

Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: IKOS

Contact: HPD - Kevin Parris, 212.863.5105 parrisk@hpd.nyc.gov

Prepared by: Annabelle Meunier

Date: 01/29/2025

Note: Racial equity reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

APPLICATION TYPE

Applications that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant criteria:

- ☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts
- ☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks
- ☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

- ☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☒ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☒ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet
- ☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet
- ☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Section 1, Executive Summary

Provide a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

New York City's Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) promotes the quality and affordability of the city's housing and the strength and diversity of its many neighborhoods. One of HPD's key objectives is supporting the development of new, deeply affordable housing through financing programs.

Utilizing HPD's financing tools, the proposed project would create 167 new units of affordable rental housing, plus one superintendent's unit, for low-income seniors in Astoria. The proposed project would provide high-quality apartments to some of the lowest-income New Yorkers, including approximately 50 apartments for formerly homeless households. The new units would include studios and one-bedroom apartments. Approximately 30% of the units would be set aside for formerly homeless seniors.

The proposed project would also facilitate new community facility space that would provide social services to Queens and Astoria residents, including workforce development, English language literacy, adult learning, and technology literacy classes. The proposed project would also include ground floor commercial space, intended for local retail and service tenants. New jobs would be created as a result of the development, including retail and social service jobs.

The project advances several the city's stated goals to advance fair and affordable housing in New York City. To advance fair housing, the proposed project would:

- Provide quality homes for New Yorkers aged 62 and older currently in homeless shelters;
- Increase housing opportunities for New Yorkers aged 62 and older using housing vouchers;
- Set aside housing for seniors with disabilities, and
- Provide a stable housing option for Astoria residents who want to stay in the neighborhood.

Queens Community District 1¹, which contains Astoria, is predominantly a neighborhood of renters. 81%² of households rent, which is a much higher rate than in Queens (55%) or citywide (67%.) About half of Astoria households are white non-Hispanic (47%), which is significantly higher than Queens (23%) and NYC (31%) as a whole. 25% of residents are Hispanic, 15% are Asian and 7% are Black. Four out of ten of Astoria households spend more than 30% of their income on rent, and the median income is \$79,300³, which is higher than Queens (\$75,000) and NYC (\$70,600). Astoria's median income closely resembles citywide figures when accounting for racial disparities: citywide median income of Latino (\$49,000) and Black non-Hispanic households (\$54,000) is significantly lower than for Asian non-Hispanic (\$75,000) and White non-Hispanic households (\$103,000).

¹ Data referenced is for PUMA 4101, which includes Astoria and Long Island City.

² American Community Survey 5-year 2017-2021

³ *ibid*

Astoria residents are experiencing changes based on housing market pressures. Rental prices have increased significantly in the last 15 years and at higher rate than the whole of in NYC.⁴ Between 2000 and 2020, housing sales prices in Astoria appreciated faster than most other neighborhoods. The neighborhood has also seen a strong increase in residents with higher educational attainment and incomes. Nonetheless, the rent-burdened population in this area is significant.

Housing and economic insecurities are reflected in displacement risk, which is categorized as “intermediate” in this area of Astoria, relative to other neighborhoods in New York City. Rent burden, low-incomes, and market pressure are all displacement risk factors. While this part of Astoria has a population with higher incomes and a lower rate of poor-quality housing, the area’s housing vulnerability stems from increasing rents and limited availability in a high-demand neighborhood, therefore contributing to high market pressures. Astoria residents also enjoy more proximity to transit than many other neighborhoods in Queens.⁵

⁴ Unless otherwise noted, all data is available through the [Equitable Development Data explorer](#)

⁵ American Community Survey and NYC Housing Vacancy Survey via [displacement risk map](#)

Section 2: Project specific information

2.1 Description of residential space and affordability

2.1.1 Reporting residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 94,067

2.1.2 Profile of anticipated residential affordability

Report the number of anticipated housing units. Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units.

Income Restriction	Units # %		Anticipated Affordability				
			AMI Band	Rent (2024)*		Income Limits (2024)**	
				Studio - 1 bedroom		1 person - 3 person household	
Formerly Homeless	50	30%	N/A			Referred by DHS	
0-50% AMI***	117	70%	Up to 50%	\$1,360	\$1,750	Up to \$54,400	Up to \$77,700
		0%					
		0%					
Total	167	100%					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on [2024] AMI, rounded to the nearest \$10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on [2024] AMI, rounded to the nearest \$100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

***Projects using the Senior Affordable Rental Apartments (SARA) Program serve senior households up to 50% AMI, in which at least one person is 62 years or older. Senior households will benefit from project-based Section 8 rental assistance, also known as the Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV"), which provides federal funding for subsidies that help eligible low-income families rent decent, safe, and affordable housing.

It is important to note that any proposed publicly financed affordable housing is subject to change based on availability of financing, HPD review of the sponsor's underwriting, and the parameters of financing programs in effect at the time of construction.

The proposed project consists of an affordable rental housing building for senior with approximately 167 units and one unit for the superintendent. The proposed project will be comprised of studio and one-bedroom units serving seniors and their families up to three-person households earning up to 50% of Area Median Income (AMI). About 30 percent of the units will be set aside for homeless seniors currently residing in shelter facilities.

The above table provides an illustration of the anticipated affordability. The cost of an affordable unit is based on the size of the unit and the associated AMI. Eligible household incomes are based on the number of people in a household. Example qualifying incomes therefore reflect a range of household sizes. For more information about affordable housing eligibility, visit [HPD's website](#).

2.2 Description of non-residential space and jobs

Report building area and job sector details for specific non-residential uses. Specific non-residential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build for their own use.

If the proposal includes non-residential space but no specific tenants or businesses, do not complete this section. General non-residential space can be discussed in Section 4.

2.2.1 Reporting non-residential floor area

Total non-residential zoning square feet: 12,761

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by unknown tenants: 6,520

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by known tenants: 6,241

2.2.2 Profile of anticipated new jobs for non-residential space with a known tenant

If the application proposes specific non-residential uses, report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector. Specific non-residential uses are those where there is a known tenant for the space.

In cases where there is not a known tenant, do not report the projected number of jobs or the characteristics of the potential sectors. Without a specific tenant/business, future industry sectors cannot be known and therefore all wage and workforce reporting would be speculative.

Sector (name and NAICS code)	Job count
624	20
Total	20

For each sector, use most recent available data to describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those sectors in New York City.

(2.2.2 continued)

HANAC (the Hellenic American Neighborhood Action Committee) is a citywide non-profit organization providing social services to 30,000 NYC residents receiving City, State and Federal financial assistance. This most closely matches the NAICS for #624, Social Assistance.

Of the approximately 160,183 persons employed in this sector in New York City, approximately 16% do not have a high school degree, 23% have a high school degree, 25% have some college or an Associate's degree, and 35% have a Bachelor's degree or higher.

The demographic breakdown of employees in this sector is approximately 10% Asian non-Hispanic, 31% Black non-Hispanic, 37% Hispanic, and 19% White non-Hispanic.

The Median annual wage for this sector is \$25,177¹.

¹ 2017-2021 American Community Survey

2.3 Estimating construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
1	2	252
Totals	2	252

Section 3: Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary provides a graphic snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators from the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#) for the relevant Community District¹. Data tables and sources can be found in the full community profile (Section 5 of this report.)

¹ The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. [PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts](#). Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.



Community Profile Summary

Astoria, & Long Island City

(PUMA 4101, approximately Queens CD 1)

Each figure includes a description and a sample interpretive sentence. Data is sourced from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey, and the NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey. For more detailed data sourcing, see the associated source table in the Equitable Development Data Explorer, available [here](#).

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2

Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6

Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes


Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."




Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."




Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [\$].”




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

“For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band].”




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

“In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021.”




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work.”




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work.”




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector¹, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector].”



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06


¹ Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."




Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station.”



Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [\$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2023

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

“From 2010 to 2023, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent].”




Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2023 Q4 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars

Understanding error bars

Figure 14: Change in Median Gross Rent, Five-Year Estimate (2008-2012 to 2015-2019)



1

Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS “rolls-up” the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates come with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as **error bars**.

2

These “I” figures are called **error bars**. Error bars account for uncertainty in **sample data** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the **error bars** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about \$160 and \$245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller **error bar**, which is why the size of the **error bar** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.



Correct interpretation of this chart:

“Rents in Chinatown increased between about \$50 and \$190.”

Based on the **error bar** for Chinatown, this is correct.



Incorrect interpretation of this chart:

“Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown.”


Because the **error bars** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan (\$160-\$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown (\$45-\$195).

Astoria & Long Island City

PUMA 4101

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.



Displacement Risk

by Neighborhood Tabulation Area



Section 4. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, [Where We Live NYC](#).

In accordance with the City of New York's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing (AFFH), the City prepared a fair housing plan in 2020, [Where We Live NYC \(WWL\)](#), that articulated [six goals to advance fair housing](#) in NYC. WWL describes NYC's multiple overlapping housing crises:

- Housing production has not kept up with population growth in neighborhoods throughout the city, contributing to rising rents and housing costs.
- The lowest-income families are hardest hit by these rising housing costs, resulting in one third of New Yorkers being severely rent burdened (spending more than 50% of their income on rent) and 50,000 New Yorkers sleeping within the City's shelter system.
- A history of racist laws, policies, and practices led to patterns of segregation and disinvestment in low-income communities of color, resulting in a deteriorating built environment and compounding economic, public health, and safety challenges.

The City is tackling these complex issues with a variety of strategies outlined in [the plan](#). The proposed project would advance the identified goals and strategies by facilitating construction of one 100% affordable rental housing building for seniors with 167 new homes, and accompanying community facility space that would provide non-profit social services to area residents and seniors. The proposed housing would serve some of the most housing-insecure households in the city and include amenities onsite such as adult exercise areas and passive recreation space.

The proposed project would increase housing opportunities for low-income New Yorkers in an amenity-rich area (Goal 2).

This project supports the City's goal of facilitating equitable housing development in both New York City and the region. New York City's housing crisis has been exacerbated by local housing production being restricted in areas with good access to transit and other amenities. This shortage of available housing has contributed to increased demand for the limited housing, disproportionately affecting lower-income households, particularly Black households.

This project aims to address some of these challenges by facilitating deeply affordable housing in a transit rich neighborhood.

The project would provide stable housing options for residents who want to stay in the Neighborhood (Goal 3).

There is a critically low supply of deeply affordable housing in New York City. Over a half of all NYC households pay more than 30% of their income on rent, and that rate is even higher for low-income households. Black and Hispanic/Latino New Yorkers are disproportionately rent burdened, and therefore face disproportionate housing instability. Market rate housing is simply out of reach for most New York City's renters.

In addition, over 400,000 New York City households live with three or more housing maintenance deficiencies. Maintenance deficiencies can lead to significant health and safety issues, and even loss of housing. There are clear disparities in housing quality by race, ethnicity and income. Black and Hispanic New Yorkers are much more likely to live in poor quality housing than other groups, and lower income households are more likely to live in poor quality housing than higher income households.

This HPD-sponsored, 100% affordable housing project would directly address these needs. The proposed project would create approximately 167 high-quality, affordable homes. As described in Section 2, the proposed project would contain approximately 50 units serving formerly homeless seniors and approximately 117 units for low-income seniors at up to 50% AMI.

New affordable housing is distributed through a lottery system run by the Department of Housing Preservation & Development (HPD). Under current policy, lottery applicants residing in Queens Community District 1 will be given preference for 20% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. If this development is advertised after April 2029, community district residents will be given a preference for 15% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. This policy empowers residents who may face unsafe or unstable housing but want to remain in the neighborhood. This is important in a neighborhood like Astoria, where the median rent is higher than in Queens or NYC at large, and the market pressure is among the highest in New York City.

The proposed project increases housing opportunities for New Yorkers with housing vouchers (Goal 4).

New Yorkers using rental assistance such as Section 8 encounter severe discrimination in the housing market. This ‘source of income’ discrimination often forces households into unsafe, poor-quality homes. Where We Live NYC’s fourth goal is to expand opportunities for households using rental assistance benefits. Building approximately 167 units of affordable housing is a direct way to expand these opportunities. These new units are particularly important for voucher holders, as the City has maximized opportunities for voucher holders to qualify for new affordable housing through the updated Housing Connect portal.

New Yorkers transitioning from shelter face a variety of barriers to accessing permanent housing, in part due to source of income discrimination. The proposed project directly addresses this issue by including approximately 50 units set aside for households transitioning from shelter.

The proposed project would create new housing for people with disabilities (Goal 5).

New Yorkers with disabilities face distinct challenges finding accessible, safe, and affordable housing. Therefore, expanding independent, integrated housing opportunities for New Yorkers with disabilities is a key goal of Where We Live. The proposed project directly advanced this goal by setting aside approximately 13 units for people who are mobility impaired (9 units) or for people who are visually/hearing impaired (4 units). These units would be permanently set aside for New Yorkers who need specific accessibility features.

Section 5: Community profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from [the Equitable Development Data Explorer](#) (EDDE) for the relevant Community District². Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#).

² The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. [PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts](#). Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Demographic Conditions
PUMA 4101

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	192,689	100.0%	174,174	100.0%	186,153	100.0%	11,979	6.9%	
Asian non-Hispanic	25,726	13.4%	25,197	14.5%	27,594	14.8%	2,397	9.5%	0.3
Black non-Hispanic	13,649	7.1%	12,388	7.1%	12,923	6.9%	535	4.3%	-0.2
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	52,997	27.5%	47,318	27.2%	47,189	25.3%	-129	-0.3%	-1.9
White non-Hispanic	85,098	44.2%	83,480	47.9%	87,922	47.2%	4,442	5.3%	-0.7
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	15,219	7.9%	5,791	3.3%	10,525	5.7%	4,734	81.7%	2.4

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	190,035	3,088	1.0	100.0%		169,153	2,678	1.0	100.0%		166,367	4,127	1.5	100.0%		-2,786	4,920	-1.6%	2.9%		
Under 16 years	33,541	1,549	2.8	17.6%	0.8%	23,452	1,373	3.6	13.9%	0.8%	22,251	1,670	4.6	13.4%	0.9%	-1,201	2,162	-5.1%	9.0%	-0.5	1.2
16 to 64 years	133,400	1,859	0.8	70.2%	1.5%	125,218	2,043	1.0	74.0%	0.3%	122,610	3,237	1.6	73.7%	0.7%	-2,608	3,828	-2.1%	3.0%	-0.3	0.8
65 years and over	23,094	1,328	3.5	12.2%	0.7%	20,483	927	2.8	12.1%	0.5%	21,506	1,089	3.1	12.9%	0.6%	1,023	1,430	5.0%	7.1%	0.8	0.8
Median age (years)	34.1	0.5	0.9			34.8	0.5	0.8			35.9	0.5	0.9			1.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	24,490	2,304	5.7	100.0%		21,072	1,453	4.2	100.0%		23,728	1,727	4.4	100.0%		2,656	2,257	12.6%	11.3%		
Under 16 years	4,706	631	8.2	19.2%	1.8%	2,669	475	10.8	12.7%	2.1%	3,057	466	9.3	12.9%	1.7%	388	665	14.5%	26.8%	0.2	2.7
16 to 64 years	18,499	1,205	4.0	75.5%	8.6%	16,675	1,186	4.3	79.1%	1.4%	18,140	1,425	4.8	76.4%	2.3%	1,465	1,854	8.8%	11.5%	-2.7	2.7
65 years and over	1,285	333	15.8	5.2%	1.3%	1,728	282	9.9	8.2%	1.2%	2,531	460	11.0	10.7%	1.8%	803	540	46.5%	35.8%	2.5	2.2
Median age (years)	31.6	1.3	2.5			33.5	1.0	1.8			34.7	1.0	1.7			1.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	12,810	1,724	8.2	100.0%		10,954	1,067	5.9	100.0%		10,176	1,190	7.1	100.0%		-778	1,598	-7.1%	14.1%		
Under 16 years	3,850	572	9.0	30.1%	1.9%	2,232	439	12.0	20.4%	3.5%	1,951	562	17.5	19.2%	5.0%	-281	713	-12.6%	30.5%	-1.2	6.1
16 to 64 years	7,762	804	6.3	60.6%	10.3%	7,386	757	6.2	67.4%	2.2%	7,144	729	6.2	70.2%	10.9%	-242	1,051	-3.3%	14.0%	2.8	11.1
65 years and over	1,198	322	16.3	9.4%	2.2%	1,336	282	12.8	12.2%	2.3%	1,081	217	12.2	10.6%	1.7%	-255	356	-19.1%	23.6%	-1.6	2.9
Median age (years)	29.6	2.5	5.1			35.1	3.2	5.5			33.8	2.0	3.5			-1.0	4.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	51,963	3,065	3.6	100.0%		48,733	2,046	2.6	100.0%		42,908	2,751	3.9	100.0%		-5,825	3,428	-12.0%	6.7%		
Under 16 years	12,642	1,013	4.9	24.3%	1.3%	9,630	879	5.5	19.8%	1.6%	6,606	957	8.8	15.4%	2.0%	-3,024	1,299	-31.4%	11.7%	-4.4	2.6
16 to 64 years	35,662	1,587	2.7	68.6%	5.1%	34,846	1,550	2.7	71.5%	1.1%	31,254	2,187	4.3	72.8%	2.0%	-3,592	2,681	-10.3%	7.4%	1.3	2.3
65 years and over	3,659	558	9.3	7.0%	1.0%	4,257	433	6.2	8.7%	0.8%	5,048	592	7.1	11.8%	1.2%	791	733	18.6%	18.4%	3.1	1.4
Median age (years)	30.9	1.0	2.0			33.7	1.0	1.9			35.9	1.1	1.9			2.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	85,769	3,422	2.4	100.0%		83,686	1,940	1.4	100.0%		82,752	2,869	2.1	100.0%		-934	3,463	-1.1%	4.1%		
Under 16 years	9,835	900	5.6	11.5%	0.9%	7,706	767	6.1	9.2%	0.9%	8,819	1,103	7.6	10.7%	1.3%	1,113	1,343	14.4%	18.3%	1.5	1.6
16 to 64 years	59,936	1,888	1.9	69.9%	3.6%	62,940	1,693	1.6	75.2%	1.0%	61,561	2,092	2.1	74.4%	3.6%	-1,379	2,691	-2.2%	4.2%	-0.8	3.7
65 years and over	15,998	1,128	4.3	18.7%	1.1%	13,040	738	3.4	15.6%	0.8%	12,372	793	3.9	15.0%	0.8%	-668	1,083	-5.1%	8.1%	-0.6	1.1
Median age (years)	38.3	1.0	1.6			36.3	0.8	1.4			36.6	0.6	1.1			0.0	1.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	190,035	3,088	1.0	100.0%		169,153	2,678	1.0	100.0%		166,367	4,127	1.5	100.0%		-2,786	4,920	-1.6%	2.9%		
Foreign-born	92,489	2,344	1.5	48.7%	0.9%	71,997	2,260	1.9	42.6%	1.2%	60,686	2,835	2.8	36.5%	1.4%	-11,311	3,626	-15.7%	4.7%	-6.1	1.8

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	24,490	2,304	5.7	100.0%		21,072	1,453	4.2	100.0%		23,728	1,727	4.4	100.0%		2,656	2,257	12.6%	11.3%		
Foreign-born	20,337	1,449	4.3	83.0%	9.8%	15,773	1,315	5.1	74.9%	3.5%	15,814	1,369	5.3	66.6%	3.1%	41	1,898	0.3%	12.0%	-8.3	4.7

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	12,810	1,724	8.2	100.0%		10,954	1,067	5.9	100.0%		10,176	1,190	7.1	100.0%		-778	1,598	-7.1%	14.1%		
Foreign-born	1,008	341	20.5	7.9%	2.4%	1,466	398	16.5	13.4%	3.4%	1,447	454	19.1	14.2%	4.1%	-19	604	-1.3%	41.0%	0.8	5.3

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	51,963	3,065	3.6	100.0%		48,733	2,046	2.6	100.0%		42,908	2,751	3.9	100.0%		-5,825	3,428	-12.0%	6.7%		
Foreign-born	29,859	1,706	3.5	57.5%	4.7%	25,247	1,655	4.0	51.8%	2.6%	18,620	1,509	4.9	43.4%	2.2%	-6,627	2,240	-26.2%	7.7%	-8.4	3.4

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	85,769	3,422	2.4	100.0%		83,686	1,940	1.4	100.0%		82,752	2,869	2.1	100.0%		-934	3,463	-1.1%	4.1%		
Foreign-born	31,600	1,746	3.4	36.8%	1.4%	27,639	1,231	2.7	33.0%	1.3%	22,675	1,797	4.8	27.4%	2.0%	-4,964	2,178	-18.0%	7.5%	-5.6	2.4

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	179,129	945	0.3	100.0%		161,350	2,593	1.0	100.0%		166,367	4,619	6.9	100.0%		5,017	5,297	3.1%	3.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	60,592	2,331	2.3	33.8%	1.3%	43,508	1,891	2.6	27.0%	1.1%	30,280	2,031	4.1	18.2%	1.1%	-13,228	2,775	-30.4%	5.6%	-8.8	1.6

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	22,919	1,323	3.5	100.0%		20,358	1,392	4.2	100.0%		22,561	1,600	4.3	100.0%		2,203	2,121	10.8%	10.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	11,840	1,209	6.2	51.7%	4.3%	8,448	1,009	7.3	41.5%	4.1%	7,390	847	7.0	32.8%	3.0%	-1,058	1,317	-12.5%	14.5%	-8.7	5.1

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	11,685	976	5.1	100.0%		10,182	999	6.0	100.0%		9,532	1,110	7.1	100.0%		-650	1,493	-6.4%	14.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	313	203	39.4	2.7%	1.7%	350	175	30.3	3.4%	1.7%	238	106	27.2	2.5%	1.1%	-112	205	-32.0%	45.5%	-0.9	2.0

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	47,844	1,764	2.2	100.0%		45,967	1,966	2.6	100.0%		40,738	2,604	3.9	100.0%		-5,229	3,263	-11.4%	6.8%		
Speak English less than "very well"	25,723	1,711	4.0	53.8%	3.0%	20,359	1,543	4.6	44.3%	2.8%	13,232	1,218	5.6	32.5%	2.2%	-7,127	1,966	-35.0%	7.7%	-11.8	3.6

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	82,516	2,014	1.5	100.0%		80,711	1,865	1.4	100.0%		79,323	2,683	2.1	100.0%		-1,388	3,268	-1.7%	4.0%		
Speak English less than "very well"	17,877	1,460	5.0	21.7%	1.7%	13,596	917	4.1	16.8%	1.1%	8,806	967	6.7	11.1%	1.2%	-4,790	1,333	-35.2%	8.3%	-5.7	1.6

Household Economic Security
PUMA 4101

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	131,336	1,877	0.9	100.0%		125,003	1,891	0.9	100.0%		130,269	3,072	1.4	100.0%		5,266	3,607	4.2%	2.9%		
Less than high school degree	39,448	1,648	2.5	30.0%	1.2%	25,196	1,354	3.3	20.2%	1.0%	15,210	1,326	5.3	11.7%	1.0%	-9,986	1,895	-39.6%	6.2%	-8.5	1.4
High school degree or equivalent	34,446	1,565	2.8	26.2%	1.1%	27,995	1,239	2.7	22.4%	0.9%	27,713	1,650	3.6	21.3%	1.2%	-282	2,063	-1.0%	7.3%	-1.1	1.5
Some college or Associate's degree	24,897	1,371	3.3	19.0%	1.0%	25,030	1,473	3.6	20.0%	1.1%	21,699	1,549	4.3	16.7%	1.1%	-3,331	2,138	-13.3%	8.0%	-3.3	1.6
Bachelor's degree or higher	32,545	1,531	2.9	24.8%	1.1%	46,782	1,561	2.0	37.4%	1.1%	65,647	1,987	1.8	50.4%	1.0%	18,865	2,527	40.3%	6.3%	13.0	1.5

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	16,156	1,133	4.3	100.0%		15,675	1,087	4.2	100.0%		18,113	1,236	4.1	100.0%		2,438	1,646	15.6%	11.2%		
Less than high school degree	4,892	644	8.0	30.3%	3.4%	2,521	436	10.5	16.1%	2.6%	2,667	484	11.0	14.7%	2.5%	146	651	5.8%	26.5%	-1.4	3.6
High school degree or equivalent	2,925	500	10.4	18.1%	2.8%	2,755	550	12.1	17.6%	3.3%	3,282	520	9.6	18.1%	2.6%	527	757	19.1%	30.4%	0.5	4.2
Some college or Associate's degree	2,618	474	11.0	16.2%	2.7%	2,538	502	12.0	16.2%	3.0%	2,203	535	14.8	12.2%	2.8%	-335	734	-13.2%	27.2%	-4.0	4.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	5,721	694	7.4	35.4%	3.5%	7,861	851	6.6	50.1%	4.2%	9,961	1,101	6.7	55.0%	4.8%	2,100	1,392	26.7%	19.6%	4.9	6.4

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	7,293	781	6.5	100.0%		7,135	623	5.3	100.0%		7,268	732	6.1	100.0%		133	961	1.9%	13.6%		
Less than high school degree	2,462	460	11.3	33.8%	5.2%	1,579	354	13.6	22.1%	4.6%	717	239	20.3	9.9%	3.1%	-862	427	-54.6%	18.2%	-12.2	5.5
High school degree or equivalent	2,297	444	11.8	31.5%	5.1%	1,943	337	10.5	27.2%	4.1%	2,816	575	12.4	38.7%	6.9%	873	666	44.9%	38.8%	11.5	8.0
Some college or Associate's degree	1,738	387	13.5	23.8%	4.7%	2,214	439	12.1	31.0%	5.5%	1,417	398	17.1	19.5%	5.1%	-797	593	-36.0%	22.0%	-11.5	7.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	796	262	20.0	10.9%	3.4%	1,399	324	14.1	19.6%	4.2%	2,318	442	11.6	31.9%	5.2%	919	548	65.7%	49.7%	12.3	6.7

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	31,830	1,517	2.9	100.0%		32,367	1,398	2.6	100.0%		31,161	1,921	3.7	100.0%		-1,206	2,376	-3.7%	7.2%		
Less than high school degree	13,152	1,031	4.8	41.3%	2.6%	11,573	965	5.1	35.8%	2.5%	6,278	997	9.7	20.1%	2.9%	-5,295	1,388	-45.8%	9.7%	-15.7	3.8
High school degree or equivalent	8,446	837	6.0	26.5%	2.3%	7,990	760	5.8	24.7%	2.1%	8,758	1,062	7.4	28.1%	2.9%	768	1,306	9.6%	16.9%	3.4	3.6
Some college or Associate's degree	6,377	732	7.0	20.0%	2.1%	6,605	683	6.3	20.4%	1.9%	6,951	883	7.7	22.3%	2.5%	346	1,116	5.2%	17.2%	1.9	3.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	3,855	573	9.0	12.1%	1.7%	6,199	805	7.9	19.2%	2.3%	9,174	898	6.0	29.4%	2.2%	2,975	1,206	48.0%	24.1%	10.2	3.2

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	65,732	1,933	1.8	100.0%		67,353	1,541	1.4	100.0%		69,057	2,179	1.9	100.0%		1,704	2,669	2.5%	4.0%		
Less than high school degree	16,138	1,133	4.3	24.6%	1.6%	9,067	871	5.8	13.5%	1.3%	4,925	642	7.9	7.1%	0.9%	-4,142	1,082	-45.7%	8.8%	-6.4	1.6
High school degree or equivalent	17,656	1,180	4.1	26.9%	1.6%	14,996	1,032	4.2	22.3%	1.4%	12,331	1,243	6.1	17.9%	1.7%	-2,665	1,616	-17.8%	10.0%	-4.4	2.2

Some college or Associate's degree	11,910	985	5.0	18.1%	1.4%	13,229	1,038	4.8	19.6%	1.5%	10,506	1,053	6.1	15.2%	1.4%	-2,723	1,479	-20.6%	10.1%	-4.4	2.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	20,028	1,248	3.8	30.5%	1.7%	30,061	1,228	2.5	44.6%	1.5%	41,295	1,505	2.2	59.8%	1.1%	11,234	1,942	37.4%	7.5%	15.2	1.9

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	73,052	799	0.7	72,120	1,361	1.1	-932	1,578	-1.3%	2.2%
Median household income (dollars)	\$59,066	\$2,568	2.6	\$79,267	\$4,024	3.1	\$20,201	\$4,773	34.2%	9.0%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	8,280	769	5.6	9,015	781	5.3	735	1,096	8.9%	13.8%
Median household income (dollars)	\$60,757	\$7,360	7.4	\$85,210	\$12,430	8.9	\$24,453	\$14,446	40.2%	26.6%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,905	500	6.2	4,300	553	7.8	-605	746	-12.3%	14.4%
Median household income (dollars)	\$34,294	\$6,080	10.8	\$36,181	\$7,660	12.9	\$1,887	\$9,780	5.5%	29.1%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	17,493	924	3.2	15,985	1,070	4.1	-1,508	1,414	-8.6%	7.8%
Median household income (dollars)	\$48,587	\$4,314	5.4	\$56,171	\$5,975	6.5	\$7,584	\$7,370	15.6%	16.0%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	40,797	1,045	1.6	39,974	1,363	2.1	-823	1,717	-2.0%	4.2%
Median household income (dollars)	\$67,293	\$3,197	2.9	\$94,352	\$5,063	3.3	\$27,059	\$5,988	40.2%	10.0%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	72,120	1,361	1.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	13,444	985	4.5	18.6%	1.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	8,440	760	5.5	11.7%	1.0%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	11,941	917	4.7	16.6%	1.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	12,542	790	3.8	17.4%	1.0%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	12,175	1,024	5.1	16.9%	1.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	13,578	958	4.3	18.8%	1.3%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	9,015	781	5.3	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,560	321	12.5	17.3%	3.2%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,235	284	14.0	13.7%	2.9%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,371	281	12.5	15.2%	2.8%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,386	263	11.5	15.4%	2.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,462	338	14.1	16.2%	3.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	2,001	480	14.6	22.2%	5.0%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,300	553	7.8	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,634	398	14.8	38.0%	7.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	886	235	16.1	20.6%	4.8%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	697	241	21.0	16.2%	5.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	487	183	22.9	11.3%	4.0%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	322	165	31.2	7.5%	3.7%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	274	144	31.9	6.4%	3.2%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
Number			Percent	
Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE

Total households with a Hispanic householder	15,985	1,070	4.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	4,529	636	8.5	28.3%	3.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	2,520	583	14.1	15.8%	3.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,989	507	10.3	18.7%	2.9%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,684	421	9.5	16.8%	2.4%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,697	292	10.5	10.6%	1.7%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1,566	323	12.6	9.8%	1.9%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	39,974	1,363	2.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	4,932	558	6.9	12.3%	1.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	3,595	501	8.5	9.0%	1.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	6,396	699	6.6	16.0%	1.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	7,754	686	5.4	19.4%	1.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	8,162	889	6.6	20.4%	2.1%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	9,135	703	4.7	22.9%	1.6%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	125,218	2,043	1.0	100.0%		122,610	3,237	1.6	100.0%		-2,608	3,828	-2.1%	3.0%		
In labor force	98,799	2,057	1.3	78.9%	1.0%	97,773	2,577	1.6	79.7%	3.0%	-1,026	3,297	-1.0%	3.3%	0.8	3.2

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	16,675	1,186	4.3	100.0%		18,140	1,425	4.8	100.0%		1,465	1,854	8.8%	11.5%		
In labor force	12,387	958	4.7	74.3%	2.3%	13,697	1,102	4.9	75.5%	1.3%	1,310	1,460	10.6%	12.3%	1.2	2.6

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	7,386	757	6.2	100.0%		7,144	729	6.2	100.0%		-242	1,051	-3.3%	14.0%		
In labor force	5,476	670	7.4	74.1%	5.0%	5,516	657	7.2	77.2%	4.7%	40	938	0.7%	17.2%	3.1	6.9

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	34,846	1,550	2.7	100.0%		31,254	2,187	4.3	100.0%		-3,592	2,681	-10.3%	7.4%		
In labor force	26,752	1,400	3.2	76.8%	2.1%	23,003	1,659	4.4	73.6%	1.3%	-3,749	2,171	-14.0%	7.7%	-3.2	2.5

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	62,940	1,693	1.6	100.0%		61,561	2,092	2.1	100.0%		-1,379	2,691	-2.2%	4.2%		
In labor force	51,847	1,524	1.8	82.4%	1.0%	51,909	1,889	2.2	84.3%	1.1%	62	2,427	0.1%	4.7%	1.9	1.5

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	88,698	2,014	1.4	100.0%		90,313	2,512	1.7	100.0%		1,615	3,220	1.8%	3.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	34,289	1,614	2.9	38.7%	1.6%	47,655	1,753	2.2	52.8%	1.3%	13,366	2,383	39.0%	8.3%	14.1	2.1
Service	19,899	1,180	3.6	22.4%	1.2%	15,991	1,329	5.1	17.7%	1.4%	-3,908	1,777	-19.6%	8.2%	-4.7	1.8
Sales and office	20,613	1,419	4.2	23.2%	1.5%	15,593	1,112	4.3	17.3%	1.1%	-5,020	1,803	-24.4%	7.5%	-5.9	1.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	6,489	687	6.4	7.3%	0.8%	4,692	623	8.1	5.2%	0.7%	-1,797	927	-27.7%	12.3%	-2.1	1.1
Production, transportation, and material moving	7,408	859	7.0	8.4%	0.9%	6,382	692	6.6	7.1%	0.7%	-1,026	1,103	-13.8%	13.7%	-1.3	1.1

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$63,320	\$2,441	2.3			\$73,977	\$2,528	2.1			\$10,657	\$3,514	16.8%	6.0%		
Service	\$28,432	\$2,268	4.8			\$29,795	\$2,739	5.6			\$1,363	\$3,556	4.8%	12.8%		
Sales and office	\$36,366	\$2,971	5.0			\$44,841	\$4,443	6.0			\$8,475	\$5,345	23.3%	15.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$37,826	\$3,723	6.0			\$47,634	\$8,221	10.5			\$9,808	\$9,025	25.9%	25.0%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$29,288	\$4,155	8.6			\$29,533	\$3,674	7.6			\$245	\$5,547	0.8%	19.0%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	11,274	909	4.9	100.0%		12,783	1,088	5.2	100.0%		1,509	1,418	13.4%	13.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	4,534	635	8.5	40.2%	4.6%	6,863	739	6.5	53.7%	3.5%	2,329	974	51.4%	26.7%	13.5	5.8
Service	2,217	512	14.0	19.7%	4.3%	2,266	501	13.4	17.7%	3.6%	49	716	2.2%	32.7%	-2.0	5.6
Sales and office	2,830	507	10.9	25.1%	4.0%	2,066	490	14.4	16.2%	3.6%	-764	705	-27.0%	21.7%	-8.9	5.4
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	571	200	21.3	5.1%	1.7%	294	279	57.7	2.3%	2.2%	-277	343	-48.5%	52.1%	-2.8	2.8
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,122	292	15.8	10.0%	2.5%	1,294	361	17.0	10.1%	2.7%	172	464	15.3%	44.0%	0.1	3.7

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$66,014	\$7,125	6.6			\$86,186	\$9,431	6.7			\$20,172	\$11,820	30.6%	20.1%		
Service	\$26,670	\$13,108	29.9			\$25,852	\$4,401	10.3			-\$818	\$13,827	-3.1%	50.4%		
Sales and office	\$29,949	\$5,710	11.6			\$34,610	\$15,805	27.8			\$4,661	\$16,805	15.6%	57.2%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$31,920	\$18,417	35.1			\$22,261	\$2,086	5.7			-\$9,659	\$18,534	-30.3%	40.8%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$18,617	\$6,566	21.4			\$24,942	\$11,394	27.8			\$6,325	\$13,151	34.0%	77.3%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,378	581	8.1	100.0%		4,919	606	7.5	100.0%		541	840	12.4%	20.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,201	331	16.7	27.4%	6.6%	1,697	402	14.4	34.5%	7.0%	496	521	41.3%	51.4%	7.1	9.6
Service	1,429	355	15.1	32.6%	6.8%	1,344	375	17.0	27.3%	6.8%	-85	516	-5.9%	35.1%	-5.3	9.6
Sales and office	1,057	322	18.5	24.1%	6.6%	1,031	344	20.3	21.0%	6.5%	-26	471	-2.5%	44.1%	-3.1	9.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	77	76	59.7	1.8%	1.7%	295	204	42.1	6.0%	4.1%	218	218	283.1%	461.7%	4.2	4.4
Production, transportation, and material moving	614	174	17.2	14.0%	3.5%	552	245	27.0	11.2%	4.8%	-62	301	-10.1%	47.3%	-2.8	5.9

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$62,458	\$15,063	14.7			\$68,429	\$12,752	11.3			\$5,971	\$19,736	9.6%	33.4%		
Service	\$37,876	\$10,296	16.5			\$24,893	\$3,278	8.0			-\$12,983	\$10,805	-34.3%	19.9%		
Sales and office	\$33,866	\$9,102	16.3			\$36,637	\$7,905	13.1			\$2,771	\$12,056	8.2%	37.3%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$62,993	\$31,901	30.8			\$32,723	\$21,808	40.5			-\$30,270	\$38,643	-48.1%	43.5%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$36,063	\$7,454	12.6			\$25,253	\$15,199	36.6			-\$10,810	\$16,929	-30.0%	44.6%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	23,571	1,311	3.4	100.0%		21,045	1,493	4.3	100.0%		-2,526	1,987	-10.7%	8.0%		
Management, business, science, and arts	5,083	776	9.3	21.6%	3.1%	7,611	847	6.8	36.2%	3.1%	2,528	1,149	49.7%	28.3%	14.6	4.4
Service	7,743	882	6.9	32.8%	3.3%	5,432	719	8.0	25.8%	2.9%	-2,311	1,138	-29.8%	12.3%	-7.0	4.4
Sales and office	5,067	634	7.6	21.5%	2.4%	3,760	544	8.8	17.9%	2.3%	-1,307	835	-25.8%	14.2%	-3.6	3.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,592	471	11.1	11.0%	1.9%	1,738	430	15.0	8.3%	2.0%	-854	638	-32.9%	20.6%	-2.7	2.8
Production, transportation, and material moving	3,086	611	12.0	13.1%	2.5%	2,504	526	12.8	11.9%	2.4%	-582	806	-18.9%	23.4%	-1.2	3.5

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$62,561	\$6,934	6.7			\$63,447	\$6,064	5.8			\$886	\$9,212	1.4%	14.8%		
Service	\$24,859	\$2,698	6.6			\$26,342	\$4,160	9.6			\$1,483	\$4,958	6.0%	20.3%		
Sales and office	\$34,300	\$5,557	9.8			\$33,654	\$5,498	9.9			-\$646	\$7,817	-1.9%	22.6%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$31,892	\$6,592	12.6			\$38,799	\$8,394	13.2			\$6,907	\$10,673	21.7%	36.4%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$28,499	\$5,051	10.8			\$28,968	\$4,170	8.8			\$469	\$6,550	1.6%	23.2%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	47,423	1,492	1.9	100.0%		48,258	1,709	2.2	100.0%		835	2,269	1.8%	4.8%		
Management, business, science, and arts	22,645	1,300	3.5	47.8%	2.3%	29,753	1,185	2.4	61.7%	1.1%	7,108	1,759	31.4%	9.2%	13.9	2.5
Service	7,995	825	6.3	16.9%	1.7%	6,268	730	7.1	13.0%	1.4%	-1,727	1,102	-21.6%	12.2%	-3.9	2.2
Sales and office	11,280	946	5.1	23.8%	1.9%	8,162	848	6.3	16.9%	1.7%	-3,118	1,270	-27.6%	9.7%	-6.9	2.5
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	3,196	606	11.5	6.7%	1.3%	2,216	451	12.4	4.6%	0.9%	-980	755	-30.7%	19.3%	-2.1	1.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	2,307	382	10.1	4.9%	0.8%	1,859	362	11.8	3.9%	0.7%	-448	526	-19.4%	20.6%	-1.0	1.1

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$62,973	\$2,943	2.8			\$74,773	\$3,289	2.7			\$11,800	\$4,413	18.7%	7.6%		
Service	\$32,399	\$4,115	7.7			\$39,938	\$5,001	7.6			\$7,539	\$6,476	23.3%	22.0%		
Sales and office	\$40,274	\$5,022	7.6			\$55,128	\$4,052	4.5			\$14,854	\$6,453	36.9%	19.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$48,809	\$13,458	16.8			\$60,400	\$7,629	7.7			\$11,591	\$15,470	23.7%	37.5%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$41,252	\$10,524	15.5			\$43,959	\$16,687	23.1			\$2,707	\$19,729	6.6%	48.7%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	88,698	2,014	1.4	100.0%		90,313	2,512	1.7	100.0%		1,615	3,220	1.8%	3.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	227	156	41.9	0.3%	0.2%	231	186	49.0	0.3%	0.2%	4	243	1.8%	107.7%	0.0	0.3
Construction	5,661	708	7.6	6.4%	0.8%	4,748	653	8.4	5.3%	0.7%	-913	963	-16.1%	15.6%	-1.1	1.1
Manufacturing	3,526	584	10.1	4.0%	0.7%	2,608	481	11.2	2.9%	0.5%	-918	757	-26.0%	18.3%	-1.1	0.9
Wholesale trade	2,344	477	12.4	2.6%	0.5%	1,616	408	15.3	1.8%	0.4%	-728	628	-31.1%	22.4%	-0.8	0.6
Retail trade	8,531	1,087	7.7	9.6%	1.2%	7,987	804	6.1	8.8%	0.9%	-544	1,352	-6.4%	15.2%	-0.8	1.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	4,757	639	8.2	5.4%	0.7%	4,605	564	7.4	5.1%	0.6%	-152	852	-3.2%	17.6%	-0.3	0.9
Information	5,070	569	6.8	5.7%	0.6%	6,423	746	7.1	7.1%	0.8%	1,353	938	26.7%	20.5%	1.4	1.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	7,356	775	6.4	8.3%	0.9%	8,198	777	5.8	9.1%	0.8%	842	1,097	11.4%	15.8%	0.8	1.2
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	11,330	868	4.7	12.8%	0.9%	16,470	1,179	4.4	18.2%	1.2%	5,140	1,464	45.4%	15.2%	5.4	1.5
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	17,318	1,058	3.7	19.5%	1.1%	18,169	1,155	3.9	20.1%	1.1%	851	1,566	4.9%	9.2%	0.6	1.6
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	14,215	1,183	5.1	16.0%	1.3%	12,293	1,056	5.2	13.6%	1.1%	-1,922	1,586	-13.5%	10.3%	-2.4	1.7
Other services, except public administration	5,511	756	8.3	6.2%	0.8%	4,406	598	8.3	4.9%	0.6%	-1,105	964	-20.1%	15.4%	-1.3	1.0
Public administration	2,852	516	11.0	3.2%	0.6%	2,559	442	10.5	2.8%	0.5%	-293	679	-10.3%	22.4%	-0.4	0.8

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$41,710	\$10,488	15.3			\$66,726	\$56,700	51.7			\$25,016	\$57,662	60.0%	141.8%		
Construction	\$36,973	\$4,131	6.8			\$52,089	\$8,769	10.2			\$15,116	\$9,693	40.9%	28.5%		
Manufacturing	\$44,162	\$5,936	8.2			\$43,852	\$8,832	12.2			-\$310	\$10,641	-0.7%	24.0%		
Wholesale trade	\$41,182	\$7,059	10.4			\$48,407	\$8,375	10.5			\$7,225	\$10,953	17.5%	28.6%		
Retail trade	\$31,189	\$3,647	7.1			\$37,864	\$7,451	12.0			\$6,675	\$8,296	21.4%	27.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$34,953	\$4,778	8.3			\$39,500	\$7,295	11.2			\$4,547	\$8,721	13.0%	26.0%		
Information	\$58,293	\$7,202	7.5			\$76,078	\$7,666	6.1			\$17,785	\$10,518	30.5%	20.8%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$61,861	\$7,007	6.9			\$82,826	\$11,377	8.3			\$20,965	\$13,362	33.9%	23.8%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$53,693	\$5,046	5.7			\$71,587	\$4,680	4.0			\$17,894	\$6,882	33.3%	15.3%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$46,241	\$4,305	5.7			\$53,707	\$4,257	4.8			\$7,466	\$6,054	16.1%	14.2%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$28,564	\$2,290	4.9			\$35,831	\$4,264	7.2			\$7,267	\$4,840	25.4%	18.0%		
Other services, except public administration	\$24,451	\$6,688	16.6			\$38,991	\$9,436	14.7			\$14,540	\$11,566	59.5%	58.2%		
Public administration	\$63,658	\$6,672	6.4			\$78,259	\$11,334	8.8			\$14,601	\$13,152	22.9%	22.0%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	11,274	909	4.9	100.0%		12,783	1,088	5.2	100.0%		1,509	1,418	13.4%	13.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	493	193	23.8	4.4%	1.7%	204	112	33.3	1.6%	0.9%	-289	223	-58.6%	27.9%	-2.8	1.9
Manufacturing	487	221	27.6	4.3%	1.9%	484	194	24.4	3.8%	1.5%	-3	294	-0.6%	60.2%	-0.5	2.4
Wholesale trade	392	189	29.3	3.5%	1.7%	288	171	36.2	2.3%	1.3%	-104	255	-26.5%	56.2%	-1.2	2.1
Retail trade	1,204	331	16.7	10.7%	2.8%	1,339	338	15.4	10.5%	2.5%	135	473	11.2%	41.5%	-0.2	3.8
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	994	322	19.7	8.8%	2.8%	978	317	19.7	7.7%	2.4%	-16	452	-1.6%	45.1%	-1.1	3.7
Information	412	171	25.3	3.7%	1.5%	596	238	24.2	4.7%	1.8%	184	293	44.7%	83.3%	1.0	2.3
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	953	257	16.4	8.5%	2.2%	1,365	446	19.9	10.7%	3.4%	412	515	43.2%	60.7%	2.2	4.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,555	400	15.6	13.8%	3.4%	2,251	368	9.9	17.6%	2.5%	696	544	44.8%	44.1%	3.8	4.2
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,462	455	11.2	21.8%	3.6%	2,869	483	10.2	22.4%	3.3%	407	664	16.5%	29.1%	0.6	4.9
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,516	433	17.4	13.4%	3.7%	1,641	404	15.0	12.8%	3.0%	125	592	8.2%	40.8%	-0.6	4.8
Other services, except public administration	507	203	24.4	4.5%	1.8%	481	189	23.9	3.8%	1.4%	-26	277	-5.1%	53.2%	-0.7	2.3
Public administration	299	167	34.0	2.7%	1.5%	287	164	34.7	2.2%	1.3%	-12	234	-4.0%	76.7%	-0.5	2.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	\$30,250	\$16,906	34.0			\$24,998	\$69,086	168.0			-\$5,252	\$71,125	-17.4%	233.0%		
Manufacturing	\$45,922	\$33,529	44.4			\$44,926	\$17,120	23.2			-\$996	\$37,647	-2.2%	80.6%		
Wholesale trade	\$38,480	\$18,554	29.3			\$51,593	\$15,032	17.7			\$13,113	\$23,879	34.1%	75.5%		
Retail trade	\$30,799	\$7,578	15.0			\$32,711	\$27,576	51.2			\$1,912	\$28,598	6.2%	93.3%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$17,801	\$11,628	39.7			\$28,427	\$16,446	35.2			\$10,626	\$20,141	59.7%	139.3%		
Information	\$50,627	\$17,991	21.6			\$74,569	\$25,019	20.4			\$23,942	\$30,816	47.3%	72.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$74,819	\$24,769	20.1			\$119,599	\$29,187	14.8			\$44,780	\$38,280	59.9%	65.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$58,772	\$11,019	11.4			\$81,049	\$17,672	13.3			\$22,277	\$20,826	37.9%	39.7%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$46,972	\$9,268	12.0			\$49,165	\$10,168	12.6			\$2,193	\$13,758	4.7%	29.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$26,053	\$6,926	16.2			\$29,699	\$9,836	20.1			\$3,646	\$12,030	14.0%	48.4%		
Other services, except public administration	\$12,000					\$26,584	\$14,720	33.7			\$14,584		121.5%			
Public administration	\$74,139	\$15,432	12.7			\$68,748	\$46,785	41.4			-\$5,391	\$49,264	-7.3%	66.0%		

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,378	581	8.1	100.0%		4,919	606	7.5	100.0%		541	840	12.4%	20.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	17	24	86.6	0.4%	0.6%	139	145	63.4	2.8%	2.9%	122	147	717.6%	1435.3%	2.4	3.0
Manufacturing	195	148	46.0	4.5%	3.3%	56	67	72.9	1.1%	1.4%	-139	162	-71.3%	40.7%	-3.4	3.6
Wholesale trade	54	82	92.3	1.2%	1.9%	134	116	52.8	2.7%	2.3%	80	142	148.1%	433.7%	1.5	3.0
Retail trade	437	162	22.6	10.0%	3.5%	419	216	31.4	8.5%	4.3%	-18	270	-4.1%	60.9%	-1.5	5.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	572	205	21.8	13.1%	4.4%	448	175	23.8	9.1%	3.4%	-124	270	-21.7%	41.5%	-4.0	5.6
Information	205	169	50.1	4.7%	3.8%	268	194	44.1	5.4%	3.9%	63	257	30.7%	143.4%	0.7	5.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	237	161	41.3	5.4%	3.6%	553	202	22.2	11.2%	3.9%	316	258	133.3%	180.0%	5.8	5.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	298	153	31.2	6.8%	3.4%	852	230	16.4	17.3%	4.2%	554	276	185.9%	165.8%	10.5	5.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,362	380	17.0	31.1%	7.6%	1,168	331	17.3	23.7%	6.1%	-194	504	-14.2%	34.1%	-7.4	9.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	475	193	24.7	10.8%	4.2%	540	245	27.6	11.0%	4.8%	65	312	13.7%	69.2%	0.2	6.4
Other services, except public administration	187	147	47.9	4.3%	3.3%	162	123	46.2	3.3%	2.5%	-25	192	-13.4%	94.7%	-1.0	4.1
Public administration	339	136	24.4	7.7%	2.9%	180	106	35.9	3.7%	2.1%	-159	172	-46.9%	37.8%	-4.0	3.6

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	\$12,000					\$33,941	\$19,360	34.7			\$21,941		182.8%			
Manufacturing	\$37,488	\$7,954	12.9			\$33,887	\$58,699	105.3			-\$3,601	\$59,235	-9.6%	157.8%		
Wholesale trade	\$50,258	\$42,966	52.0			\$42,893	\$3,276	4.6			-\$7,365	\$43,091	-14.7%	73.3%		
Retail trade	\$17,701	\$20,586	70.7			\$30,782	\$12,529	24.7			\$13,081	\$24,099	73.9%	214.3%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$32,701	\$9,539	17.7			\$37,249	\$8,299	13.5			\$4,548	\$12,644	13.9%	41.8%		
Information	\$53,161	\$39,898	45.6			\$62,233	\$52,696	51.5			\$9,072	\$66,096	17.1%	132.5%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$64,130	\$92,356	87.5			\$49,329	\$31,888	39.3			-\$14,801	\$97,706	-23.1%	121.4%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$42,177	\$7,629	11.0			\$41,703	\$11,559	16.8			-\$474	\$13,850	-1.1%	32.7%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$37,032	\$9,653	15.8			\$30,265	\$7,429	14.9			-\$6,767	\$12,181	-18.3%	29.3%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$26,405	\$19,087	43.9			\$23,071	\$4,068	10.7			-\$3,334	\$19,516	-12.6%	65.0%		
Other services, except public administration	\$64,137	\$65,322	61.9			\$9,999					-\$54,138	\$65,322	-84.4%			
Public administration	\$58,062	\$19,907	20.8			\$71,943	\$46,766	39.5			\$13,881	\$50,826	23.9%	91.1%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	23,571	1,311	3.4	100.0%		21,045	1,493	4.3	100.0%		-2,526	1,987	-10.7%	8.0%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	138	124	54.6	0.6%	0.5%	107	121	68.5	0.5%	0.6%	-31	173	-22.5%	112.0%	-0.1	0.8
Construction	2,034	412	12.3	8.6%	1.7%	1,684	455	16.4	8.0%	2.1%	-350	614	-17.2%	28.0%	-0.6	2.7
Manufacturing	1,352	349	15.7	5.7%	1.4%	1,004	310	18.8	4.8%	1.4%	-348	467	-25.7%	29.9%	-0.9	2.0
Wholesale trade	754	286	23.0	3.2%	1.2%	308	151	29.8	1.5%	0.7%	-446	323	-59.2%	25.3%	-1.7	1.4
Retail trade	2,460	557	13.8	10.4%	2.3%	2,060	449	13.2	9.8%	2.0%	-400	715	-16.3%	26.3%	-0.6	3.0
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,081	278	15.6	4.6%	1.2%	1,246	328	16.0	5.9%	1.5%	165	430	15.3%	42.4%	1.3	1.9
Information	749	275	22.4	3.2%	1.2%	839	314	22.7	4.0%	1.5%	90	417	12.0%	58.7%	0.8	1.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	1,426	422	18.0	6.0%	1.8%	1,812	350	11.7	8.6%	1.5%	386	548	27.1%	44.9%	2.6	2.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	2,820	492	10.6	12.0%	2.0%	2,990	570	11.6	14.2%	2.5%	170	753	6.0%	27.4%	2.2	3.2
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	3,851	565	8.9	16.3%	2.2%	4,650	718	9.4	22.1%	3.0%	799	914	20.7%	25.7%	5.8	3.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	4,243	712	10.2	18.0%	2.8%	2,362	448	11.5	11.2%	2.0%	-1,881	841	-44.3%	14.1%	-6.8	3.4
Other services, except public administration	2,202	577	15.9	9.3%	2.4%	1,416	313	13.4	6.7%	1.4%	-786	656	-35.7%	22.0%	-2.6	2.8
Public administration	461	193	25.5	2.0%	0.8%	567	232	24.9	2.7%	1.1%	106	302	23.0%	72.0%	0.7	1.4

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$47,548	\$18,514	23.7			\$63,197	\$52,894	50.9			\$15,649	\$56,041	32.9%	122.7%		
Construction	\$27,073	\$5,503	12.4			\$37,685	\$4,441	7.2			\$10,612	\$7,072	39.2%	32.7%		
Manufacturing	\$32,476	\$4,175	7.8			\$36,369	\$4,572	7.6			\$3,893	\$6,192	12.0%	20.1%		
Wholesale trade	\$31,713	\$12,019	23.0			\$33,426	\$8,128	14.8			\$1,713	\$14,509	5.4%	47.5%		
Retail trade	\$22,515	\$4,729	12.8			\$25,407	\$8,242	19.7			\$2,892	\$9,502	12.8%	43.6%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$38,039	\$5,665	9.1			\$25,031	\$7,515	18.3			-\$13,008	\$9,411	-34.2%	22.1%		
Information	\$57,494	\$11,225	11.9			\$70,895	\$9,753	8.4			\$13,401	\$14,870	23.3%	29.5%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$42,174	\$9,630	13.9			\$54,906	\$13,512	15.0			\$12,732	\$16,593	30.2%	43.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$42,102	\$10,481	15.1			\$54,025	\$11,651	13.1			\$11,923	\$15,672	28.3%	42.3%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$41,088	\$7,223	10.7			\$38,583	\$7,728	12.2			-\$2,505	\$10,578	-6.1%	25.0%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$28,591	\$2,850	6.1			\$26,343	\$3,513	8.1			-\$2,248	\$4,523	-7.9%	15.3%		
Other services, except public administration	\$16,740	\$7,062	25.6			\$25,922	\$14,581	34.2			\$9,182	\$16,201	54.9%	108.9%		
Public administration	\$57,198	\$30,483	32.4			\$82,203	\$18,584	13.7			\$25,005	\$35,701	43.7%	83.2%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	47,423	1,492	1.9	100.0%		48,258	1,709	2.2	100.0%		835	2,269	1.8%	4.8%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	89	106	72.6	0.2%	0.2%	124	142	69.8	0.3%	0.3%	35	177	39.3%	230.2%	0.1	0.4
Construction	3,082	616	12.2	6.5%	1.3%	2,540	401	9.6	5.3%	0.8%	-542	735	-17.6%	21.0%	-1.2	1.5
Manufacturing	1,450	302	12.7	3.1%	0.6%	1,028	262	15.5	2.1%	0.5%	-422	400	-29.1%	23.3%	-1.0	0.8
Wholesale trade	1,131	302	16.2	2.4%	0.6%	886	288	19.8	1.8%	0.6%	-245	417	-21.7%	33.0%	-0.6	0.8
Retail trade	4,165	637	9.3	8.8%	1.3%	3,925	577	8.9	8.1%	1.2%	-240	859	-5.8%	20.0%	-0.7	1.8
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,012	352	10.6	4.2%	0.7%	1,783	356	12.2	3.7%	0.7%	-229	501	-11.4%	23.5%	-0.5	1.0
Information	3,570	474	8.1	7.5%	1.0%	4,243	494	7.1	8.8%	1.0%	673	685	18.9%	21.0%	1.3	1.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	4,580	635	8.4	9.7%	1.3%	4,336	436	6.1	9.0%	0.8%	-244	770	-5.3%	16.2%	-0.7	1.5
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	6,322	588	5.7	13.3%	1.2%	9,602	741	4.7	19.9%	1.4%	3,280	946	51.9%	18.4%	6.6	1.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	9,286	804	5.3	19.6%	1.6%	8,867	713	4.9	18.4%	1.3%	-419	1,075	-4.5%	11.3%	-1.2	2.1
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	7,596	843	6.7	16.0%	1.7%	7,366	923	7.6	15.3%	1.8%	-230	1,250	-3.0%	16.2%	-0.7	2.5
Other services, except public administration	2,466	404	10.0	5.2%	0.8%	2,121	385	11.0	4.4%	0.8%	-345	558	-14.0%	21.0%	-0.8	1.1
Public administration	1,674	380	13.8	3.5%	0.8%	1,437	309	13.1	3.0%	0.6%	-237	490	-14.2%	26.8%	-0.5	1.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$36,230	\$29,245	49.1			\$105,127	\$90,050	52.1			\$68,897	\$94,680	190.2%	341.5%		
Construction	\$48,507	\$12,886	16.1			\$62,684	\$8,743	8.5			\$14,177	\$15,572	29.2%	38.8%		
Manufacturing	\$57,594	\$12,898	13.6			\$57,998	\$20,962	22.0			\$404	\$24,612	0.7%	42.8%		
Wholesale trade	\$50,258	\$14,854	18.0			\$55,673	\$10,749	11.7			\$5,415	\$18,335	10.8%	39.1%		
Retail trade	\$38,228	\$9,032	14.4			\$52,158	\$9,277	10.8			\$13,930	\$12,948	36.4%	40.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$39,538	\$12,317	18.9			\$66,111	\$12,201	11.2			\$26,573	\$17,337	67.2%	60.5%		
Information	\$57,002	\$8,214	8.8			\$75,714	\$9,843	7.9			\$18,712	\$12,820	32.8%	25.8%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$66,688	\$7,848	7.2			\$89,177	\$12,647	8.6			\$22,489	\$14,884	33.7%	24.6%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$60,531	\$6,562	6.6			\$80,061	\$7,114	5.4			\$19,530	\$9,678	32.3%	18.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$53,425	\$7,276	8.3			\$65,084	\$4,695	4.4			\$11,659	\$8,660	21.8%	18.8%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$29,111	\$4,960	10.4			\$44,746	\$5,954	8.1			\$15,635	\$7,749	53.7%	33.2%		
Other services, except public administration	\$37,409	\$11,643	18.9			\$55,507	\$7,200	7.9			\$18,098	\$13,689	48.4%	50.0%		
Public administration	\$63,945	\$8,022	7.6			\$77,619	\$16,401	12.8			\$13,674	\$18,258	21.4%	29.8%		

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security
PUMA 4101

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	76,081	1,953	1.6	100.0%		73,052	799	0.7	100.0%		72,120	1,361	1.1	100.0%		-932	1,578	-1.3%	2.2%		
Owner-occupied	15,470	955	3.8	20.3%	1.1%	14,798	870	3.6	20.3%	1.2%	13,655	950	4.2	18.9%	1.3%	-1,143	1,288	-7.7%	8.4%	-1.4	1.8
Renter-occupied	60,611	955	1.0	79.7%	2.4%	58,254	877	0.9	79.7%	0.8%	58,465	1,480	1.5	81.1%	1.4%	211	1,720	0.4%	3.0%	1.4	1.6

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	8,234	737	5.4	100.0%		8,280	769	5.6	100.0%		9,015	781	5.3	100.0%		735	1,096	8.9%	13.8%		
Owner-occupied	1,115	285	15.5	13.5%	3.2%	1,659	303	11.1	20.0%	3.1%	2,167	433	12.2	24.0%	4.3%	508	528	30.6%	35.4%	4.0	5.3
Renter-occupied	7,119	691	5.9	86.5%	3.2%	6,621	750	6.9	80.0%	5.2%	6,848	654	5.8	76.0%	3.1%	227	995	3.4%	15.3%	-4.0	6.1

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,873	581	7.2	100.0%		4,905	500	6.2	100.0%		4,300	553	7.8	100.0%		-605	746	-12.3%	14.4%		
Owner-occupied	154	107	42.1	3.2%	2.2%	182	122	40.6	3.7%	2.4%	118	98	50.6	2.7%	2.3%	-64	156	-35.2%	69.2%	-1.0	3.3
Renter-occupied	4,719	572	7.4	96.8%	2.2%	4,723	498	6.4	96.3%	2.6%	4,182	546	7.9	97.3%	2.1%	-541	739	-11.5%	14.9%	1.0	3.3

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	16,447	896	3.3	100.0%		17,493	924	3.2	100.0%		15,985	1,070	4.1	100.0%		-1,508	1,414	-8.6%	7.8%		
Owner-occupied	1,684	349	12.6	10.2%	2.0%	1,847	394	13.0	10.6%	2.2%	1,605	353	13.4	10.0%	2.1%	-242	529	-13.1%	26.6%	-0.6	3.0
Renter-occupied	14,763	939	3.9	89.8%	2.9%	15,646	833	3.2	89.4%	0.6%	14,380	977	4.1	90.0%	1.0%	-1,266	1,284	-8.1%	7.9%	0.6	1.2

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	41,272	1,182	1.7	100.0%		40,797	1,045	1.6	100.0%		39,974	1,363	2.1	100.0%		-823	1,717	-2.0%	4.2%		
Owner-occupied	11,642	854	4.5	28.2%	1.9%	10,906	745	4.2	26.7%	1.7%	9,530	728	4.6	23.8%	1.6%	-1,376	1,042	-12.6%	9.0%	-2.9	2.3
Renter-occupied	29,630	1,157	2.4	71.8%	1.9%	29,891	975	2.0	73.3%	1.5%	30,444	1,259	2.5	76.2%	1.8%	553	1,592	1.9%	5.4%	2.9	2.3

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	14,798	870	3.6	13,655	950	4.2	-1,143	1,288	-7.7%	8.4%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$720,239	\$31,182	2.6	\$804,805	\$41,883	3.2	\$84,566	\$52,216	11.7%	7.6%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,659	303	11.1	2,167	433	12.2	508	528	30.6%	35.4%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$696,155	\$98,119	8.6	\$789,413	\$110,300	8.5	\$93,258	\$147,626	13.4%	22.5%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	182	122	40.6	118	98	50.6	-64	156	-35.2%	69.2%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$460,582	\$514,406	67.9	\$365,384	\$239,102	39.8	-\$95,198	\$567,259	-20.7%	102.7%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	1,847	394	13.0	1,605	353	13.4	-242	529	-13.1%	26.6%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$610,789	\$130,719	13.0	\$741,161	\$139,081	11.4	\$130,372	\$190,869	21.3%	34.5%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	10,906	745	4.2	9,530	728	4.6	-1,376	1,042	-12.6%	9.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$739,142	\$33,843	2.8	\$824,645	\$51,083	3.8	\$85,503	\$61,277	11.6%	8.6%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	56,772	910	1.0	57,362	1,452	1.5	590	1,714	1.0%	3.0%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,536	\$34	1.3	\$1,846	\$44	1.5	\$310	\$56	20.2%	3.9%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	6,527	751	7.0	6,753	650	5.9	226	993	3.5%	15.5%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,664	\$66	2.4	\$1,884	\$109	3.5	\$220	\$127	13.2%	7.9%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,723	498	6.4	4,182	546	7.9	-541	739	-11.5%	14.9%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$723	\$66	5.5	\$869	\$238	16.6	\$146	\$247	20.2%	34.7%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	15,236	805	3.2	13,930	939	4.1	-1,306	1,237	-8.6%	7.8%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,355	\$84	3.8	\$1,541	\$96	3.8	\$186	\$127	13.7%	10.0%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	28,926	991	2.1	29,916	1,252	2.5	990	1,597	3.4%	5.6%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,645	\$46	1.7	\$2,041	\$56	1.7	\$396	\$72	24.1%	4.8%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	55,428	1,010	1.1	100.0%		56,225	1,437	1.6	100.0%		797	1,756	1.4%	3.2%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	27,636	1,092	2.4	49.9%	1.7%	24,272	1,275	3.2	43.2%	2.0%	-3,364	1,679	-12.2%	5.8%	-6.7	2.6
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	13,561	838	3.8	24.5%	1.4%	10,974	930	5.2	19.5%	1.6%	-2,587	1,252	-19.1%	8.5%	-5.0	2.1

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	6,057	709	7.1	100.0%		6,564	635	5.9	100.0%		507	952	8.4%	16.5%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	3,271	485	9.0	54.0%	4.9%	3,058	442	8.8	46.6%	5.0%	-213	656	-6.5%	19.4%	-7.4	7.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,576	369	14.2	26.0%	5.3%	1,617	359	13.5	24.6%	4.9%	41	515	2.6%	33.1%	-1.4	7.2

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	4,674	494	6.4	100.0%		4,038	533	8.0	100.0%		-636	727	-13.6%	14.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,852	351	11.5	39.6%	6.2%	1,578	357	13.8	39.1%	7.2%	-274	501	-14.8%	25.1%	-0.5	9.5
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	983	286	17.7	21.0%	5.7%	597	203	20.7	14.8%	4.6%	-386	351	-39.3%	27.2%	-6.2	7.3

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	14,864	803	3.3	100.0%		13,630	918	4.1	100.0%		-1,234	1,220	-8.3%	7.9%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	7,992	738	5.6	53.8%	4.0%	6,832	786	7.0	50.1%	4.7%	-1,160	1,078	-14.5%	12.6%	-3.7	6.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	3,880	515	8.1	26.1%	3.2%	3,402	573	10.2	25.0%	3.8%	-478	770	-12.3%	18.8%	-1.1	5.0

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	28,508	989	2.1	100.0%		29,479	1,249	2.6	100.0%		971	1,593	3.4%	5.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	13,850	921	4.0	48.6%	2.8%	11,680	856	4.5	39.6%	2.4%	-2,170	1,257	-15.7%	8.3%	-9.0	3.7
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,683	662	6.0	23.4%	2.2%	4,832	584	7.4	16.4%	1.9%	-1,851	883	-27.7%	11.3%	-7.0	2.9

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	27,571	6,018	11.0	36.9%	7.1%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	57,362	1,452	1.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	6,496	873	8.2	11.3%	1.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	5,740	701	7.4	10.0%	1.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	15,000	1,078	4.4	26.1%	1.8%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	25,716	1,050	2.5	44.8%	1.4%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	3,884	486	7.6	6.8%	0.8%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	526	162	18.8	0.9%	0.3%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	6,564	2,068	16.0	9.5%	2.9%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	73,052	799	0.7	100.0%		72,120	1,361	1.1	100.0%		-932	1,578	-1.3%	2.2%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	5,318	605	6.9	7.3%	0.8%	5,616	643	7.0	7.8%	0.9%	298	883	5.6%	17.0%	0.5	1.2

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	8,280	769	5.6	100.0%		9,015	781	5.3	100.0%		735	1,096	8.9%	13.8%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,062	247	14.1	12.8%	2.7%	1,631	297	11.1	18.1%	2.9%	569	386	53.6%	45.4%	5.3	4.0

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,905	500	6.2	100.0%		4,300	553	7.8	100.0%		-605	746	-12.3%	14.4%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	231	128	33.6	4.7%	2.6%	365	193	32.1	8.5%	4.3%	134	232	58.0%	121.0%	3.8	5.0

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	17,493	924	3.2	100.0%		15,985	1,070	4.1	100.0%		-1,508	1,414	-8.6%	7.8%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	2,270	397	10.6	13.0%	2.2%	1,655	334	12.3	10.4%	2.0%	-615	519	-27.1%	19.5%	-2.6	3.0

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	40,797	1,045	1.6	100.0%		39,974	1,363	2.1	100.0%		-823	1,717	-2.0%	4.2%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,535	333	13.2	3.8%	0.8%	1,922	438	13.8	4.8%	1.1%	387	550	25.2%	39.4%	1.0	1.4

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019- 2024
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	936

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	713	506	-207	-29.0%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2024
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	7,774
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	1,813

Table 3.12.T: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	186,153	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	15,012	8.1%

Table 3.12.A: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	27,594	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	1,331	4.8%

Table 3.12.B: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	12,923	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	6,783	52.5%

Table 3.12.H: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	47,189	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	6,060	12.8%

Table 3.12.W: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	87,922	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	624	0.7%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Housing Production PUMA 4101

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2023

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2023 Q4	
	Number	Percent
Net change	11,952	14.8%
New building	11,517	14.3%
Alterations that increase units	1,201	1.5%
Alterations that decrease units	-61	-0.1%
Demolitions	-705	-0.9%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2024	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	102	348
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	37	58
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	284	49
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	25	72
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	829	0
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1	1

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	5.2	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.0	0.0%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity PUMA 4101

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	85.0%	-5.8%	4.4%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	13.6%	-4.6%	6.4%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	172.1	136.3	141.9	6.0	4.1%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	76.8	97.0	91.5	-5.0	-5.7%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	337.8	234.5	219.9	-15.0	-6.2%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	114.9	97.4	110.0	13.0	12.9%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	189.9	151.9	160.2	8.0	5.5%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	5.3	4.0	4.5	1.0	13.3%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	3.9	0.0	3.7	4.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	7.1	14.1	7.0	99.7%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	23.1	23.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	8.2	17.8	10.0	117.1%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	445

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	228

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	557

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	504

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	444

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	3

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	136,899

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Percent
	Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	32.6%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	25.3%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	88,339	2,037	1.4	100.0%		88,657	2,548	1.7	100.0%		318	3,262	0.4%	3.7%		
Drove or carpooled to work	17,944	1,120	3.8	20.3%	1.2%	15,053	1,047	4.2	17.0%	1.1%	-2,891	1,533	-16.1%	7.8%	-3.3	1.6

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	11,127	918	5.0	100.0%		12,793	1,086	5.2	100.0%		1,666	1,422	15.0%	13.6%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,779	390	13.3	16.0%	3.2%	1,959	372	11.6	15.3%	2.6%	180	539	10.1%	31.9%	-0.7	4.1

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	4,463	590	8.0	100.0%		4,410	602	8.3	100.0%		-53	843	-1.2%	18.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,264	367	17.6	28.3%	7.3%	491	184	22.8	11.1%	3.9%	-773	411	-61.2%	18.4%	-17.2	8.3

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	22,915	1,267	3.4	100.0%		20,363	1,549	4.6	100.0%		-2,552	2,001	-11.1%	8.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	4,107	501	7.4	17.9%	1.9%	3,878	708	11.1	19.0%	3.2%	-229	867	-5.6%	20.7%	1.1	3.7

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	47,829	1,510	1.9	100.0%		47,555	1,720	2.2	100.0%		-274	2,289	-0.6%	4.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	10,470	879	5.1	21.9%	1.7%	8,270	654	4.8	17.4%	1.2%	-2,200	1,096	-21.0%	9.1%	-4.5	2.1

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	72,120	1,361	1.1	100.0%	
With a computer	59,112	1,582	1.6	82.0%	1.6%
With a broadband internet subscription	57,408	1,549	1.6	79.6%	1.5%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	9,015	781	5.3	100.0%	
With a computer	8,019	755	5.7	89.0%	3.3%
With a broadband internet subscription	7,699	749	5.9	85.4%	3.8%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,300	553	7.8	100.0%	
With a computer	2,719	440	9.8	63.2%	6.2%
With a broadband internet subscription	2,514	444	10.7	58.5%	7.1%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	15,985	1,070	4.1	100.0%	
With a computer	11,834	1,028	5.3	74.0%	4.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	11,382	855	4.6	71.2%	2.4%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	39,974	1,363	2.1	100.0%	
With a computer	33,869	1,430	2.6	84.7%	2.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	33,282	1,358	2.5	83.3%	1.9%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	52.4%
Math proficient	48.1%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	70.8%
Math proficient	71.0%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	26.9%
Math proficient	18.3%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	43.3%
Math proficient	37.1%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	66.8%
Math proficient	64.2%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	77.3%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	91.8%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	42.3%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	71.9%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	85.7%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number	Percent
	Estimate	Estimate
Total Population	186,153	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	115,339	68.9%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	684.1	100%	731.4	100%	47.0	6.9%	
Pedestrian injuries	187.8	27%	157.8	22%	-30.0	-16%	-5.9
Cyclist injuries	75.9	11%	96.1	13%	20.0	26%	2.0
Motorist injuries	420.3	61%	477.5	65%	57.0	14%	3.8

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	3.1	2.2	-0.9	-29.8%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization
(Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	12.0

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault
Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	65.4