Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: 712 Myrtle Avenue Rezoning

Contact: Maxim Gladkiy, maxim.galdkiy@gza.com

Prepared by: GZA GeoEnvironmental Date: 1/9/2024

Note: Racial equity reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

APPLICATION TYPE

Applications that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant criteria:

☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts

☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☑ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet

☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet

☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Section 1: Executive summary

Provide a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

The Proposed Development would be located in the Bedford-Stuyvesant neighborhood of Brooklyn, Community District 3 (PUMA 4003).

The Proposed Project would develop the Applicant-owned Projected Development Site 1 composed of Block 1751, Lots 21, 23, and 24 into a single 61,372 GSF (49,848 ZSF, 5.57 FAR) mixed-use residential and ground floor UG 6 commercial building. The project would be a 95-feet tall, 9-story building with a cellar and a 10-foot setback on Myrtle Avenue at the height of 85 feet. The building would contain 7,918.9 GSF of ground floor Commercial Use and 53,453.1 GSF (41,929.0 ZSF) of Residential Use including 41 dwelling units, with approximately 10 affordable units under MIH option 1. The 8,909-SF cellar would be predominantly occupied with recreation and storage spaces. The Applicant is proposing no parking for residential and commercial uses; 21 bicycle parking spots would be provided for the proposed 41 dwelling units.

As of 2020, the total population of Community District 3 (CD 3) was 153,236 people, of which 4% of population were Asian, 40% identified as Black, 19% were Hispanic, 31% were White, and 6% identified as other race or combination of races. For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in CD 3 was approximately $62,856, below the borough ($68,507) and city ($70,643) rates. White non-Hispanic population indicated the highest median household income ($103,380), while Black non-Hispanic and Hispanic populations had median incomes of $51,286 and $49,742, respectively. Data for Asian non-Hispanic households are statistically unreliable, as sample size is too small. An estimated 26 to 31% of households were extremely low-income (0-30% AMI), 12 to 14% were very low-income (31-50% AMI), and 13 to 17% were low-income (51-80% AMI). From 2010 to 2022, Community District 3 experienced a 13% increase in housing units, whereas the population in the district grew by 14%. In 2017-2021, an estimated median price of rental units in Community District 3 was around $1,630, above the borough ($1,597) and city ($1,590) rates. In 2021, White population indicated the highest median rent among all racial groups ($2,339), while Black and Hispanic populations had median rents of $1,296 and $1,207, respectively. Data for Asian non-Hispanic households are statistically unreliable, as sample size is too small. An estimated 53% of households were rent-burdened (30% of income spent on rent) and 29% were severely rent-burdened (50% of income spent on rent). As per 2017-2021 ACS PUMS data, 63.5% of the total rental units in the community district were affordable to households with less than 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI). Approximately 28.6% of rental units were targeted for moderate- income households (81 to 120% AMI) and around 7.8% - for households with income more than 120% AMI. The project is located in the area with a "Higher" displacement risk level.

The Proposed Project meets Goals 2, 3, and 5 of the City’s plan to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity described in Section 4.

Section 2: Project specific information

Before completing Section 2, please review the racial equity report submission guide.

2.1 Description of residential space and affordability

2.1.1 Reporting residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 41,929 ZSF

2.1.2 Profile of anticipated residential affordability

Report the number of anticipated housing units. Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units.

Income Restriction	Units		Anticipated Affordability				
			AMI Band	Rent (2023)*		Eligible Income (2023) **	
	#	%		1-bedroom	2-bedroom	1-person HH	4-person HH
Very Low Income	4	10%	40%	$1,060	$1,270	$39,600	$56,500
Low Income	3	7%	60%	$1,590	$1,910	$59,300	$84,700
Low Income	3	7%	80%	$2,120	$2,540	$79,100	$113,000
Not Income Restricted	31	76%		$3,310	$4,080	$132,500	$163,370
Total	41	100%					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

Provide additional details below as needed. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.

The Proposed Project would develop the Applicant-owned Projected Development Site 1 composed of Block 1751, Lots 21, 23, and 24 into a single 61,372 GSF (49,848 ZSF, 5.57 FAR) mixed-use residential and ground floor UG 6 commercial building. The project would be a 95-feet tall, 9-story building with a cellar. The 8,909-SF cellar would be occupied with recreation and storage spaces. The building would contain 7,918.9 GSF of ground floor Commercial Use and 53,453.1 GSF (41,929.0 ZSF) of Residential Use including 41 dwelling units, with approximately 10 affordable units (25% of residential floor area) under MIH option 1. Under MIH Option 1, the Applicant would provide 4 dwelling units (DUs) at 40% AMI (Very Low Income), 3 DUs at 60% AMI (Low Income), and 3 DUs at 80% AMI (Low Income).

(2.1.2 continued)

The proposed development would include one-bedroom and two-bedroom units. Therefore, eligible household sizes would range from as few as 1 person for a one-bedroom unit to as many as 4 persons for a two-bedroom unit. The expected rents/prices as well as eligible household incomes are described in the Table above. For units not subject to income restrictions, estimated rental prices are based on the current area market rents (third quartile).

2.2 Description of non-residential space and jobs

Report building area and job sector details for specific non-residential uses. Specific non-residential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build for their own use.

If the proposal includes non-residential space but no specific tenants or businesses, do not complete this section. General non-residential space can be discussed in Section 4.

2.2.1 Reporting non-residential floor area

Total non-residential zoning square feet:

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by unknown tenants:

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by known tenants:

2.2.2 Profile of anticipated new jobs for non-residential space with a known tenant

If the application proposes specific non-residential uses, report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector. Specific non-residential uses are those where there is a known tenant for the space.

In cases where there is not a known tenant, do not report the projected number of jobs or the characteristics of the potential sectors. Without a specific tenant/business, future industry sectors cannot be known and therefore all wage and workforce reporting would be speculative.

Sector (name and NAICS code)	Job count
Total	0

For each sector, use most recent available data to describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those sectors in New York City.

(2.2.2 continued)

2.3 Estimating construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
Projected Development Site 1	115	77
Totals	115	77

Section 3: Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary provides a graphic snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the relevant Community District[1]. Data tables and sources can be found in the full community profile (Section 5 of this report.)

[1] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Community Profile Summary

Bedford-Stuyvesant

(PUMA 4003, approximately Brooklyn CD 3)

Each figure includes a description and a sample interpretive sentence. Data is sourced from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey, and the NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey. For more detailed data sourcing, see the associated source table in the Equitable Development Data Explorer, available here.

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2

Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6

Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes

Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."



Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [$]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector[1], 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06

[1] Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."



Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [$]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2022

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2022, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2022 Q2 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars

Understanding error bars



1

Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates comes with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as ***errror bars.***

2

These "I" figures are called ***error bars***. Error bars account for uncertainty in ***sample data*** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the ***error bars*** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about $160 and $245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller ***error bar***, which is why the size of the ***error bar*** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.

Correct intrepretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about $50 and $190."

Based on the ***error bar*** for Chinatown, this is correct.

Incorrect intrepretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."

Because the ***error bars*** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan ($160-$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown ($45-$195).

Bedford-Stuyvesant

PUMA 4003

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.





Displacement Risk

by Neighborhood Tabulation Area

Lowest | Lower | Intermediate | Higher | Highest

0 1 2

Miles

1

Section 4: Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, Where We Live NYC.

Goal #2: Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region.

From 2008-2012 to 2017-2021, the estimated median gross rent in Community District 3 increased by 36.1% reaching $1,630. An estimated 53% of households were rent-burdened (30% of income spent on rent) and 29% were severely rent-burdened (50% of income spent on rent). An estimated 26 to 31% of households were extremely low-income (0-30% AMI), 12 to 14% were very low-income (31-50% AMI), and 13 to 17% were low-income (51-80% AMI). As per 2017-2021 ACS PUMS data, 63.5% of the total rental units in the community district were affordable to households with less than 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI). Approximately 28.6% of rental units were targeted for moderate- income households (81 to 120% AMI) and around 7.8% - for households with income more than 120% AMI.

The proposed project contributes to New York City's equitable housing development goal by providing 41 dwelling units with 10 affordable housing units under MIH Option 1. Under MIH Option 1, the Applicant would provide 4 dwelling units (DUs) at 40% AMI (Very Low Income), 3 DUs at 60% AMI (Low Income), and 3 DUs at 80% AMI (Low Income).
The project is also located within a Transit Zone where households are highly accessible to jobs, amenities, and services. The Myrtle - Willoughby Avenues subway station for G train is 1,500 feet away from the Project Area.

(Section 4 continued)

Goal #3: Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents.

The Proposed Development would be located in Brooklyn, Community District 3 (PUMA 4003) within Bedford Neighborhood Tabulation Area (NTA BK75). According to the Displacement Risk map, Bedford NTA has a Higher Displacement Risk score with the main factors contributing to the displacement risk being Population Vulnerability, Housing Conditions, and Market Pressure. The NTA's Population Vulnerability falls into the Intermediate category with 59.3% non-White population, 50.4% of population with income below 200% of federal poverty rate, and 12% of limited-english speaking population. The NTA's Housing Conditions are in the Higher category with more units with 3+ maintenance deficiencies compared to City, 81.1% housing that is not income-restricted, and 80% renter-occupied housing units. The NTA's Market Pressure falls into the Highest category with the larger rent change than City, 4.6 residential property price appreciation 2000-2020, larger percent change in educational attainment (bachelors degree or higher) than the City.

The Project would be developed on an underutilized site and would provide income-restricted units subject to HPD/HDC marketing and resident selection procedures including an occupancy preference for a percentage of the units to applicants who, at the time of application and processing, are residents of the Community District in which the building is located (“Community Preference Units”). Therefore, the Project would contribute to preserving affordable housing and preventing the displacement of long-standing residents.

Goal #5: Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities.

The Project would incorporate ADA compliant designs such as elevators with enough space for wheelchairs and ramps at the entryway of the building. As a result, the Project would promote the goal of creating more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities.

Section 5: Community profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer (EDDE) for the relevant Community District[2]. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

[2] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Demographic Conditions
PUMA 4003

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	121,054	100.0%	134,217	100.0%	153,236	100.0%	19,019	14.2%	
Asian non-Hispanic	1,016	0.8%	2,494	1.9%	6,157	4.0%	3,663	146.9%	2.1
Black non-Hispanic	90,679	74.9%	81,035	60.4%	61,841	40.4%	-19,194	-23.7%	-20.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	22,948	19.0%	27,478	20.5%	29,038	18.9%	1,560	5.7%	-1.6
White non-Hispanic	2,959	2.4%	20,273	15.1%	46,671	30.5%	26,398	130.2%	15.4
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	3,452	2.9%	2,937	2.2%	9,529	6.2%	6,592	224.4%	4.0

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	121,057	2,476	1.2	100.0%		134,859	3,086	1.4	100.0%		161,157	5,264	2.0	100.0%		26,298	6,102	19.5%	4.8%		
Under 16 years	34,738	1,467	2.6	28.7%	1.1%	33,716	1,663	3.0	25.0%	1.1%	36,084	2,553	4.3	22.4%	1.4%	2,368	3,047	7.0%	9.2%	-2.6	1.8
16 to 64 years	75,992	1,568	1.3	62.8%	0.2%	89,167	2,005	1.4	66.1%	2.1%	109,823	3,764	2.1	68.1%	0.7%	20,656	4,265	23.2%	5.0%	2.0	2.2
65 years and over	10,327	906	5.3	8.5%	0.7%	11,976	1,038	5.3	8.9%	0.7%	15,250	977	3.9	9.5%	0.5%	3,274	1,425	27.3%	13.7%	0.6	0.9
Median age (years)	29.9	1.0	2.0			31.0	0.7	1.3			32.1	0.5	0.9			1.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	562	373	40.4	100.0%		3,743	702	11.4	100.0%		4,824	1,097	13.8	100.0%		1,081	1,302	28.9%	38.0%		
Under 16 years	102	94	56.1	18.1%	11.6%	657	224	20.7	17.6%	5.0%	416	282	41.2	8.6%	5.5%	-241	360	-36.7%	48.0%	-9.0	7.4
16 to 64 years	445	196	26.8	79.2%	63.1%	2,919	539	11.2	78.0%	20.5%	4,240	902	12.9	87.9%	27.4%	1,321	1,051	45.3%	40.9%	9.9	34.2
65 years and over	15	36	146.3	2.7%	6.2%	167	114	41.4	4.5%	2.9%	168	92	33.3	3.5%	1.7%	1	146	0.6%	88.0%	-1.0	3.4
Median age (years)	32.8	11.4	21.1			30.2	2.9	5.9			33.1	1.4	2.6			3.0	3.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	90,732	2,378	1.6	100.0%		80,852	2,527	1.9	100.0%		71,630	3,542	3.0	100.0%		-9,222	4,351	-11.4%	5.2%		
Under 16 years	25,651	1,325	3.1	28.3%	1.3%	16,535	1,175	4.3	20.5%	1.3%	12,971	1,411	6.6	18.1%	1.8%	-3,564	1,836	-21.6%	10.2%	-2.4	2.2
16 to 64 years	56,395	1,618	1.7	62.2%	0.7%	55,215	1,639	1.8	68.3%	2.9%	47,237	2,573	3.3	65.9%	1.5%	-7,978	3,051	-14.4%	5.3%	-2.4	3.3
65 years and over	8,686	837	5.9	9.6%	0.9%	9,102	853	5.7	11.3%	1.0%	11,422	923	4.9	15.9%	1.0%	2,320	1,257	25.5%	15.5%	4.6	1.4
Median age (years)	31.5	1.2	2.3			35.2	1.0	1.6			37.9	1.0	1.6			3.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	23,457	2,169	5.6	100.0%		26,799	1,705	3.9	100.0%		28,706	1,928	4.1	100.0%		1,907	2,574	7.1%	9.9%		
Under 16 years	7,061	760	6.5	30.1%	1.7%	6,844	751	6.7	25.5%	2.3%	5,649	704	7.6	19.7%	2.1%	-1,195	1,029	-17.5%	13.7%	-5.8	3.1
16 to 64 years	15,182	1,074	4.3	64.7%	7.5%	17,756	1,240	4.2	66.3%	1.9%	20,544	1,502	4.4	71.6%	2.1%	2,788	1,948	15.7%	11.7%	5.3	2.8
65 years and over	1,214	323	16.2	5.2%	1.3%	2,199	409	11.3	8.2%	1.4%	2,513	432	10.5	8.8%	1.4%	314	595	14.3%	28.9%	0.6	2.0
Median age (years)	25.5	2.0	4.8			30.1	1.7	3.4			32.6	1.3	2.4			3.0	2.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	3,087	865	17.0	100.0%		21,277	1,314	3.8	100.0%		48,267	3,650	4.6	100.0%		26,990	3,879	126.9%	22.1%		
Under 16 years	1,214	323	16.2	39.3%	15.2%	8,994	737	5.0	42.3%	2.3%	14,974	2,162	8.8	31.0%	3.8%	5,980	2,284	66.5%	27.6%	-11.3	4.4
16 to 64 years	1,751	387	13.4	56.7%	20.3%	11,978	853	4.3	56.3%	2.0%	32,593	1,942	3.6	67.5%	6.5%	20,615	2,121	172.1%	25.3%	11.2	6.8
65 years and over	122	103	51.3	4.0%	3.1%	305	147	29.3	1.4%	0.7%	700	223	19.4	1.5%	0.4%	395	267	129.5%	132.6%	0.1	0.8
Median age (years)	21.4	2.8	8.0			22.6	1.0	2.7			27.6	0.6	1.4			5.0	1.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	121,057	2,476	1.2	100.0%		134,859	3,086	1.4	100.0%		161,157	5,264	2.0	100.0%		26,298	6,102	19.5%	4.8%		
Foreign-born	21,821	1,439	4.0	18.0%	1.1%	26,735	2,088	4.7	19.8%	1.5%	28,291	1,943	4.2	17.6%	1.1%	1,556	2,852	5.8%	11.0%	-2.2	1.9

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	562	373	40.4	100.0%		3,743	702	11.4	100.0%		4,824	1,097	13.8	100.0%		1,081	1,302	28.9%	38.0%		
Foreign-born	463	231	30.3	82.4%	68.4%	2,425	553	13.9	64.8%	8.4%	2,544	701	16.7	52.7%	8.2%	119	893	4.9%	37.5%	-12.1	11.7

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	90,732	2,378	1.6	100.0%		80,852	2,527	1.9	100.0%		71,630	3,542	3.0	100.0%		-9,222	4,351	-11.4%	5.2%		
Foreign-born	12,666	1,145	5.5	14.0%	1.2%	13,441	1,388	6.3	16.6%	1.6%	11,847	1,436	7.4	16.5%	1.8%	-1,594	1,997	-11.9%	14.0%	-0.1	2.4

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	23,457	2,169	5.6	100.0%		26,799	1,705	3.9	100.0%		28,706	1,928	4.1	100.0%		1,907	2,574	7.1%	9.9%		
Foreign-born	6,642	852	7.8	28.3%	2.5%	8,306	1,015	7.4	31.0%	3.2%	8,069	986	7.4	28.1%	2.9%	-237	1,415	-2.9%	16.8%	-2.9	4.3

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	3,087	865	17.0	100.0%		21,277	1,314	3.8	100.0%		48,267	3,650	4.6	100.0%		26,990	3,879	126.9%	22.1%		
Foreign-born	537	249	28.2	17.4%	6.4%	1,920	483	15.3	9.0%	2.2%	4,342	765	10.7	9.0%	1.4%	2,422	905	126.1%	69.5%	0.0	2.6

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	110,920	898	0.5	100.0%		122,705	2,821	1.4	100.0%		161,157	6,090	8.2	100.0%		38,452	6,712	31.3%	5.8%		
Speak English less than "very well"	12,938	1,233	5.8	11.7%	1.1%	15,176	1,269	5.1	12.4%	1.0%	17,907	2,255	7.7	11.1%	1.3%	2,731	2,588	18.0%	17.8%	-1.3	1.6

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	506	209	25.1	100.0%		3,479	673	11.8	100.0%		4,685	982	12.7	100.0%		1,206	1,190	34.7%	38.4%		
Speak English less than "very well"	269	188	42.5	53.2%	29.9%	1,437	455	19.2	41.3%	10.4%	959	391	24.8	20.5%	7.2%	-478	600	-33.3%	34.5%	-20.8	12.6

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	83,286	1,503	1.1	100.0%		75,576	2,310	1.9	100.0%		67,868	3,333	3.0	100.0%		-7,708	4,055	-10.2%	5.2%		
Speak English less than "very well"	2,126	524	15.0	2.6%	0.6%	1,423	430	18.4	1.9%	0.6%	2,082	736	21.5	3.1%	1.1%	659	852	46.3%	68.0%	1.2	1.3

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	21,487	1,239	3.5	100.0%		24,366	1,531	3.8	100.0%		27,161	1,892	4.2	100.0%		2,795	2,434	11.5%	10.5%		
Speak English less than "very well"	9,567	1,077	6.8	44.5%	4.3%	9,139	1,048	7.0	37.5%	3.6%	7,094	890	7.6	26.1%	2.7%	-2,045	1,375	-22.4%	13.2%	-11.4	4.5

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	2,571	468	11.1	100.0%		17,460	1,130	3.9	100.0%		42,775	3,157	4.5	100.0%		25,315	3,353	145.0%	24.0%		
Speak English less than "very well"	567	273	29.2	22.1%	9.8%	3,051	600	12.0	17.5%	3.2%	7,516	1,978	16.0	17.6%	4.4%	4,465	2,067	146.3%	80.9%	0.1	5.4

Household Economic Security
PUMA 4003

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	68,870	1,606	1.4	100.0%		81,580	1,725	1.3	100.0%		105,834	3,021	1.7	100.0%		24,254	3,479	29.7%	4.6%		
Less than high school degree	26,241	1,336	3.1	38.1%	1.7%	19,656	1,244	3.8	24.1%	1.4%	15,550	1,421	5.6	14.7%	1.3%	-4,106	1,889	-20.9%	8.8%	-9.4	1.9
High school degree or equivalent	20,725	1,222	3.6	30.1%	1.6%	23,257	1,394	3.6	28.5%	1.6%	28,025	1,773	3.8	26.5%	1.5%	4,768	2,255	20.5%	10.5%	-2.0	2.2
Some college or Associate's degree	14,819	1,063	4.4	21.5%	1.5%	19,868	1,164	3.6	24.4%	1.3%	19,554	1,375	4.3	18.5%	1.2%	-314	1,802	-1.6%	9.0%	-5.9	1.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	7,085	761	6.5	10.3%	1.1%	18,799	1,370	4.4	23.0%	1.6%	42,705	2,311	3.3	40.4%	1.9%	23,906	2,687	127.2%	20.6%	17.4	2.5

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	439	195	27.0	100.0%		2,447	484	12.0	100.0%		3,770	772	12.5	100.0%		1,323	911	54.1%	43.9%		
Less than high school degree	121	102	51.5	27.6%	19.9%	414	174	25.5	16.9%	6.3%	430	175	24.7	11.4%	4.0%	16	247	3.9%	60.8%	-5.5	7.5
High school degree or equivalent	145	112	47.0	33.0%	20.9%	597	276	28.1	24.4%	10.2%	653	420	39.1	17.3%	10.5%	56	503	9.4%	86.6%	-7.1	14.6
Some college or Associate's degree	158	117	45.1	36.0%	21.4%	422	245	35.3	17.2%	9.4%	327	146	27.1	8.7%	3.4%	-95	285	-22.5%	56.8%	-8.5	10.0
Bachelor's degree or higher	15	36	146.3	3.4%	8.1%	1,014	317	19.0	41.4%	10.0%	2,360	521	13.4	62.6%	5.1%	1,346	610	132.7%	89.1%	21.2	11.2

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	53,454	1,611	1.8	100.0%		52,861	1,472	1.7	100.0%		51,104	2,391	2.8	100.0%		-1,757	2,808	-3.3%	5.3%		
Less than high school degree	18,257	1,161	3.9	34.2%	1.9%	11,198	1,049	5.7	21.2%	1.9%	7,253	978	8.2	14.2%	1.8%	-3,945	1,434	-35.2%	10.6%	-7.0	2.6
High school degree or equivalent	16,892	1,124	4.0	31.6%	1.9%	16,591	1,150	4.2	31.4%	2.0%	17,613	1,322	4.6	34.5%	2.0%	1,022	1,752	6.2%	10.8%	3.1	2.8
Some college or Associate's degree	12,276	979	4.8	23.0%	1.7%	14,016	949	4.1	26.5%	1.6%	12,316	1,296	6.4	24.1%	2.3%	-1,700	1,606	-12.1%	11.0%	-2.4	2.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	6,029	706	7.1	11.3%	1.3%	11,056	938	5.2	20.9%	1.7%	13,922	1,406	6.1	27.2%	2.4%	2,866	1,690	25.9%	16.6%	6.3	2.9

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	11,890	965	4.9	100.0%		15,602	1,088	4.2	100.0%		18,425	1,243	4.1	100.0%		2,823	1,652	18.1%	11.5%		
Less than high school degree	6,979	756	6.6	58.7%	4.2%	6,689	790	7.2	42.9%	4.1%	5,699	741	7.9	30.9%	3.4%	-990	1,083	-14.8%	15.0%	-12.0	5.3
High school degree or equivalent	2,701	479	10.8	22.7%	3.6%	3,725	508	8.3	23.9%	2.8%	4,082	641	9.5	22.2%	3.1%	357	818	9.6%	22.8%	-1.7	4.2
Some college or Associate's degree	1,697	381	13.7	14.3%	3.0%	3,194	561	10.7	20.5%	3.3%	3,320	565	10.3	18.0%	2.8%	126	796	3.9%	25.4%	-2.5	4.3
Bachelor's degree or higher	513	211	25.0	4.3%	1.7%	1,994	505	15.4	12.8%	3.1%	5,324	784	8.9	28.9%	3.8%	3,330	933	167.0%	78.2%	16.1	4.9

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021	Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021

	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	1,252	328	15.9	100.0%		9,267	704	4.6	100.0%		27,740	1,610	3.5	100.0%		18,473	1,757	199.3%	28.6%		
Less than high school degree	412	189	27.9	32.9%	12.4%	1,051	261	15.1	11.3%	2.7%	1,997	688	20.9	7.2%	2.4%	946	736	90.0%	80.7%	-4.1	3.6
High school degree or equivalent	308	163	32.2	24.6%	11.3%	2,245	404	10.9	24.2%	4.0%	4,802	886	11.2	17.3%	3.0%	2,557	974	113.9%	55.1%	-6.9	5.0
Some college or Associate's degree	271	153	34.4	21.6%	10.8%	1,809	445	14.9	19.5%	4.6%	2,726	489	10.9	9.8%	1.7%	917	661	50.7%	45.9%	-9.7	4.9
Bachelor's degree or higher	261	150	35.0	20.8%	10.7%	4,162	472	6.9	44.9%	3.8%	18,215	1,251	4.2	65.7%	2.4%	14,053	1,337	337.7%	58.0%	20.8	4.5

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	48,585	614	0.8	56,999	1,428	1.5	8,414	1,554	17.3%	3.3%
Median household income (dollars)	$43,110	$2,783	3.9	$62,856	$4,450	4.3	$19,746	$5,249	45.8%	14.0%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,239	257	12.6	1,744	530	18.5	505	589	40.8%	51.8%
Median household income (dollars)	$62,842	$38,276	37.0	$79,411	$40,189	30.8	$16,569	$55,500	26.4%	100.1%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	31,473	816	1.6	26,671	1,342	3.1	-4,802	1,571	-15.3%	4.8%
Median household income (dollars)	$44,591	$3,750	5.1	$51,286	$5,137	6.1	$6,695	$6,360	15.0%	15.0%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	9,209	673	4.4	10,326	814	4.8	1,117	1,056	12.1%	12.1%
Median household income (dollars)	$30,524	$4,732	9.4	$49,742	$8,857	10.8	$19,218	$10,042	63.0%	38.5%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	5,834	467	4.9	15,490	899	3.5	9,656	1,013	165.5%	26.3%
Median household income (dollars)	$57,732	$10,665	11.2	$103,380	$8,906	5.2	$45,648	$13,895	79.1%	36.5%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	56,999	1,428	1.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	16,272	1,285	4.8	28.5%	2.1%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	7,335	826	6.8	12.9%	1.4%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	8,579	1,008	7.1	15.1%	1.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	9,383	946	6.1	16.5%	1.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	6,576	788	7.3	11.5%	1.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	8,854	688	4.7	15.5%	1.1%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,744	530	18.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	586	422	43.8	33.6%	22.0%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	160	119	45.1	9.2%	6.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	165	103	38.1	9.5%	5.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	339	210	37.7	19.4%	10.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	195	115	35.9	11.2%	5.7%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	299	128	26.0	17.1%	5.2%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	26,671	1,342	3.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	8,765	914	6.3	32.9%	3.0%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	3,923	582	9.0	14.7%	2.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	4,096	630	9.3	15.4%	2.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	4,312	580	8.2	16.2%	2.0%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	2,822	462	10.0	10.6%	1.6%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	2,753	444	9.8	10.3%	1.6%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,326	814	4.8	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	3,630	690	11.5	35.2%	6.1%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,510	415	16.7	14.6%	3.9%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,946	553	17.3	18.8%	5.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,710	412	14.7	16.6%	3.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	747	218	17.7	7.2%	2.0%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	783	269	20.9	7.6%	2.5%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,490	899	3.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	2,635	539	12.4	17.0%	3.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,214	266	13.3	7.8%	1.7%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,926	432	13.6	12.4%	2.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,682	438	9.9	17.3%	2.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	2,490	464	11.3	16.1%	2.8%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	4,543	542	7.3	29.3%	3.1%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	89,167	2,005	1.4	100.0%		109,823	3,764	2.1	100.0%		20,656	4,265	23.2%	5.0%		
In labor force	59,296	1,789	1.8	66.5%	1.3%	79,812	3,145	2.4	72.7%	1.4%	20,516	3,618	34.6%	6.7%	6.2	1.9

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	2,919	539	11.2	100.0%		4,240	902	12.9	100.0%		1,321	1,051	45.3%	40.9%		
In labor force	2,058	396	11.7	70.5%	3.8%	3,478	744	13.0	82.0%	1.8%	1,420	843	69.0%	48.6%	11.5	4.2

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	55,215	1,639	1.8	100.0%		47,237	2,573	3.3	100.0%		-7,978	3,051	-14.4%	5.3%		
In labor force	36,402	1,519	2.5	65.9%	1.9%	31,929	1,973	3.8	67.6%	2.0%	-4,473	2,490	-12.3%	6.5%	1.7	2.8

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	17,756	1,240	4.2	100.0%		20,544	1,502	4.4	100.0%		2,788	1,948	15.7%	11.7%		
In labor force	11,235	1,187	6.4	63.3%	5.0%	14,654	1,377	5.7	71.3%	4.2%	3,419	1,818	30.4%	18.4%	8.0	6.5

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	11,978	853	4.3	100.0%		32,593	1,942	3.6	100.0%		20,615	2,121	172.1%	25.3%		
In labor force	8,604	786	5.6	71.8%	4.1%	25,893	1,472	3.5	79.4%	6.5%	17,289	1,669	200.9%	32.4%	7.6	7.7

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	51,141	1,790	2.1	100.0%		72,500	2,843	2.4	100.0%		21,359	3,360	41.8%	7.5%		
Management, business, science, and arts	16,667	1,176	4.3	32.6%	2.0%	35,384	2,021	3.5	48.8%	2.0%	18,717	2,338	112.3%	19.3%	16.2	2.8
Service	13,316	1,136	5.2	26.0%	2.0%	14,998	1,341	5.4	20.7%	1.7%	1,682	1,757	12.6%	13.9%	-5.3	2.6
Sales and office	13,404	1,041	4.7	26.2%	1.8%	13,900	1,404	6.1	19.2%	1.8%	496	1,748	3.7%	13.2%	-7.0	2.5
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	3,220	634	12.0	6.3%	1.2%	2,382	568	14.5	3.3%	0.8%	-838	851	-26.0%	22.9%	-3.0	1.4
Production, transportation, and material moving	4,534	582	7.8	8.9%	1.1%	5,836	847	8.8	8.0%	1.1%	1,302	1,028	28.7%	24.9%	-0.9	1.6
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$55,373	$4,694	5.2			$64,406	$3,075	2.9			$9,033	$5,611	16.3%	11.3%		
Service	$28,308	$2,271	4.9			$26,706	$2,236	5.1			-$1,602	$3,187	-5.7%	10.9%		
Sales and office	$37,308	$2,924	4.8			$29,992	$3,614	7.3			-$7,316	$4,649	-19.6%	11.6%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$45,604	$5,380	7.2			$43,748	$10,752	14.9			-$1,856	$12,023	-4.1%	26.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$30,987	$3,687	7.2			$29,480	$3,764	7.8			-$1,507	$5,269	-4.9%	16.6%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,769	359	12.3	100.0%		3,030	641	12.9	100.0%		1,261	735	71.3%	50.2%		
Management, business, science, and arts	781	230	17.9	44.1%	9.4%	2,063	568	16.7	68.1%	12.0%	1,282	613	164.1%	106.5%	24.0	15.2
Service	288	147	31.1	16.3%	7.6%	261	139	32.3	8.6%	4.2%	-27	202	-9.4%	66.9%	-7.7	8.7
Sales and office	438	148	20.6	24.8%	6.7%	347	122	21.3	11.5%	3.2%	-91	192	-20.8%	38.6%	-13.3	7.4
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	116	119	62.5	6.6%	6.6%	60	88	89.4	2.0%	2.9%	-56	148	-48.3%	92.6%	-4.6	7.2
Production, transportation, and material moving	146	94	39.2	8.3%	5.1%	299	156	31.6	9.9%	4.7%	153	182	104.8%	169.7%	1.6	6.9
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$66,477	$18,081	16.5			$57,267	$4,563	4.8			-$9,210	$18,647	-13.9%	24.4%		
Service	$21,662	$10,779	30.3			$19,311	$16,593	52.2			-$2,351	$19,787	-10.9%	88.5%		
Sales and office	$38,104	$26,741	42.7			$52,999	$38,871	44.6			$14,895	$47,181	39.1%	141.2%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$34,884	$42,684	74.4			$22,498	$19,601	53.0			-$12,386	$46,969	-35.5%	96.9%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$15,625	$18,601	72.4			$22,012	$4,768	13.2			$6,387	$19,202	40.9%	170.5%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	31,026	1,530	3.0	100.0%		28,536	1,878	4.0	100.0%		-2,490	2,422	-8.0%	7.6%		
Management, business, science, and arts	9,537	898	5.7	30.7%	2.5%	10,555	1,308	7.5	37.0%	3.9%	1,018	1,587	10.7%	17.2%	6.3	4.6
Service	8,819	854	5.9	28.4%	2.4%	7,654	966	7.7	26.8%	2.9%	-1,165	1,289	-13.2%	13.8%	-1.6	3.8
Sales and office	8,104	896	6.7	26.1%	2.6%	6,171	913	9.0	21.6%	2.9%	-1,933	1,279	-23.9%	14.1%	-4.5	3.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,025	437	13.1	6.5%	1.4%	1,035	341	20.1	3.6%	1.2%	-990	554	-48.9%	20.1%	-2.9	1.8
Production, transportation, and material moving	2,541	442	10.6	8.2%	1.4%	3,121	646	12.6	10.9%	2.1%	580	783	22.8%	33.2%	2.7	2.5
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$59,621	$5,766	5.9			$63,387	$4,698	4.5			$3,766	$7,438	6.3%	13.0%		
Service	$30,313	$3,196	6.4			$31,087	$2,426	4.7			$774	$4,013	2.6%	13.5%		
Sales and office	$42,123	$3,317	4.8			$31,464	$3,771	7.3			-$10,659	$5,022	-25.3%	10.7%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$48,556	$6,012	7.5			$56,017	$15,529	16.9			$7,461	$16,652	15.4%	35.0%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$41,840	$10,204	14.8			$32,551	$8,710	16.3			-$9,289	$13,416	-22.2%	28.2%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,360	1,045	6.8	100.0%		13,221	1,305	6.0	100.0%		3,861	1,672	41.3%	21.0%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,931	476	15.0	20.6%	4.5%	4,358	613	8.6	33.0%	3.3%	2,427	776	125.7%	64.1%	12.4	5.6
Service	2,899	502	10.5	31.0%	4.1%	3,871	848	13.3	29.3%	5.7%	972	985	33.5%	37.3%	-1.7	7.0
Sales and office	2,357	552	14.2	25.2%	5.2%	3,165	675	13.0	23.9%	4.5%	808	872	34.3%	42.5%	-1.3	6.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	737	431	35.6	7.9%	4.5%	430	243	34.3	3.3%	1.8%	-307	495	-41.7%	47.4%	-4.6	4.8
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,436	352	14.9	15.3%	3.3%	1,397	376	16.4	10.6%	2.6%	-39	515	-2.7%	35.4%	-4.7	4.2
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$60,566	$8,258	8.3			$63,904	$7,438	7.1			$3,338	$11,114	5.5%	18.9%		
Service	$24,264	$4,802	12.0			$20,239	$3,268	9.8			-$4,025	$5,808	-16.6%	21.3%		
Sales and office	$24,869	$5,324	13.0			$23,961	$4,650	11.8			-$908	$7,069	-3.7%	27.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$22,664	$17,158	46.0			$29,165	$7,321	15.3			$6,501	$18,654	28.7%	102.6%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$25,560	$3,926	9.3			$29,460	$4,592	9.5			$3,900	$6,042	15.3%	25.2%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	8,161	772	5.7	100.0%		24,217	1,366	3.4	100.0%		16,056	1,569	196.7%	32.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	4,069	603	9.0	49.9%	5.7%	16,177	1,108	4.2	66.8%	2.6%	12,108	1,261	297.6%	64.9%	16.9	6.3
Service	1,105	304	16.7	13.5%	3.5%	2,552	470	11.2	10.5%	1.8%	1,447	560	131.0%	76.5%	-3.0	3.9
Sales and office	2,308	381	10.0	28.3%	3.8%	4,050	666	10.0	16.7%	2.6%	1,742	767	75.5%	40.9%	-11.6	4.6
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	295	143	29.4	3.6%	1.7%	641	262	24.8	2.6%	1.1%	346	298	117.3%	137.8%	-1.0	2.0
Production, transportation, and material moving	384	183	29.0	4.7%	2.2%	797	338	25.8	3.3%	1.4%	413	384	107.6%	132.4%	-1.4	2.6
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$36,556	$10,919	18.2			$66,578	$5,478	5.0			$30,022	$12,216	82.1%	56.4%		
Service	$29,590	$8,624	17.7			$28,094	$6,789	14.7			-$1,496	$10,976	-5.1%	35.9%		
Sales and office	$26,691	$9,304	21.2			$33,832	$12,755	22.9			$7,141	$15,788	26.8%	65.1%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$45,638	$16,502	22.0			$54,549	$19,955	22.2			$8,911	$25,894	19.5%	61.5%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$37,693	$11,167	18.0			$27,170	$15,817	35.4			-$10,523	$19,362	-27.9%	47.1%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	51,141	1,790	2.1	100.0%		72,500	2,843	2.4	100.0%		21,359	3,360	41.8%	7.5%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	26	43	100.6	0.1%	0.1%	0					-26	43	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.1	0.1
Construction	2,676	605	13.7	5.2%	1.2%	2,202	532	14.7	3.0%	0.7%	-474	806	-17.7%	27.2%	-2.2	1.4
Manufacturing	1,541	334	13.2	3.0%	0.6%	2,419	625	15.7	3.3%	0.9%	878	709	57.0%	52.9%	0.3	1.1
Wholesale trade	1,141	374	19.9	2.2%	0.7%	1,111	312	17.1	1.5%	0.4%	-30	487	-2.6%	42.0%	-0.7	0.8
Retail trade	5,483	686	7.6	10.7%	1.3%	8,194	1,092	8.1	11.3%	1.4%	2,711	1,290	49.4%	27.3%	0.6	1.9
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	3,540	525	9.0	6.9%	1.0%	5,531	972	10.7	7.6%	1.3%	1,991	1,105	56.2%	35.9%	0.7	1.6
Information	1,751	388	13.5	3.4%	0.7%	5,014	707	8.6	6.9%	0.9%	3,263	806	186.4%	75.2%	3.5	1.1
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	3,510	549	9.5	6.9%	1.0%	4,083	711	10.6	5.6%	1.0%	573	898	16.3%	27.2%	-1.3	1.4
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	5,709	712	7.6	11.2%	1.3%	11,648	1,017	5.3	16.1%	1.3%	5,939	1,241	104.0%	31.1%	4.9	1.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	15,717	980	3.8	30.7%	1.6%	18,520	1,489	4.9	25.5%	1.8%	2,803	1,783	17.8%	12.0%	-5.2	2.4
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	4,493	575	7.8	8.8%	1.1%	7,639	855	6.8	10.5%	1.1%	3,146	1,030	70.0%	28.9%	1.7	1.6
Other services, except public administration	2,085	450	13.1	4.1%	0.9%	3,110	556	10.9	4.3%	0.7%	1,025	715	49.2%	41.8%	0.2	1.1
Public administration	3,469	579	10.1	6.8%	1.1%	3,029	576	11.6	4.2%	0.8%	-440	817	-12.7%	22.1%	-2.6	1.4
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$12,000										-$12,000		-100.0%	0.0%		
Construction	$43,219	$12,064	17.0			$48,332	$13,528	17.0			$5,113	$18,126	11.8%	44.2%		
Manufacturing	$37,351	$10,264	16.7			$49,218	$16,669	20.6			$11,867	$19,576	31.8%	57.5%		
Wholesale trade	$23,771	$6,431	16.4			$44,198	$19,995	27.5			$20,427	$21,004	85.9%	98.0%		
Retail trade	$25,477	$4,383	10.5			$28,466	$4,376	9.3			$2,989	$6,194	11.7%	25.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$41,960	$6,427	9.3			$37,445	$5,711	9.3			-$4,515	$8,598	-10.8%	19.3%		
Information	$50,782	$12,773	15.3			$70,029	$6,957	6.0			$19,247	$14,545	37.9%	37.3%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$52,111	$4,699	5.5			$64,051	$11,765	11.2			$11,940	$12,669	22.9%	25.2%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$40,972	$8,354	12.4			$66,139	$7,044	6.5			$25,167	$10,927	61.4%	37.1%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$39,134	$2,433	3.8			$38,199	$3,394	5.4			-$935	$4,176	-2.4%	10.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$27,667	$3,912	8.6			$27,499	$3,990	8.8			-$168	$5,588	-0.6%	20.1%		
Other services, except public administration	$23,065	$11,390	30.0			$39,605	$12,175	18.7			$16,540	$16,672	71.7%	99.9%		
Public administration	$53,092	$7,252	8.3			$60,380	$11,922	12.0			$7,288	$13,954	13.7%	27.3%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,769	359	12.3	100.0%		3,030	641	12.9	100.0%		1,261	735	71.3%	50.2%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	196	123	38.1	11.1%	6.6%	60	88	89.4	2.0%	2.9%	-136	151	-69.4%	48.8%	-9.1	7.2
Manufacturing	37	50	82.7	2.1%	2.8%	250	198	48.0	8.3%	6.3%	213	204	575.7%	1058.3%	6.2	6.9
Wholesale trade	99	106	65.0	5.6%	5.9%	67	67	60.9	2.2%	2.2%	-32	125	-32.3%	99.2%	-3.4	6.3
Retail trade	230	113	29.8	13.0%	5.8%	576	294	31.0	19.0%	8.8%	346	315	150.4%	177.4%	6.0	10.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	99	79	48.3	5.6%	4.3%	106	89	51.2	3.5%	2.9%	7	119	7.1%	124.0%	-2.1	5.2
Information	28	35	76.2	1.6%	2.0%	162	115	43.1	5.3%	3.6%	134	120	478.6%	831.7%	3.7	4.1
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	128	98	46.4	7.2%	5.3%	231	131	34.4	7.6%	4.0%	103	164	80.5%	171.9%	0.4	6.6
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	251	139	33.8	14.2%	7.3%	613	242	24.0	20.2%	6.7%	362	279	144.2%	166.1%	6.0	9.9
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	315	137	26.4	17.8%	6.8%	381	148	23.7	12.6%	4.1%	66	202	21.0%	70.5%	-5.2	7.9
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	299	134	27.2	16.9%	6.7%	281	153	33.0	9.3%	4.6%	-18	203	-6.0%	66.3%	-7.6	8.1
Other services, except public administration	50	57	68.8	2.8%	3.1%	283	312	66.9	9.3%	10.1%	233	317	466.0%	897.6%	6.5	10.6
Public administration	37	52	86.2	2.1%	2.9%	20	28	84.1	0.7%	0.9%	-17	59	-45.9%	107.2%	-1.4	3.0
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$31,927	$34,327	65.4			$22,498	$19,601	53.0			-$9,429	$39,529	-29.5%	97.5%		
Manufacturing	$12,000					$9,999					-$2,001		-16.7%			
Wholesale trade	$51,301	$62,978	74.6			$40,864	$51,292	76.3			-$10,437	$81,223	-20.3%	139.9%		
Retail trade	$31,726	$15,567	29.8			$47,658	$23,896	30.5			$15,932	$28,519	50.2%	105.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$30,154	$52,844	106.5			$21,110	$16,740	48.2			-$9,044	$55,432	-30.0%	134.7%		
Information	$12,000					$83,172	$129,236	94.5			$71,172		593.1%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$69,939	$148,400	129.0			$64,452	$54,635	51.5			-$5,487	$158,138	-7.8%	210.6%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$58,321	$13,440	14.0			$70,177	$26,856	23.3			$11,856	$30,031	20.3%	53.8%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$55,776	$43,612	47.5			$62,059	$44,133	43.2			$6,283	$62,046	11.3%	117.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$38,318	$30,684	48.7			$27,040	$33,548	75.4			-$11,278	$45,464	-29.4%	104.2%		
Other services, except public administration	$14,782	$14,041	57.7			$56,117	$4,308	4.7			$41,335	$14,687	279.6%	361.8%		
Public administration	$40,034					$84,374					$44,340		110.8%			

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	31,026	1,530	3.0	100.0%		28,536	1,878	4.0	100.0%		-2,490	2,422	-8.0%	7.6%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	1,528	367	14.6	4.9%	1.2%	817	297	22.1	2.9%	1.0%	-711	472	-46.5%	23.3%	-2.0	1.6
Manufacturing	655	239	22.2	2.1%	0.8%	578	272	28.6	2.0%	0.9%	-77	362	-11.8%	52.5%	-0.1	1.2
Wholesale trade	241	146	36.9	0.8%	0.5%	206	158	46.5	0.7%	0.6%	-35	215	-14.5%	83.5%	-0.1	0.8
Retail trade	2,733	580	12.9	8.8%	1.8%	3,126	752	14.6	11.0%	2.5%	393	950	14.4%	36.7%	2.2	3.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,598	454	10.6	8.4%	1.4%	3,266	706	13.1	11.4%	2.4%	668	839	25.7%	34.9%	3.0	2.8
Information	1,024	293	17.4	3.3%	0.9%	1,134	336	18.0	4.0%	1.1%	110	446	10.7%	45.6%	0.7	1.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,465	508	12.5	7.9%	1.6%	1,580	435	16.7	5.5%	1.5%	-885	669	-35.9%	22.0%	-2.4	2.2
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	3,080	444	8.8	9.9%	1.3%	3,267	579	10.8	11.4%	1.9%	187	730	6.1%	24.2%	1.5	2.3
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	10,724	985	5.6	34.6%	2.7%	9,329	989	6.4	32.7%	2.7%	-1,395	1,396	-13.0%	12.2%	-1.9	3.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,902	373	11.9	6.1%	1.2%	2,006	418	12.7	7.0%	1.4%	104	560	5.5%	30.2%	0.9	1.8
Other services, except public administration	1,141	358	19.1	3.7%	1.1%	1,111	309	16.9	3.9%	1.1%	-30	473	-2.6%	40.8%	0.2	1.6
Public administration	2,935	531	11.0	9.5%	1.6%	2,116	409	11.7	7.4%	1.3%	-819	670	-27.9%	19.1%	-2.1	2.1
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$48,991	$5,951	7.4			$55,021	$6,862	7.6			$6,030	$9,083	12.3%	19.6%		
Manufacturing	$46,918	$7,970	10.3			$50,832	$26,516	31.7			$3,914	$27,688	8.3%	59.4%		
Wholesale trade	$27,437	$22,519	49.9			$104,438	$26,338	15.3			$77,001	$34,652	280.6%	326.8%		
Retail trade	$24,839	$6,045	14.8			$26,592	$5,190	11.9			$1,753	$7,967	7.1%	33.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$44,870	$8,104	11.0			$38,887	$8,987	14.0			-$5,983	$12,101	-13.3%	25.4%		
Information	$56,208	$12,796	13.8			$63,783	$25,354	24.2			$7,575	$28,400	13.5%	52.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$50,988	$3,613	4.3			$67,871	$19,664	17.6			$16,883	$19,993	33.1%	39.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$38,524	$8,972	14.2			$50,574	$8,244	9.9			$12,050	$12,184	31.3%	37.3%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$42,375	$3,082	4.4			$40,522	$4,257	6.4			-$1,853	$5,255	-4.4%	12.2%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$28,346	$6,130	13.1			$24,023	$5,486	13.9			-$4,323	$8,226	-15.3%	26.7%		
Other services, except public administration	$32,365	$14,461	27.2			$32,320	$8,285	15.6			-$45	$16,667	-0.1%	51.4%		
Public administration	$54,038	$7,330	8.2			$54,685	$9,345	10.4			$647	$11,877	1.2%	22.1%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,360	1,045	6.8	100.0%		13,221	1,305	6.0	100.0%		3,861	1,672	41.3%	21.0%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	674	430	38.8	7.2%	4.5%	335	171	31.1	2.5%	1.3%	-339	463	-50.3%	40.6%	-4.7	4.7
Manufacturing	519	196	22.9	5.5%	2.0%	487	266	33.2	3.7%	2.0%	-32	330	-6.2%	62.3%	-1.8	2.8
Wholesale trade	303	186	37.3	3.2%	2.0%	207	167	49.0	1.6%	1.3%	-96	250	-31.7%	69.3%	-1.6	2.4
Retail trade	1,335	443	20.2	14.3%	4.5%	1,931	573	18.0	14.6%	4.1%	596	724	44.6%	64.4%	0.3	6.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	583	228	23.8	6.2%	2.3%	980	344	21.3	7.4%	2.5%	397	413	68.1%	88.3%	1.2	3.4
Information	203	116	34.8	2.2%	1.2%	495	233	28.7	3.7%	1.7%	292	260	143.8%	180.5%	1.5	2.1
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	517	240	28.2	5.5%	2.5%	655	304	28.2	5.0%	2.2%	138	387	26.7%	83.2%	-0.5	3.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	877	295	20.5	9.4%	3.0%	1,928	520	16.4	14.6%	3.7%	1,051	598	119.8%	94.8%	5.2	4.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,447	471	11.7	26.1%	4.1%	3,821	665	10.6	28.9%	4.1%	1,374	815	56.2%	40.5%	2.8	5.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	928	312	20.4	9.9%	3.1%	1,361	441	19.7	10.3%	3.2%	433	540	46.7%	68.5%	0.4	4.5
Other services, except public administration	720	241	20.3	7.7%	2.4%	512	241	28.6	3.9%	1.8%	-208	341	-28.9%	41.1%	-3.8	3.0
Public administration	254	137	32.8	2.7%	1.4%	509	350	41.8	3.8%	2.6%	255	376	100.4%	175.1%	1.1	3.0
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$22,165	$11,198	30.7			$41,388	$43,176	63.4			$19,223	$44,605	86.7%	216.4%		
Manufacturing	$29,066	$4,873	10.2			$39,889	$54,774	83.5			$10,823	$54,990	37.2%	189.8%		
Wholesale trade	$19,787	$6,078	18.7			$32,865	$3,335	6.2			$13,078	$6,933	66.1%	53.7%		
Retail trade	$19,485	$5,702	17.8			$19,717	$6,827	21.0			$232	$8,895	1.2%	45.9%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$40,548	$6,896	10.3			$27,938	$7,564	16.5			-$12,610	$10,236	-31.1%	22.0%		
Information	$39,818	$29,324	44.8			$58,135	$34,799	36.4			$18,317	$45,507	46.0%	138.6%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$49,248	$25,007	30.9			$51,749	$26,981	31.7			$2,501	$36,788	5.1%	76.5%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$51,232	$23,753	28.2			$73,446	$20,295	16.8			$22,214	$31,243	43.4%	77.4%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$31,103	$7,593	14.8			$30,226	$8,032	16.2			-$877	$11,053	-2.8%	35.1%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$20,516	$5,238	15.5			$20,028	$8,601	26.1			-$488	$10,071	-2.4%	48.8%		
Other services, except public administration	$14,366	$12,302	52.1			$18,691	$9,717	31.6			$4,325	$15,676	30.1%	130.3%		
Public administration	$39,277	$18,597	28.8			$47,793	$41,024	52.2			$8,516	$45,042	21.7%	119.3%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	8,161	772	5.7	100.0%		24,217	1,366	3.4	100.0%		16,056	1,569	196.7%	32.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	26	43	100.6	0.3%	0.5%	0					-26	43	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.3	0.5
Construction	257	130	30.7	3.1%	1.6%	846	305	21.9	3.5%	1.2%	589	332	229.2%	204.5%	0.4	2.0
Manufacturing	313	142	27.5	3.8%	1.7%	1,033	413	24.3	4.3%	1.7%	720	437	230.0%	199.6%	0.5	2.4
Wholesale trade	498	229	27.9	6.1%	2.7%	616	195	19.3	2.5%	0.8%	118	301	23.7%	69.1%	-3.6	2.8
Retail trade	1,063	333	19.1	13.0%	3.9%	2,438	502	12.5	10.1%	2.0%	1,375	602	129.4%	86.0%	-2.9	4.4
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	230	163	43.1	2.8%	2.0%	694	312	27.3	2.9%	1.3%	464	352	201.7%	253.2%	0.1	2.4
Information	473	182	23.4	5.8%	2.2%	2,832	461	9.9	11.7%	1.8%	2,359	496	498.7%	250.1%	5.9	2.8
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	376	134	21.7	4.6%	1.6%	1,518	310	12.4	6.3%	1.2%	1,142	338	303.7%	165.8%	1.7	2.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,357	358	16.0	16.6%	4.1%	5,134	566	6.7	21.2%	2.0%	3,777	670	278.3%	108.2%	4.6	4.6
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,048	305	9.1	25.1%	2.9%	4,160	619	9.0	17.2%	2.4%	2,112	690	103.1%	42.8%	-7.9	3.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,157	301	15.8	14.2%	3.4%	3,438	536	9.5	14.2%	2.1%	2,281	615	197.1%	90.1%	0.0	4.0
Other services, except public administration	174	103	35.9	2.1%	1.2%	1,159	288	15.1	4.8%	1.2%	985	306	566.1%	427.6%	2.7	1.7
Public administration	189	113	36.5	2.3%	1.4%	349	147	25.5	1.4%	0.6%	160	185	84.7%	135.0%	-0.9	1.5
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$12,000										-$12,000		-100.0%	0.0%		
Construction	$34,768	$27,451	48.0			$36,738	$20,398	33.8			$1,970	$34,200	5.7%	102.0%		
Manufacturing	$42,128	$19,846	28.6			$53,768	$13,799	15.6			$11,640	$24,172	27.6%	68.5%		
Wholesale trade	$25,315	$11,219	26.9			$54,614	$27,238	30.3			$29,299	$29,458	115.7%	143.9%		
Retail trade	$35,339	$23,937	41.2			$50,254	$11,967	14.5			$14,915	$26,762	42.2%	102.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$22,780	$24,736	66.0			$38,493	$9,556	15.1			$15,713	$26,518	69.0%	188.2%		
Information	$46,379	$16,391	21.5			$73,019	$9,498	7.9			$26,640	$18,944	57.4%	59.3%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$62,862	$32,528	31.5			$78,048	$35,298	27.5			$15,186	$48,000	24.2%	85.3%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$39,407	$12,506	19.3			$72,672	$9,321	7.8			$33,265	$15,598	84.4%	63.1%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$20,727	$7,329	21.5			$37,241	$8,590	14.0			$16,514	$11,292	79.7%	75.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$35,106	$9,735	16.9			$36,713	$8,612	14.3			$1,607	$12,998	4.6%	38.0%		
Other services, except public administration	$24,188	$24,400	61.3			$50,116	$8,840	10.7			$25,928	$25,952	107.2%	212.2%		
Public administration	$69,340	$34,330	30.1			$90,479	$23,156	15.6			$21,139	$41,409	30.5%	72.7%		

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security
PUMA 4003

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	42,855	1,474	2.1	100.0%		48,585	614	0.8	100.0%		56,999	1,428	1.5	100.0%		8,414	1,554	17.3%	3.3%		
Owner-occupied	8,431	708	5.1	19.7%	1.5%	10,474	683	4.0	21.6%	1.4%	13,295	985	4.5	23.3%	1.6%	2,821	1,199	26.9%	12.5%	1.7	2.1
Renter-occupied	34,424	708	1.3	80.3%	3.2%	38,111	843	1.3	78.4%	1.4%	43,704	1,447	2.0	76.7%	1.7%	5,593	1,675	14.7%	4.6%	-1.7	2.2

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	274	142	31.5	100.0%		1,239	257	12.6	100.0%		1,744	530	18.5	100.0%		505	589	40.8%	51.8%		
Owner-occupied	71	72	62.0	25.9%	22.8%	344	155	27.3	27.8%	11.1%	628	451	43.6	36.0%	23.4%	284	477	82.6%	154.8%	8.2	25.9
Renter-occupied	203	122	36.6	74.1%	22.8%	895	224	15.2	72.2%	10.1%	1,116	291	15.9	64.0%	25.6%	221	367	24.7%	45.1%	-8.2	27.5

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	33,601	733	1.3	100.0%		31,473	816	1.6	100.0%		26,671	1,342	3.1	100.0%		-4,802	1,571	-15.3%	4.8%		
Owner-occupied	7,642	682	5.4	22.7%	2.0%	7,816	581	4.5	24.8%	1.7%	7,199	787	6.6	27.0%	2.6%	-617	978	-7.9%	12.2%	2.2	3.1
Renter-occupied	25,959	870	2.0	77.3%	2.0%	23,657	898	2.3	75.2%	2.1%	19,472	1,252	3.9	73.0%	2.9%	-4,185	1,541	-17.7%	6.1%	-2.2	3.6

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	7,055	606	5.2	100.0%		9,209	673	4.4	100.0%		10,326	814	4.8	100.0%		1,117	1,056	12.1%	12.1%		
Owner-occupied	459	183	24.3	6.5%	2.5%	1,095	286	15.9	11.9%	3.0%	1,323	322	14.8	12.8%	2.9%	228	431	20.8%	43.1%	0.9	4.2
Renter-occupied	6,596	643	5.9	93.5%	4.3%	8,114	634	4.7	88.1%	2.4%	9,003	865	5.8	87.2%	4.8%	889	1,072	11.0%	13.7%	-0.9	5.4

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	854	249	17.7	100.0%		5,834	467	4.9	100.0%		15,490	899	3.5	100.0%		9,656	1,013	165.5%	26.3%		
Owner-occupied	89	81	55.4	10.4%	9.0%	1,055	210	12.1	18.1%	3.3%	3,549	500	8.6	22.9%	2.9%	2,494	542	236.4%	82.0%	4.8	4.4
Renter-occupied	765	236	18.7	89.6%	9.0%	4,779	455	5.8	81.9%	4.2%	11,941	867	4.4	77.1%	3.4%	7,162	979	149.9%	29.9%	-4.8	5.4

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	10,474	683	4.0	13,295	985	4.5	2,821	1,199	26.9%	12.5%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$714,242	$27,860	2.4	$1,058,827	$85,009	4.9	$344,585	$89,458	48.2%	13.2%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	344	155	27.3	628	451	43.6	284	477	82.6%	154.8%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$550,208	$147,972	16.3	$720,543	$214,385	18.1	$170,335	$260,493	31.0%	52.5%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	7,816	581	4.5	7,199	787	6.6	-617	978	-7.9%	12.2%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$716,465	$31,206	2.6	$1,171,529	$104,431	5.4	$455,064	$108,994	63.5%	16.2%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	1,095	286	15.9	1,323	322	14.8	228	431	20.8%	43.1%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$737,880	$102,088	8.4	$847,960	$161,804	11.6	$110,080	$191,318	14.9%	27.1%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,055	210	12.1	3,549	500	8.6	2,494	542	236.4%	82.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$726,749	$82,250	6.9	$976,617	$103,972	6.5	$249,868	$132,572	34.4%	20.9%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	37,092	891	1.5	42,637	1,440	2.1	5,545	1,693	14.9%	4.8%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,198	$50	2.5	$1,630	$81	3.0	$432	$95	36.1%	8.8%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	895	224	15.2	1,076	282	15.9	181	360	20.2%	43.6%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,585	$308	11.8	$2,242	$322	8.7	$657	$446	41.4%	34.2%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	23,071	938	2.5	18,785	1,261	4.1	-4,286	1,572	-18.6%	6.4%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,147	$60	3.2	$1,296	$71	3.3	$149	$93	13.0%	8.6%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	7,910	609	4.7	8,927	857	5.8	1,017	1,051	12.9%	13.9%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$991	$128	7.8	$1,207	$200	10.1	$216	$238	21.8%	25.6%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	4,587	456	6.0	11,801	845	4.4	7,214	960	157.3%	31.5%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,777	$146	5.0	$2,339	$102	2.6	$562	$178	31.6%	12.3%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	35,891	924	1.6	100.0%		41,495	1,523	2.2	100.0%		5,604	1,781	15.6%	5.2%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	20,518	932	2.8	57.2%	2.1%	21,900	1,215	3.4	52.8%	2.2%	1,382	1,531	6.7%	7.7%	-4.4	3.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	11,930	914	4.7	33.2%	2.4%	11,878	1,076	5.5	28.6%	2.4%	-52	1,412	-0.4%	11.8%	-4.6	3.4

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	895	224	15.2	100.0%		1,076	282	15.9	100.0%		181	360	20.2%	43.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	529	184	21.2	59.1%	14.3%	624	205	20.0	58.0%	11.5%	95	275	18.0%	56.4%	-1.1	18.4
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	367	157	26.1	41.0%	14.3%	346	167	29.3	32.2%	13.0%	-21	229	-5.7%	60.8%	-8.8	19.3

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	22,173	913	2.5	100.0%		18,008	1,302	4.4	100.0%		-4,165	1,590	-18.8%	6.8%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	12,647	837	4.0	57.0%	3.0%	10,026	1,010	6.1	55.7%	3.9%	-2,621	1,312	-20.7%	9.6%	-1.3	4.9
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,954	726	6.3	31.4%	3.0%	5,566	882	9.6	30.9%	4.4%	-1,388	1,142	-20.0%	15.2%	-0.5	5.3

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	7,712	588	4.6	100.0%		8,755	823	5.7	100.0%		1,043	1,011	13.5%	13.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	4,289	511	7.2	55.6%	5.1%	4,529	656	8.8	51.7%	5.7%	240	832	5.6%	19.8%	-3.9	7.6
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	2,679	436	9.9	34.7%	5.0%	2,135	569	16.2	24.4%	6.1%	-544	717	-20.3%	24.9%	-10.3	7.9

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	4,482	456	6.2	100.0%		11,620	845	4.4	100.0%		7,138	960	159.3%	32.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	2,765	368	8.1	61.7%	5.3%	5,566	676	7.4	47.9%	4.7%	2,801	770	101.3%	36.3%	-13.8	7.1
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,810	359	12.1	40.4%	6.9%	3,180	504	9.6	27.4%	3.9%	1,370	619	75.7%	44.6%	-13.0	7.9

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	15,536	5,030	16.0	30.4%	9.9%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	42,637	1,440	2.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	8,590	1,029	7.3	20.1%	2.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	7,191	924	7.8	16.9%	2.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	11,318	980	5.3	26.5%	2.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	12,212	880	4.4	28.6%	1.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	3,219	532	10.0	7.5%	1.2%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	107	87	49.2	0.3%	0.2%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	10,116	3,077	15.0	23.0%	6.7%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	48,585	614	0.8	100.0%		56,999	1,428	1.5	100.0%		8,414	1,554	17.3%	3.3%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	5,018	638	7.7	10.3%	1.3%	3,805	675	10.8	6.7%	1.2%	-1,213	929	-24.2%	16.5%	-3.6	1.8

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,239	257	12.6	100.0%		1,744	530	18.5	100.0%		505	589	40.8%	51.8%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	226	107	28.7	18.2%	7.7%	107	64	36.5	6.1%	3.2%	-119	125	-52.7%	36.1%	-12.1	8.3

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	31,473	816	1.6	100.0%		26,671	1,342	3.1	100.0%		-4,802	1,571	-15.3%	4.8%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	2,195	363	10.1	7.0%	1.1%	1,135	360	19.3	4.3%	1.3%	-1,060	511	-48.3%	18.5%	-2.7	1.7

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	9,209	673	4.4	100.0%		10,326	814	4.8	100.0%		1,117	1,056	12.1%	12.1%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,087	300	16.8	11.8%	3.1%	798	325	24.8	7.7%	3.1%	-289	442	-26.6%	36.1%	-4.1	4.4

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	5,834	467	4.9	100.0%		15,490	899	3.5	100.0%		9,656	1,013	165.5%	26.3%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,403	302	13.1	24.0%	4.8%	1,711	374	13.3	11.0%	2.3%	308	481	22.0%	37.4%	-13.0	5.3

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019-2023
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	1,221

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	1,662	1,138	-524	-31.5%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	7,346
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	3,425

Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	153,236	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	16,563	10.8%

Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	6,157	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	447	7.3%

Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	61,841	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	8,434	13.6%

Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	29,038	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	7,086	24.4%

Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	46,671	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	399	0.9%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Housing Production
PUMA 4003

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2022

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2022 Q2	
	Number	Percent
Net change	7,244	13.0%
New building	6,319	11.4%
Alterations that increase units	1,516	2.7%
Alterations that decrease units	-188	-0.3%
Demolitions	-403	-0.7%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2023	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	175	198
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	159	490
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	471	1,110
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	101	39
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	429	32
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	4	21

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	2.3	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.2	7.9%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity
PUMA 4003

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	82.2%	-5.6%	4.5%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	11.0%	-4.3%	6.5%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	439.1	296.4	271.3	-25.0	-8.5%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	156.9	122.1	89.7	-32.0	-26.5%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	469.7	332.0	283.9	-48.0	-14.5%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	317.6	202.2	240.7	39.0	19.0%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	435.5	338.7	334.8	-4.0	-1.2%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	9.9	5.6	4.3	-1.0	-22.6%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	11.1	8.6	6.3	-2.0	-26.7%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	14.3	21.2	7.0	48.2%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	9.7	14.5	5.0	49.5%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	37.0	37.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	37.7	0.0	-38.0	-100.0%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	452

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	211

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	775

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	492

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	45

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	5

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	137,290

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021 Percent Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	60.9%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	0.3%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	51,309	1,782	2.1	100.0%		73,460	2,819	2.3	100.0%		22,151	3,335	43.2%	7.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	9,588	1,032	6.5	18.7%	1.9%	12,353	1,281	6.3	16.8%	1.6%	2,765	1,645	28.8%	19.3%	-1.9	2.5

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	1,680	350	12.7	100.0%		3,021	638	12.8	100.0%		1,341	728	79.8%	53.3%		
Drove or carpooled to work	342	158	28.1	20.4%	8.4%	262	109	25.3	8.7%	3.1%	-80	192	-23.4%	47.6%	-11.7	9.0

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	31,203	1,546	3.0	100.0%		29,571	1,947	4.0	100.0%		-1,632	2,486	-5.2%	7.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	6,322	796	7.7	20.3%	2.3%	6,212	855	8.4	21.0%	2.5%	-110	1,168	-1.7%	18.3%	0.7	3.4

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	9,488	989	6.3	100.0%		13,287	1,291	5.9	100.0%		3,799	1,626	40.0%	20.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,820	479	16.0	19.2%	4.6%	2,253	495	13.3	17.0%	3.3%	433	689	23.8%	42.4%	-2.2	5.7

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	8,100	780	5.9	100.0%		24,126	1,389	3.5	100.0%		16,026	1,593	197.9%	33.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	943	210	13.6	11.6%	2.3%	3,047	595	11.9	12.6%	2.4%	2,104	631	223.1%	95.7%	1.0	3.3

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	56,999	1,428	1.5	100.0%	
With a computer	43,976	1,633	2.3	77.2%	2.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	39,213	1,617	2.5	68.8%	2.3%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,744	530	18.5	100.0%	
With a computer	1,609	524	19.8	92.3%	10.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	1,607	523	19.8	92.1%	10.8%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	26,671	1,342	3.1	100.0%	
With a computer	19,647	1,232	3.8	73.7%	2.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	16,852	1,252	4.5	63.2%	3.5%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,326	814	4.8	100.0%	
With a computer	7,117	872	7.5	68.9%	6.5%
With a broadband internet subscription	6,800	753	6.7	65.9%	5.1%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,490	899	3.5	100.0%	
With a computer	13,257	904	4.1	85.6%	3.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	11,726	889	4.6	75.7%	3.7%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	42.1%
Math proficient	36.7%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	51.0%
Math proficient	55.5%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	42.3%
Math proficient	36.9%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	37.6%
Math proficient	31.2%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	63.6%
Math proficient	54.1%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	69.6%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	75.0%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	68.8%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	70.3%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	34.5%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number Estimate	Percent Estimate
Total Population	153,236	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	144,025	94.0%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	1,279.7	100%	1,321.7	100%	42.0	3.3%	
Pedestrian injuries	310.5	24%	270.9	20%	-40.0	-13%	-3.8
Cyclist injuries	169.2	13%	212.5	16%	43.0	25%	2.9
Motorist injuries	800.0	63%	838.3	63%	38.0	5%	0.9

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	2.0	2.7	0.7	33.5%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	8.5

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	67.3