	$30,959	$12,767	26.2%	12.3%

Table 2.03.T: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	61,995	677	0.7	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	10,809	859	4.8	17.4%	1.4%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	5,450	558	6.2	8.8%	0.9%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	5,891	717	7.4	9.5%	1.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	7,295	657	5.5	11.8%	1.1%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	7,982	581	4.4	12.9%	0.9%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	24,568	849	2.1	39.6%	1.3%

Table 2.03.A: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	5,831	526	5.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,241	243	11.9	21.3%	3.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	414	145	21.3	7.1%	2.4%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	473	165	21.2	8.1%	2.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	587	208	21.6	10.1%	3.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	692	199	17.5	11.9%	3.2%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	2,424	356	8.9	41.6%	4.8%

Table 2.03.B: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	15,697	893	3.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	4,899	671	8.3	31.2%	3.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	2,491	441	10.8	15.9%	2.7%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,262	467	12.6	14.4%	2.9%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,198	457	12.6	14.0%	2.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,534	373	14.8	9.8%	2.3%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	2,313	399	10.5	14.7%	2.4%

Table 2.03.H: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	7,442	764	6.2	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	2,504	497	12.1	33.6%	5.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,098	273	15.1	14.8%	3.3%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,062	251	14.4	14.3%	3.0%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	970	268	16.8	13.0%	3.3%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	577	180	19.0	7.8%	2.3%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1,231	344	17.0	16.5%	4.3%

Table 2.03.W: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	31,224	972	1.9	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,993	352	10.7	6.4%	1.1%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,297	284	13.3	4.2%	0.9%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,914	331	10.5	6.1%	1.0%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	3,346	477	8.7	10.7%	1.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	4,915	490	6.1	15.7%	1.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	17,759	811	2.8	56.9%	1.9%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	91,289	2,703	1.8	100.0%		103,987	1,809	1.1	100.0%		12,698	3,252	13.9%	3.9%		
In labor force	68,859	1,758	1.6	75.4%	2.9%	81,475	1,643	1.2	78.4%	0.8%	12,616	2,406	18.3%	3.8%	3.0	3.0

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	6,975	699	6.1	100.0%		11,637	781	4.1	100.0%		4,662	1,048	66.8%	20.1%		
In labor force	5,645	579	6.2	80.9%	1.8%	8,836	656	4.5	75.9%	2.4%	3,191	875	56.5%	19.8%	-5.0	3.0

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	24,037	1,483	3.8	100.0%		22,920	1,401	3.7	100.0%		-1,117	2,040	-4.6%	8.3%		
In labor force	16,004	961	3.7	66.6%	5.7%	15,379	1,224	4.8	67.1%	3.4%	-625	1,556	-3.9%	9.6%	0.5	6.6

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	14,475	1,134	4.8	100.0%		13,506	1,036	4.7	100.0%		-969	1,536	-6.7%	10.2%		
In labor force	9,268	968	6.3	64.0%	4.4%	8,866	896	6.1	65.6%	4.3%	-402	1,319	-4.3%	13.9%	1.6	6.2

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	43,047	1,463	2.1	100.0%		52,586	1,392	1.6	100.0%		9,539	2,019	22.2%	5.3%		
In labor force	35,748	1,242	2.1	83.0%	0.6%	45,659	1,195	1.6	86.8%	3.2%	9,911	1,724	27.7%	5.6%	3.8	3.3

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	62,400	1,612	1.6	100.0%		76,966	1,597	1.3	100.0%		14,566	2,269	23.3%	4.1%		
Management, business, science, and arts	38,504	1,289	2.0	61.7%	1.3%	52,056	1,430	1.7	67.6%	1.2%	13,552	1,925	35.2%	5.9%	5.9	1.8
Service	8,472	784	5.6	13.6%	1.2%	8,509	891	6.4	11.1%	1.1%	37	1,187	0.4%	14.0%	-2.5	1.6
Sales and office	11,672	900	4.7	18.7%	1.4%	12,417	933	4.6	16.1%	1.2%	745	1,296	6.4%	11.5%	-2.6	1.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	1,446	351	14.8	2.3%	0.6%	1,283	298	14.1	1.7%	0.4%	-163	460	-11.3%	29.8%	-0.6	0.7
Production, transportation, and material moving	2,306	449	11.8	3.7%	0.7%	2,701	447	10.1	3.5%	0.6%	395	634	17.1%	29.9%	-0.2	0.9
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$76,037	$3,402	2.7			$86,316	$3,471	2.4			$10,279	$4,860	13.5%	6.8%		
Service	$27,917	$4,354	9.5			$23,880	$3,090	7.9			-$4,037	$5,339	-14.5%	17.3%		
Sales and office	$40,830	$4,030	6.0			$52,490	$5,827	6.7			$11,660	$7,085	28.6%	19.1%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$45,378	$8,225	11.0			$42,978	$7,539	10.7			-$2,400	$11,157	-5.3%	23.9%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$31,560	$12,094	23.3			$29,489	$7,321	15.1			-$2,071	$14,137	-6.6%	42.7%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	5,311	578	6.6	100.0%		8,387	674	4.9	100.0%		3,076	888	57.9%	21.4%		
Management, business, science, and arts	3,573	501	8.5	67.3%	6.0%	5,953	565	5.8	71.0%	3.6%	2,380	755	66.6%	28.2%	3.7	7.0
Service	616	241	23.8	11.6%	4.4%	951	279	17.8	11.3%	3.2%	335	369	54.4%	75.5%	-0.3	5.4
Sales and office	880	303	20.9	16.6%	5.4%	978	204	12.7	11.7%	2.2%	98	365	11.1%	44.7%	-4.9	5.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	83	60	44.2	1.6%	1.1%	66	54	49.7	0.8%	0.6%	-17	81	-20.5%	86.8%	-0.8	1.3
Production, transportation, and material moving	159	109	41.8	3.0%	2.0%	439	177	24.5	5.2%	2.1%	280	208	176.1%	219.6%	2.2	2.9
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$75,714	$9,730	7.8			$80,511	$12,254	9.3			$4,797	$15,647	6.3%	21.2%		
Service	$16,508	$9,219	33.9			$17,929	$8,451	28.7			$1,421	$12,506	8.6%	79.4%		
Sales and office	$38,918	$12,389	19.4			$55,462	$36,098	39.6			$16,544	$38,165	42.5%	103.3%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$16,039	$58,036	220.0			$9,999										
Production, transportation, and material moving	$38,008	$8,267	13.2			$20,205	$12,712	38.2			-$17,803	$15,164	-46.8%	35.4%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	13,166	812	3.7	100.0%		14,003	1,179	5.1	100.0%		837	1,432	6.4%	11.1%		
Management, business, science, and arts	5,596	608	6.6	42.5%	3.8%	6,335	793	7.6	45.2%	4.2%	739	999	13.2%	18.8%	2.7	5.7
Service	3,007	450	9.1	22.8%	3.1%	3,551	644	11.0	25.4%	4.1%	544	786	18.1%	27.8%	2.6	5.1
Sales and office	3,345	500	9.1	25.4%	3.5%	2,953	609	12.5	21.1%	4.0%	-392	788	-11.7%	22.5%	-4.3	5.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	528	249	28.6	4.0%	1.9%	184	110	36.2	1.3%	0.8%	-344	272	-65.2%	26.5%	-2.7	2.1
Production, transportation, and material moving	690	271	23.9	5.2%	2.0%	980	307	19.0	7.0%	2.1%	290	409	42.0%	71.4%	1.8	2.9
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$71,104	$7,565	6.5			$75,826	$11,613	9.3			$4,722	$13,860	6.6%	19.9%		
Service	$29,767	$4,147	8.5			$26,372	$5,935	13.7			-$3,395	$7,240	-11.4%	23.4%		
Sales and office	$35,679	$4,827	8.2			$27,224	$6,305	14.1			-$8,455	$7,941	-23.7%	20.5%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$52,839	$21,664	24.9			$42,290	$21,108	30.3			-$10,549	$30,247	-20.0%	51.7%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$48,909	$18,833	23.4			$30,122	$8,982	18.1			-$18,787	$20,865	-38.4%	30.0%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	7,975	811	6.2	100.0%		7,956	844	6.4	100.0%		-19	1,170	-0.2%	14.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	3,009	508	10.3	37.7%	5.1%	3,421	567	10.1	43.0%	5.5%	412	761	13.7%	26.9%	5.3	7.5
Service	2,153	459	13.0	27.0%	5.1%	1,641	365	13.5	20.6%	4.0%	-512	586	-23.8%	23.5%	-6.4	6.5
Sales and office	1,720	464	16.4	21.6%	5.4%	1,969	401	12.4	24.7%	4.3%	249	613	14.5%	38.7%	3.1	6.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	307	153	30.3	3.8%	1.9%	382	187	29.8	4.8%	2.3%	75	242	24.4%	86.9%	1.0	3.0
Production, transportation, and material moving	786	285	22.1	9.9%	3.4%	543	213	23.8	6.8%	2.6%	-243	356	-30.9%	36.9%	-3.1	4.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$63,772	$12,031	11.5			$66,708	$7,773	7.1			$2,936	$14,324	4.6%	23.2%		
Service	$18,192	$5,247	17.5			$24,209	$5,177	13.0			$6,017	$7,371	33.1%	47.8%		
Sales and office	$34,723	$9,958	17.4			$35,901	$6,771	11.5			$1,178	$12,042	3.4%	35.5%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$43,425	$12,670	17.7			$38,665	$16,069	25.3			-$4,760	$20,463	-11.0%	45.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$24,182	$2,679	6.7			$26,067	$7,739	18.0			$1,885	$8,190	7.8%	34.2%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	33,959	1,162	2.1	100.0%		44,070	1,174	1.6	100.0%		10,111	1,652	29.8%	5.6%		
Management, business, science, and arts	25,012	1,005	2.4	73.7%	1.6%	34,366	1,093	1.9	78.0%	1.4%	9,354	1,485	37.4%	7.0%	4.3	2.1
Service	2,514	492	11.9	7.4%	1.4%	2,189	440	12.2	5.0%	1.0%	-325	660	-12.9%	24.4%	-2.4	1.7
Sales and office	5,340	592	6.7	15.7%	1.7%	6,174	585	5.8	14.0%	1.3%	834	832	15.6%	16.9%	-1.7	2.1
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	435	170	23.8	1.3%	0.5%	651	223	20.9	1.5%	0.5%	216	280	49.7%	77.8%	0.2	0.7
Production, transportation, and material moving	658	217	20.0	1.9%	0.6%	690	204	17.9	1.6%	0.5%	32	298	4.9%	46.4%	-0.3	0.8
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$79,323	$4,453	3.4			$92,203	$4,171	2.8			$12,880	$6,101	16.2%	8.4%		
Service	$34,170	$6,775	12.1			$24,156	$7,624	19.2			-$10,014	$10,199	-29.3%	26.3%		
Sales and office	$50,003	$9,590	11.7			$75,518	$13,858	11.2			$25,515	$16,853	51.0%	40.1%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$37,404	$16,654	27.1			$48,045	$11,655	14.7			$10,641	$20,327	28.4%	65.1%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$47,260	$32,365	41.6			$63,332	$37,619	36.1			$16,072	$49,625	34.0%	121.5%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	62,400	1,612	1.6	100.0%		76,966	1,597	1.3	100.0%		14,566	2,269	23.3%	4.1%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	65	66	61.4	0.1%	0.1%	48	58	73.4	0.1%	0.1%	-17	88	-26.2%	116.6%	0.0	0.1
Construction	1,190	343	17.5	1.9%	0.5%	1,582	385	14.8	2.1%	0.5%	392	516	32.9%	50.1%	0.2	0.7
Manufacturing	1,905	483	15.4	3.1%	0.8%	2,217	370	10.1	2.9%	0.5%	312	608	16.4%	35.3%	-0.2	0.9
Wholesale trade	873	280	19.5	1.4%	0.4%	1,354	338	15.2	1.8%	0.4%	481	439	55.1%	63.0%	0.4	0.6
Retail trade	4,312	605	8.5	6.9%	1.0%	5,830	693	7.2	7.6%	0.9%	1,518	920	35.2%	24.9%	0.7	1.3
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,185	452	12.6	3.5%	0.7%	2,076	433	12.7	2.7%	0.6%	-109	626	-5.0%	27.9%	-0.8	0.9
Information	4,715	580	7.5	7.6%	0.9%	6,452	658	6.2	8.4%	0.8%	1,737	877	36.8%	21.9%	0.8	1.2
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6,498	697	6.5	10.4%	1.1%	8,819	736	5.1	11.5%	0.9%	2,321	1,014	35.7%	18.4%	1.1	1.4
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	13,621	1,000	4.5	21.8%	1.5%	18,733	1,183	3.8	24.3%	1.5%	5,112	1,549	37.5%	13.3%	2.5	2.1
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	14,646	1,140	4.7	23.5%	1.7%	15,952	1,021	3.9	20.7%	1.3%	1,306	1,530	8.9%	11.0%	-2.8	2.1
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	6,659	781	7.1	10.7%	1.2%	7,500	794	6.4	9.7%	1.0%	841	1,114	12.6%	17.8%	-1.0	1.6
Other services, except public administration	2,761	493	10.9	4.4%	0.8%	3,042	504	10.1	4.0%	0.6%	281	705	10.2%	26.8%	-0.4	1.0
Public administration	2,970	536	11.0	4.8%	0.8%	3,361	515	9.3	4.4%	0.7%	391	743	13.2%	26.8%	-0.4	1.1
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$18,458	$14,624	48.2			$45,554	$40,390	53.9			$27,096	$42,956	146.8%	293.5%		
Construction	$48,338	$21,114	26.6			$47,446	$19,092	24.5			-$892	$28,466	-1.8%	58.3%		
Manufacturing	$57,740	$16,123	17.0			$77,804	$18,502	14.5			$20,064	$24,541	34.7%	49.4%		
Wholesale trade	$73,489	$15,126	12.5			$86,457	$33,577	23.6			$12,968	$36,827	17.6%	51.7%		
Retail trade	$30,166	$7,833	15.8			$50,025	$12,124	14.7			$19,859	$14,434	65.8%	58.9%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$46,993	$5,453	7.1			$43,135	$19,573	27.6			-$3,858	$20,318	-8.2%	43.0%		
Information	$75,003	$9,796	7.9			$94,796	$9,777	6.3			$19,793	$13,840	26.4%	21.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$112,108	$14,650	7.9			$127,146	$16,777	8.0			$15,038	$22,273	13.4%	21.1%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$73,308	$6,317	5.2			$90,650	$6,416	4.3			$17,342	$9,004	23.7%	13.8%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$50,908	$6,187	7.4			$51,975	$5,842	6.8			$1,067	$8,509	2.1%	16.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$27,216	$7,456	16.7			$27,219	$5,744	12.8			$3	$9,412	0.0%	34.6%		
Other services, except public administration	$38,650	$14,934	23.5			$50,226	$14,036	17.0			$11,576	$20,495	30.0%	62.0%		
Public administration	$77,819	$12,498	9.8			$72,975	$11,285	9.4			-$4,844	$16,839	-6.2%	20.9%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	5,311	578	6.6	100.0%		8,387	674	4.9	100.0%		3,076	888	57.9%	21.4%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	18	30	102.1	0.3%	0.6%	0					-18	30	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.3	0.6
Construction	101	70	42.4	1.9%	1.3%	44	54	74.0	0.5%	0.6%	-57	88	-56.4%	61.4%	-1.4	1.4
Manufacturing	203	149	44.6	3.8%	2.8%	514	181	21.4	6.1%	2.1%	311	234	153.2%	206.1%	2.3	3.5
Wholesale trade	106	84	48.3	2.0%	1.6%	179	88	29.9	2.1%	1.0%	73	122	68.9%	157.5%	0.1	1.9
Retail trade	486	182	22.8	9.2%	3.3%	585	217	22.6	7.0%	2.5%	99	283	20.4%	63.4%	-2.2	4.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	227	161	43.2	4.3%	3.0%	150	104	42.0	1.8%	1.2%	-77	192	-33.9%	65.5%	-2.5	3.2
Information	372	165	27.0	7.0%	3.0%	460	133	17.6	5.5%	1.5%	88	212	23.7%	65.5%	-1.5	3.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	915	320	21.3	17.2%	5.7%	1,067	234	13.4	12.7%	2.6%	152	396	16.6%	48.1%	-4.5	6.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,097	259	14.3	20.7%	4.3%	1,837	343	11.4	21.9%	3.7%	740	430	67.5%	50.4%	1.2	5.7
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,183	322	16.5	22.3%	5.6%	1,859	352	11.5	22.2%	3.8%	676	477	57.1%	52.1%	-0.1	6.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	296	155	31.8	5.6%	2.9%	877	279	19.4	10.5%	3.2%	581	319	196.3%	181.5%	4.9	4.3
Other services, except public administration	204	123	36.7	3.8%	2.3%	521	151	17.7	6.2%	1.7%	317	195	155.4%	170.9%	2.4	2.9
Public administration	103	86	50.8	1.9%	1.6%	294	142	29.3	3.5%	1.7%	191	166	185.4%	275.3%	1.6	2.3

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$11,000															
Construction	$68,596	$44,703	39.6			$64,354	$61,363	58.0			-$4,242	$75,920	-6.2%	108.4%		
Manufacturing	$51,290	$19,780	23.4			$28,682	$29,225	61.9			-$22,608	$35,290	-44.1%	60.9%		
Wholesale trade	$68,068	$154,869	138.3			$108,466	$125,085	70.1			$40,398	$199,075	59.3%	406.5%		
Retail trade	$27,841	$35,938	78.5			$42,568	$48,072	68.6			$14,727	$60,020	52.9%	262.2%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$37,594	$5,033	8.1			$63,401	$25,264	24.2			$25,807	$25,760	68.6%	70.9%		
Information	$75,556	$18,169	14.6			$85,676	$32,547	23.1			$10,120	$37,275	13.4%	51.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$100,290	$17,255	10.5			$153,377	$46,416	18.4			$53,087	$49,519	52.9%	53.2%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$78,234	$19,003	14.8			$86,178	$21,464	15.1			$7,944	$28,667	10.2%	38.3%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$32,018	$20,260	38.5			$42,542	$20,445	29.2			$10,524	$28,783	32.9%	105.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$14,440	$22,230	93.6			$24,562	$14,269	35.3			$10,122	$26,415	70.1%	279.9%		
Other services, except public administration	$33,847	$24,405	43.8			$22,499	$33,359	90.1			-$11,348	$41,333	-33.5%	109.6%		
Public administration	$84,982	$76,353	54.6			$62,385	$60,539	59.0			-$22,597	$97,441	-26.6%	97.1%		

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	13,166	812	3.7	100.0%		14,003	1,179	5.1	100.0%		837	1,432	6.4%	11.1%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0	0	0.0%			
Construction	444	232	31.7	3.4%	1.7%	339	178	31.9	2.4%	1.3%	-105	292	-23.6%	56.6%	-1.0	2.1
Manufacturing	212	120	34.3	1.6%	0.9%	339	164	29.4	2.4%	1.2%	127	203	59.9%	119.1%	0.8	1.5
Wholesale trade	59	59	61.0	0.4%	0.4%	108	97	54.8	0.8%	0.7%	49	114	83.1%	246.0%	0.4	0.8
Retail trade	884	324	22.3	6.7%	2.4%	1,135	382	20.5	8.1%	2.6%	251	501	28.4%	63.9%	1.4	3.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,002	362	22.0	7.6%	2.7%	1,132	333	17.9	8.1%	2.3%	130	492	13.0%	52.6%	0.5	3.5
Information	402	177	26.7	3.1%	1.3%	533	220	25.1	3.8%	1.5%	131	282	32.6%	80.0%	0.7	2.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	1,148	320	16.9	8.7%	2.4%	1,330	368	16.8	9.5%	2.5%	182	488	15.9%	45.5%	0.8	3.5
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,836	375	12.4	13.9%	2.7%	2,084	520	15.2	14.9%	3.5%	248	641	13.5%	36.6%	1.0	4.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	4,208	571	8.3	32.0%	3.9%	3,944	579	8.9	28.2%	3.4%	-264	813	-6.3%	18.7%	-3.8	5.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,504	383	15.5	11.4%	2.8%	1,238	324	15.9	8.8%	2.2%	-266	502	-17.7%	30.1%	-2.6	3.6
Other services, except public administration	387	143	22.5	2.9%	1.1%	406	231	34.6	2.9%	1.6%	19	272	4.9%	71.2%	0.0	1.9
Public administration	1,080	259	14.6	8.2%	1.9%	1,415	381	16.3	10.1%	2.6%	335	461	31.0%	47.2%	1.9	3.2

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining											$0	$0	0.0%			
Construction	$58,638	$31,634	32.8			$20,449	$17,274	51.4			-$38,189	$36,043	-65.1%	35.0%		
Manufacturing	$63,439	$46,992	45.0			$73,311	$45,845	38.0			$9,872	$65,651	15.6%	112.0%		
Wholesale trade	$35,227	$29,138	50.3			$45,974	$79,087	104.6			$10,747	$84,284	30.5%	249.1%		
Retail trade	$19,788	$13,232	40.6			$21,804	$6,716	18.7			$2,016	$14,839	10.2%	81.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$51,800	$12,723	14.9			$39,205	$18,951	29.4			-$12,595	$22,826	-24.3%	41.0%		
Information	$60,974	$44,033	43.9			$86,784	$74,293	52.0			$25,810	$86,362	42.3%	159.4%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$77,421	$17,150	13.5			$56,905	$24,432	26.1			-$20,516	$29,850	-26.5%	35.5%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$47,241	$16,712	21.5			$43,292	$31,903	44.8			-$3,949	$36,015	-8.4%	74.9%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$43,267	$4,512	6.3			$40,342	$6,752	10.2			-$2,925	$8,121	-6.8%	18.4%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$18,291	$6,902	22.9			$15,384	$5,161	20.4			-$2,907	$8,618	-15.9%	42.5%		
Other services, except public administration	$54,756	$41,915	46.5			$9,999										
Public administration	$65,731	$15,407	14.2			$49,630	$19,261	23.6			-$16,101	$24,665	-24.5%	34.2%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	7,975	811	6.2	100.0%		7,956	844	6.4	100.0%		-19	1,170	-0.2%	14.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	28	47	102.0	0.4%	0.6%	0					-28	47	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.4	0.6
Construction	171	113	40.3	2.1%	1.4%	367	203	33.6	4.6%	2.5%	196	232	114.6%	185.0%	2.5	2.9
Manufacturing	408	228	34.0	5.1%	2.8%	325	131	24.5	4.1%	1.6%	-83	263	-20.3%	54.9%	-1.0	3.2
Wholesale trade	144	113	47.6	1.8%	1.4%	171	127	45.0	2.1%	1.6%	27	170	18.8%	128.3%	0.3	2.1
Retail trade	834	304	22.2	10.5%	3.7%	654	225	20.9	8.2%	2.7%	-180	378	-21.6%	39.3%	-2.3	4.6
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	316	183	35.1	4.0%	2.3%	434	205	28.7	5.5%	2.5%	118	275	37.3%	102.6%	1.5	3.4
Information	289	172	36.2	3.6%	2.1%	339	161	28.8	4.3%	2.0%	50	236	17.3%	89.3%	0.7	2.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	521	190	22.2	6.5%	2.3%	580	186	19.5	7.3%	2.2%	59	266	11.3%	54.1%	0.8	3.2
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,620	414	15.5	20.3%	4.8%	1,463	365	15.2	18.4%	4.2%	-157	552	-9.7%	32.3%	-1.9	6.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,958	433	13.4	24.6%	4.8%	2,035	423	12.6	25.6%	4.6%	77	605	3.9%	31.5%	1.0	6.6
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	801	346	26.2	10.0%	4.2%	929	298	19.5	11.7%	3.5%	128	457	16.0%	62.4%	1.7	5.5
Other services, except public administration	460	247	32.6	5.8%	3.0%	355	184	31.6	4.5%	2.3%	-105	308	-22.8%	57.6%	-1.3	3.8
Public administration	425	227	32.5	5.3%	2.8%	304	173	34.7	3.8%	2.1%	-121	285	-28.5%	55.8%	-1.5	3.5
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$19,526										-$19,526	$0	-100.0%	0.0%		
Construction	$37,859	$13,950	22.4			$42,160	$31,011	44.7			$4,301	$34,004	11.4%	91.6%		
Manufacturing	$24,729	$3,276	8.1			$30,702	$74,143	146.8			$5,973	$74,215	24.2%	300.3%		
Wholesale trade	$83,690	$128,639	93.4			$57,151	$33,019	35.1			-$26,539	$132,809	-31.7%	112.1%		
Retail trade	$21,987	$11,111	30.7			$21,713	$11,289	31.6			-$274	$15,840	-1.2%	71.6%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$19,135	$5,789	18.4			$28,615	$12,596	26.8			$9,480	$13,863	49.5%	79.9%		
Information	$82,853	$31,957	23.4			$55,302	$49,444	54.4			-$27,551	$58,872	-33.3%	65.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$63,846	$71,628	68.2			$74,657	$26,064	21.2			$10,811	$76,223	16.9%	137.4%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$48,892	$18,655	23.2			$67,268	$16,568	15.0			$18,376	$24,950	37.6%	62.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$41,345	$15,944	23.4			$29,339	$8,191	17.0			-$12,006	$17,925	-29.0%	33.8%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$25,190	$13,130	31.7			$30,791	$8,503	16.8			$5,601	$15,643	22.2%	72.1%		
Other services, except public administration	$14,645	$12,861	53.4			$35,940	$25,377	42.9			$21,295	$28,450	145.4%	276.5%		
Public administration	$80,082	$34,633	26.3			$88,822	$33,903	23.2			$8,740	$48,465	10.9%	64.0%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	33,959	1,162	2.1	100.0%		44,070	1,174	1.6	100.0%		10,111	1,652	29.8%	5.6%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	19	32	102.0	0.1%	0.1%	27	44	98.4	0.1%	0.1%	8	54	42.1%	333.0%	0.0	0.1
Construction	352	153	26.5	1.0%	0.4%	832	301	22.0	1.9%	0.7%	480	338	136.4%	133.7%	0.9	0.8
Manufacturing	973	300	18.7	2.9%	0.9%	1,004	254	15.4	2.3%	0.6%	31	393	3.2%	41.2%	-0.6	1.1
Wholesale trade	564	239	25.8	1.7%	0.7%	759	271	21.7	1.7%	0.6%	195	361	34.6%	74.6%	0.0	0.9
Retail trade	1,841	391	12.9	5.4%	1.1%	3,247	529	9.9	7.4%	1.2%	1,406	658	76.4%	47.2%	2.0	1.6
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	622	234	22.8	1.8%	0.7%	309	125	24.5	0.7%	0.3%	-313	265	-50.3%	27.4%	-1.1	0.8
Information	3,552	496	8.5	10.5%	1.4%	4,961	591	7.2	11.3%	1.3%	1,409	772	39.7%	25.6%	0.8	1.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	3,776	494	8.0	11.1%	1.4%	5,552	591	6.5	12.6%	1.3%	1,776	770	47.0%	24.8%	1.5	1.9
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	8,859	757	5.2	26.1%	2.0%	12,677	774	3.7	28.8%	1.6%	3,818	1,083	43.1%	15.0%	2.7	2.6
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	6,937	777	6.8	20.4%	2.2%	7,586	685	5.5	17.2%	1.5%	649	1,036	9.4%	15.7%	-3.2	2.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	3,682	599	9.9	10.8%	1.7%	4,186	492	7.2	9.5%	1.1%	504	775	13.7%	22.8%	-1.3	2.0
Other services, except public administration	1,458	321	13.4	4.3%	0.9%	1,626	318	11.9	3.7%	0.7%	168	452	11.5%	32.8%	-0.6	1.1
Public administration	1,324	359	16.5	3.9%	1.0%	1,304	271	12.6	3.0%	0.6%	-20	450	-1.5%	33.6%	-0.9	1.2

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$19,526					$47,498					$27,972	$0	143.3%			
Construction	$46,308	$34,586	45.4			$73,987	$27,486	22.6			$27,679	$44,178	59.8%	133.3%		
Manufacturing	$86,590	$25,187	17.7			$100,973	$25,249	15.2			$14,383	$35,664	16.6%	44.7%		
Wholesale trade	$75,912	$12,575	10.1			$96,786	$22,222	14.0			$20,874	$25,533	27.5%	36.1%		
Retail trade	$54,491	$15,754	17.6			$76,601	$17,984	14.3			$22,110	$23,908	40.6%	52.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$56,351	$15,255	16.5			$72,206	$22,105	18.6			$15,855	$26,858	28.1%	52.4%		
Information	$74,204	$11,739	9.6			$98,384	$11,218	6.9			$24,180	$16,237	32.6%	25.9%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$130,580	$22,323	10.4			$149,385	$23,921	9.7			$18,805	$32,719	14.4%	26.8%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$82,958	$10,216	7.5			$98,309	$8,364	5.2			$15,351	$13,203	18.5%	17.7%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$61,378	$5,079	5.0			$68,221	$6,572	5.9			$6,843	$8,306	11.1%	14.1%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$37,049	$7,657	12.6			$32,482	$11,112	20.8			-$4,567	$13,495	-12.3%	35.0%		
Other services, except public administration	$56,497	$14,545	15.7			$65,547	$14,687	13.6			$9,050	$20,670	16.0%	39.6%		
Public administration	$92,461	$18,603	12.2			$84,847	$16,329	11.7			-$7,614	$24,753	-8.2%	25.5%		

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security
PUMA 4004

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	48,470	1,566	2.0	100.0%		53,060	695	0.8	100.0%		61,995	677	0.7	100.0%		8,935	970	16.8%	2.0%		
Owner-occupied	13,003	839	3.9	26.8%	1.5%	16,200	803	3.0	30.5%	1.5%	18,715	941	3.1	30.2%	1.5%	2,515	1,237	15.5%	8.2%	-0.3	2.1
Renter-occupied	35,467	839	1.4	73.2%	2.9%	36,860	1,006	1.7	69.5%	1.7%	43,280	1,014	1.4	69.8%	1.4%	6,420	1,428	17.4%	4.2%	0.3	2.2

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,645	343	12.7	100.0%		3,632	493	8.3	100.0%		5,831	526	5.5	100.0%		2,199	721	60.5%	26.2%		
Owner-occupied	152	106	42.4	9.2%	6.1%	1,294	292	13.7	35.6%	6.4%	1,774	343	11.7	30.4%	5.2%	480	450	37.1%	40.7%	-5.2	8.2
Renter-occupied	1,493	327	13.3	90.8%	6.1%	2,338	422	11.0	64.4%	7.7%	4,057	368	5.5	69.6%	0.7%	1,719	560	73.5%	35.1%	5.2	7.7

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	19,349	928	2.9	100.0%		14,639	710	2.9	100.0%		15,697	893	3.5	100.0%		1,058	1,141	7.2%	8.0%		
Owner-occupied	4,463	548	7.5	23.1%	2.6%	4,004	516	7.8	27.4%	3.3%	4,043	538	8.1	25.8%	3.1%	39	745	1.0%	18.7%	-1.6	4.5
Renter-occupied	14,886	874	3.6	76.9%	2.6%	10,635	738	4.2	72.6%	3.6%	11,654	792	4.1	74.2%	2.8%	1,019	1,083	9.6%	10.6%	1.6	4.6

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	7,659	633	5.0	100.0%		7,846	624	4.8	100.0%		7,442	764	6.2	100.0%		-404	986	-5.1%	12.3%		
Owner-occupied	1,012	271	16.3	13.2%	3.4%	1,088	314	17.5	13.9%	3.8%	1,171	327	17.0	15.7%	4.1%	83	453	7.6%	43.2%	1.8	5.6
Renter-occupied	6,647	652	6.0	86.8%	4.6%	6,758	577	5.2	86.1%	2.7%	6,271	662	6.4	84.3%	2.1%	-487	878	-7.2%	12.6%	-1.8	3.4

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	18,256	918	3.1	100.0%		25,197	759	1.8	100.0%		31,224	972	1.9	100.0%		6,027	1,233	23.9%	5.4%		
Owner-occupied	7,067	669	5.8	38.7%	3.1%	9,108	631	4.2	36.1%	2.3%	11,181	698	3.8	35.8%	1.9%	2,073	941	22.8%	11.4%	-0.3	3.0
Renter-occupied	11,189	798	4.3	61.3%	3.1%	16,089	819	3.1	63.9%	2.6%	20,043	816	2.5	64.2%	1.7%	3,954	1,156	24.6%	8.1%	0.3	3.1

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	16,200	803	3.0	18,715	941	3.1	2,515	1,237	15.5%	8.2%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$740,711	$51,346	4.2	$942,876	$56,804	3.7	$202,165	$76,571	27.3%	11.7%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,294	292	13.7	1,774	343	11.7	480	450	37.1%	40.7%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$588,941	$122,930	12.7	$912,765	$273,588	18.2	$323,824	$299,937	55.0%	56.6%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,004	516	7.8	4,043	538	8.1	39	745	1.0%	18.7%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$647,419	$108,053	10.1	$799,609	$128,150	9.7	$152,190	$167,624	23.5%	28.6%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	1,088	314	17.5	1,171	327	17.0	83	453	7.6%	43.2%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$693,490	$256,642	22.5	$841,845	$154,082	11.1	$148,355	$299,343	21.4%	50.1%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	9,108	631	4.2	11,181	698	3.8	2,073	941	22.8%	11.4%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$815,108	$79,958	6.0	$1,012,607	$112,935	6.8	$197,499	$138,375	24.2%	18.5%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	36,089	994	1.7	42,623	1,029	1.5	6,534	1,431	18.1%	4.3%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,540	$98	3.9	$2,014	$123	3.7	$474	$157	30.8%	11.5%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,316	419	11.0	4,008	364	5.5	1,692	555	73.1%	35.0%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,669	$255	9.3	$2,299	$291	7.7	$630	$387	37.7%	27.3%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	10,521	709	4.1	11,436	787	4.2	915	1,059	8.7%	10.5%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$880	$101	7.0	$945	$81	5.2	$65	$129	7.4%	15.4%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	6,456	583	5.5	6,144	680	6.7	-312	896	-4.8%	13.6%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$783	$183	14.2	$952	$182	11.6	$169	$258	21.6%	36.7%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,756	813	3.1	19,780	811	2.5	4,024	1,148	25.5%	8.3%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$2,136	$86	2.4	$2,656	$99	2.3	$520	$131	24.3%	6.8%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	35,197	1,024	1.8	100.0%		41,558	1,091	1.6	100.0%		6,361	1,496	18.1%	4.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	14,962	779	3.2	42.5%	1.8%	16,596	1,156	4.2	39.9%	2.6%	1,634	1,394	10.9%	9.6%	-2.6	3.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	7,860	654	5.1	22.3%	1.7%	7,876	780	6.0	19.0%	1.8%	16	1,018	0.2%	13.0%	-3.3	2.5

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,281	428	11.4	100.0%		3,832	378	6.0	100.0%		1,551	571	68.0%	35.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,247	311	15.2	54.7%	9.0%	1,605	244	9.2	41.9%	4.8%	358	395	28.7%	37.6%	-12.8	10.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	609	205	20.5	26.7%	7.5%	872	197	13.7	22.8%	4.6%	263	284	43.2%	58.0%	-3.9	8.8

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	10,282	698	4.1	100.0%		10,940	758	4.2	100.0%		658	1,030	6.4%	10.3%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	4,518	505	6.8	43.9%	3.9%	5,462	693	7.7	49.9%	5.3%	944	857	20.9%	20.4%	6.0	6.6
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	2,625	453	10.5	25.5%	4.0%	2,694	484	10.9	24.6%	4.1%	69	663	2.6%	25.6%	-0.9	5.7

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	6,199	578	5.7	100.0%		5,925	700	7.2	100.0%		-274	908	-4.4%	14.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	3,172	404	7.7	51.2%	4.4%	2,768	538	11.8	46.7%	7.2%	-404	673	-12.7%	20.3%	-4.5	8.4
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,796	362	12.3	29.0%	5.2%	1,308	390	18.1	22.1%	6.1%	-488	532	-27.2%	26.2%	-6.9	8.0

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	15,486	833	3.3	100.0%		19,615	799	2.5	100.0%		4,129	1,154	26.7%	8.5%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	5,686	569	6.1	36.7%	3.1%	6,393	605	5.8	32.6%	2.8%	707	831	12.4%	15.5%	-4.1	4.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	2,673	477	10.8	17.3%	2.9%	2,660	380	8.7	13.6%	1.9%	-13	610	-0.5%	22.7%	-3.7	3.5

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	8,176	2,737	17.0	14.6%	5.3%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable To Households By Area Median Income (AMI) Band

	2015-2019 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	42,623	1,029	1.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	7,429	727	5.9	17.4%	1.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	4,817	656	8.3	11.3%	1.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	5,880	633	6.5	13.8%	1.4%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	7,715	728	5.7	18.1%	1.7%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	10,304	685	4.0	24.2%	1.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	6,478	539	5.1	15.2%	1.2%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	3,704	2,111	29.0	7.8%	4.5%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	53,060	695	0.8	100.0%		61,995	677	0.7	100.0%		8,935	970	16.8%	2.0%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	2,099	426	12.3	4.0%	0.8%	3,653	485	8.1	5.9%	0.8%	1,554	646	74.0%	42.2%	1.9	1.1

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,632	493	8.3	100.0%		5,831	526	5.5	100.0%		2,199	721	60.5%	26.2%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	324	141	26.4	8.9%	3.7%	537	178	20.2	9.2%	2.9%	213	227	65.7%	90.7%	0.3	4.7

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	14,639	710	2.9	100.0%		15,697	893	3.5	100.0%		1,058	1,141	7.2%	8.0%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	391	156	24.3	2.7%	1.1%	897	288	19.5	5.7%	1.8%	506	328	129.4%	117.5%	3.0	2.1

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	7,846	624	4.8	100.0%		7,442	764	6.2	100.0%		-404	986	-5.1%	12.3%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	550	237	26.2	7.0%	3.0%	525	205	23.7	7.1%	2.7%	-25	313	-4.5%	55.5%	0.1	4.0

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	25,197	759	1.8	100.0%		31,224	972	1.9	100.0%		6,027	1,233	23.9%	5.4%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	750	242	19.7	3.0%	1.0%	1,646	274	10.1	5.3%	0.9%	896	366	119.5%	79.7%	2.3	1.3

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2017-2019
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	557

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2018	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	Change, 2018 - 2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	508	432	-76	-15.0%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	7,069
Units with regulatory agreements since 2014	5,190

Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	152,369	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	15,248	10.0%

Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	18,185	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	2,033	11.2%

Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	30,774	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	7,638	24.8%

Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	21,211	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	5,005	23.6%

Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	72,254	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	443	0.6%

Housing Production
PUMA 4004

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2020

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2020 Q4	
	Number	Percent
Net change	17,064	29.1%
New building	15,760	26.8%
Alterations that increase units	2,168	3.7%
Alterations that decrease units	-522	-0.9%
Demolitions	-342	-0.6%

Table 4.02.T: Units With Regulatory Agreements Since 2014 By Area Median Income (AMI) Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2021	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	408	122
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	70	780
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,147	1,272
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	283	24
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	292	422
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	7	7

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	3.1	1.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.7	21.9%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity

PUMA 4004

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2015-2016		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	86.3%	-5.4%	4.0%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2015-16		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	6.0%	-2.4%	3.9%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	281.8	151.2	130.6	-21.0	-13.6%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	59.9	64.8	46.0	-19.0	-29.0%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	448.7	315.6	294.4	-21.0	-6.7%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	259.1	160.6	140.2	-20.0	-12.7%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	155.0	80.0	67.4	-13.0	-15.7%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	8.0	2.8	2.3	0.0	-17.9%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year			0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	12.9			0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	13.7			0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year				0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths		6.3	10.8	5.0	71.4%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0			0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths		11.1	18.2	7.0	64.0%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0		17.4	17.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths			7.0	7.0	0.0%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	270

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	143

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	718

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	316

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	105

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	3

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2019
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	421,746

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2020 Percent Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	56.9%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	15.1%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	63,229	1,621	1.6	100.0%		78,245	1,559	1.2	100.0%		15,016	2,249	23.7%	4.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	6,752	752	6.8	10.7%	1.2%	6,387	683	6.5	8.2%	0.9%	-365	1,016	-5.4%	14.6%	-2.5	1.5

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	5,277	579	6.7	100.0%		8,340	662	4.8	100.0%		3,063	879	58.0%	21.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	516	250	29.4	9.8%	4.6%	639	188	17.9	7.7%	2.2%	123	313	23.8%	70.2%	-2.1	5.1

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	13,448	822	3.7	100.0%		14,639	1,234	5.1	100.0%		1,191	1,483	8.9%	11.3%		
Drove or carpooled to work	2,682	529	12.0	19.9%	3.7%	2,269	442	11.8	15.5%	2.7%	-413	689	-15.4%	23.5%	-4.4	4.6

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	8,074	827	6.2	100.0%		7,982	850	6.5	100.0%		-92	1,186	-1.1%	14.6%		
Drove or carpooled to work	987	324	19.9	12.2%	3.8%	788	237	18.3	9.9%	2.8%	-199	401	-20.2%	35.5%	-2.3	4.7

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	34,431	1,150	2.0	100.0%		44,590	1,153	1.6	100.0%		10,159	1,628	29.5%	5.5%		
Drove or carpooled to work	2,360	373	9.6	6.9%	1.1%	2,561	381	9.0	5.7%	0.8%	201	533	8.5%	23.6%	-1.2	1.4

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	61,995	677	0.7	100.0%	
With a computer	52,172	767	0.9	84.2%	0.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	49,855	1,041	1.3	80.4%	1.4%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	5,831	526	5.5	100.0%	
With a computer	5,343	480	5.5	91.6%	11.7%
With a broadband internet subscription	5,086	500	6.0	87.2%	3.4%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	15,697	893	3.5	100.0%	
With a computer	10,228	783	4.7	65.2%	3.3%
With a broadband internet subscription	10,205	854	5.1	65.0%	4.0%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	7,442	764	6.2	100.0%	
With a computer	5,204	692	8.1	69.9%	5.9%
With a broadband internet subscription	5,029	684	8.3	67.6%	6.0%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	31,224	972	1.9	100.0%	
With a computer	29,796	992	2.0	95.4%	1.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	27,942	961	2.1	89.5%	1.3%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	53.3%
Math proficient	49.9%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	77.1%
Math proficient	80.7%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	40.8%
Math proficient	36.2%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	39.0%
Math proficient	36.0%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	81.0%
Math proficient	78.8%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	72.2%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	77.8%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	65.3%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	68.0%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	56.2%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2019	
	Number Estimate	Percent Estimate
Total Population	152,369	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	147,627	96.9%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	1,094.5	1.0%	987.4	1.0%	-107.0	0.0%	
Pedestrian injuries	280.5	0.3%	212.8	0.2%	-68.0	-0.2%	0.0
Cyclist injuries	169.5	0.2%	181.3	0.2%	12.0	0.1%	0.0
Motorist injuries	644.5	0.6%	593.3	0.6%	-51.0	-0.1%	0.0

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	4.7	1.7	-64.6	1.0%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	13.1

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	39.2