

# Racial Equity Report on Housing and Opportunity

Project name: 2390 McDonald Avenue Rezoning

Contact: Justin Jarboe, Eric Palatnik, PC.

Prepared by: Ian Rasmussen, Urban Cartographics Date: October 5, 2022

*Note: Racial Equity Reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.*

## PROJECT TYPE

*Projects that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant actions or project types and describe the project details associated with the relevant project type:*

☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts

☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

*Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:*

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☒ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet

☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet

☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

*The executive summary should provide readers with a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.*

This report accompanies a land use application by MTL Realty, LLC (the “Applicant”) seeking: (1) a zoning map amendment, rezoning their development site and an adjacent property from a C8-1 district to a C4-4L district and (2) a zoning text amendment to make the affected area part of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area.

The object of the application is to facilitate a new mixed-use development at 2390 McDonald Avenue (Block 7146, Lot 50; the “Development Site”), which is located at the northwest corner of McDonald Avenue and Village Road South in the Gravesend neighborhood of Brooklyn Community District #15. The proposed development would result in an 8-story, 85-foot building, containing a total of 91,532 square feet of floor area (17,583 of which would be ground floor commercial space) and 80 dwelling units. 24 of these apartments would be made permanently affordable in compliance with “Option 1” of the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program, as detailed within. The remaining 56 apartments would be market-rate. Additionally, 120 accessory parking spaces would be provided at the cellar level.

It should be noted that the area to be rezoned includes the southernmost 24 feet of an adjacent parcel (Lot 49) to the north to create a comprehensive zoning proposal (collectively, the “Project Area”). This lot is currently improved with a 2-story building containing an auto body shop and a small office.

While the Project Area is within Community District #15, it falls within PUMA 4018, which generally includes the neighborhoods of Coney Island and Brighton Beach. As of 2020, this PUMA’s population was 120,104. Of this population, 17% identified as Asian non-Hispanic, 11% identified as Black non-Hispanic, 15.9% identified as Hispanic, and 52.4% identified as White non-Hispanic. The remaining 3.8% identified as non-Hispanic or some other race or combination of races. The Asian population makes up a slightly greater share of the area population than compared to Brooklyn and New York City, while the Black population makes up a smaller share than when compared to the borough and city. The percentage of area residents who identify as Hispanic is slightly less than all of Brooklyn, and significantly less than New York City. On the other hand, the White population makes up a significantly greater share of the area’s overall population than it does in Brooklyn and New York City as a whole. 2017-2021 American Community Survey data shows the median household income in the area was \$41,640, almost \$30,000 lower than both Brooklyn’s and New York City’s. The area’s median age was 46 years old. More than half the population (53.9%) was foreign born, and 45.5% of the population indicated that they spoke English less than “very well.”

PUMA 4018 saw a 7% increase in housing units between 2010 and 2022 compared to a 4.7% increase in population, indicating that housing production is keeping pace with the area’s growth. However, housing production in this area still lags both Brooklyn and New York City as a whole. More than half of the households in the area were considered rent-burdened (62.9%), with 31.9% of households severely so. The median gross rent in the area is \$1,177/month, which is several hundred dollars lower than the Brooklyn and New York City medians. According to ACS PUMA data between 2017 and 2021, 50.7% of rental units in the area were affordable to extremely low- and very low-income households, with 48.3% of rental units affordable to those in the low-income and moderate-income bracket (51-120%

AMI). 39% of the population in this area has access to a subway or SBS station within ¼ of a mile but only 3.9% has access to an ADA-accessible subway station within ¼ of a mile, both lower than average when compared to both Brooklyn and New York City.

The proposed project would help New York City meet several of its goals to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity by creating 24 new units of income-restricted housing at an average of 60% AMI (with no income-restricted units at more than 80% AMI), in a new building designed to be ADA-accessible, while setting aside a certain percentage of income-restricted units for existing community district residents and those with disabilities. The project would increase transit accessibility, as the Proposed Development sits only two blocks away from both the Avenue U and Avenue X stops of the New York City Transit F train. This transit accessibility and the provision of 24 income-restricted dwelling units is particularly critical for an area that is less transit accessible compared with the rest of Brooklyn and New York City, and where 71% of area households have a median income at or below 80% AMI. The project would also provide employment opportunities through the construction and maintenance of the Proposed Development.

The purpose of this report is to provide additional context not found in the land use application to facilitate public conversation about neighborhood change. To that end, it includes the following sections:

Section 1: Executive Summary (*this section*)

Section 2: Description of project components – Details of the proposed residential units in the proposed development: rent-regulated / market-rate, unit quantities, unit types, income restrictions, projected rents. And details of non-residential uses: proposed jobs and likely demographic characteristics of the prospective workforce.

Section 3: Community profile summary - Graphs and charts describing neighborhood demographics, socioeconomic and housing conditions, with accompanying narrative explanation.

Section 4: Narrative statement - A statement describing how the project components and neighborhood characteristics relate to the City's commitment to affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity.

Section 5: Community profile – The complete data of all indicators in the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the local, community, the borough, and the city (for reference purposes).

Appendix – Other documents or information referenced by this report that are not included elsewhere in the applicant's land use application (supporting data for market-rate rents).

## 2. PROJECT SPECIFIC INFORMATION

For guidance, please refer to the [racial equity report submission guide](#).

### 2.1. Residential affordability

*Section not required for historic district designations*

#### 2.1.1 Proposed residential floor area:

73,021 sf                      Total residential zoning square feet

73,949 sf                      Gross residential square feet

#### 2.1.2 Estimated new housing units

Report the number of anticipated housing units. If income-restricted, report unit count by Area Media Income (AMI) tier. If not, report as “not income-restricted.”

Income Restriction	Unit Count		Anticipated Affordability				
	#	%	AMI	Rent (2024)**		Eligible Income (2024)**	
				1-bedroom – 3-bedroom		1-person – 5-person	
Extremely Low Income	0	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Very Low Income	8	10%	40%	\$1,060	\$1,470	\$43,500	\$67,100
Low Income	7	9%	60%	\$1,590	\$2,200	\$65,200	\$100,600
Low Income	9	11%	80%	\$2,120	\$2,940	\$87,000	\$134,200
Moderate Income	0	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Middle Income	0	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Not Income Restricted	56	70%	n/a	\$1,850	\$2,800	\$74,000	\$112,000
<b>Total New Units</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>30%</b>					

*\*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on 2024 AMI), rounded to the nearest \$10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.*

*\*\*Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on 2024 AMI, rounded to the nearest \$100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.*

*Provide additional comments below, such as anticipated unit sizes. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.*

The Proposed Development will contain a total of 80 residential units of which 24 will be income-restricted pursuant to the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program (“MIH”), and 56 will be market-rate (not income-restricted).

Pursuant to MIH Option 1, the 24 income-restricted units comprise at least 25% of the Proposed Development’s residential floor area, at a weighted Area Median Income (“AMI”) average of 60%. Additionally, 10% of the total units will be available at 40% AMI, there are no more than three income bands, and there is no income band greater than 80% AMI. The table above provides example rents and eligible incomes, by AMI income band.

The Proposed Development will contain 17 one-bedroom apartments (12 income-restricted and five market-rate), 41 two-bedroom apartments (12 income-restricted and 29 market-rate), and 22 three-bedroom apartments (all 22 market-rate). Four of the income-restricted one-bedroom apartments will be offered at a 40% AMI and the other eight will be offered at 80% AMI. Four of the income-restricted two-bedroom apartments will be offered at 40% AMI, seven will be offered at 60% AMI, and one will be offered at 80% AMI.

The Proposed Development will not be seeking any affordable housing financing programs.

Based on current rental data, as applied to the unit types and unit sizes in the Proposed Development, the estimated monthly rents of the market-rate units are as follows:

	Third Quartile Area Market-Rate Rents (Adjusted)	Associated Household Incomes (30% Rent Burden)
One-Bedroom Apartments	\$1,850/month	\$74,000/year
Two-Bedroom Apartments	\$2,500/month	\$100,000/year
Three-Bedroom Apartments	\$2,800/month	\$112,000/year

To determine the basic third quartile area market-rate rents (monthly), data from the website StreetEasy for the surrounding area was reviewed (see Appendix).

### 2.3 Anticipated construction jobs

All projects must report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development Site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
2390 McDonald Avenue	172.1	114.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>172.1</b>	<b>114.7</b>

*Additional comments if needed:*

### 3. Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary is intended to provide a user-friendly snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators in the project area. This summary includes graphs and charts of selected indicators found in the full community profile (Section 5). The summary is available for download through the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#).


If helpful to understand the proposed project or the Narrative in section 4, provide a brief written description of key neighborhood, demographic and socioeconomic conditions and trends using the data that is shown in the Community Profile Summary.

#### Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."




Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

**Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020**

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."*




Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

## Household Economic Security – Income

**Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [\$]."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02



**Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

*"For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

**Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

*"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

## Household Economic Security – Jobs

**Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

**Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

**Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector<sup>1</sup>, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06

## Education & Access to Transit

**Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018**

Shows the rate of students who entered 9<sup>th</sup> grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."*




Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

**Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

**Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021**

Shows the share of population that lives within 1/4 mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives 1/4 mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."*




Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

## Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

**Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**  
Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."*



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

**Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)**

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [\$]."*




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

## Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2022

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

*"From 2010 to 2022, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."*




Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2022 Q2 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

## Understanding error bars

### Understanding error bars

Figure 14: Change in Median Gross Rent, Five-Year Estimate (2008-2012 to 2015-2019)



1

*Five-year estimates* refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates come with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as **error bars**.

2

These "I" figures are called **error bars**. Error bars account for uncertainty in **sample data** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the **error bars** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about \$160 and \$245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller **error bar**, which is why the size of the **error bar** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.



#### Correct interpretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about \$50 and \$190."


Based on the **error bar** for Chinatown, this is correct.



#### Incorrect interpretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."

Because the **error bars** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan (\$160-\$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown (\$45-\$195).





#### **4. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity**

*Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, [Where We Live NYC](#).*

The proposed development furthers several of the fair housing and equitable access to opportunity goals outlined in the City's fair housing plan known as "Where We Live NYC" as follows:

##### **Goal #2: Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region**

The proposed development furthers this goal for because of its establishment of new, permanently affordable (income-restricted) housing units, and by providing new market-rate and affordable housing in a location that is transit-accessible.

The 80 new residential units in the proposed development would include 24 permanently affordable (income-restricted) units. While PUMA 4018 (roughly Coney Island and Brighton Beach) has added 3,176 income-restricted units since 2014, with 1,889 of those units made available to households in the very low- and low-income brackets, the additional affordable units provided by the proposed development (all between 40-80% AMI) will be beneficial considering the median household income of the area is only \$41,640. These income-restricted units will also help ease the financial strain for at least a dozen households in the area that are rent-burdened (62.9% of areawide households) or severely rent-burdened (31.9% of areawide households), both levels being higher than the city average.

The proposed development would also provide residents with incredible transit accessibility. Because the project area sits along McDonald Avenue, it allows for direct access to the New York City Transit F train. Two separate stops are located within two blocks of the project area: the Avenue U stop to the north, and the Avenue X stop to the south.

##### **Goal #3: Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents**

At the outset, the Development Site does not currently contain any residential use. Therefore, none is threatened by the Proposed Development itself. That said, the Proposed Development affirmatively furthers the goal of creating affordable housing by producing 24 new permanently affordable (income-restricted) housing units. The new income-restricted units will also be set at income levels ranging from 40 to 80% AMI, providing very low- and low-income households an opportunity to live in modern, accessible, and affordable units. Moreover, the new permanently affordable units will be subject to HPD Marketing Guidelines, which provide a preference for applications from within the Community District. This aspect serves to specifically prevent the displacement of existing residents. Helping prevent displacement of existing residents is particularly critical here, as the Gravesend area of the subject PUMA (in which the Project Area sits) is in the 2<sup>nd</sup>-highest displacement risk category.

**Goal #5: Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities**

Because this is a new development, it must be built pursuant to ADA guidelines, and set aside a portion of the income-restricted units for people with disabilities. This not only means the building will be designed to be accessible (including ADA-compliant elevators and ramps), but it will provide new affordable housing opportunities for people with disabilities as well.

**Goal #6: Make equitable investments to address the neighborhood-based legacy of discrimination, segregation, and concentrated poverty**

The proposed development would provide a significant investment in an area that struggles with poverty. By providing immediate access to a subway line, the development would necessarily connect more residents with more job opportunities. This increased connectivity with other parts of the borough and city is crucial, considering the median household income in the area is just \$41,640. With 71% of the households in PUMA 4018 falling under the 80% AMI threshold, the provision of 24 income-restricted units between 40-80% AMI is also critical in ensuring clean, modern, safe spaces for very-low and low-income people to call home.

## 5. Community Profile

*The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from [the Equitable Development Data Explorer](#) (EDDE) for the relevant Community District2. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:*

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

*Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.*

*All community profiles can be downloaded from the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#).*

# Demographic Conditions PUMA 4018

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	116,138	100.0%	114,698	100.0%	120,104	100.0%	5,406	4.7%	
Asian non-Hispanic	11,143	9.6%	13,710	12.0%	20,369	17.0%	6,659	48.6%	5.0
Black non-Hispanic	16,772	14.4%	13,472	11.7%	13,192	11.0%	-280	-2.1%	-0.7
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	18,123	15.6%	18,673	16.3%	19,052	15.9%	379	2.0%	-0.4
White non-Hispanic	66,328	57.1%	66,971	58.4%	62,985	52.4%	-3,986	-6.0%	-6.0
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	3,772	3.2%	1,872	1.6%	4,506	3.8%	2,634	140.7%	2.2

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	116,574	2,430	1.3	100.0%		104,352	2,119	1.2	100.0%		118,909	3,506	1.8	100.0%		14,557	4,097	13.9%	4.1%		
Under 16 years	22,999	1,267	3.3	19.7%	1.0%	15,725	1,022	4.0	15.1%	0.9%	21,224	1,623	4.6	17.8%	1.3%	5,499	1,918	35.0%	13.5%	2.7	1.6
16 to 64 years	70,410	1,557	1.3	60.4%	0.4%	63,697	1,848	1.8	61.0%	1.3%	67,606	2,501	2.2	56.9%	1.3%	3,909	3,110	6.1%	5.0%	-4.1	1.8
65 years and over	23,165	1,270	3.3	19.9%	1.0%	24,930	925	2.3	23.9%	0.7%	30,079	1,398	2.8	25.3%	0.9%	5,149	1,676	20.7%	7.2%	1.4	1.1
Median age (years)	39.9	1.0	1.5			46.4	0.9	1.1			46.0	1.0	1.3			0.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	9,931	1,504	9.2	100.0%		14,157	1,344	5.8	100.0%		16,287	1,700	6.3	100.0%		2,130	2,167	15.0%	16.2%		
Under 16 years	2,876	494	10.4	29.0%	2.3%	2,962	486	10.0	20.9%	2.8%	3,089	655	12.9	19.0%	3.5%	127	816	4.3%	28.0%	-1.9	4.5
16 to 64 years	6,728	742	6.7	67.7%	12.7%	9,898	965	5.9	69.9%	1.6%	10,372	1,237	7.2	63.7%	3.7%	474	1,569	4.8%	16.1%	-6.2	4.0
65 years and over	327	168	31.3	3.3%	1.6%	1,297	278	13.0	9.2%	1.8%	2,826	517	11.1	17.4%	2.6%	1,529	587	117.9%	61.4%	8.2	3.2
Median age (years)	32.5	2.5	4.7			36.2	2.6	4.3			43.3	2.3	3.2			7.0	3.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	17,337	1,916	6.7	100.0%		12,708	1,230	5.9	100.0%		12,537	1,712	8.3	100.0%		-171	2,108	-1.3%	16.5%		
Under 16 years	5,916	699	7.2	34.1%	1.4%	2,385	538	13.7	18.8%	3.8%	2,879	609	12.9	23.0%	3.7%	494	813	20.7%	37.3%	4.2	5.3
16 to 64 years	10,241	901	5.3	59.1%	8.3%	8,375	937	6.8	65.9%	3.7%	7,540	1,112	9.0	60.1%	3.4%	-835	1,454	-10.0%	16.7%	-5.8	5.0
65 years and over	1,180	319	16.4	6.8%	1.7%	1,948	366	11.4	15.3%	2.5%	2,118	492	14.1	16.9%	3.2%	170	613	8.7%	32.5%	1.6	4.1
Median age (years)	25.9	3.5	8.2			36.1	3.9	6.5			35.3	2.3	4.0			-1.0	5.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	18,387	1,963	6.5	100.0%		15,540	1,759	6.9	100.0%		19,791	2,355	7.2	100.0%		4,251	2,939	27.4%	20.9%		
Under 16 years	5,594	680	7.4	30.4%	1.8%	4,081	717	10.7	26.3%	3.5%	5,260	928	10.7	26.6%	3.5%	1,179	1,173	28.9%	32.1%	0.3	4.9
16 to 64 years	11,744	958	5.0	63.9%	8.6%	9,892	1,193	7.3	63.7%	2.7%	12,539	1,610	7.8	63.4%	3.1%	2,647	2,004	26.8%	22.3%	-0.3	4.1
65 years and over	1,049	301	17.4	5.7%	1.5%	1,567	302	11.7	10.1%	1.6%	1,992	403	12.3	10.1%	1.6%	425	504	27.1%	35.5%	0.0	2.3
Median age (years)	25.5	1.9	4.5			30.4	2.3	4.7			29.9	1.9	3.9			0.0	3.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	67,082	2,662	2.4	100.0%		60,975	1,656	1.7	100.0%		65,441	2,653	2.5	100.0%		4,466	3,127	7.3%	5.2%		
Under 16 years	7,544	783	6.3	11.2%	1.1%	6,131	666	6.6	10.1%	1.1%	8,430	901	6.5	12.9%	1.3%	2,299	1,120	37.5%	21.0%	2.8	1.7
16 to 64 years	39,263	1,504	2.3	58.5%	3.2%	34,821	1,508	2.6	57.1%	1.9%	34,129	1,853	3.3	52.2%	1.9%	-692	2,389	-2.0%	6.8%	-4.9	2.7
65 years and over	20,275	1,206	3.6	30.2%	1.3%	20,023	740	2.2	32.8%	0.8%	22,882	1,255	3.3	35.0%	1.3%	2,859	1,457	14.3%	7.6%	2.2	1.5
Median age (years)	51.1	1.2	1.4			54.5	1.0	1.2			55.2	1.0	1.2			1.0	1.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	116,574	2,430	1.3	100.0%		104,352	2,119	1.2	100.0%		118,909	3,506	1.8	100.0%		14,557	4,097	13.9%	4.1%		
Foreign-born	54,922	1,833	2.0	47.1%	1.2%	55,141	2,198	2.4	52.8%	1.8%	64,041	2,531	2.4	53.9%	1.4%	8,900	3,352	16.1%	6.5%	1.1	2.3

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	9,931	1,504	9.2	100.0%		14,157	1,344	5.8	100.0%		16,287	1,700	6.3	100.0%		2,130	2,167	15.0%	16.2%		
Foreign-born	7,174	883	7.5	72.2%	14.1%	10,236	1,056	6.3	72.3%	2.9%	11,682	1,246	6.5	71.7%	1.6%	1,446	1,633	14.1%	16.9%	-0.6	3.3

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	17,337	1,916	6.7	100.0%		12,708	1,230	5.9	100.0%		12,537	1,712	8.3	100.0%		-171	2,108	-1.3%	16.5%		
Foreign-born	1,852	459	15.1	10.7%	2.4%	1,573	534	20.7	12.4%	4.0%	1,558	431	16.8	12.4%	3.0%	-15	686	-1.0%	43.4%	0.0	5.0

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	18,387	1,963	6.5	100.0%		15,540	1,759	6.9	100.0%		19,791	2,355	7.2	100.0%		4,251	2,939	27.4%	20.9%		
Foreign-born	6,577	847	7.8	35.8%	2.6%	5,167	1,001	11.8	33.2%	5.2%	6,052	1,066	10.7	30.6%	4.0%	885	1,462	17.1%	30.7%	-2.6	6.6

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	67,082	2,662	2.4	100.0%		60,975	1,656	1.7	100.0%		65,441	2,653	2.5	100.0%		4,466	3,127	7.3%	5.2%		
Foreign-born	37,100	1,711	2.8	55.3%	1.3%	37,799	1,758	2.8	62.0%	2.3%	42,364	2,042	2.9	64.7%	1.7%	4,565	2,694	12.1%	7.5%	2.7	2.9

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	110,022	733	0.4	100.0%		99,603	2,071	1.3	100.0%		118,909	3,945	9.4	100.0%		19,306	4,456	19.4%	4.7%		
Speak English less than "very well"	40,679	1,867	2.8	37.0%	1.7%	47,973	2,439	3.1	48.2%	2.2%	54,070	2,751	3.1	45.5%	1.8%	6,097	3,677	12.7%	8.1%	-2.7	2.8

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over Speak English less than "very well"	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
	9,232	859	5.7	100.0%		13,351	1,235	5.6	100.0%		15,227	1,600	6.4	100.0%		1,876	2,021	14.1%	16.0%		
	5,605	838	9.1	60.7%	7.1%	8,695	965	6.7	65.1%	4.0%	9,285	1,272	8.3	61.0%	5.4%	590	1,597	6.8%	18.8%	-4.1	6.7

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	15,982	1,095	4.2	100.0%		12,115	1,156	5.8	100.0%		11,736	1,656	8.6	100.0%		-379	2,020	-3.1%	16.5%		
Speak English less than "very well"	851	333	23.8	5.3%	2.1%	670	438	39.7	5.5%	3.6%	480	288	36.5	4.1%	2.4%	-190	524	-28.4%	63.6%	-1.4	4.3

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	16,542	1,111	4.1	100.0%		14,259	1,630	7.0	100.0%		18,337	2,080	6.9	100.0%		4,078	2,643	28.6%	20.7%		
Speak English less than "very well"	6,777	917	8.2	41.0%	4.8%	7,079	1,210	10.4	49.6%	6.3%	8,497	2,039	14.6	46.3%	9.8%	1,418	2,371	20.0%	35.4%	-3.3	11.7

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	64,804	1,581	1.5	100.0%		58,969	1,619	1.7	100.0%		62,603	2,595	2.5	100.0%		3,634	3,059	6.2%	5.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	26,426	1,640	3.8	40.8%	2.3%	31,370	1,643	3.2	53.2%	2.4%	34,030	1,989	3.6	54.4%	2.2%	2,660	2,580	8.5%	8.5%	1.2	3.3



Household Economic Security  
PUMA 4018

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	79,913	1,478	1.1	100.0%		77,721	1,428	1.1	100.0%		86,737	2,348	1.6	100.0%		9,016	2,748	11.6%	3.7%		
Less than high school degree	23,283	1,272	3.3	29.1%	1.5%	15,496	1,054	4.1	19.9%	1.3%	14,938	1,422	5.8	17.2%	1.6%	-558	1,770	-3.6%	11.3%	-2.7	2.1
High school degree or equivalent	21,940	1,244	3.4	27.5%	1.5%	23,211	1,360	3.6	29.9%	1.7%	23,028	2,088	5.5	26.5%	2.3%	-183	2,492	-0.8%	10.7%	-3.4	2.9
Some college or Associate's degree	16,130	1,099	4.1	20.2%	1.3%	15,419	1,085	4.3	19.8%	1.3%	14,351	1,315	5.6	16.5%	1.4%	-1,068	1,705	-6.9%	10.8%	-3.3	1.9
Bachelor's degree or higher	18,560	1,164	3.8	23.2%	1.4%	23,595	1,322	3.4	30.4%	1.6%	34,420	1,648	2.9	39.7%	1.6%	10,825	2,113	45.9%	10.8%	9.3	2.3

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	5,832	694	7.2	100.0%		9,601	905	5.7	100.0%		11,738	1,107	5.7	100.0%		2,137	1,430	22.3%	16.3%		
Less than high school degree	2,450	457	11.3	42.0%	6.0%	3,807	525	8.4	39.7%	4.0%	4,150	812	11.9	35.4%	6.1%	343	967	9.0%	26.1%	-4.3	7.3
High school degree or equivalent	1,441	352	14.8	24.7%	5.3%	2,142	458	13.0	22.3%	4.3%	3,194	594	11.3	27.2%	4.4%	1,052	750	49.1%	42.3%	4.9	6.2
Some college or Associate's degree	827	267	19.6	14.2%	4.3%	1,292	310	14.6	13.5%	3.0%	1,225	266	13.2	10.4%	2.0%	-67	408	-5.2%	30.7%	-3.1	3.6
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,114	310	16.9	19.1%	4.8%	2,360	538	13.9	24.6%	5.1%	3,169	543	10.4	27.0%	3.9%	809	764	34.3%	38.3%	2.4	6.4

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	8,858	843	5.8	100.0%		7,754	673	5.3	100.0%		8,172	1,197	8.9	100.0%		418	1,373	5.4%	17.9%		
Less than high school degree	3,101	512	10.0	35.0%	4.7%	2,541	438	10.5	32.8%	4.9%	1,879	601	19.4	23.0%	6.5%	-662	744	-26.1%	26.9%	-9.8	8.1
High school degree or equivalent	2,605	470	11.0	29.4%	4.5%	3,320	549	10.1	42.8%	6.0%	3,151	729	14.1	38.6%	6.9%	-169	913	-5.1%	27.0%	-4.2	9.1
Some college or Associate's degree	2,275	440	11.8	25.7%	4.3%	1,381	375	16.5	17.8%	4.6%	1,672	472	17.2	20.5%	4.9%	291	603	21.1%	47.4%	2.7	6.7
Bachelor's degree or higher	877	275	19.1	9.9%	3.0%	512	209	24.8	6.6%	2.6%	1,470	519	21.5	18.0%	5.8%	958	560	187.1%	155.0%	11.4	6.4

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	9,373	865	5.6	100.0%		8,923	902	6.1	100.0%		11,588	1,243	6.5	100.0%		2,665	1,536	29.9%	19.1%		
Less than high school degree	5,304	663	7.6	56.6%	4.8%	3,888	624	9.8	43.6%	5.4%	4,275	646	9.2	36.9%	3.9%	387	898	10.0%	24.2%	-6.7	6.7
High school degree or equivalent	2,422	454	11.4	25.8%	4.2%	3,043	567	11.3	34.1%	5.3%	3,364	737	13.3	29.0%	5.5%	321	930	10.5%	31.8%	-5.1	7.6
Some college or Associate's degree	1,224	324	16.1	13.1%	3.2%	1,290	380	17.9	14.5%	4.0%	2,017	573	17.3	17.4%	4.6%	727	688	56.4%	64.0%	2.9	6.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	423	191	27.5	4.5%	2.0%	702	261	22.6	7.9%	2.8%	1,932	595	18.7	16.7%	4.8%	1,230	650	175.2%	132.9%	8.8	5.6

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
--	-------------------	--	--	--	--	---------------------	--	--	--	--	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	53,509	1,586	1.8	100.0%		50,726	1,252	1.5	100.0%		52,894	1,942	2.2	100.0%		2,168	2,311	4.3%	4.6%		
Less than high school degree	11,905	964	4.9	22.2%	1.7%	5,081	697	8.3	10.0%	1.4%	4,230	768	11.0	8.0%	1.4%	-851	1,037	-16.7%	18.9%	-2.0	2.0
High school degree or equivalent	14,683	1,056	4.4	27.4%	1.8%	14,568	1,097	4.6	28.7%	2.0%	12,786	1,376	6.5	24.2%	2.4%	-1,782	1,760	-12.2%	11.5%	-4.5	3.1
Some college or Associate's degree	11,296	941	5.1	21.1%	1.6%	11,245	1,020	5.5	22.2%	1.9%	8,997	944	6.4	17.0%	1.7%	-2,248	1,390	-20.0%	11.1%	-5.2	2.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	15,625	1,084	4.2	29.2%	1.8%	19,832	1,142	3.5	39.1%	2.0%	26,881	1,591	3.6	50.8%	2.4%	7,049	1,958	35.5%	11.2%	11.7	3.1

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	44,073	591	0.8	46,948	1,125	1.5	2,875	1,271	6.5%	2.9%
Median household income (dollars)	\$38,583	\$3,368	5.3	\$41,640	\$3,679	5.4	\$3,057	\$4,988	7.9%	13.4%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,973	479	7.3	4,943	726	8.9	970	870	24.4%	23.6%
Median household income (dollars)	\$59,104	\$12,916	13.3	\$65,278	\$13,626	12.7	\$6,174	\$18,775	10.4%	33.4%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,657	445	5.8	4,431	726	10.0	-226	852	-4.9%	18.0%
Median household income (dollars)	\$35,271	\$5,214	9.0	\$28,959	\$11,868	24.9	-\$6,312	\$12,963	-17.9%	35.8%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	4,972	585	7.1	6,046	691	6.9	1,074	905	21.6%	19.9%
Median household income (dollars)	\$30,273	\$8,314	16.7	\$32,406	\$6,177	11.6	\$2,133	\$10,357	7.0%	35.8%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	30,174	771	1.6	30,361	1,248	2.5	187	1,467	0.6%	4.9%
Median household income (dollars)	\$38,299	\$4,140	6.6	\$43,273	\$4,974	7.0	\$4,974	\$6,472	13.0%	17.8%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	46,948	1,125	1.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	19,026	1,156	3.7	40.5%	2.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	6,637	790	7.2	14.1%	1.6%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	7,622	964	7.7	16.2%	2.0%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	5,399	737	8.3	11.5%	1.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	3,318	538	9.9	7.1%	1.1%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	4,946	819	10.1	10.5%	1.7%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	4,943	726	8.9	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,448	326	13.7	29.3%	5.0%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	747	247	20.1	15.1%	4.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	963	329	20.7	19.5%	6.0%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	594	183	18.8	12.0%	3.3%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	245	104	25.9	5.0%	2.0%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	946	605	38.9	19.1%	11.9%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,431	726	10.0	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	2,218	597	16.4	50.1%	10.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	604	223	22.4	13.6%	4.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	736	309	25.5	16.6%	6.4%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	427	180	25.6	9.6%	3.7%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	193	116	36.6	4.4%	2.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	253	200	48.1	5.7%	4.4%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	6,046	691	6.9	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	3,164	598	11.5	52.3%	7.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,006	329	19.9	16.6%	5.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	672	215	19.5	11.1%	3.3%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	668	269	24.5	11.0%	4.3%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	303	226	45.3	5.0%	3.7%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	233	160	41.8	3.9%	2.6%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	30,361	1,248	2.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	11,855	1,230	6.3	39.0%	3.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	4,142	651	9.6	13.6%	2.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	4,863	711	8.9	16.0%	2.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	3,598	568	9.6	11.9%	1.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	2,406	459	11.6	7.9%	1.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	3,497	527	9.2	11.5%	1.7%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	63,697	1,848	1.8	100.0%		67,606	2,501	2.2	100.0%		3,909	3,110	6.1%	5.0%		
In labor force	43,811	1,701	2.4	68.8%	1.8%	45,326	2,045	2.7	67.0%	1.7%	1,515	2,660	3.5%	6.2%	-1.8	2.5

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,898	965	5.9	100.0%		10,372	1,237	7.2	100.0%		474	1,569	4.8%	16.1%		
In labor force	7,011	768	6.7	70.8%	3.5%	7,348	1,051	8.7	70.8%	5.6%	337	1,302	4.8%	18.9%	0.0	6.6

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	8,375	937	6.8	100.0%		7,540	1,112	9.0	100.0%		-835	1,454	-10.0%	16.7%		
In labor force	5,736	759	8.0	68.5%	4.8%	4,030	788	11.9	53.4%	6.9%	-1,706	1,094	-29.7%	16.6%	-15.1	8.4

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,892	1,193	7.3	100.0%		12,539	1,610	7.8	100.0%		2,647	2,004	26.8%	22.3%		
In labor force	5,911	731	7.5	59.8%	1.6%	7,441	1,052	8.6	59.3%	3.5%	1,530	1,281	25.9%	23.6%	-0.5	3.8

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	34,821	1,508	2.6	100.0%		34,129	1,853	3.3	100.0%		-692	2,389	-2.0%	6.8%		
In labor force	24,767	1,390	3.4	71.1%	2.5%	24,186	1,465	3.7	70.9%	1.9%	-581	2,019	-2.3%	8.1%	-0.2	3.1

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	38,675	1,678	2.6	100.0%		40,979	1,953	2.9	100.0%		2,304	2,575	6.0%	6.8%		
Management, business, science, and arts	11,774	838	4.3	30.4%	1.7%	14,020	1,303	5.7	34.2%	2.7%	2,246	1,549	19.1%	13.9%	3.8	3.2
Service	9,892	1,005	6.2	25.6%	2.3%	10,309	1,055	6.2	25.2%	2.3%	417	1,457	4.2%	15.0%	-0.4	3.3
Sales and office	9,793	1,008	6.3	25.3%	2.4%	8,052	1,032	7.8	19.6%	2.3%	-1,741	1,443	-17.8%	13.5%	-5.7	3.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,915	614	12.8	7.5%	1.6%	3,916	891	13.8	9.6%	2.1%	1,001	1,082	34.3%	41.7%	2.1	2.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	4,301	665	9.4	11.1%	1.6%	4,682	925	12.0	11.4%	2.2%	381	1,139	8.9%	27.3%	0.3	2.7

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$63,998	\$4,055	3.9			\$64,516	\$5,211	4.9			\$518	\$6,603	0.8%	10.3%		
Service	\$26,034	\$2,021	4.7			\$25,643	\$2,127	5.0			-\$391	\$2,934	-1.5%	11.2%		
Sales and office	\$31,200	\$5,030	9.8			\$30,021	\$3,105	6.3			-\$1,179	\$5,911	-3.8%	18.4%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$50,013	\$9,712	11.8			\$37,767	\$7,431	12.0			-\$12,246	\$12,228	-24.5%	20.9%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$35,590	\$4,938	8.4			\$29,323	\$4,254	8.8			-\$6,267	\$6,518	-17.6%	16.5%		



Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	6,330	702	6.7	100.0%		6,642	939	8.6	100.0%		312	1,172	4.9%	18.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,613	411	15.5	25.5%	5.8%	2,034	380	11.4	30.6%	3.7%	421	560	26.1%	39.8%	5.1	6.9
Service	1,342	342	15.5	21.2%	4.9%	1,738	399	14.0	26.2%	4.7%	396	526	29.5%	44.4%	5.0	6.8
Sales and office	1,857	357	11.7	29.3%	4.6%	1,232	312	15.4	18.5%	3.9%	-625	474	-33.7%	21.1%	-10.8	6.0
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	341	149	26.5	5.4%	2.3%	743	584	47.8	11.2%	8.6%	402	603	117.9%	195.9%	5.8	8.9
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,177	321	16.6	18.6%	4.6%	895	323	22.0	13.5%	4.5%	-282	455	-24.0%	34.4%	-5.1	6.4

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$55,513	\$11,563	12.7			\$64,171	\$11,847	11.2			\$8,658	\$16,555	15.6%	32.2%		
Service	\$16,529	\$5,466	20.1			\$24,303	\$3,924	9.8			\$7,774	\$6,729	47.0%	54.1%		
Sales and office	\$23,203	\$8,098	21.2			\$22,856	\$7,460	19.8			-\$347	\$11,010	-1.5%	47.1%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$24,574	\$27,826	68.8			\$51,797	\$6,542	7.7			\$27,223	\$28,585	110.8%	240.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$23,327	\$9,994	26.0			\$18,669	\$6,893	22.4			-\$4,658	\$12,140	-20.0%	45.3%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,233	740	10.6	100.0%		3,183	707	13.5	100.0%		-1,050	1,023	-24.8%	21.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	648	267	25.1	15.3%	5.7%	1,124	487	26.3	35.3%	13.1%	476	555	73.5%	103.7%	20.0	14.3
Service	1,107	284	15.6	26.2%	4.9%	660	359	33.0	20.7%	10.3%	-447	458	-40.4%	35.9%	-5.5	11.4
Sales and office	1,380	452	19.9	32.6%	9.0%	1,130	450	24.2	35.5%	11.7%	-250	638	-18.1%	42.2%	2.9	14.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	372	292	47.7	8.8%	6.7%	26	47	109.3	0.8%	1.5%	-346	296	-93.0%	13.8%	-8.0	6.9
Production, transportation, and material moving	726	309	25.8	17.2%	6.6%	243	151	37.7	7.6%	4.4%	-483	344	-66.5%	25.2%	-9.6	7.9

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$49,566	\$6,555	8.0			\$57,191	\$19,799	21.0			\$7,625	\$20,856	15.4%	42.8%		
Service	\$21,917	\$9,047	25.1			\$19,284	\$14,483	45.7			-\$2,633	\$17,077	-12.0%	75.4%		
Sales and office	\$23,254	\$3,980	10.4			\$31,228	\$9,599	18.7			\$7,974	\$10,392	34.3%	47.2%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$38,190	\$24,633	39.2			\$137,498					\$99,308	\$24,633	260.0%			
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$33,181	\$6,635	12.2			\$32,499	\$31,962	59.8			-\$682	\$32,643	-2.1%	98.3%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	5,197	716	8.4	100.0%		6,078	852	8.5	100.0%		881	1,113	17.0%	23.0%		
Management, business, science, and arts	891	298	20.3	17.1%	5.2%	1,132	396	21.3	18.6%	6.0%	241	496	27.0%	61.5%	1.5	7.9
Service	2,343	453	11.7	45.1%	6.1%	2,065	501	14.7	34.0%	6.7%	-278	675	-11.9%	27.3%	-11.1	9.1
Sales and office	922	320	21.1	17.7%	5.7%	1,157	358	18.8	19.0%	5.3%	235	480	25.5%	58.3%	1.3	7.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	483	242	30.5	9.3%	4.5%	717	301	25.5	11.8%	4.7%	234	386	48.4%	97.0%	2.5	6.5
Production, transportation, and material moving	558	227	24.7	10.7%	4.1%	1,007	377	22.8	16.6%	5.8%	449	440	80.5%	99.8%	5.9	7.1

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$53,477	\$15,396	17.5			\$43,529	\$6,667	9.3			-\$9,948	\$16,778	-18.6%	26.5%		
Service	\$21,646	\$2,467	6.9			\$19,172	\$4,458	14.1			-\$2,474	\$5,095	-11.4%	22.9%		
Sales and office	\$17,995	\$9,235	31.2			\$26,969	\$11,135	25.1			\$8,974	\$14,466	49.9%	98.7%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$56,092	\$31,414	34.0			\$29,193	\$9,969	20.8			-\$26,899	\$32,958	-48.0%	34.1%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$25,046	\$17,663	42.9			\$27,590	\$10,575	23.3			\$2,544	\$20,587	10.2%	88.4%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	22,620	1,376	3.7	100.0%		22,853	1,466	3.9	100.0%		233	2,011	1.0%	8.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	8,520	773	5.5	37.7%	2.5%	9,361	987	6.4	41.0%	3.4%	841	1,254	9.9%	15.3%	3.3	4.2
Service	5,010	793	9.6	22.1%	3.2%	5,010	722	8.8	21.9%	2.8%	0	1,072	0.0%	21.4%	-0.2	4.3
Sales and office	5,563	684	7.5	24.6%	2.6%	4,416	738	10.2	19.3%	3.0%	-1,147	1,006	-20.6%	16.5%	-5.3	4.0
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	1,719	403	14.2	7.6%	1.7%	2,196	470	13.0	9.6%	2.0%	477	619	27.7%	40.6%	2.0	2.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,808	458	15.4	8.0%	2.0%	1,870	445	14.5	8.2%	1.9%	62	639	3.4%	35.9%	0.2	2.8

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$68,150	\$4,307	3.8			\$68,763	\$6,548	5.8			\$613	\$7,837	0.9%	11.5%		
Service	\$29,203	\$2,219	4.6			\$28,296	\$2,961	6.4			-\$907	\$3,700	-3.1%	12.5%		
Sales and office	\$39,383	\$3,947	6.1			\$31,754	\$3,328	6.4			-\$7,629	\$5,162	-19.4%	11.7%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$51,321	\$7,522	8.9			\$35,863	\$9,428	16.0			-\$15,458	\$12,061	-30.1%	21.0%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$41,815	\$5,344	7.8			\$46,100	\$10,793	14.2			\$4,285	\$12,044	10.2%	29.4%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	38,675	1,678	2.6	100.0%		40,979	1,953	2.9	100.0%		2,304	2,575	6.0%	6.8%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	33	40	73.4	0.1%	0.1%	81	82	61.5	0.2%	0.2%	48	91	145.5%	387.6%	0.1	0.2
Construction	2,077	475	13.9	5.4%	1.2%	3,389	846	15.2	8.3%	2.0%	1,312	970	63.2%	55.2%	2.9	2.3
Manufacturing	2,184	448	12.5	5.6%	1.1%	1,293	357	16.8	3.2%	0.9%	-891	573	-40.8%	20.4%	-2.4	1.4
Wholesale trade	1,281	353	16.7	3.3%	0.9%	804	240	18.2	2.0%	0.6%	-477	427	-37.2%	25.5%	-1.3	1.1
Retail trade	4,177	749	10.9	10.8%	1.9%	4,499	665	9.0	11.0%	1.5%	322	1,002	7.7%	25.0%	0.2	2.4
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,656	462	10.6	6.9%	1.2%	3,828	957	15.2	9.3%	2.3%	1,172	1,063	44.1%	43.9%	2.4	2.6
Information	1,106	414	22.8	2.9%	1.1%	769	276	21.8	1.9%	0.7%	-337	498	-30.5%	36.1%	-1.0	1.3
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,988	483	9.8	7.7%	1.2%	2,723	646	14.4	6.6%	1.5%	-265	807	-8.9%	26.2%	-1.1	1.9
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	4,016	689	10.4	10.4%	1.7%	4,205	624	9.0	10.3%	1.4%	189	930	4.7%	23.8%	-0.1	2.2
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	11,780	1,043	5.4	30.5%	2.4%	13,228	1,097	5.0	32.3%	2.2%	1,448	1,514	12.3%	13.6%	1.8	3.3
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	2,786	480	10.5	7.2%	1.2%	2,992	535	10.9	7.3%	1.3%	206	719	7.4%	26.7%	0.1	1.8
Other services, except public administration	2,368	474	12.2	6.1%	1.2%	1,749	520	18.1	4.3%	1.3%	-619	704	-26.1%	26.5%	-1.8	1.8
Public administration	1,223	314	15.6	3.2%	0.8%	1,419	366	15.7	3.5%	0.9%	196	482	16.0%	42.2%	0.3	1.2

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$72,431					\$20,936	\$24,184	70.2			-\$51,495		-71.1%			
Construction	\$50,020	\$12,803	15.6			\$35,588	\$7,355	12.6			-\$14,432	\$14,765	-28.9%	23.4%		
Manufacturing	\$45,745	\$9,781	13.0			\$42,769	\$8,649	12.3			-\$2,976	\$13,056	-6.5%	27.5%		
Wholesale trade	\$34,712	\$7,417	13.0			\$34,472	\$32,152	56.7			-\$240	\$32,996	-0.7%	95.0%		
Retail trade	\$20,313	\$2,202	6.6			\$27,277	\$3,334	7.4			\$6,964	\$3,996	34.3%	21.9%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$45,559	\$8,391	11.2			\$30,209	\$5,007	10.1			-\$15,350	\$9,771	-33.7%	16.4%		
Information	\$43,600	\$15,489	21.6			\$58,662	\$18,622	19.3			\$15,062	\$24,222	34.5%	64.1%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$61,990	\$12,100	11.9			\$67,010	\$14,776	13.4			\$5,020	\$19,098	8.1%	31.8%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$46,557	\$10,100	13.2			\$44,365	\$8,056	11.0			-\$2,192	\$12,919	-4.7%	27.0%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$35,336	\$3,827	6.6			\$35,820	\$3,621	6.1			\$484	\$5,268	1.4%	15.0%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$22,835	\$7,166	19.1			\$22,344	\$3,502	9.5			-\$491	\$7,976	-2.1%	34.3%		
Other services, except public administration	\$31,867	\$8,575	16.4			\$29,181	\$6,156	12.8			-\$2,686	\$10,556	-8.4%	31.3%		
Public administration	\$60,917	\$17,873	17.8			\$65,724	\$6,535	6.0			\$4,807	\$19,030	7.9%	33.4%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	6,330	702	6.7	100.0%		6,642	939	8.6	100.0%		312	1,172	4.9%	18.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					45	52	70.0	0.7%	0.8%	45		0.0%			
Construction	160	119	45.2	2.5%	1.9%	648	594	55.7	9.8%	8.8%	488	606	305.0%	478.1%	7.3	9.0
Manufacturing	430	179	25.3	6.8%	2.7%	210	128	37.1	3.2%	1.9%	-220	220	-51.2%	36.0%	-3.6	3.3
Wholesale trade	455	227	30.3	7.2%	3.5%	279	136	29.6	4.2%	2.0%	-176	265	-38.7%	42.8%	-3.0	4.0
Retail trade	940	312	20.2	14.8%	4.6%	632	211	20.3	9.5%	2.9%	-308	377	-32.8%	31.7%	-5.3	5.4
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	772	264	20.8	12.2%	3.9%	560	178	19.3	8.4%	2.4%	-212	318	-27.5%	33.9%	-3.8	4.6
Information	194	136	42.7	3.1%	2.1%	85	81	57.8	1.3%	1.2%	-109	158	-56.2%	51.8%	-1.8	2.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	407	200	29.9	6.4%	3.1%	359	142	24.1	5.4%	2.0%	-48	245	-11.8%	55.6%	-1.0	3.7
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	320	170	32.3	5.1%	2.6%	676	245	22.0	10.2%	3.4%	356	298	111.3%	135.9%	5.1	4.3
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,500	331	13.4	23.7%	4.5%	2,065	405	11.9	31.1%	4.2%	565	523	37.7%	40.6%	7.4	6.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	654	220	20.5	10.3%	3.3%	654	231	21.4	9.8%	3.2%	0	319	0.0%	48.8%	-0.5	4.6
Other services, except public administration	356	204	34.9	5.6%	3.2%	317	135	25.8	4.8%	1.9%	-39	245	-11.0%	63.6%	-0.8	3.7
Public administration	142	83	35.4	2.2%	1.3%	112	101	55.0	1.7%	1.5%	-30	131	-21.1%	84.8%	-0.5	2.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining						\$24,686	\$164,305	404.6								
Construction	\$22,277	\$21,287	58.1			\$53,201	\$3,593	4.1			\$30,924	\$21,589	138.8%	228.8%		
Manufacturing	\$22,467	\$8,857	24.0			\$41,249	\$21,614	31.9			\$18,782	\$23,359	83.6%	120.4%		
Wholesale trade	\$26,458	\$11,448	26.3			\$21,639	\$8,936	25.1			-\$4,819	\$14,522	-18.2%	48.9%		
Retail trade	\$17,416	\$4,380	15.3			\$22,785	\$8,902	23.8			\$5,369	\$9,921	30.8%	60.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$44,029	\$11,720	16.2			\$14,427	\$21,564	90.9			-\$29,602	\$24,543	-67.2%	49.7%		
Information	\$48,780	\$24,626	30.7			\$81,685	\$78,320	58.3			\$32,905	\$82,100	67.5%	181.5%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$69,077	\$38,918	34.2			\$60,860	\$27,477	27.4			-\$8,217	\$47,640	-11.9%	63.6%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$40,488	\$43,569	65.4			\$59,998	\$10,826	11.0			\$19,510	\$44,894	48.2%	161.7%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$32,079	\$10,342	19.6			\$32,982	\$7,542	13.9			\$903	\$12,800	2.8%	40.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$16,327	\$7,024	26.2			\$18,152	\$6,847	22.9			\$1,825	\$9,809	11.2%	63.6%		
Other services, except public administration	\$24,019	\$24,956	63.2			\$22,301	\$23,582	64.3			-\$1,718	\$34,336	-7.2%	137.6%		
Public administration	\$75,245	\$31,602	25.5			\$47,742	\$3,470	4.4			-\$27,503	\$31,792	-36.6%	27.0%		

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,233	740	10.6	100.0%		3,183	707	13.5	100.0%		-1,050	1,023	-24.8%	21.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	370	253	41.6	8.7%	5.8%	0					-370	253	-100.0%	0.0%	-8.7	5.8
Manufacturing	185	153	50.2	4.4%	3.5%	91	116	77.6	2.9%	3.6%	-94	192	-50.8%	74.7%	-1.5	5.0
Wholesale trade	311	207	40.4	7.3%	4.7%	10	16	96.5	0.3%	0.5%	-301	208	-96.8%	5.6%	-7.0	4.7
Retail trade	731	331	27.5	17.3%	7.2%	140	118	51.3	4.4%	3.6%	-591	351	-80.8%	18.3%	-12.9	8.0
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	302	169	34.0	7.1%	3.8%	462	348	45.8	14.5%	10.4%	160	387	53.0%	143.6%	7.4	11.1
Information	152	147	58.9	3.6%	3.4%	38	46	73.8	1.2%	1.4%	-114	154	-75.0%	38.7%	-2.4	3.7
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	90	72	48.7	2.1%	1.7%	194	147	46.0	6.1%	4.4%	104	164	115.6%	237.5%	4.0	4.7
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	453	239	32.1	10.7%	5.3%	314	192	37.1	9.9%	5.6%	-139	307	-30.7%	56.0%	-0.8	7.7
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,000	306	18.6	23.6%	5.9%	1,231	464	22.9	38.7%	11.8%	231	556	23.1%	59.8%	15.1	13.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	198	133	40.7	4.7%	3.0%	209	157	45.8	6.6%	4.7%	11	206	5.6%	106.4%	1.9	5.6
Other services, except public administration	298	202	41.1	7.0%	4.6%	267	310	70.7	8.4%	9.6%	-31	370	-10.4%	120.5%	1.4	10.6
Public administration	143	93	39.4	3.4%	2.1%	227	129	34.4	7.1%	3.7%	84	159	58.7%	137.1%	3.7	4.3

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	\$51,283	\$13,687	16.2								-\$51,283	\$13,687	-100.0%	0.0%		
Manufacturing	\$54,629	\$39,573	44.0			\$111,127	\$15,641	8.6			\$56,498	\$42,551	103.4%	150.1%		
Wholesale trade	\$35,672	\$23,007	39.2			\$27,498					-\$8,174	\$23,007	-22.9%			
Retail trade	\$18,318	\$4,860	16.1			\$40,624	\$42,388	63.4			\$22,306	\$42,666	121.8%	238.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$34,196	\$46,076	81.9			\$29,764	\$19,583	40.0			-\$4,432	\$50,065	-13.0%	130.5%		
Information	\$24,609	\$6,967	17.2			\$48,517					\$23,908	\$6,967	97.2%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$34,056	\$29,140	52.0			\$45,587	\$34,400	45.9			\$11,531	\$45,083	33.9%	152.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$33,544	\$5,823	10.6			\$36,817	\$11,442	18.9			\$3,273	\$12,839	9.8%	39.1%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$29,562	\$16,345	33.6			\$39,120	\$14,788	23.0			\$9,558	\$22,042	32.3%	88.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$26,085	\$25,955	60.5			\$31,790	\$19,696	37.7			\$5,705	\$32,582	21.9%	142.8%		
Other services, except public administration	\$20,693	\$20,327	59.7			\$11,913	\$19,241	98.2			-\$8,780	\$27,990	-42.4%	108.8%		
Public administration	\$44,680	\$12,665	17.2			\$42,580	\$26,686	38.1			-\$2,100	\$29,539	-4.7%	65.6%		



Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	5,197	716	8.4	100.0%		6,078	852	8.5	100.0%		881	1,113	17.0%	23.0%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	408	215	32.0	7.9%	4.0%	726	292	24.4	11.9%	4.5%	318	363	77.9%	118.0%	4.0	6.0
Manufacturing	284	144	30.9	5.5%	2.7%	194	138	43.2	3.2%	2.2%	-90	199	-31.7%	59.7%	-2.3	3.5
Wholesale trade	84	104	75.3	1.6%	2.0%	94	118	76.0	1.5%	1.9%	10	157	11.9%	197.3%	-0.1	2.8
Retail trade	790	282	21.7	15.2%	5.0%	905	362	24.3	14.9%	5.6%	115	459	14.6%	61.4%	-0.3	7.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	257	142	33.5	4.9%	2.6%	665	414	37.8	10.9%	6.6%	408	438	158.8%	215.4%	6.0	7.1
Information	41	66	97.5	0.8%	1.3%	179	125	42.4	2.9%	2.0%	138	141	336.6%	766.1%	2.1	2.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	283	189	40.7	5.4%	3.6%	184	118	38.9	3.0%	1.9%	-99	223	-35.0%	60.2%	-2.4	4.1
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	673	266	24.1	12.9%	4.8%	814	414	30.9	13.4%	6.5%	141	492	21.0%	77.9%	0.5	8.1
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,040	329	19.2	20.0%	5.7%	957	289	18.4	15.7%	4.2%	-83	438	-8.0%	40.2%	-4.3	7.1
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	750	270	21.9	14.4%	4.8%	827	300	22.1	13.6%	4.6%	77	404	10.3%	56.4%	-0.8	6.6
Other services, except public administration	549	223	24.7	10.6%	4.0%	364	172	28.8	6.0%	2.7%	-185	282	-33.7%	41.3%	-4.6	4.8
Public administration	38	51	81.6	0.7%	1.0%	169	156	55.9	2.8%	2.5%	131	164	344.7%	724.4%	2.1	2.7

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	\$28,667	\$28,690	60.8			\$29,942	\$8,500	17.3			\$1,275	\$29,923	4.4%	108.7%		
Manufacturing	\$45,027	\$46,787	63.2			\$12,726	\$16,785	80.2			-\$32,301	\$49,707	-71.7%	47.5%		
Wholesale trade	\$49,518	\$38,888	47.7			\$133,679	\$113,283	51.5			\$84,161	\$119,772	170.0%	311.9%		
Retail trade	\$17,765	\$6,667	22.8			\$22,941	\$9,161	24.3			\$5,176	\$11,330	29.1%	70.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$28,033	\$48,381	104.9			\$26,670	\$5,579	12.7			-\$1,363	\$48,702	-4.9%	165.4%		
Information	\$20,693					\$54,522	\$33,698	37.6			\$33,829		163.5%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$45,480	\$18,890	25.3			\$42,570	\$20,789	29.7			-\$2,910	\$28,090	-6.4%	60.0%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$27,601	\$8,437	18.6			\$40,063	\$14,303	21.7			\$12,462	\$16,606	45.1%	68.2%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$29,442	\$15,116	31.2			\$29,574	\$11,945	24.6			\$132	\$19,266	0.4%	65.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$21,406	\$6,237	17.7			\$20,406	\$4,869	14.5			-\$1,000	\$7,912	-4.7%	35.9%		
Other services, except public administration	\$16,927	\$11,584	41.6			\$26,159	\$4,984	11.6			\$9,232	\$12,611	54.5%	109.8%		
Public administration	\$83,435					\$63,782	\$10,560	10.1			-\$19,653		-23.6%			



Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	22,620	1,376	3.7	100.0%		22,853	1,466	3.9	100.0%		233	2,011	1.0%	8.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	33	40	73.4	0.1%	0.2%	36	61	103.3	0.2%	0.3%	3	73	9.1%	227.3%	0.1	0.4
Construction	1,139	347	18.5	5.0%	1.5%	1,956	530	16.5	8.6%	2.3%	817	633	71.7%	70.0%	3.6	2.7
Manufacturing	1,285	354	16.8	5.7%	1.5%	798	291	22.1	3.5%	1.3%	-487	458	-37.9%	28.4%	-2.2	2.0
Wholesale trade	431	174	24.6	1.9%	0.8%	421	178	25.8	1.8%	0.8%	-10	249	-2.3%	57.1%	-0.1	1.1
Retail trade	1,682	488	17.6	7.4%	2.1%	2,626	511	11.8	11.5%	2.1%	944	707	56.1%	54.5%	4.1	3.0
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,293	337	15.8	5.7%	1.4%	1,643	386	14.3	7.2%	1.6%	350	512	27.1%	44.6%	1.5	2.1
Information	719	361	30.6	3.2%	1.6%	461	236	31.2	2.0%	1.0%	-258	431	-35.9%	46.0%	-1.2	1.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,208	412	11.3	9.8%	1.7%	1,955	584	18.2	8.6%	2.5%	-253	715	-11.5%	31.2%	-1.2	3.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	2,551	579	13.8	11.3%	2.5%	2,294	399	10.6	10.0%	1.6%	-257	703	-10.1%	25.7%	-1.3	3.0
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	8,069	835	6.3	35.7%	3.0%	8,082	763	5.7	35.4%	2.5%	13	1,131	0.2%	14.0%	-0.3	3.9
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,165	367	19.2	5.2%	1.6%	1,167	316	16.5	5.1%	1.3%	2	484	0.2%	41.6%	-0.1	2.1
Other services, except public administration	1,165	367	19.1	5.2%	1.6%	574	247	26.2	2.5%	1.1%	-591	442	-50.7%	26.3%	-2.7	1.9
Public administration	880	271	18.7	3.9%	1.2%	840	300	21.7	3.7%	1.3%	-40	404	-4.5%	45.0%	-0.2	1.8

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$72,431					\$9,999					-\$62,432		-86.2%			
Construction	\$54,294	\$19,632	22.0			\$33,577	\$8,941	16.2			-\$20,717	\$21,572	-38.2%	27.8%		
Manufacturing	\$49,660	\$4,444	5.4			\$44,700	\$10,215	13.9			-\$4,960	\$11,140	-10.0%	22.1%		
Wholesale trade	\$38,005	\$15,780	25.2			\$44,272	\$65,134	89.4			\$6,267	\$67,018	16.5%	178.1%		
Retail trade	\$34,888	\$14,826	25.8			\$30,468	\$4,279	8.5			-\$4,420	\$15,431	-12.7%	39.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$48,362	\$12,211	15.3			\$56,370	\$12,536	13.5			\$8,008	\$17,500	16.6%	39.2%		
Information	\$46,916	\$16,013	20.7			\$62,938	\$31,417	30.3			\$16,022	\$35,262	34.2%	81.1%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$66,528	\$14,930	13.6			\$76,260	\$19,312	15.4			\$9,732	\$24,410	14.6%	38.8%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$59,365	\$10,033	10.3			\$48,545	\$15,270	19.1			-\$10,820	\$18,271	-18.2%	29.2%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$36,368	\$4,609	7.7			\$40,071	\$5,171	7.8			\$3,703	\$6,927	10.2%	19.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$31,325	\$9,643	18.7			\$31,637	\$10,269	19.7			\$312	\$14,087	1.0%	45.2%		
Other services, except public administration	\$40,038	\$6,632	10.1			\$32,014	\$16,939	32.2			-\$8,024	\$18,191	-20.0%	44.3%		
Public administration	\$63,404	\$20,104	19.3			\$72,106	\$18,822	15.9			\$8,702	\$27,540	13.7%	46.7%		

## Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security PUMA 4018

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	46,285	1,531	2.0	100.0%		44,073	591	0.8	100.0%		46,948	1,125	1.5	100.0%		2,875	1,271	6.5%	2.9%		
Owner-occupied	11,018	788	4.3	23.8%	1.5%	12,830	695	3.3	29.1%	1.5%	14,286	1,013	4.3	30.4%	2.0%	1,456	1,228	11.3%	9.9%	1.3	2.5
Renter-occupied	35,267	788	1.4	76.2%	3.0%	31,243	860	1.7	70.9%	1.7%	32,662	1,172	2.2	69.6%	1.9%	1,419	1,454	4.5%	4.7%	-1.3	2.5

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,511	419	10.2	100.0%		3,973	479	7.3	100.0%		4,943	726	8.9	100.0%		970	870	24.4%	23.6%		
Owner-occupied	1,104	282	15.6	44.0%	8.5%	2,143	403	11.4	53.9%	7.8%	2,560	634	15.0	51.8%	10.3%	417	751	19.5%	37.1%	-2.1	12.9
Renter-occupied	1,407	318	13.7	56.0%	8.5%	1,830	344	11.4	46.1%	6.6%	2,383	420	10.7	48.2%	4.7%	553	543	30.2%	33.6%	2.1	8.1

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	5,825	614	6.4	100.0%		4,657	445	5.8	100.0%		4,431	726	10.0	100.0%		-226	852	-4.9%	18.0%		
Owner-occupied	577	205	21.6	9.9%	3.4%	457	198	26.4	9.8%	4.2%	414	183	26.9	9.3%	3.8%	-43	270	-9.4%	56.1%	-0.5	5.7
Renter-occupied	5,248	587	6.8	90.1%	3.4%	4,200	442	6.4	90.2%	4.0%	4,017	724	11.0	90.7%	6.8%	-183	848	-4.4%	20.0%	0.5	7.9

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	4,849	520	6.5	100.0%		4,972	585	7.1	100.0%		6,046	691	6.9	100.0%		1,074	905	21.6%	19.9%		
Owner-occupied	389	169	26.4	8.0%	3.4%	804	262	19.8	16.2%	4.9%	786	351	27.1	13.0%	5.6%	-18	438	-2.2%	54.0%	-3.2	7.4
Renter-occupied	4,460	546	7.4	92.0%	5.5%	4,168	534	7.8	83.8%	4.3%	5,260	671	7.8	87.0%	4.9%	1,092	858	26.2%	22.8%	3.2	6.5

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	31,800	858	1.6	100.0%		30,174	771	1.6	100.0%		30,361	1,248	2.5	100.0%		187	1,467	0.6%	4.9%		
Owner-occupied	8,567	719	5.1	26.9%	2.1%	9,261	556	3.6	30.7%	1.7%	10,200	832	5.0	33.6%	2.4%	939	1,001	10.1%	11.2%	2.9	2.9
Renter-occupied	23,233	926	2.4	73.1%	2.1%	20,913	898	2.6	69.3%	2.4%	20,161	1,114	3.4	66.4%	2.4%	-752	1,431	-3.6%	6.7%	-2.9	3.4

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	12,830	695	3.3	14,286	1,013	4.3	1,456	1,228	11.3%	9.9%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$467,978	\$39,662	5.2	\$592,129	\$40,700	4.2	\$124,151	\$56,829	26.5%	13.8%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,143	403	11.4	2,560	634	15.0	417	751	19.5%	37.1%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$573,826	\$83,762	8.9	\$827,173	\$136,314	10.0	\$253,347	\$159,993	44.2%	31.7%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	457	198	26.4	414	183	26.9	-43	270	-9.4%	56.1%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$405,887	\$59,295	8.9	\$441,605	\$82,214	11.3	\$35,718	\$101,366	8.8%	25.7%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	804	262	19.8	786	351	27.1	-18	438	-2.2%	54.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$517,512	\$79,257	9.3	\$853,722	\$539,445	38.4	\$336,210	\$545,236	65.0%	107.3%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	9,261	556	3.6	10,200	832	5.0	939	1,001	10.1%	11.2%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$447,489	\$36,337	4.9	\$547,296	\$46,286	5.1	\$99,807	\$58,845	22.3%	14.3%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	30,320	867	1.7	32,010	1,167	2.2	1,690	1,454	5.6%	4.9%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,006	\$42	2.6	\$1,177	\$73	3.8	\$171	\$84	17.0%	8.8%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,773	342	11.7	2,327	423	11.1	554	544	31.2%	34.8%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,176	\$200	10.4	\$1,370	\$186	8.3	\$194	\$273	16.5%	25.4%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,173	450	6.5	4,017	724	11.0	-156	852	-3.7%	20.2%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$722	\$123	10.3	\$953	\$377	24.1	\$231	\$397	32.0%	56.9%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	4,096	528	7.8	5,242	669	7.8	1,146	852	28.0%	23.2%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$970	\$187	11.7	\$878	\$212	14.7	-\$92	\$282	-9.5%	28.0%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	20,146	858	2.6	19,583	1,064	3.3	-563	1,367	-2.8%	6.7%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,053	\$53	3.0	\$1,215	\$85	4.2	\$162	\$100	15.3%	9.9%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	29,527	889	1.8	100.0%		31,303	1,232	2.4	100.0%		1,776	1,519	6.0%	5.3%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	17,128	980	3.5	58.0%	2.8%	19,679	1,269	3.9	62.9%	3.2%	2,551	1,603	14.9%	9.9%	4.9	4.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	8,462	794	5.7	28.7%	2.5%	9,990	920	5.6	31.9%	2.7%	1,528	1,215	18.1%	15.5%	3.2	3.7

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	1,773	342	11.7	100.0%		2,303	423	11.2	100.0%		530	544	29.9%	34.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,012	240	14.4	57.1%	7.9%	1,283	361	17.1	55.7%	11.9%	271	433	26.8%	46.7%	-1.4	14.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	396	195	29.9	22.3%	10.1%	760	283	22.6	33.0%	10.7%	364	344	91.9%	118.5%	10.7	14.7

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	4,120	462	6.8	100.0%		3,768	663	10.7	100.0%		-352	808	-8.5%	19.1%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,804	386	13.0	43.8%	8.0%	1,973	456	14.0	52.4%	7.8%	169	597	9.4%	34.4%	8.6	11.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	679	266	23.8	16.5%	6.2%	1,049	322	18.7	27.8%	7.0%	370	418	54.5%	76.9%	11.3	9.4

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	3,992	525	8.0	100.0%		5,114	664	7.9	100.0%		1,122	846	28.1%	23.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	2,298	352	9.3	57.6%	4.5%	3,425	646	11.5	67.0%	9.2%	1,127	736	49.0%	36.2%	9.4	10.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,166	300	15.6	29.2%	6.4%	1,770	443	15.2	34.6%	7.4%	604	535	51.8%	54.5%	5.4	9.8

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	19,525	896	2.8	100.0%		19,277	1,074	3.4	100.0%		-248	1,399	-1.3%	7.1%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	11,926	888	4.5	61.1%	3.6%	12,542	966	4.7	65.1%	3.5%	616	1,312	5.2%	11.3%	4.0	5.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,162	725	7.2	31.6%	3.4%	6,133	801	7.9	31.8%	3.8%	-29	1,080	-0.5%	17.5%	0.2	5.1

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017					
Number			Percent		
Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	
Rent stabilized units	15,040	5,262	18.0	30.5%	10.0%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	32,010	1,167	2.2	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	10,584	985	5.7	33.1%	2.8%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	5,645	788	8.5	17.6%	2.4%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	11,088	980	5.4	34.6%	2.8%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	4,385	609	8.4	13.7%	1.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	233	155	40.4	0.7%	0.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	75	84	68.3	0.2%	0.3%



Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	2,624	1,791	34.0	5.9%	3.8%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	44,073	591	0.8	100.0%		46,948	1,125	1.5	100.0%		2,875	1,271	6.5%	2.9%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	3,099	505	9.9	7.0%	1.1%	5,306	659	7.6	11.3%	1.4%	2,207	830	71.2%	35.1%	4.3	1.8

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,973	479	7.3	100.0%		4,943	726	8.9	100.0%		970	870	24.4%	23.6%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	715	231	19.7	18.0%	5.4%	1,126	373	20.1	22.8%	6.8%	411	439	57.5%	72.9%	4.8	8.7

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,657	445	5.8	100.0%		4,431	726	10.0	100.0%		-226	852	-4.9%	18.0%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	210	134	38.8	4.5%	2.8%	380	251	40.1	8.6%	5.5%	170	285	81.0%	166.2%	4.1	6.2

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	4,972	585	7.1	100.0%		6,046	691	6.9	100.0%		1,074	905	21.6%	19.9%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	642	229	21.7	12.9%	4.4%	972	298	18.6	16.1%	4.6%	330	376	51.4%	71.2%	3.2	6.4

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	30,174	771	1.6	100.0%		30,361	1,248	2.5	100.0%		187	1,467	0.6%	4.9%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,513	332	13.3	5.0%	1.1%	2,479	476	11.7	8.2%	1.5%	966	580	63.8%	47.8%	3.2	1.9

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019- 2023
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	751

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	456	397	-59	-12.9%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	5,863
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	3,679

**Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority  
Housing - Total Population**

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	120,104	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	11,666	9.7%

**Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority  
Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	20,369	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	1,496	7.3%

**Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority  
Housing - Black Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	13,192	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	5,179	39.3%

**Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority  
Housing - Hispanic**

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	19,052	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	3,327	17.5%

**Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority  
Housing - White Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	62,985	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	1,538	2.4%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	



Housing Production  
PUMA 4018

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2022

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2022 Q2	
	Number	Percent
Net change	3,553	7.0%
New building	3,808	7.5%
Alterations that increase units	73	0.1%
Alterations that decrease units	-58	-0.1%
Demolitions	-270	-0.5%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2023	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	244	649
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	111	10
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	631	1,137
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	38	38
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	46	259
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	4	9

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	3.4	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.0	0.0%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity  
PUMA 4018

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	65.6%	-10.3%	9.1%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	10.1%	-3.3%	4.6%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	265.7	221.2	216.5	-5.0	-2.1%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	97.4	87.6	105.2	18.0	20.1%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	517.0	421.0	465.5	45.0	10.6%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	247.2	262.6	253.2	-9.0	-3.6%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	233.4	196.0	194.4	-2.0	-0.8%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	7.9	6.1	3.9	-2.0	-35.7%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	11.2	11.6	0.0	-12.0	-100.0%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	10.8	8.8	0.0	-9.0	-100.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	14.2	23.6	9.0	66.7%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	28.5	29.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	19.4	19.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	19.9	32.6	13.0	63.8%



Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022	
	Number	
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022		957

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022	
	Number	
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022		270

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022	
	Number	
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022		978

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022	
	Number	
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022		572

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022	
	Number	
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022		1,273

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021	
	Score 1-5	
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)		4

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	66,128

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021	
	Percent	
	Estimate	
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	39.0%	
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	3.9%	

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	39,224	1,671	2.6	100.0%		43,184	1,922	2.7	100.0%		3,960	2,547	10.1%	6.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	10,314	1,079	6.4	26.3%	2.5%	12,052	1,386	7.0	27.9%	3.0%	1,738	1,756	16.9%	18.2%	1.6	3.9

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	6,313	686	6.6	100.0%		6,787	915	8.2	100.0%		474	1,144	7.5%	18.6%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,785	361	12.3	28.3%	4.8%	1,918	410	13.0	28.3%	4.7%	133	546	7.5%	31.6%	0.0	6.7

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	4,297	749	10.6	100.0%		3,324	749	13.7	100.0%		-973	1,059	-22.6%	22.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	969	401	25.2	22.6%	8.5%	735	386	31.9	22.1%	10.5%	-234	557	-24.1%	50.7%	-0.5	13.5

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	5,405	737	8.3	100.0%		6,026	844	8.5	100.0%		621	1,120	11.5%	21.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,069	354	20.1	19.8%	6.0%	1,192	431	22.0	19.8%	6.6%	123	558	11.5%	54.7%	0.0	8.9

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	22,961	1,408	3.7	100.0%		24,807	1,382	3.4	100.0%		1,846	1,973	8.0%	9.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	6,446	893	8.4	28.1%	3.5%	7,587	1,015	8.1	30.6%	3.7%	1,141	1,352	17.7%	22.7%	2.5	5.1

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	46,948	1,125	1.5	100.0%	
With a computer	31,207	1,136	2.2	66.5%	1.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	31,665	1,323	2.5	67.4%	2.3%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	4,943	726	8.9	100.0%	
With a computer	3,959	709	10.9	80.1%	8.2%
With a broadband internet subscription	4,034	695	10.5	81.6%	7.3%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,431	726	10.0	100.0%	
With a computer	2,686	561	12.7	60.6%	7.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	2,706	515	11.6	61.1%	5.9%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	6,046	691	6.9	100.0%	
With a computer	3,151	503	9.7	52.1%	5.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	3,841	612	9.7	63.5%	7.0%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	30,361	1,248	2.5	100.0%	
With a computer	20,620	1,027	3.0	67.9%	1.9%
With a broadband internet subscription	20,123	1,105	3.3	66.3%	2.4%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	51.5%
Math proficient	49.2%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	66.4%
Math proficient	68.1%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	29.0%
Math proficient	22.1%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	38.3%
Math proficient	33.4%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	67.2%
Math proficient	66.3%

**Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population**

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	70.9%

**Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	89.3%

**Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	51.7%

**Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic**

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	58.6%

**Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic**

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	82.2%



Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number	Percent
	Estimate	Estimate
Total Population	120,104	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	109,772	91.4%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	618.1	100%	591.9	100%	-26.0	-4.2%	
Pedestrian injuries	187.8	30%	164.1	28%	-24.0	-13%	-2.7
Cyclist injuries	50.5	8%	50.8	9%	0.0	0%	0.4
Motorist injuries	379.7	61%	377.0	64%	-3.0	-1%	2.3

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	4.1	3.2	-0.8	-20.0%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury  
Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019	
	Number	
Total pedestrian hospitalizations		16.3

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault  
Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	51.8

## **Appendix**

## **One-Bedroom Apartment Comparables**

*(source: StreetEasy)*

#### Active Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
108 Bay 32	\$1,550 #3	13	Broker/fee	1	1
2315 Crops	\$1,650 #D1	23	Broker/fee	1	1
250 Quenti	\$1,700 #3E	5	Broker/fee	1	1
2634 West	\$1,825 #6C	16	Broker/no-	1	1
2239 West	\$2,000 #C1	14	Broker/fee	1	1

#### Past Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
1668 West	\$1,500 #10D	12	Broker/fee	1	1
114 Bay 49	\$1,525 #1	26	Broker/fee	1	1
1650 West	\$1,540 #DD	5	Broker/fee	1	1
2251 81st S	\$1,549 #A3	7	Broker/fee	1	1
2251 81st S	\$1,549 #A3	0	Broker/fee	1	1
1601 West	\$1,550 #FF	3	Broker/fee	1	1
1809 West	\$1,550 #2	12	Broker/fee	1	1
121 Bay 38	\$1,599 #2F	1	Broker/fee	1	1
1684 West	\$1,600 #B4	2	Broker/fee	1	1
1949 West	\$1,650 #2	5	Broker/fee	1	1
288 Bay 38	\$1,690 #L3L	3	Broker/fee	1	1
8223 Bay P	\$1,699 #D1	32	Broker/fee	1	1
288 Bay 38	\$1,699 #BB	2	Broker/fee	1	1
265 Quenti	\$1,699 #A5	6	Broker/fee	1	1
8740 25th S	\$1,700 #4S	15	Broker/no-	1	1



254 Quenti	\$1,700	#3Z	8 Broker/fee	1	1
106 Avenu	\$1,700	#6	18 Broker/no-	1	1
245 Quenti	\$1,700	#1	10 Broker/fee	1	1
280 Bay 38	\$1,725	#6	2 Broker/fee	1	1
8700 25th	\$1,750	#2R	11 Broker/fee	1	1
2315 Crops	\$1,750	#B7	5 Broker/fee	1	1
8630 23rd	\$1,750	#A4	1 Broker/fee	1	1
206 Quenti	\$1,750	#D5	20 Broker/no-	1	1
220 Quenti	\$1,795	#4	35 Broker/fee	1	1
2795 Shore	\$1,799	#4A	6 Broker/fee	1	1
1780 West	\$1,800	#2E	15 Broker/no-	1	1
8855 Bay P	\$1,825	#4J	8 Broker/no-	1	1
2512 Ocea	\$1,850	#2	3 Broker/fee	1	1.5
2611 West	\$1,850	#5C	32 Broker/no-	1	1
1702 West	\$1,852	#4D	6 Broker/no-	1	1
2612 West	\$1,875	#6C	43 Broker/no-	1	1
8301 Bay P	\$1,975	#613	54 Broker/no-	1	1
7705 Bay P	\$1,975	#6K	10 Broker/no-	1	1
80 Avenue	\$1,987	#A6	68 Broker/fee	1	1
2650 Ocea	\$1,995	#PHC	28 Broker/fee	1	1
80 Avenue	\$2,000	#A9	22 Broker/fee	1	1
8301 Bay P	\$2,050	#208	1 Broker/no-	1	1
412 Kings	\$2,250	#3B	26 Broker/no-	1	1

## **Two-Bedroom Apartment Comparables**

*(source: StreetEasy)*

#### Active Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
2219 West	\$1,999 #2	48	Broker/fee	2	1
299 Kings Tr	\$2,000 #2A	21	Broker/fee	2	1
2157 West	\$2,000 #1	9	Broker/fee	2	1
1701 West	\$2,100 #5D	5	Broker/fee	2	1
314 Avenue	\$2,300 #2	5	Broker/no-fee	2	1
8838 26th	\$2,500 #1	25	Broker/fee	2	2
380 Kings Tr	\$2,800 #201	13	Broker/fee	2	2
125 Kings Tr	\$2,900 #3E	2	Broker/no-fee	2	2
125 Kings Tr	\$2,900 #3B	27	Broker/no-fee	2	1

#### Past Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
2546 Stillw	\$500 #1	1	Broker/fee	2	1
121 Bay 38	\$1,685 #2R	9	Broker/fee	2	1
78 Bay 43rd	\$1,750 GROUND-F	20	Broker/fee	2	1
114 Bay 49	\$1,820 #5	36	Broker/fee	2	1
2113 West	\$1,850 #1	28	Broker/fee	2	1
1663 West	\$1,900 #2F	13	Broker/fee	2	1
2444 West	\$1,900 #1	6	Broker/fee	2	1
2118 East 1	\$1,900 #2R	123	Broker/fee	2	1
189 27th A	\$1,900 #2	1	Broker/fee	2	1
105 Bay 50	\$1,900 #3R	39	Broker/no-fee	2	1
1870 West	\$1,950 #2R	34	Broker/fee	2	1

299 Kings H	\$2,000	#1	33 Broker/fee	2	1
2163 East 2	\$2,000	#2	2 Broker/fee	2	1
170 Graves	\$2,000	#2	5 Broker/fee	2	1
2157 West	\$2,000	#2	12 Broker/fee	2	1
280 Bay 38	\$2,000	#LB	12 Broker/fee	2	1
180 Bay 31	\$2,050	#4	6 Broker/fee	2	1
2546 Stillw	\$2,050	#UNIT5	13 Broker/fee	2	1
373 Avenu	\$2,099	#FLA	10 Broker/fee	2	1
2795 Shore	\$2,100	#2D	6 Broker/fee	2	1
16 Van Sick	\$2,100	#3	13 Broker/fee	2	1
2318 81st S	\$2,100	#2R	33 Broker/fee	2	1
2580 Ocean	\$2,100	#3F	38 Broker/fee	2	1
162 Bay 40	\$2,200	#2	0 Broker/fee	2	1
162 Bay 44	\$2,200	#2	20 Broker/fee	2	1
2518 Ocean	\$2,200	#F	7 Broker/fee	2	1
194 Avenu	\$2,200	#2F	13 Broker/fee	2	1
2434 West	\$2,200	#1	6 Broker/fee	2	1
488 Lake St	\$2,200	#1	7 Broker/fee	2	1
2434 West	\$2,200	#2	6 Broker/fee	2	1
2278 80th S	\$2,250	#3F	29 Broker/fee	2	2
8301 Bay P	\$2,300	#407	18 Broker/no-	2	1
2278 80th S	\$2,300	#2	30 Broker/fee	2	2
2278 80th S	\$2,300	#4	2 Broker/fee	2	2

2278 80th	\$2,300 #3	14 Broker/fee	2	2
2611 West	\$2,350 #2N	32 Broker/no-	2	1
1701 West	\$2,400 #6R	3 Broker/no-	2	1
125 Bay 46	\$2,400 #1	6 Broker/fee	2	1
1726 West	\$2,400 #4	10 Broker/fee	2	1
2611 West	\$2,400 #2NN	31 Broker/no-	2	1
1922 West	\$2,400 #1	22 Broker/fee	2	1
1701 West	\$2,400 #6D	3 Broker/no-	2	1
1725 West	\$2,499 #1	24 Broker/fee	2	1.5
1729 Stillw	\$2,500 #BB	46 Broker/no-	2	1.5
2630 Crops	\$2,600 #13K	8 Broker/fee	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #6R	13 Broker/no-	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #2R	19 Broker/no-	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #5R	14 Broker/no-	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #4R	15 Broker/no-	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #3R	13 Broker/no-	2	1
422 Avenu	\$2,700 #1R	23 Broker/no-	2	1
1743 Shore	\$2,700 #2	49 Broker/fee	2	1.5
355 Kings f	\$2,900 #2A	7 Broker/fee	2	2
125 Kings f	\$3,050 #3J	24 Broker/no-	2	1
125 Kings f	\$3,100 #2J	8 Broker/no-	2	1
125 Kings f	\$3,100 #2E	49 Broker/no-	2	2
125 Kings f	\$3,100 #2G	34 Broker/no-	2	1
125 Kings f	\$3,100 #3A	28 Broker/no-	2	1

## **Three-Bedroom Apartment Comparables**

*(source: StreetEasy)*

#### Active Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
8833 26th	\$2,400 #2	0	By owner/rental	3	2
2464 East 1	\$2,600 #2	19	Broker/fee	3	1
125 Bay 46	\$2,750 #2	6	Broker/fee	3	2
2227 West	\$2,800 #3	1	Broker/fee	3	1
2170 West	\$2,900 #2	79	Broker/fee	3	1
121 Bay 46	\$3,300 #2	1	Broker/fee	3	1.5

#### Past Listings

address	price_per_unit	days_on_mkt	listing_type	beds	baths
2514 East 1	\$2,080 #1	1	Broker/fee	3	1
276 Kings H	\$2,099 #2A	19	Broker/fee	3	1
114 Bay 49	\$2,150 #4	54	Broker/fee	3	1.5
180 Bay 31	\$2,199 #8A	84	Broker/fee	3	1
2563 West	\$2,200 #2	18	Broker/fee	3	1
1703 West	\$2,200 #2	28	Broker/fee	3	1
155 Bay 37	\$2,200 #1	11	Broker/fee	3	1
2514 East 1	\$2,280 #2	18	Broker/fee	3	1
1653 West	\$2,300 #3	6	Broker/fee	3	1.5
45 Bay 38tl	\$2,350 #2B	1	Broker/fee	3	1
2463 West	\$2,400 #2	4	Broker/fee	3	1
8833 26th	\$2,400 #2	3	Broker/fee	3	2
26 Bay 38tl	\$2,400 #2	13	Broker/fee	3	1
32 Highlaw	\$2,500 #1	14	Broker/fee	3	1.5

45 Bay 38tl	\$2,500 #2A	0 Broker/fee	3	1
2930 86th :	\$2,500 #3	5 Broker/fee	3	2
36 Highlaw	\$2,500 #1	34 Broker/fee	3	1.5
2139 West	\$2,545 #1	5 Broker/fee	3	1
80 Bay 46tl	\$2,600 #3	34 Broker/fee	3	2
2063 West	\$2,650 #3	4 Broker/fee	3	1
2227 West	\$2,800 #2	33 Broker/fee	3	1
2652 Harw	\$2,800 #4	10 Broker/fee	3	1
1627 West	\$2,995	27 Broker/fee	3	1
207 Bay 34	\$2,995 #3F	14 Broker/fee	3	2
168 Bay 49	\$3,000 #2A	6 Broker/fee	3	2
209 Bay 31	\$3,000 #2	3 Broker/fee	3	2
1979 West	\$3,000 #1	4 Broker/fee	3	2
2063 West	\$3,200 #2	25 Broker/fee	3	1
2345 East 1	\$3,700 #2	15 Broker/fee	3	2