Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: Ocean Crest Rezoning

Contact: Goldman Harris LLC, Caroline G. Harris, Partner
charris@goldmanharris.com 212-935-1622

Prepared by: AKRF, Inc. Date: September 29, 2022

Note: Racial equity reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

APPLICATION TYPE

Applications that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant criteria:

☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts

☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☒ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet

☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet

☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Section 1: Executive summary

Provide a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

The Proposed Development is consistent with the City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunities by creating a 100 percent affordable development with 105 affordable dwelling units, including 17 supportive housing units for formerly homeless households, plus one unit reserved for a building superintendent, and community facility space in the Far Rockaway-Bayswater neighborhood in Queens Community District 14, a district in which most households are low-income, and a majority of the population is Black or Hispanic.

Two separate geographic data sets are referenced in the text below and generally in this document. The first data set that is used for the Displacement Risk Index (DRI) is based off a smaller geographic area - the Neighborhood Tabulation Area (NTA) which solely covers the Far Rockaway-Bayswater neighborhood of Queens. The second data set that is used for the Community Profile Summary is based off of a larger geographic area - the Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA) which covers the larger Queens Community District (CD) 14 area, encompassing Far Rockaway, Breezy Point, and Broad Channel. Data at the community district level allow for variables to be tabulated by race and ethnicity.

Based on the DRI, Far Rockaway-Bayswater is in the intermediate category for Displacement Risk, on a scale of lowest, lower, intermediate, higher, and highest. The DRI score of intermediate is based on the neighborhood's Population Vulnerability (intermediate), Housing Conditions (intermediate), and Market Pressure (lowest). Far Rockaway-Bayswater has intermediate Population Vulnerability because 75 percent of the population is non-white, and 41 percent have incomes that are less than 200 percent of the federal poverty rate. Housing Conditions are similar to those in New York City overall. Far Rockaway-Bayswater is experiencing "lowest" Market Pressure based on changes in rent, property value, and educational attainment of the population that are similar to that of New York City overall and it is not adjacent to neighborhoods with high market pressure.

The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 2 of "Where We Live NYC," "Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region" by developing 105 affordable housing units in a community district with a large low-income population that has experienced inadequate housing production over the last decade. The Proposed Development furthers Goal #4: "Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents" as it will not result in direct displacement of residents and the Proposed Developments' affordable units will be subject to HPD Marketing Guidelines which include a preference for applicants from the local community district. The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 5: "Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities" as it will create new ADA compliant housing in an area with a high concentration of New Yorkers with disabilities (see Figure 5.43 of "Where We Live NYC"). The Proposed Development also furthers Goal # 6: "Make equitable investments to address the neighborhood-based legacy of discrimination, segregation, and concentrated poverty" by creating community facility space that serves neighborhood needs in a neighborhood that is predominantly non-white and low-income.

Far Rockaway, Breezy Point & Broad Channel

PUMA 4114

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.





Displacement Risk

by Neighborhood Tabulation Area

Lowest | Lower | Intermediate | Higher | Highest

0 1 2

Miles

N

Section 2: Project specific information

Before completing Section 2, please review the racial equity report submission guide.

2.1 Residential affordability

2.1.1 Proposed residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 88,994

Gross residential square feet: 89,849

2.1.2 Estimated new housing units

Report the number of anticipated housing units. Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units.

Income restriction	Units		Anticipated affordability				
			AMI	Rent (2022)*		Eligible income (2022)**	
	#	%		Studio	2-bedroom	1-person	4-person household
0-30% AMI (LI) OurSpace	17	16%	30%	$700	$900	$28,000	$40,000
0-30% AMI (LI)	11	10%	30%	$700	$900	$28,000	$40,000
31-50% AMI (VLI)	16	15%	40%	$930	$1,200	$37,400	$53,400
31-50% AMI (VLI)	18	17%	50%	$1,170	$1,500	$46,700	$66,700
51-80% AMI (LI)	25	24%	60%	$1,400	$1,800	$56,000	$80,000
51-80% AMI (LI)	18	17%	80%	$1,870	$2,400	$74,700	$106,700
Total	**105**	**100%**					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on 2022 AMI, rounded to the nearest $10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on 2022 AMI, rounded to the nearest $100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

Provide additional details below as needed. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.

The Proposed Development will be subject to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) Options 1 or 2. With 106 dwelling units, including 1 unit for a superintendent, the Proposed Development will include 27 to 32 affordable dwelling units in compliance with MIH affordability Options 1 or 2, respectively. The remaining non-MIH dwelling units in the Proposed Development will also be affordable. The Proposed Development will be financed through the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development ("HPD") and Housing Development Corporation ("HDC"). Financing includes low-income housing tax credits ("LIHTC"), tax-exempt bonds, and subsidy funding from HPD. As part of HPD's OurSpace program, 17 units will be set aside for formerly homeless households with incomes at or below 30 percent AMI. Rents and incomes are based on 2022 HUD AMI. Rents assume tenant pays electric including stove, based on HPD utility allowances.

(2.1.2 continued)

2.2 Profile of specific anticipated jobs

Report building area and job sector details for specific non-residential uses. Specific non-residential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build for their own use.

If the proposal includes non-residential space but no specific tenants or businesses, do not complete this section. General non-residential space can be discussed in Section 4.

2.2.1 Proposed specific non-residential floor area

Total specific non-residential zoning square feet:

Gross specific non-residential square feet:

2.2.2 Specific anticipated new jobs

If the application proposes specific non-residential uses, report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector.

Sector (name and NAICS code)	Job count
N/A	N/A
Total	N/A

For each sector, use most recent available data to describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those sectors in New York City.

The community facility space in the Proposed Development is not being built for the use of a specific tenant or business.

2.3 Anticipated construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
Proposed Development: 91,841 GSF	173	86
Totals	173	86

Section 3: Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary provides a graphic snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the relevant Community District[1]. Data tables and sources can be found in the full community profile (Section 5 of this report.)

Using the graphs below, provide a brief written description of demographic, socioeconomic and housing conditions and trends relevant to the proposed project.

The Proposed Development is located in Public Use Microdata Area 4114 or Community District (CD) 14, which generally includes Far Rockaway, Breezy Point, and Broad Channel. Data are presented at the community district level to allow for cross tabulation by race and ethnicity.

In 2020, 36 percent of the population of CD 14 identified as Black non-Hispanic, which was the most populous race/ethnicity. Approximately 32 percent identified as White non-Hispanic, 23 percent identified as Hispanic/Latino (of any race) and 3 percent identified as Asian non-Hispanic. CD 14 had a higher percentage of its population that identified as Black non-Hispanic (36 percent) as compared to Queens (16 percent) and New York City (20 percent). CD 14 had a lower percentage of the population that identified as Asian non-Hispanic (3 percent) as compared to Queens (27 percent) and New York City (16 percent).

From 2010 to 2020, the population of CD 14 grew 8 percent, as did the population of Queens and New York City, while housing units increased by 5 percent. Though the Asian non-Hispanic population in CD 14 was small in 2020 (3 percent of the population), it grew 39 percent between 2010 and 2020. The Hispanic/Latino population in CD 14 grew 19 percent from 2010 to 2020, which exceeded the growth of this population in Queens (9 percent) and New York City (7 percent). The Black non-Hispanic population in CD 14 grew 1 percent, while the Black non-Hispanic population in Queens and New York City declined by 4 and 5 percent, respectively. The White non-Hispanic population in CD 14 declined by 1 percent from 2010 to 2020.

For 2015-2019, the estimated median household income in CD 14 was $55,364. The median household income in CD 14 was lower than that of Queens ($69,016) and New York City ($64,519). Based on 2015-2019 data and 2017 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income bands, 24 percent of CD 14's population was considered extremely low-income. Approximately 15 percent of the population was considered very low-income (31- 50 percent of AMI). An estimated 21 percent of the population in CD 14 was considered low-income (51-80 percent of AMI). In total, 60 percent of CD 14's households are low-income to extremely low-income.

For 2015-2019, in CD 14, an estimated 33.9 percent of the Hispanic/Latino population were in the extremely low-income AMI band (0-30 percent of AMI). An additional 43.1 percent of the Hispanic/Latino population in CD 14 were in the very low-income (31-50 percent of AMI) and the low-income (51-80 percent of AMI) AMI bands. For the Black non-Hispanic population, 26.5 percent of the population were considered extremely low-income, 13.6 percent were very low-income, 23.3 percent were low-income, 16.0 percent were moderate-income, and 20.6 percent were middle- or high-income. For the Asian non-Hispanic population, 23.2 percent were extremely low-income, 27.9 percent were very low-income, 14.7 percent were low-income, 6 percent were moderate-income, and 28.2 percent were middle- or high-income. For the White non-Hispanic population, 38.2 percent of the population was middle- or high-income, 16.3 percent were moderate-income, 15.5 percent were low-income, 12.7 percent were very low-income, and 17.2 percent were extremely low-income.

In 2020, about 42 percent of CD 14 residents lived within a ¼-mile of a subway station or Select Bus Station, which is higher than in Queens (27 percent of the population) and slightly lower than the rate in New York City overall (47 percent of the population).

In 2020, the percent of households in CD 14 that were rent-burdened or severely rent burdened was similar to the rates in Queens and New York City. In 2015-2019, the estimated median rent in CD 14 was $1,224, which was lower than the median rent in Queens ($1,587) and New York City ($1,457).

[1] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

NYC PLANNING

Community Profile Summary

Far Rockaway, Breezy Point, & Broad Channel

(PUMA 4114, approximately Queens CD 14)

Each figure includes a description and a sample interpretive sentence. Data is sourced from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey, and the NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey. For more detailed data sourcing, see the associated source table in the Equitable Development Data Explorer, available here.

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2

Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6

Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes

Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."



Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2019 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"For 2015-2019, the estimated median household income in [area] was [$]."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2017 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"For 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2017 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2015-2019."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector[1], 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.06

[1] Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2020

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."



Source: Department of City Planning 2020; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2015-2019 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2015-2019, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [$]."



Source: American Community Survey 2015-2019; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2020 Q4 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars



Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates comes with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as ***errror bars.***

These "I" figures are called ***error bars***. Error bars account for uncertainty in ***sample data*** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the ***error bars*** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about $160 and $245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller ***error bar***, which is why the size of the ***error bar*** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.

Correct intrepretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about $50 and $190."

Based on the ***error bar*** for Chinatown, this is correct.

Incorrect intrepretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."

Because the ***error bars*** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan ($160-$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown ($45-$195).

Section 4: Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, Where We Live NYC.

The Proposed Development is consistent with the City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunities by creating 105 affordable dwelling units, including 17 units set aside for extremely low-income formerly homeless households. The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 2: Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region; Goal # 3: Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents; Goal # 5: Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities, and Goal # 6 Make equitable investments to address the neighborhood-based legacy of discrimination, segregation, and concentrated poverty.

Goal # 2: Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region
The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 2 by developing 105 affordable income-restricted housing units in a community district with a large low-income population that has experienced inadequate housing production over the last decade. In CD 14, 60 percent of households were low-, very low-, or extremely low-income according to 2015-2019 ACS data and HUD AMI bands (0-80% of AMI); the Proposed Development will provide permanently income-restricted units that will be affordable to this low-income population. As shown in Figure 5 of the Community Profile Summary, the majority of Hispanic/Latino, Black non-Hispanic, and Asian households in CD 14 are low- to extremely low-income, therefore, the Proposed Development will provide affordable housing options for low-income families of color.

Housing production in CD 14 has not kept pace with housing production as the population grew by 8 percent from 2010 to 2020, while housing units only grew 5 percent. The Proposed Development will increase housing availability overall, which is broadly beneficial for housing affordability.

Goal # 4: Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents
The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 4 as it will not result in direct displacement of residents because the Proposed Development will be constructed on the currently vacant portion of the Development Site.

The Proposed Development will be financed through the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development ("HPD") and Housing Development Corporation ("HDC"). All rental units will be rent-regulated. Financing includes low-income housing tax credits ("LIHTC"), tax-exempt bonds, and subsidy funding from HPD. As such, the Proposed Development's units will be subject to HPD Marketing Guidelines which include a preference for applicants from the local community district.

Goal # 5: Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities
The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 5 as it will create new ADA-compliant housing in an area with a high concentration of New Yorkers with disabilities (see Figure 5.43 of "Where We Live NYC").

Goal # 6 Make equitable investments to address the neighborhood-based legacy of discrimination, segregation, and concentrated poverty.
The Proposed Development furthers Goal # 6 by creating community facility space in a residential development that serves neighborhood needs in a neighborhood (Far Rockaway-Bayswater) in which 75 percent of the population is non-white and 41 percent have an income below 200 percent of the federal poverty rate. The Proposed Development includes 1,991 gsf of ground floor community facility space which will likely be tenanted by a day care provider.

(Section 4 continued)

Section 5: Community profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer (EDDE) for the relevant Community District[2]. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

[2] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Demographic Conditions

PUMA 4114

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	106,738	100.0%	114,978	100.0%	124,185	100.0%	9,207	8.0%	
Asian non-Hispanic	1,690	1.6%	2,555	2.2%	3,553	2.9%	998	39.1%	0.7
Black non-Hispanic	42,677	40.0%	44,663	38.8%	44,872	36.1%	209	0.5%	-2.7
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	18,841	17.7%	24,102	21.0%	28,593	23.0%	4,491	18.6%	2.0
White non-Hispanic	39,822	37.3%	40,459	35.2%	40,189	32.4%	-270	-0.7%	-2.8
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	3,708	3.5%	3,199	2.8%	6,978	5.6%	3,779	118.1%	2.8

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	103,729	2,294	1.3	100.0%		115,585	3,179	1.7	100.0%		128,478	3,272	1.5	100.0%		12,893	4,562	11.2%	4.2%		
Under 16 years	25,898	1,299	3.1	25.0%	1.1%	27,907	1,808	3.9	24.1%	1.4%	31,554	1,841	3.5	24.6%	1.3%	3,647	2,580	13.1%	9.9%	0.5	1.9
16 to 64 years	62,688	1,468	1.4	60.4%	0.5%	71,824	2,265	1.9	62.1%	1.0%	77,583	1,992	1.6	60.4%	0.2%	5,759	3,016	8.0%	4.4%	-1.7	1.0
65 years and over	15,143	1,060	4.3	14.6%	1.0%	15,854	835	3.2	13.7%	0.6%	19,341	750	2.4	15.1%	0.4%	3,487	1,122	22.0%	8.0%	1.4	0.7
Median age (years)	35.7	1.0	1.7			35.6	1.0	1.7			36.1	0.9	1.5			0.5	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	1,660	638	23.3	100.0%		2,401	694	17.6	100.0%		4,576	915	12.2	100.0%		2,175	1,148	90.6%	67.0%		
Under 16 years	259	150	35.2	15.6%	6.7%	329	164	30.2	13.7%	5.5%	767	256	20.3	16.8%	4.5%	438	304	133.1%	139.9%	3.1	7.1
16 to 64 years	1,287	332	15.7	77.5%	35.9%	1,829	594	19.8	76.2%	11.3%	2,874	692	14.6	62.8%	8.4%	1,045	912	57.1%	63.5%	-13.4	14.1
65 years and over	114	99	53.0	6.9%	5.4%	243	134	33.4	10.1%	4.7%	935	275	17.9	20.4%	4.4%	692	306	284.8%	240.5%	10.3	6.4
Median age (years)	40.8	4.3	6.4			38.2	5.0	7.9			44.0	8.0	11.1			5.8	9.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	41,905	2,493	3.6	100.0%		43,678	2,309	3.2	100.0%		47,108	2,553	3.3	100.0%		3,430	3,442	7.9%	8.2%		
Under 16 years	12,739	985	4.7	30.4%	1.5%	10,928	1,196	6.7	25.0%	2.4%	11,297	1,104	5.9	24.0%	2.0%	369	1,628	3.4%	15.2%	-1.0	3.1
16 to 64 years	25,791	1,298	3.1	61.5%	4.8%	28,280	1,517	3.3	64.7%	0.6%	30,453	1,847	3.7	64.6%	1.8%	2,173	2,390	7.7%	8.7%	-0.1	1.9
65 years and over	3,375	533	9.6	8.1%	1.2%	4,470	533	7.3	10.2%	1.1%	5,358	579	6.6	11.4%	1.1%	888	787	19.9%	19.3%	1.2	1.6
Median age (years)	29.1	1.5	3.1			33.1	1.7	3.2			33.8	1.3	2.3			0.7	2.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	17,107	1,885	6.7	100.0%		25,562	2,232	5.3	100.0%		30,835	2,352	4.6	100.0%		5,273	3,242	20.6%	14.0%		
Under 16 years	4,982	642	7.8	29.1%	1.9%	7,252	942	7.9	28.4%	2.7%	8,545	1,149	8.2	27.7%	3.1%	1,293	1,486	17.8%	22.0%	-0.7	4.1
16 to 64 years	11,123	929	5.1	65.0%	9.0%	16,728	1,681	6.1	65.4%	3.3%	19,343	1,565	4.9	62.7%	1.7%	2,615	2,297	15.6%	14.9%	-2.7	3.7
65 years and over	1,002	294	17.8	5.9%	1.6%	1,582	317	12.2	6.2%	1.1%	2,947	431	8.9	9.6%	1.2%	1,365	535	86.3%	46.2%	3.4	1.6
Median age (years)	28.1	3.2	6.9			29.3	1.5	3.2			30.8	1.6	3.2			1.5	2.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	39,537	2,468	3.8	100.0%		41,380	1,480	2.2	100.0%		43,073	2,094	3.0	100.0%		1,693	2,564	4.1%	6.3%		
Under 16 years	7,229	764	6.4	18.3%	1.6%	8,821	762	5.2	21.3%	1.7%	9,908	1,156	7.1	23.0%	2.4%	1,087	1,385	12.3%	16.3%	1.7	2.9
16 to 64 years	21,923	1,226	3.4	55.4%	4.6%	23,302	1,047	2.7	56.3%	1.5%	23,221	1,259	3.3	53.9%	1.3%	-81	1,637	-0.3%	7.0%	-2.4	2.0
65 years and over	10,385	901	5.3	26.3%	1.6%	9,257	602	4.0	22.4%	1.2%	9,944	648	4.0	23.1%	1.0%	687	884	7.4%	9.9%	0.7	1.6
Median age (years)	44.8	1.6	2.2			44.8	1.7	2.3			44.5	2.0	2.7			-0.3	3.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	103,729	2,294	1.3	100.0%		115,585	3,179	1.7	100.0%		128,478	3,272	1.5	100.0%		12,893	4,562	11.2%	4.2%		
Foreign-born	24,799	1,477	3.6	23.9%	1.3%	30,618	2,595	5.2	26.5%	2.1%	35,817	2,306	3.9	27.9%	1.6%	5,199	3,472	17.0%	12.5%	1.4	2.6

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	1,660	638	23.3	100.0%		2,401	694	17.6	100.0%		4,576	915	12.2	100.0%		2,175	1,148	90.6%	67.0%		
Foreign-born	1,286	383	18.1	77.5%	37.7%	1,771	583	20.0	73.8%	11.6%	3,556	676	11.6	77.7%	21.4%	1,785	893	100.8%	76.3%	3.9	24.3

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	41,905	2,493	3.6	100.0%		43,678	2,309	3.2	100.0%		47,108	2,553	3.3	100.0%		3,430	3,442	7.9%	8.2%		
Foreign-born	9,471	998	6.4	22.6%	2.0%	11,454	1,280	6.8	26.2%	2.6%	13,329	1,606	7.3	28.3%	3.0%	1,875	2,054	16.4%	19.1%	2.1	4.0

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	17,107	1,885	6.7	100.0%		25,562	2,232	5.3	100.0%		30,835	2,352	4.6	100.0%		5,273	3,242	20.6%	14.0%		
Foreign-born	6,886	862	7.6	40.3%	2.4%	10,614	1,504	8.6	41.5%	4.6%	11,868	1,565	8.0	38.5%	4.1%	1,254	2,171	11.8%	21.6%	-3.0	6.2

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	39,537	2,468	3.8	100.0%		41,380	1,480	2.2	100.0%		43,073	2,094	3.0	100.0%		1,693	2,564	4.1%	6.3%		
Foreign-born	5,430	772	8.6	13.7%	1.8%	5,525	809	8.9	13.4%	1.9%	6,435	1,108	10.5	14.9%	2.5%	910	1,372	16.5%	26.3%	1.5	3.1

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	95,794	798	0.5	100.0%		106,159	2,853	1.6	100.0%		117,337	2,812	1.5	100.0%		11,178	4,006	10.5%	4.0%		
Speak English less than "very well"	11,692	1,169	6.1	12.2%	1.2%	15,273	1,883	7.5	14.4%	1.7%	15,643	1,749	6.8	13.3%	1.5%	370	2,570	2.4%	17.0%	-1.1	2.3

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	1,560	365	14.2	100.0%		2,297	670	17.7	100.0%		4,262	864	12.3	100.0%		1,965	1,093	85.5%	65.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	425	236	33.8	27.2%	13.7%	477	301	38.3	20.8%	11.6%	1,324	369	16.9	31.1%	5.9%	847	476	177.6%	191.5%	10.3	13.0

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	38,268	1,449	2.3	100.0%		40,219	2,015	3.0	100.0%		43,112	2,348	3.3	100.0%		2,893	3,094	7.2%	7.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	1,799	482	16.3	4.7%	1.2%	1,576	574	22.2	3.9%	1.4%	1,048	453	26.3	2.4%	1.0%	-528	731	-33.5%	37.6%	-1.5	1.7

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	15,442	1,069	4.2	100.0%		23,065	2,047	5.4	100.0%		27,570	2,074	4.6	100.0%		4,505	2,914	19.5%	13.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	5,939	858	8.8	38.5%	4.9%	9,192	1,417	9.4	39.9%	5.0%	9,819	1,411	8.7	35.6%	4.4%	627	2,000	6.8%	22.5%	-4.3	6.7

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	37,311	1,441	2.3	100.0%		38,242	1,344	2.1	100.0%		39,861	1,721	2.6	100.0%		1,619	2,184	4.2%	5.8%		
Speak English less than "very well"	3,249	644	12.0	8.7%	1.7%	3,640	750	12.5	9.5%	1.9%	3,242	709	13.3	8.1%	1.7%	-398	1,032	-10.9%	26.8%	-1.4	2.5

Household Economic Security

PUMA 4114

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	64,999	1,452	1.4	100.0%		73,328	1,902	1.6	100.0%		82,989	1,782	1.3	100.0%		9,661	2,606	13.2%	3.8%		
Less than high school degree	18,350	1,146	3.8	28.2%	1.6%	15,354	1,350	5.3	20.9%	1.8%	16,715	1,445	5.3	20.1%	1.7%	1,361	1,978	8.9%	13.4%	-0.8	2.5
High school degree or equivalent	18,962	1,160	3.7	29.2%	1.7%	21,587	1,483	4.2	29.4%	1.9%	21,225	1,705	4.9	25.6%	2.0%	-362	2,260	-1.7%	10.4%	-3.8	2.8
Some college or Associate's degree	14,357	1,037	4.4	22.1%	1.5%	18,306	1,400	4.6	25.0%	1.8%	20,751	1,383	4.1	25.0%	1.6%	2,445	1,968	13.4%	11.5%	0.0	2.4
Bachelor's degree or higher	13,330	1,005	4.6	20.5%	1.5%	18,081	1,270	4.3	24.7%	1.6%	24,298	1,599	4.0	29.3%	1.8%	6,217	2,042	34.4%	12.9%	4.6	2.4

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	1,209	322	16.2	100.0%		1,878	561	18.1	100.0%		3,558	701	12.0	100.0%		1,680	898	89.5%	67.8%		
Less than high school degree	268	152	34.6	22.2%	11.1%	440	190	26.2	23.4%	7.3%	815	276	20.6	22.9%	6.3%	375	335	85.2%	101.6%	-0.5	9.6
High school degree or equivalent	301	161	32.6	24.9%	11.6%	479	324	41.2	25.5%	15.5%	559	203	22.1	15.7%	4.8%	80	382	16.7%	89.6%	-9.8	16.2
Some college or Associate's degree	173	123	43.0	14.3%	9.4%	186	131	42.9	9.9%	6.3%	478	189	24.0	13.4%	4.6%	292	230	157.0%	207.6%	3.5	7.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	467	201	26.2	38.6%	13.1%	773	367	28.8	41.2%	15.2%	1,706	462	16.5	47.9%	8.9%	933	590	120.7%	120.6%	6.7	17.6

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	23,594	1,258	3.2	100.0%		26,080	1,358	3.2	100.0%		30,093	1,601	3.2	100.0%		4,013	2,099	15.4%	8.6%		
Less than high school degree	7,773	790	6.2	32.9%	2.9%	5,405	707	8.0	20.7%	2.5%	5,965	891	9.1	19.8%	2.8%	560	1,137	10.4%	21.9%	-0.9	3.8
High school degree or equivalent	7,110	759	6.5	30.1%	2.8%	9,050	939	6.3	34.7%	3.1%	9,026	1,119	7.5	30.0%	3.4%	-24	1,461	-0.3%	16.1%	-4.7	4.6
Some college or Associate's degree	5,980	700	7.1	25.3%	2.6%	6,715	771	7.0	25.7%	2.6%	8,825	1,094	7.5	29.3%	3.3%	2,110	1,338	31.4%	22.2%	3.6	4.2
Bachelor's degree or higher	2,731	481	10.7	11.6%	1.9%	4,910	706	8.7	18.8%	2.5%	6,277	1,146	11.1	20.9%	3.6%	1,367	1,346	27.8%	29.7%	2.1	4.4

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	9,143	851	5.7	100.0%		14,531	1,404	5.9	100.0%		18,087	1,316	4.4	100.0%		3,556	1,924	24.5%	15.1%		
Less than high school degree	4,454	609	8.3	48.7%	4.9%	5,836	967	10.1	40.2%	5.4%	6,849	946	8.4	37.9%	4.4%	1,013	1,353	17.4%	25.3%	-2.3	7.0
High school degree or equivalent	2,471	458	11.3	27.0%	4.3%	4,294	776	11.0	29.6%	4.5%	5,137	783	9.3	28.4%	3.8%	843	1,102	19.6%	28.3%	-1.2	5.9
Some college or Associate's degree	1,390	345	15.1	15.2%	3.5%	3,047	684	13.6	21.0%	4.2%	3,888	825	12.9	21.5%	4.3%	841	1,072	27.6%	39.4%	0.5	6.0
Bachelor's degree or higher	828	267	19.6	9.1%	2.8%	1,354	403	18.1	9.3%	2.6%	2,213	587	16.1	12.2%	3.1%	859	712	63.4%	65.2%	2.9	4.0

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	28,906	1,346	2.8	100.0%		29,131	952	2.0	100.0%		29,748	1,103	2.3	100.0%		617	1,457	2.1%	5.0%		
Less than high school degree	5,187	654	7.7	17.9%	2.1%	3,307	538	9.9	11.4%	1.8%	2,543	454	10.9	8.5%	1.5%	-764	704	-23.1%	18.6%	-2.9	2.3
High school degree or equivalent	8,619	829	5.8	29.8%	2.5%	7,379	714	5.9	25.3%	2.3%	6,102	779	7.8	20.5%	2.5%	-1,277	1,057	-17.3%	13.2%	-4.8	3.4
Some college or Associate's degree	6,141	709	7.0	21.2%	2.2%	7,898	825	6.3	27.1%	2.7%	7,279	779	6.5	24.5%	2.5%	-619	1,135	-7.8%	13.8%	-2.6	3.7
Bachelor's degree or higher	8,959	843	5.7	31.0%	2.5%	10,547	939	5.4	36.2%	3.0%	13,824	1,092	4.8	46.5%	3.2%	3,277	1,440	31.1%	15.6%	10.3	4.4

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	39,548	602	0.9	42,788	526	0.7	3,240	799	8.2%	2.1%
Median household income (dollars)	$55,798	$5,020	5.5	$55,364	$3,434	3.8	-$434	$6,082	-0.8%	10.8%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	739	321	26.4	1,390	350	15.3	651	475	88.1%	94.4%
Median household income (dollars)	$61,581	$39,759	39.2	$42,545	$22,831	32.6	-$19,036	$45,848	-30.9%	58.0%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	14,966	810	3.3	15,388	886	3.5	422	1,200	2.8%	8.1%
Median household income (dollars)	$47,246	$4,092	5.3	$51,343	$4,431	5.2	$4,097	$6,031	8.7%	13.3%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	7,331	713	5.9	9,470	888	5.7	2,139	1,139	29.2%	17.5%
Median household income (dollars)	$40,893	$10,074	15.0	$43,039	$4,518	6.4	$2,146	$11,041	5.2%	28.2%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2019 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,762	684	2.6	15,950	795	3.0	188	1,049	1.2%	6.7%
Median household income (dollars)	$74,725	$8,465	6.9	$77,987	$8,936	7.0	$3,262	$12,309	4.4%	16.8%

Table 2.03.T: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	42,788	526	0.7	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	10,412	945	5.5	24.3%	2.2%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	6,296	863	8.3	14.7%	2.0%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	8,814	1,010	7.0	20.6%	2.3%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	6,331	707	6.8	14.8%	1.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	4,448	645	8.8	10.4%	1.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	6,487	691	6.5	15.2%	1.6%

Table 2.03.A: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,390	350	15.3	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	322	190	35.9	23.2%	12.4%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	388	160	25.1	27.9%	9.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	205	139	41.2	14.7%	9.3%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	83	63	46.2	6.0%	4.3%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	249	116	28.2	17.9%	7.0%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	143	104	44.2	10.3%	7.0%

Table 2.03.B: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	15,388	886	3.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	4,078	572	8.5	26.5%	3.4%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	2,094	513	14.9	13.6%	3.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	3,589	635	10.8	23.3%	3.9%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,455	477	11.8	16.0%	3.0%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,622	387	14.5	10.5%	2.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1,550	425	16.7	10.1%	2.7%

Table 2.03.H: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	9,470	888	5.7	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	3,207	662	12.5	33.9%	6.2%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,676	511	18.5	17.7%	5.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,410	575	14.5	25.4%	5.6%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,104	452	24.9	11.7%	4.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	549	332	36.7	5.8%	3.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	524	196	22.7	5.5%	2.0%

Table 2.03.W: Households by Area Median Income (AMI) Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,950	795	3.0	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	2,743	477	10.6	17.2%	2.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	2,033	430	12.9	12.7%	2.6%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,476	424	10.4	15.5%	2.5%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,592	437	10.2	16.3%	2.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,952	389	12.1	12.2%	2.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	4,154	465	6.8	26.0%	2.6%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	71,824	2,265	1.9	100.0%		77,583	1,992	1.6	100.0%		5,759	3,016	8.0%	4.4%		
In labor force	49,085	1,746	2.2	68.3%	1.1%	54,219	1,985	2.2	69.9%	1.8%	5,134	2,644	10.5%	5.6%	1.6	2.1

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,829	594	19.8	100.0%		2,874	692	14.6	100.0%		1,045	912	57.1%	63.5%		
In labor force	1,032	407	24.0	56.4%	12.6%	1,843	516	17.0	64.1%	9.2%	811	657	78.6%	86.4%	7.7	15.6

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	28,280	1,517	3.3	100.0%		30,453	1,847	3.7	100.0%		2,173	2,390	7.7%	8.7%		
In labor force	19,354	1,253	3.9	68.4%	2.5%	20,854	1,660	4.8	68.5%	3.5%	1,500	2,080	7.8%	11.1%	0.1	4.3

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	16,728	1,681	6.1	100.0%		19,343	1,565	4.9	100.0%		2,615	2,297	15.6%	14.9%		
In labor force	11,410	1,319	7.0	68.2%	3.9%	13,619	1,277	5.7	70.4%	3.3%	2,209	1,836	19.4%	17.8%	2.2	5.1

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	23,302	1,047	2.7	100.0%		23,221	1,259	3.3	100.0%		-81	1,637	-0.3%	7.0%		
In labor force	16,033	884	3.4	68.8%	2.2%	16,870	1,148	4.1	72.6%	3.0%	837	1,449	5.2%	9.2%	3.8	3.7

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	43,384	1,744	2.4	100.0%		49,091	2,101	2.6	100.0%		5,707	2,731	13.2%	6.6%		
Management, business, science, and arts	13,798	1,154	5.1	31.8%	2.3%	17,048	1,447	5.2	34.7%	2.5%	3,250	1,851	23.6%	14.7%	2.9	3.4
Service	11,800	1,194	6.2	27.2%	2.5%	13,949	1,204	5.2	28.4%	2.1%	2,149	1,696	18.2%	15.7%	1.2	3.3
Sales and office	9,378	812	5.3	21.6%	1.7%	9,067	999	6.7	18.5%	1.9%	-311	1,287	-3.3%	13.5%	-3.1	2.5
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	3,886	796	12.4	9.0%	1.8%	3,901	670	10.4	7.9%	1.3%	15	1,040	0.4%	26.8%	-1.1	2.2
Production, transportation, and material moving	4,522	665	8.9	10.4%	1.5%	5,126	887	10.5	10.4%	1.8%	604	1,109	13.4%	25.7%	0.0	2.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$64,392	$5,043	4.8			$61,766	$4,706	4.6			-$2,626	$6,898	-4.1%	10.5%		
Service	$26,338	$3,870	8.9			$29,510	$2,228	4.6			$3,172	$4,466	12.0%	18.5%		
Sales and office	$36,922	$2,891	4.8			$32,383	$2,568	4.8			-$4,539	$3,867	-12.3%	9.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$44,266	$4,046	5.6			$45,694	$12,527	16.7			$1,428	$13,164	3.2%	29.8%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$32,435	$5,831	10.9			$27,620	$3,897	8.6			-$4,815	$7,013	-14.8%	19.5%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	929	398	26.0	100.0%		1,667	467	17.0	100.0%		738	614	79.4%	91.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	458	283	37.6	49.3%	22.0%	656	253	23.5	39.4%	10.5%	198	380	43.2%	104.3%	-9.9	24.4
Service	141	108	46.8	15.2%	9.7%	262	139	32.3	15.7%	7.1%	121	176	85.8%	173.1%	0.5	12.0
Sales and office	144	92	38.8	15.5%	7.3%	389	182	28.5	23.3%	8.7%	245	204	170.1%	213.9%	7.8	11.4
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	48	48	60.6	5.2%	4.7%	87	102	71.6	5.2%	6.0%	39	113	81.3%	279.3%	0.0	7.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	138	135	59.5	14.9%	13.1%	273	173	38.5	16.4%	9.3%	135	219	97.8%	230.6%	1.5	16.1
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$82,057	$31,897	23.6			$65,920	$24,340	22.4			-$16,137	$40,123	-19.7%	43.1%		
Service	$39,158	$53,637	83.3			$14,815	$15,977	65.6			-$24,343	$55,966	-62.2%	66.0%		
Sales and office	$11,000					$31,237	$12,157	23.7								
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$11,000					$18,344	$28,823	95.5								
Production, transportation, and material moving	$19,526	$19,153	59.6			$25,127	$5,582	13.5			$5,601	$19,950	28.7%	129.4%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	16,775	1,192	4.3	100.0%		18,152	1,573	5.3	100.0%		1,377	1,974	8.2%	12.1%		
Management, business, science, and arts	4,474	633	8.6	26.7%	3.3%	5,641	912	9.8	31.1%	4.2%	1,167	1,110	26.1%	27.1%	4.4	5.3
Service	5,889	821	8.5	35.1%	4.2%	6,231	824	8.0	34.3%	3.4%	342	1,163	5.8%	20.3%	-0.8	5.4
Sales and office	3,391	490	8.8	20.2%	2.5%	3,342	632	11.5	18.4%	3.1%	-49	800	-1.4%	23.5%	-1.8	4.0
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	1,045	325	18.9	6.2%	1.9%	921	363	23.9	5.1%	1.9%	-124	487	-11.9%	44.2%	-1.1	2.7
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,976	449	13.8	11.8%	2.5%	2,017	589	17.8	11.1%	3.1%	41	741	2.1%	37.8%	-0.7	4.0
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$62,909	$5,529	5.3			$58,049	$5,608	5.9			-$4,860	$7,875	-7.7%	12.1%		
Service	$29,626	$3,147	6.5			$29,074	$2,651	5.5			-$552	$4,115	-1.9%	13.7%		
Sales and office	$33,445	$6,438	11.7			$35,094	$5,679	9.8			$1,649	$8,585	4.9%	26.4%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$44,882	$10,084	13.7			$50,550	$16,258	19.6			$5,668	$19,131	12.6%	44.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$40,005	$5,922	9.0			$28,001	$7,730	16.8			-$12,004	$9,738	-30.0%	21.9%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,975	1,221	7.4	100.0%		12,321	1,291	6.4	100.0%		2,346	1,777	23.5%	19.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,917	533	16.9	19.2%	4.8%	2,180	604	16.8	17.7%	4.5%	263	806	13.7%	44.6%	-1.5	6.6
Service	3,054	721	14.3	30.6%	6.2%	4,809	732	9.3	39.0%	4.3%	1,755	1,027	57.5%	44.2%	8.4	7.5
Sales and office	2,199	512	14.2	22.0%	4.4%	2,020	505	15.2	16.4%	3.7%	-179	719	-8.1%	31.4%	-5.6	5.7
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	1,469	554	22.9	14.7%	5.3%	1,303	458	21.4	10.6%	3.5%	-166	719	-11.3%	45.7%	-4.1	6.4
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,336	418	19.0	13.4%	3.9%	2,009	496	15.0	16.3%	3.6%	673	649	50.4%	59.9%	2.9	5.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$45,352	$9,316	12.5			$39,937	$14,881	22.7			-$5,415	$17,557	-11.9%	37.5%		
Service	$18,560	$2,709	8.9			$26,114	$3,649	8.5			$7,554	$4,545	40.7%	28.4%		
Sales and office	$34,472	$5,893	10.4			$22,891	$6,602	17.5			-$11,581	$8,850	-33.6%	22.3%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$36,088	$10,135	17.1			$33,930	$5,455	9.8			-$2,158	$11,510	-6.0%	30.4%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$20,000	$2,359	7.2			$22,603	$5,045	13.6			$2,603	$5,569	13.0%	28.5%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	14,605	895	3.7	100.0%		15,995	1,134	4.3	100.0%		1,390	1,445	9.5%	10.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	6,642	764	7.0	45.5%	4.4%	8,199	844	6.3	51.3%	3.8%	1,557	1,138	23.4%	19.1%	5.8	5.8
Service	2,433	489	12.2	16.7%	3.2%	2,532	478	11.5	15.8%	2.8%	99	684	4.1%	28.7%	-0.9	4.3
Sales and office	3,308	491	9.0	22.6%	3.1%	3,041	562	11.2	19.0%	3.2%	-267	746	-8.1%	21.8%	-3.6	4.5
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	1,283	351	16.6	8.8%	2.3%	1,396	307	13.4	8.7%	1.8%	113	466	8.8%	38.2%	-0.1	2.9
Production, transportation, and material moving	939	274	17.8	6.4%	1.8%	827	242	17.8	5.2%	1.5%	-112	366	-11.9%	36.4%	-1.2	2.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$71,723	$7,235	6.1			$69,599	$7,632	6.7			-$2,124	$10,516	-3.0%	14.5%		
Service	$39,574	$13,183	20.3			$41,999	$6,321	9.1			$2,425	$14,620	6.1%	38.8%		
Sales and office	$45,049	$7,493	10.1			$45,019	$9,311	12.6			-$30	$11,952	-0.1%	26.5%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$58,155	$13,013	13.6			$79,096	$24,942	19.2			$20,941	$28,133	36.0%	52.6%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$53,734	$11,823	13.4			$49,165	$15,285	18.9			-$4,569	$19,324	-8.5%	34.8%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	43,384	1,744	2.4	100.0%		49,091	2,101	2.6	100.0%		5,707	2,731	13.2%	6.6%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					48	55	69.4	0.1%	0.1%	48	55	0.0%		0.1	0.1
Construction	3,050	739	14.7	7.0%	1.7%	3,473	637	11.1	7.1%	1.3%	423	976	13.9%	34.6%	0.1	2.1
Manufacturing	1,570	405	15.7	3.6%	0.9%	1,236	390	19.2	2.5%	0.8%	-334	562	-21.3%	32.1%	-1.1	1.2
Wholesale trade	527	227	26.1	1.2%	0.5%	929	360	23.5	1.9%	0.7%	402	426	76.3%	102.1%	0.7	0.9
Retail trade	3,313	552	10.1	7.6%	1.2%	3,682	723	11.9	7.5%	1.4%	369	910	11.1%	28.6%	-0.1	1.8
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	3,577	589	10.0	8.2%	1.3%	3,644	657	11.0	7.4%	1.3%	67	882	1.9%	24.9%	-0.8	1.8
Information	908	295	19.7	2.1%	0.7%	686	193	17.1	1.4%	0.4%	-222	353	-24.4%	32.5%	-0.7	0.8
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	3,228	465	8.8	7.4%	1.0%	2,699	471	10.6	5.5%	0.9%	-529	662	-16.4%	18.9%	-1.9	1.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	4,277	729	10.4	9.9%	1.6%	5,208	746	8.7	10.6%	1.5%	931	1,043	21.8%	27.1%	0.7	2.2
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	14,123	1,203	5.2	32.6%	2.4%	17,427	1,336	4.7	35.5%	2.3%	3,304	1,798	23.4%	14.1%	2.9	3.3
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	3,319	608	11.1	7.7%	1.4%	3,518	679	11.7	7.2%	1.3%	199	911	6.0%	28.2%	-0.5	1.9
Other services, except public administration	2,476	583	14.3	5.7%	1.3%	3,114	663	13.0	6.3%	1.3%	638	883	25.8%	39.9%	0.6	1.8
Public administration	3,016	503	10.1	7.0%	1.1%	3,427	727	12.9	7.0%	1.4%	411	884	13.6%	30.7%	0.0	1.8
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining						$14,136	$32,225	138.6			$14,136	$32,225	0.0%			
Construction	$45,498	$4,965	6.6			$51,868	$14,206	16.7			$6,370	$15,049	14.0%	33.6%		
Manufacturing	$35,474	$5,592	9.6			$43,836	$13,608	18.9			$8,362	$14,712	23.6%	43.0%		
Wholesale trade	$57,064	$12,501	13.3			$42,829	$12,997	18.4			-$14,235	$18,033	-24.9%	28.1%		
Retail trade	$21,623	$7,805	21.9			$23,236	$4,081	10.7			$1,613	$8,808	7.5%	43.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$45,007	$8,069	10.9			$32,251	$3,567	6.7			-$12,756	$8,822	-28.3%	15.1%		
Information	$62,908	$25,410	24.6			$62,573	$22,586	21.9			-$335	$33,997	-0.5%	53.9%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$58,332	$6,766	7.1			$56,951	$8,715	9.3			-$1,381	$11,033	-2.4%	18.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$33,890	$5,740	10.3			$41,023	$6,029	8.9			$7,133	$8,324	21.0%	27.1%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$41,891	$3,161	4.6			$39,052	$2,974	4.6			-$2,839	$4,340	-6.8%	10.0%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$20,937	$4,123	12.0			$23,344	$4,065	10.6			$2,407	$5,790	11.5%	29.3%		
Other services, except public administration	$18,892	$4,438	14.3			$21,561	$7,894	22.3			$2,669	$9,056	14.1%	49.6%		
Public administration	$64,912	$15,741	14.7			$69,632	$13,403	11.7			$4,720	$20,674	7.3%	33.2%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	929	398	26.0	100.0%		1,667	467	17.0	100.0%		738	614	79.4%	91.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0	0	0.0%			
Construction	49	50	61.6	5.3%	4.8%	22	37	101.2	1.3%	2.2%	-27	62	-55.1%	88.3%	-4.0	5.3
Manufacturing	43	59	83.9	4.6%	6.1%	58	57	59.6	3.5%	3.3%	15	82	34.9%	227.6%	-1.1	6.9
Wholesale trade	0					64	78	74.2	3.8%	4.6%	64	78	0.0%		3.8	4.6
Retail trade	67	62	56.0	7.2%	5.9%	84	67	48.7	5.0%	3.8%	17	91	25.4%	153.2%	-2.2	7.0
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	63	63	60.5	6.8%	6.1%	204	159	47.4	12.2%	8.9%	141	171	223.8%	410.5%	5.4	10.8
Information	10	19	117.7	1.1%	2.0%	20	34	104.4	1.2%	2.0%	10	39	100.0%	509.9%	0.1	2.8
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	39	54	84.9	4.2%	5.6%	28	42	91.0	1.7%	2.5%	-11	68	-28.2%	146.6%	-2.5	6.1
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	116	114	59.9	12.5%	11.1%	181	161	54.1	10.9%	9.2%	65	197	56.0%	206.8%	-1.6	14.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	360	238	40.2	38.8%	19.5%	656	250	23.1	39.4%	10.1%	296	345	82.2%	139.1%	0.6	22.0
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	125	105	51.3	13.5%	9.8%	138	94	41.5	8.3%	5.1%	13	141	10.4%	119.4%	-5.2	11.0
Other services, except public administration	13	30	142.4	1.4%	3.2%	174	138	48.1	10.4%	7.7%	161	141	1238.5%	3266.1%	9.0	8.3
Public administration	44	58	80.3	4.7%	5.9%	38	41	65.8	2.3%	2.4%	-6	71	-13.6%	147.1%	-2.4	6.4

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining											$0	$0	0.0%			
Construction	$11,000					$37,498										
Manufacturing	$32,338	$91,671	172.3			$69,704	$97,270	84.8			$37,366	$133,660	115.5%	681.1%		
Wholesale trade						$24,322	$22,485	56.2			$24,322	$22,485	0.0%			
Retail trade	$18,422	$14,456	47.7			$9,999										
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$11,000					$26,077	$6,922	16.1								
Information	$47,424					$27,498					-$19,926	$0	-42.0%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$44,235					$112,498					$68,263	$0	154.3%			
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$112,518	$100,508	54.3			$52,117	$41,191	48.0			-$60,401	$108,621	-53.7%	55.2%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$76,344	$13,059	10.4			$52,194	$29,730	34.6			-$24,150	$32,472	-31.6%	40.7%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$11,000					$19,284	$44,117	139.1								
Other services, except public administration	$97,639					$17,691	$11,677	40.1			-$79,948	$11,677	-81.9%	12.0%		
Public administration	$75,321	$68,672	55.4			$57,035					-$18,286	$68,672	-24.3%			

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	16,775	1,192	4.3	100.0%		18,152	1,573	5.3	100.0%		1,377	1,974	8.2%	12.1%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					48	55	69.4	0.3%	0.3%	48	55	0.0%		0.3	0.3
Construction	484	220	27.6	2.9%	1.3%	834	327	23.8	4.6%	1.8%	350	394	72.3%	103.4%	1.7	2.2
Manufacturing	441	220	30.3	2.6%	1.3%	245	176	43.7	1.3%	1.0%	-196	282	-44.4%	48.6%	-1.3	1.6
Wholesale trade	114	93	49.5	0.7%	0.6%	235	181	46.7	1.3%	1.0%	121	203	106.1%	231.3%	0.6	1.2
Retail trade	1,072	306	17.4	6.4%	1.8%	1,509	467	18.8	8.3%	2.5%	437	558	40.8%	59.3%	1.9	3.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,713	348	12.3	10.2%	1.9%	1,850	479	15.7	10.2%	2.5%	137	592	8.0%	35.5%	0.0	3.1
Information	444	212	29.0	2.6%	1.2%	115	105	55.3	0.6%	0.6%	-329	237	-74.1%	26.7%	-2.0	1.3
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	995	284	17.4	5.9%	1.6%	735	306	25.3	4.0%	1.7%	-260	417	-26.1%	37.3%	-1.9	2.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,403	472	20.4	8.4%	2.7%	1,343	367	16.6	7.4%	1.9%	-60	598	-4.3%	41.5%	-1.0	3.3
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	6,704	782	7.1	40.0%	3.7%	7,654	892	7.1	42.2%	3.3%	950	1,186	14.2%	18.8%	2.2	5.0
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,335	374	17.0	8.0%	2.2%	1,232	382	18.9	6.8%	2.0%	-103	535	-7.7%	38.6%	-1.2	3.0
Other services, except public administration	705	267	23.0	4.2%	1.6%	845	344	24.8	4.7%	1.9%	140	435	19.9%	66.6%	0.5	2.5
Public administration	1,365	299	13.3	8.1%	1.7%	1,507	495	20.0	8.3%	2.6%	142	578	10.4%	43.6%	0.2	3.1

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining						$14,136	$32,225	138.6			$14,136	$32,225	0.0%			
Construction	$45,235	$16,628	22.3			$56,763	$18,701	20.0			$11,528	$25,024	25.5%	61.9%		
Manufacturing	$52,823	$21,966	25.3			$77,762	$30,087	23.5			$24,939	$37,252	47.2%	83.6%		
Wholesale trade	$51,247	$43,794	51.9			$64,890	$15,164	14.2			$13,643	$46,345	26.6%	112.2%		
Retail trade	$15,215	$5,328	21.3			$22,808	$5,395	14.4			$7,593	$7,582	49.9%	63.3%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$40,486	$8,916	13.4			$33,822	$9,585	17.2			-$6,664	$13,091	-16.5%	30.0%		
Information	$45,304	$18,396	24.7			$28,417	$41,477	88.7			-$16,887	$45,373	-37.3%	95.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$53,291	$11,572	13.2			$48,065	$14,743	18.6			-$5,226	$18,742	-9.8%	33.9%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$32,554	$5,397	10.1			$42,273	$9,568	13.8			$9,719	$10,985	29.9%	36.4%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$41,619	$3,052	4.5			$36,435	$3,258	5.4			-$5,184	$4,464	-12.5%	10.1%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$28,563	$9,710	20.7			$26,639	$8,356	19.1			-$1,924	$12,810	-6.7%	43.1%		
Other services, except public administration	$18,985	$7,543	24.2			$25,089	$14,416	34.9			$6,104	$16,270	32.2%	92.3%		
Public administration	$47,108	$8,681	11.2			$59,665	$14,053	14.3			$12,557	$16,518	26.7%	37.9%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,975	1,221	7.4	100.0%		12,321	1,291	6.4	100.0%		2,346	1,777	23.5%	19.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0	0	0.0%			
Construction	1,410	555	23.9	14.1%	5.3%	1,276	437	20.8	10.4%	3.4%	-134	706	-9.5%	47.2%	-3.7	6.3
Manufacturing	709	318	27.2	7.1%	3.1%	535	290	32.9	4.3%	2.3%	-174	430	-24.5%	53.1%	-2.8	3.9
Wholesale trade	0					387	261	41.0	3.1%	2.1%	387	261	0.0%		3.1	2.1
Retail trade	1,152	358	18.9	11.5%	3.3%	971	310	19.4	7.9%	2.4%	-181	474	-15.7%	37.6%	-3.6	4.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	741	407	33.4	7.4%	4.0%	759	231	18.5	6.2%	1.8%	18	468	2.4%	64.3%	-1.2	4.4
Information	0					105	111	64.3	0.9%	0.9%	105	111	0.0%		0.9	0.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	393	188	29.0	3.9%	1.8%	510	248	29.6	4.1%	2.0%	117	311	29.8%	88.5%	0.2	2.7
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,032	337	19.8	10.3%	3.1%	1,708	502	17.9	13.9%	3.8%	676	605	65.5%	72.7%	3.6	4.9
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,117	583	16.7	21.2%	5.2%	2,923	559	11.6	23.7%	3.8%	806	808	38.1%	46.3%	2.5	6.4
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	904	302	20.3	9.1%	2.8%	1,409	497	21.4	11.4%	3.9%	505	582	55.9%	75.7%	2.3	4.8
Other services, except public administration	1,118	421	22.9	11.2%	4.0%	1,285	557	26.4	10.4%	4.4%	167	698	14.9%	66.0%	-0.8	5.9
Public administration	399	208	31.7	4.0%	2.0%	453	319	42.9	3.7%	2.6%	54	381	13.5%	99.5%	-0.3	3.3
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining											$0	$0	0.0%			
Construction	$39,146	$9,655	15.0			$34,901	$9,155	15.9			-$4,245	$13,305	-10.8%	32.1%		
Manufacturing	$27,875	$8,491	18.5			$27,395	$14,104	31.3			-$480	$16,463	-1.7%	58.8%		
Wholesale trade						$40,057	$15,435	23.4			$40,057	$15,435	0.0%			
Retail trade	$20,146	$12,047	36.4			$13,578	$9,388	42.0			-$6,568	$15,273	-32.6%	61.6%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$28,551	$10,180	21.7			$24,657	$12,920	31.9			-$3,894	$16,449	-13.6%	54.7%		
Information						$9,999										
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$59,295	$11,546	11.8			$35,115	$30,081	52.1			-$24,180	$32,221	-40.8%	52.0%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$26,010	$9,371	21.9			$31,490	$3,639	7.0			$5,480	$10,053	21.1%	45.8%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$30,713	$6,414	12.7			$32,272	$2,997	5.6			$1,559	$7,080	5.1%	24.0%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$19,446	$3,269	10.2			$23,335	$3,989	10.4			$3,889	$5,157	20.0%	28.8%		
Other services, except public administration	$14,444	$5,693	24.0			$18,310	$2,317	7.7			$3,866	$6,146	26.8%	52.5%		
Public administration	$40,222	$10,219	15.4			$50,675	$27,359	32.8			$10,453	$29,205	26.0%	75.2%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	14,605	895	3.7	100.0%		15,995	1,134	4.3	100.0%		1,390	1,445	9.5%	10.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0	0	0.0%			
Construction	1,036	276	16.2	7.1%	1.8%	1,185	315	16.1	7.4%	1.9%	149	419	14.4%	43.0%	0.3	2.6
Manufacturing	377	193	31.1	2.6%	1.3%	398	201	30.7	2.5%	1.2%	21	279	5.6%	75.9%	-0.1	1.8
Wholesale trade	396	197	30.2	2.7%	1.3%	243	143	35.7	1.5%	0.9%	-153	243	-38.6%	47.3%	-1.2	1.6
Retail trade	809	219	16.4	5.5%	1.5%	1,039	360	21.0	6.5%	2.2%	230	421	28.4%	56.5%	1.0	2.7
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	947	260	16.7	6.5%	1.7%	666	223	20.3	4.2%	1.4%	-281	343	-29.7%	30.5%	-2.3	2.2
Information	454	208	27.8	3.1%	1.4%	389	177	27.7	2.4%	1.1%	-65	273	-14.3%	55.3%	-0.7	1.8
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	1,706	333	11.9	11.7%	2.2%	1,406	315	13.6	8.8%	1.9%	-300	458	-17.6%	24.5%	-2.9	2.9
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,665	367	13.4	11.4%	2.4%	1,897	384	12.3	11.9%	2.2%	232	531	13.9%	34.1%	0.5	3.3
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	4,669	596	7.8	32.0%	3.6%	5,895	677	7.0	36.9%	3.3%	1,226	902	26.3%	21.7%	4.9	4.9
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	845	293	21.1	5.8%	2.0%	714	211	17.9	4.5%	1.3%	-131	361	-15.5%	38.5%	-1.3	2.4
Other services, except public administration	527	221	25.5	3.6%	1.5%	763	266	21.2	4.8%	1.6%	236	346	44.8%	79.0%	1.2	2.2
Public administration	1,174	328	17.0	8.0%	2.2%	1,400	286	12.4	8.8%	1.7%	226	435	19.3%	41.3%	0.8	2.8

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining											$0	$0	0.0%			
Construction	$57,958	$10,585	11.1			$62,011	$31,062	30.5			$4,053	$32,816	7.0%	57.0%		
Manufacturing	$57,590	$30,742	32.5			$58,446	$23,867	24.8			$856	$38,919	1.5%	68.2%		
Wholesale trade	$59,718	$12,764	13.0			$85,196	$55,917	39.9			$25,478	$57,355	42.7%	98.5%		
Retail trade	$43,200	$18,957	26.7			$47,165	$25,251	32.5			$3,965	$31,575	9.2%	75.6%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$55,713	$12,843	14.0			$50,232	$36,771	44.5			-$5,481	$38,949	-9.8%	69.2%		
Information	$77,181	$24,603	19.4			$70,912	$15,550	13.3			-$6,269	$29,105	-8.1%	35.5%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$67,806	$23,329	20.9			$65,372	$11,264	10.5			-$2,434	$25,906	-3.6%	37.1%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$53,489	$17,026	19.3			$68,026	$19,862	17.7			$14,537	$26,161	27.2%	54.9%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$48,387	$10,187	12.8			$53,083	$10,440	12.0			$4,696	$14,587	9.7%	31.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$13,795	$10,365	45.7			$17,737	$27,459	94.1			$3,942	$29,350	28.6%	221.3%		
Other services, except public administration	$42,426	$16,993	24.3			$37,149	$11,594	19.0			-$5,277	$20,571	-12.4%	44.5%		
Public administration	$95,611	$14,943	9.5			$89,465	$14,131	9.6			-$6,146	$20,566	-6.4%	20.8%		

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security
PUMA 4114

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	36,100	1,354	2.3	100.0%		39,548	602	0.9	100.0%		42,788	526	0.7	100.0%		3,240	799	8.2%	2.1%		
Owner-occupied	12,735	781	3.7	35.3%	1.7%	15,279	826	3.3	38.6%	2.0%	15,421	1,020	4.0	36.0%	2.3%	142	1,313	0.9%	8.6%	-2.6	3.0
Renter-occupied	23,365	781	2.0	64.7%	3.3%	24,269	925	2.3	61.4%	2.1%	27,367	998	2.2	64.0%	2.2%	3,098	1,361	12.8%	5.9%	2.6	3.0

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	463	184	24.2	100.0%		739	321	26.4	100.0%		1,390	350	15.3	100.0%		651	475	88.1%	94.4%		
Owner-occupied	170	112	40.0	36.7%	19.3%	256	164	38.8	34.6%	16.2%	602	194	19.6	43.3%	8.7%	346	254	135.2%	168.6%	8.7	18.4
Renter-occupied	293	147	30.4	63.3%	19.3%	483	294	37.0	65.4%	27.9%	788	301	23.2	56.7%	16.3%	305	421	63.1%	117.2%	-8.7	32.3

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	13,869	795	3.5	100.0%		14,966	810	3.3	100.0%		15,388	886	3.5	100.0%		422	1,200	2.8%	8.1%		
Owner-occupied	3,146	461	8.9	22.7%	3.1%	4,121	522	7.7	27.5%	3.2%	3,799	576	9.2	24.7%	3.5%	-322	777	-7.8%	18.2%	-2.8	4.7
Renter-occupied	10,723	747	4.2	77.3%	3.1%	10,845	751	4.2	72.5%	3.1%	11,589	953	5.0	75.3%	4.4%	744	1,213	6.9%	11.5%	2.8	5.4

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	4,943	515	6.3	100.0%		7,331	713	5.9	100.0%		9,470	888	5.7	100.0%		2,139	1,139	29.2%	17.5%		
Owner-occupied	695	225	19.6	14.1%	4.3%	1,502	389	15.7	20.5%	4.9%	1,416	378	16.2	15.0%	3.7%	-86	542	-5.7%	35.1%	-5.5	6.1
Renter-occupied	4,248	527	7.5	85.9%	5.8%	5,829	605	6.3	79.5%	2.9%	8,054	839	6.3	85.0%	3.9%	2,225	1,034	38.2%	20.3%	5.5	4.9

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,421	809	3.2	100.0%		15,762	684	2.6	100.0%		15,950	795	3.0	100.0%		188	1,049	1.2%	6.7%		
Owner-occupied	8,110	682	5.1	52.6%	3.5%	9,063	578	3.9	57.5%	2.7%	9,251	656	4.3	58.0%	2.9%	188	874	2.1%	9.7%	0.5	4.0
Renter-occupied	7,311	657	5.5	47.4%	3.5%	6,699	629	5.7	42.5%	3.5%	6,699	703	6.4	42.0%	3.9%	0	943	0.0%	14.1%	-0.5	5.2

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	15,279	826	3.3	15,421	1,020	4.0	142	1,313	0.9%	8.6%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$541,936	$25,240	2.8	$512,752	$31,308	3.7	-$29,184	$40,215	-5.4%	7.3%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	256	164	38.8	602	194	19.6	346	254	135.2%	168.6%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$619,182	$235,489	23.1	$231,033	$219,668	57.8	-$388,149	$322,039	-62.7%	38.2%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	4,121	522	7.7	3,799	576	9.2	-322	777	-7.8%	18.2%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$459,538	$28,973	3.8	$455,927	$30,065	4.0	-$3,611	$41,753	-0.8%	9.1%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	1,502	389	15.7	1,416	378	16.2	-86	542	-5.7%	35.1%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$466,644	$80,054	10.4	$488,888	$80,044	10.0	$22,244	$113,206	4.8%	24.8%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2019 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	9,063	578	3.9	9,251	656	4.3	188	874	2.1%	9.7%
Median value (2019 dollars)	$637,227	$38,796	3.7	$574,944	$44,585	4.7	-$62,283	$59,101	-9.8%	8.9%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	23,743	896	2.3	26,715	1,030	2.3	2,972	1,365	12.5%	6.1%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,089	$54	3.0	$1,224	$60	3.0	$135	$81	12.4%	7.8%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	483	294	37.0	788	301	23.2	305	421	63.1%	117.2%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$881	$275	19.0	$973	$299	18.7	$92	$406	10.4%	48.4%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	10,685	750	4.3	11,396	959	5.1	711	1,217	6.7%	11.7%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,115	$80	4.4	$1,277	$81	3.8	$162	$114	14.5%	11.0%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	5,829	605	6.3	8,023	828	6.3	2,194	1,025	37.6%	20.1%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,051	$84	4.9	$1,155	$79	4.2	$104	$115	9.9%	11.6%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2019 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2015-2019			Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	6,385	582	5.5	6,289	720	7.0	-96	926	-1.5%	14.4%
Median gross rent (2019 dollars)	$1,119	$105	5.7	$1,269	$145	7.0	$150	$179	13.4%	16.8%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	23,213	860	2.3	100.0%		26,330	1,041	2.4	100.0%		3,117	1,350	13.4%	6.1%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	11,957	983	5.0	51.5%	3.8%	14,104	1,130	4.9	53.6%	3.7%	2,147	1,498	18.0%	13.5%	2.1	5.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,928	782	6.9	29.8%	3.2%	6,958	818	7.1	26.4%	2.9%	30	1,132	0.4%	16.4%	-3.4	4.3

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	404	243	36.6	100.0%		748	282	22.9	100.0%		344	372	85.1%	131.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	107	97	55.3	26.5%	18.1%	429	200	28.4	57.4%	15.8%	322	222	300.9%	408.7%	30.9	24.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	96	96	60.8	23.8%	19.0%	170	138	49.3	22.7%	16.3%	74	168	77.1%	228.1%	-1.1	25.0

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	10,478	726	4.2	100.0%		11,180	943	5.1	100.0%		702	1,190	6.7%	11.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	5,534	629	6.9	52.8%	4.8%	5,744	790	8.4	51.4%	5.6%	210	1,010	3.8%	18.5%	-1.4	7.4
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	3,241	553	10.4	30.9%	4.8%	2,909	587	12.3	26.0%	4.8%	-332	806	-10.2%	23.7%	-4.9	6.8

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	5,640	592	6.4	100.0%		7,932	818	6.3	100.0%		2,292	1,010	40.6%	20.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	3,028	431	8.7	53.7%	5.2%	4,459	762	10.4	56.2%	7.7%	1,431	875	47.3%	32.8%	2.5	9.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,855	345	11.3	32.9%	5.0%	2,042	541	16.1	25.7%	6.3%	187	642	10.1%	35.6%	-7.2	8.0

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	6,347	585	5.6	100.0%		6,251	720	7.0	100.0%		-96	928	-1.5%	14.5%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	3,078	481	9.5	48.5%	6.1%	3,388	563	10.1	54.2%	6.5%	310	740	10.1%	25.1%	5.7	8.9
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,663	369	13.5	26.2%	5.3%	1,762	432	14.9	28.2%	6.1%	99	568	6.0%	35.0%	2.0	8.1

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	10,969	4,242	19.0	25.6%	9.9%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable To Households By Area Median Income (AMI) Band

	2015-2019 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	26,715	1,030	2.3	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	6,138	793	7.9	23.0%	2.8%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	4,899	789	9.8	18.3%	2.9%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	11,188	933	5.1	41.9%	3.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	4,320	682	9.6	16.2%	2.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	170	113	40.6	0.6%	0.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	0				

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	3,485	2,046	29.0	9.7%	5.3%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	39,548	602	0.9	100.0%		42,788	526	0.7	100.0%		3,240	799	8.2%	2.1%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	3,611	586	9.9	9.1%	1.5%	3,167	593	11.4	7.4%	1.4%	-444	834	-12.3%	21.7%	-1.7	2.1

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	739	321	26.4	100.0%		1,390	350	15.3	100.0%		651	475	88.1%	94.4%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	108	92	51.7	14.6%	10.7%	113	89	47.8	8.1%	6.1%	5	128	4.6%	121.4%	-6.5	12.3

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	14,966	810	3.3	100.0%		15,388	886	3.5	100.0%		422	1,200	2.8%	8.1%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,525	365	14.5	10.2%	2.4%	1,190	338	17.2	7.7%	2.1%	-335	497	-22.0%	29.0%	-2.5	3.2

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	7,331	713	5.9	100.0%		9,470	888	5.7	100.0%		2,139	1,139	29.2%	17.5%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,327	402	18.4	18.1%	5.2%	1,149	374	19.8	12.1%	3.8%	-178	549	-13.4%	38.5%	-6.0	6.4

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2015-2019 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,762	684	2.6	100.0%		15,950	795	3.0	100.0%		188	1,049	1.2%	6.7%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	561	230	24.9	3.6%	1.5%	563	187	20.2	3.5%	1.2%	2	296	0.4%	53.0%	-0.1	1.9

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2017-2019
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	1,320

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2018	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	Change, 2018 - 2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	999	1,045	46	4.6%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	4,022
Units with regulatory agreements since 2014	5,786

Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	124,185	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	9,568	7.7%

Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	3,553	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	246	6.9%

Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	44,872	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	5,181	11.6%

Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	28,593	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	3,276	11.5%

Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	40,189	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	319	0.8%

Housing Production

PUMA 4114

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2020

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2020 Q4	
	Number	Percent
Net change	2,105	4.7%
New building	2,765	6.1%
Alterations that increase units	49	0.1%
Alterations that decrease units	-39	-0.1%
Demolitions	-670	-1.5%

Table 4.02.T: Units With Regulatory Agreements Since 2014 By Area Median Income (AMI) Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2021	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	505	148
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	444	1,062
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	726	1,654
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	50	8
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	18	400
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	9	5

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	11.1	1.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.0	0.0%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity

PUMA 4114

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2015-2016		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	75.5%	-6.3%	5.3%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2015-16		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	15.0%	-3.7%	4.5%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	330.9	295.6	308.3	13.0	4.3%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	154.2	188.4	142.6	-46.0	-24.3%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	366.4	337.0	369.5	33.0	9.6%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	169.2	172.9	171.5	-1.0	-0.8%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	378.5	328.4	320.7	-8.0	-2.3%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	9.5	7.0	5.3	-2.0	-24.3%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year				0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	16.8	9.6	7.5	-2.0	-21.9%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year				0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year				0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths		11.9	23.0	11.0	93.3%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths		7.5	18.5	11.0	146.7%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths			24.4	24.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2015-2019	Change, 2010-2014 to 2015-2019	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths		20.8	30.7	10.0	47.6%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	771

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	1,210

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	664

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	591

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	851

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	3

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2019
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	15,482

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2020 Percent Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	42.0%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	6.4%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	44,106	1,608	2.2	100.0%		50,821	2,070	2.5	100.0%		6,715	2,621	15.2%	6.3%		
Drove or carpooled to work	20,374	1,249	3.7	46.2%	2.3%	27,425	1,716	3.8	54.0%	2.6%	7,051	2,122	34.6%	11.8%	7.8	3.5

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	929	398	26.0	100.0%		1,714	458	16.3	100.0%		785	607	84.5%	93.2%		
Drove or carpooled to work	420	302	43.7	45.2%	26.1%	981	378	23.4	57.2%	15.9%	561	484	133.6%	190.5%	12.0	30.6

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	17,057	1,193	4.3	100.0%		18,879	1,542	5.0	100.0%		1,822	1,950	10.7%	11.9%		
Drove or carpooled to work	6,326	774	7.4	37.1%	3.7%	8,782	1,087	7.5	46.5%	4.3%	2,456	1,334	38.8%	24.2%	9.4	5.7

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	9,869	1,231	7.6	100.0%		12,209	1,310	6.5	100.0%		2,340	1,798	23.7%	20.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	3,579	762	12.9	36.3%	6.3%	5,696	930	9.9	46.7%	5.7%	2,117	1,202	59.2%	42.7%	10.4	8.5

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2015-2019					Change, 2008-2012 to 2015-2019					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	15,138	917	3.7	100.0%		16,968	1,082	3.9	100.0%		1,830	1,418	12.1%	9.9%		
Drove or carpooled to work	9,423	780	5.0	62.2%	3.5%	11,352	904	4.8	66.9%	3.2%	1,929	1,194	20.5%	13.8%	4.7	4.7

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	42,788	526	0.7	100.0%	
With a computer	31,065	1,053	2.1	72.6%	2.3%
With a broadband internet subscription	28,924	1,086	2.3	67.6%	2.4%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	1,390	350	15.3	100.0%	
With a computer	1,149	297	15.7	82.7%	4.9%
With a broadband internet subscription	1,092	302	16.8	78.6%	8.9%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	15,388	886	3.5	100.0%	
With a computer	11,013	805	4.4	71.6%	3.2%
With a broadband internet subscription	10,745	778	4.4	69.8%	3.1%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	9,470	888	5.7	100.0%	
With a computer	6,002	781	7.9	63.4%	5.7%
With a broadband internet subscription	5,745	719	7.6	60.7%	5.0%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2015-2019				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	15,950	795	3.0	100.0%	
With a computer	12,407	751	3.7	77.8%	2.7%
With a broadband internet subscription	10,978	712	3.9	68.8%	2.9%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	37.6%
Math proficient	31.7%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	58.3%
Math proficient	57.1%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	30.9%
Math proficient	24.5%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	34.1%
Math proficient	29.2%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	67.2%
Math proficient	61.8%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	70.2%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	84.6%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	70.4%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	63.3%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	85.7%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2019	
	Number Estimate	Percent Estimate
Total Population	124,185	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	103,948	83.7%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	273.5	1.0%	278.9	1.0%	5.0	0.0%	
Pedestrian injuries	54.9	0.2%	56.0	0.2%	1.0	0.0%	0.0
Cyclist injuries	16.9	0.1%	15.5	0.1%	-1.0	-0.1%	0.0
Motorist injuries	201.8	0.7%	207.5	0.7%	6.0	0.0%	0.0

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	1.2	1.5	30.5	1.0%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	14.8

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	53.1