FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

FOR

161st STREET/RIVER AVENUE REZONING

AUGUST 7, 2009

CEQR No.: 09DCP024X

ULURP Nos.: 090365ZMX, 090364ZRY

ACTION LOCATION: Bronx, New York

LEAD AGENCY:

City Planning Commission
City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, AICP, Chair

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:

Robert Dobruskin, AICP, Director
Environmental Assessment and Review division
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, New York, 10007
(212) 720-3423

PREPARED FOR:

Glen A. Price III, Director
Studies Implementation
New York City Department of City Planning
Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, New York, 10007
(212) 720-3491

Carol Samol, Director
Bronx Office
New York City Department of City
One Fordham Plaza
5th Floor
Bronx, New York, 10458
718-220-8500

PREPARED BY:

AECOM, Inc.
PB Americas, Inc.

CHAPTER **PAGE #**

Chapter 1.0 Executive Summary:.....1.0-1
Introduction.....1.0-1
1.1 Purpose and Need.....1.0-2
1.2 Description of the Proposed Action.....1.0-2
1.3 Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario.....1.0-4
1.4 Required Approvals.....1.0-6
1.5 Future With the Proposed Action.....1.0-6

Chapter 2.0: Project Description.....2.0-1
Introduction.....2.0-1
2.1 Purpose and Need.....2.0-3
2.2 Detailed Description of the Proposed Action.....2.0-4
2.3 Required Approvals and Review Procedures.....2.0-10
2.4 Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario.....2.0-10

Chapter 3.0: Probable Impacts of the Proposed Action.....3.1-1

Chapter 3.1: Community Facilities and Services.....3.1-1
Introduction.....3.1-1
3.1.1 Preliminary Screening.....3.1-2
3.1.2 Existing Conditions.....3.1-8
3.1.3 Future Without the Proposed Action.....3.1-14
3.1.4 Future With the Proposed Action.....3.1-18

Chapter 3.2: Neighborhood Character.....3.2-1
Introduction.....3.2-1
3.2.1 Existing Conditions.....3.2-2
3.2.2 Future Without the Proposed Action.....3.2-7
3.2.3 Future With the Proposed Action.....3.2-10

Chapter 3.3: Traffic and Parking.....3.3-1
Introduction.....3.3-1
3.3.1 Non-Game Day Traffic.....3.3-2
3.3.2 Game Day Traffic.....3.3-34
3.3.3 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....3.3-54
3.3.4 Parking.....3.3-60
3.3.5 Traffic Safety.....3.3-69

Chapter 3.4: Transit and Pedestrians.....3.4-1
Introduction.....3.4-1
3.4.1 Transit Analysis.....3.4-1
3.4.2 Pedestrians.....3.4-15
3.4.2 Mitigation.....3.4-31

Chapter 3.5: Air Quality.....3.5-1
Introduction.....3.5-1
3.5.1 Mobile Source Analysis.....3.5-3
3.5.2 Analysis of Heating Systems Emissions.....3.5-8
3.5.3 Health Risk assessment of Toxic Air Emissions.....3.5-22

Chapter 3.6: Noise 3.6-1
Introduction 3.6-1
3.6.1 Noise Fundamentals 3.6-1
3.6.2 Human Perception of Noise and Noise Descriptors 3.6-2
3.6.3 Mobile Source Noise Assessment 3.6-11
3.6.4 Sensitive Receptor Assessment 3.6-13

Chapter 3.7: Public Health 3.7-1

Chapter 3.8: Alternatives 3.8-1
Introduction 3.8-1
3.8.1 No-Action (As-of-right) Alternative 3.8-2
3.8.2 No-Impact Alternative 3.8-11
3.8.3 Lesser Density Alternative 3.8-12

Chapter 3.9 Mitigation 3.9-1

Chapter 3.10: Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 3.10-1

Chapter 3.11: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Action 3.11-1

Chapter 3.12: Irreversible And Irretrievable Commitment Of Resources 3.12-1

Appendices: Appendix A - EAS for 161st Street/River Avenue Rezoning

Appendix B – Public Written Comments on the Proposed Action

LIST OF TABLES **PAGE #**

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION
Table 2.0-1, Summary of Proposed C6-3D (R9) 2.0-8
Table 2.0-2, Summary of Base and Bonused FAR for Inclusionary Housing 2.0-8
Table 2.0-3, HPD Melrose Commons URA Projects 2.0-17
Table 2.0-4, Other HPD URA Projects 2.0-18
Table 2.0-5, Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario 2.0-19

3.0 PROBABLE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

3.1 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES
Table 3.1-1, Branch Libraries within Three-Fourths of a Mile of the Proposed Rezoning 3.1-3
Table 3.1-2, Hospitals and Emergency Rooms in the One-Mile Study Area 3.1-4
Table 3.1-3, Outpatient Health Care Facilities in the One-Mile Study Area 3.1-4
Table 3.1-4, Public Elementary Schools within 1/2-Mile – Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization 3.1-9
Table 3.1-5, Public Elementary Schools in CSDs 7 and 9 – Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization 3.1-10
Table 3.1-6, Public Intermediate Schools within 1/2-Mile – Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization 3.1-11
Table 3.1-7, Public Intermediate Schools in CSDs 7 and 9 – Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization 3.1-11
Table 3.1-8, Publicly Funded Day Care Centers in the One-Mile Study Area 3.1-12
Table 3.1-9, Bronx Family Child Care Networks 3.1-13
Table 3.1-10, Head Start Programs in the One-Mile Study Area 3.1-14
Table 3.1-11, Public School Students Generated by New Development; Future No-Action 3.1-15
Table 3.1-12. 2018 Elementary School Enrollment, Capacity & Utilization; Future No-Action 3.1-17
Table 3.1-13, 2018 Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity & Utilization Future No-Action 3.1-17
Table 3.1-14, 2018 School Students Generated by Development Future Action 3.1-19
Table 3.1-15, 2018 Elementary School Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization Future Action 3.1-19
Table 3.1-16: 2018 Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity and Utilization Future Action 3.1-20

3.3 TRAFFIC AND PARKING
Table 3.3-1, Level of Service Criteria 3.3-5
Table 3.3-2, Year 2008 Non-Game Day Existing Traffic Conditions 3.3-6
Table 3.3-3, Comparison of Non-Game Day 2008 Existing and 2018 No-Action Traffic Conditions 3.3-13
Table 3.3-4a, Estimated Peak Hour Person-Trip Gen. Characteristics by Dev. Site (No-Action) 3.3-17
Table 3.3-4b, Estimated Peak Hour Vehicle-Trip Gen. Characteristics by Dev. Site (No-Action) 3.3-18
Table 3.3-5a, Estimated Peak Hour Person-Trip Gen. Characteristics by Dev. Site (Action) 3.3-25
Table 3.3-5b, Estimated Peak Hour Vehicle-Trip Gen. Characteristics by Dev. Site (Action) 3.3-26
Table 3.3-6, Estimated Peak Hour Vehicle-Trip Generation Increments by Development Site 3.3-28
Table 3.3-7, Year 2018 Comparison of Non-Game Day Traffic Conditions: No Mitigation 3.3-30
Table 3.3-8, Summary of Non-Game Day Traffic Impacts 3.3-32
Table 3.3-9, Year 2008 Game Day Existing Traffic Conditions 3.3-36
Table 3.3-10, Comparison of Game Day 2008 Existing and 2018 No-Action Traffic Conditions 3.3-42
Table 3.3-11, Year 2018 Comparison of Game Day Traffic Conditions: No Mitigation 3.3-50
Table 3.3-12, Summary of Game Day Traffic Impacts 3.3-52
Table 3.3-13, Year 2018 Comparison of Non-Game Day Traffic Conditions: With Mitigation 3.3-56
Table 3.3-14, Year 2018 Game Day Comparison of Traffic Conditions: With Mitigation 3.3-58
Table 3.3-15, Summary of Existing On-Street Parking Utilization 3.3-60
Table 3.3-16, Summary of Existing Off-Street Parking Utilization 3.3-61
Table 3.3-17, Summary of Future Year 2018 On-Street Parking Utilization 3.3-62
Table 3.3-18, Summary of Future Year 2018 Off-Street Parking Utilization 3.3-63

Table 3.3-19, Parking Temporal Distribution Analysis (No-Action) 3.3-64
Table 3.3-20, Parking Temporal Distribution Analysis (Action) 3.3-67
Table 3.3-21, Comparison of Future Action Parking Demand vs. Future Available Supply 3.3-68
Table 3.3-22, Summary of Pedestrian and Bicycle Related Accident Locations 3.3-70

3.4 TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS
Table 3.4-1, Total Annual Subway Ridership Summary at 161st Station 3.4-2
Table 3.4-2, Stairway Level of Service Definitions 3.4-3
Table 3.4-3, Subway Stairway Analysis Existing Conditions 3.4-4
Table 3.4-4, Subway Stairway and Escalator Analysis No-Action Conditions 3.4-5
Table 3.4-5, Subway, Stairway, and Escalator Analysis Action Conditions 3.4-6
Table 3.4-6, Existing Local Bus Service 3.4-6
Table 3.4-7, E. 161st Street Corridor -- Annual Bus Ridership (2003 - 2006) 3.4-8
Table 3.4-8, 2018 No-Action Local Bus Service 3.4-18
Table 3.4-9, Comparison of Local Bus Service Under No-Action and Action Conditions 3.4-10
Table 3.4-10, Crosswalk and Street Corner Level of Service Ranges 3.4-11
Table 3.4-11, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service Existing Conditions 3.4-12
Table 3.4-12, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service Existing Pre-Game Peak Hour Conditions 3.4-14
Table 3.4-13, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service No-Action Condition 3.4-15
Table 3.4-14, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service No-Action Pre-Game Peak Hour Conditions 3.4-18
Table 3.4-15, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service Action Conditions 3.4-19
Table 3.4-16, No-Action and Action Pedestrian LOS Comparison Weekday AM Peak Hour 3.4-21
Table 3.4-17, No-Action and Action Pedestrian LOS Comparison Weekday Midday Peak Hour 3.4-23
Table 3.4-18, No-Action and Action Pedestrian LOS Comparison Weekday PM Peak Hour 3.4-25
Table 3.4-19, No-Action and Action Pedestrian LOS Comparison Saturday Midday Peak Hour 3.4-26
Table 3.4-20, Peak Hour Pedestrian Level of Service Action Pre-Game Peak Hour Conditions 3.4-27
Table 3.4-21, No-Action and Action Ped. LOS Comparison Weekday Pre-Game PM Peak Hr. 3.4-28
Table 3.4-22, No-Action and Action Ped. LOS Comparison Weekday Pre-Game Midday Peak Hr. 3.4-29
Table 3.4-23, Peak Hour Ped. LOS 2018 Action Pre-Game Peak Hour Conditions 3.4-30
Table 3.4-24, 2018 No-Action and Action Ped. LOS Comparison Weekday Pre-Game PM Pk Hr. 3.4-31
Table 3.4-25, 2018 No-Action and Action LOS Comparison Saturday Pre-Game Peak Hour 3.4-31

3.5 AIR QUALITY
Table 3.5-1, Applicable National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards 3.5-2
Table 3.5-2, 2008 Existing Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels Non-Game Day 3.5-5
Table 3.5-3, 2018 Future With/Without Proposed Action Maximum 8-Hour Co Levels Non-Game 3.5-6
Table 3.5-4, 2008 Existing Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels Game Day 3.5-6
Table 3.5-5, 2018 Future With/Without Proposed Action Maximum 8-Hour Co Levels Game Day 3.5-6
Table 3.5-6, Projected and Potential Sites That Did Pass Screening 3.5-11
Table 3.5-7, Projected and Potential Sites That Did Not Pass Screening 3.5-12
Table 3.5-8, Building-on-Building 24-Hour SO2 Analysis Results 3.5-16
Table 3.5-9, Development Sites That Did Not Exceed the NAAQS for SO2 Using Fuel Oil 3.5-17
Table 3.5-10, Projected and Potential Sites That Did Not Pass the 10-Foot Threshold Distance 3.5-17
Table 3.5-11, Minimum Stack Set-Back Requirements for Proj. or Pot. Development Sites 3.5-18
Table 3.5-12, Analysis of the Non-Carcinogenic Toxic Pollutants Under the Proposed Action 3.5-26
Table 3.5-13, Analysis of the Carcinogenic Toxic Pollutants under the Proposed Action 3.5-27

3.6 NOISE
Table 3.6-1, Noise Exposure Standards for Use in City Environmental Impact Reviews 3.6-5
Table 3.6-2, Existing Short-Term Noise Levels on Non-Game Day, Sites 1 through 6 (June/08) 3.6-6

Table 3.6-3, Existing Short-term Noise Levels on Game Day, Sites 1 through 6 (September/08) 3.6-7
Table 3.6-4, Existing & Future Traffic Noise Levels, Non-Game Days Short-Term Monitored Sites 3.6-9
Table 3.6-5, Existing & Future Traffic Noise Levels, Game Days Short-Term Monitored Sites 3.6-11
Table 3.6-6, Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels 3.6-14
Table 3.6-7, Required Attenuation Values for Each Projected and Potential Developmental Site 3.6-13
Table 3.6-8, Required Window Attenuation for Projected and Potential Developmental Sites 3.6-15

3.8 ALTERNATIVES
Table 3.8-1, Summary of Development Under Each Alternative 3.8-1
Table 3.8-2, Summary of RWCDS for the Lesser Density Alternative and the Proposed Action 3.8-13
Table 3.8-3, Comparison of Estimated Vehicle Trip Generation 3.8-14

LIST OF FIGURES **FOLLOWS PAGE #**

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION
Figure 2.0-1, Project Location 2.0-4
Figure 2.0-2, Existing Zoning 2.0-8
Figure 2.0-3, Proposed Zoning 2.0-8
Figure 2.0-4, Projected and Potential Development Sites 2.0-18

3.0 PROBABLE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

3.1 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES
Figure 3.1-1, Public Elementary and Intermediate Schools 3.1-8
Figure 3.1-2, Publicly Funded Day Care Centers in the One-Mile Study Area 3.1-12

3.2 NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER
Figure 3.2-1, Neighborhood Character Study Area 3.2-2

3.3 TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIANS
Figure 3.3-1, Study Area Map 3.3-1
Figure 3.3-2, Existing Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-3
Figure 3.3-3, Existing Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-3
Figure 3.3-4, Existing Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-3
Figure 3.3-5, Existing Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-3
Figure 3.3-6, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-12
Figure 3.3-7, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-12
Figure 3.3-8, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-12
Figure 3.3-9, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-12
Figure 3.3-10, Build Increment Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-11, Build Increment Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-12, Build Increment Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-13, Build Increment Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-14, Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-15, Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-16, Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-17, Action Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour (Non-Game Day) 3.3-29
Figure 3.3-18, Existing Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour (Game Day) 3.3-35
Figure 3.3-19, Existing Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour (Game Day) 3.3-35
Figure 3.3-20, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 3.3-41
Figure 3.3-21, No-Action Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 3.3-41
Figure 3.3-22, Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 3.3-49
Figure 3.3-23, Action Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 3.3-49
Figure 3.3-24, Parking Survey Area 3.3-60

3.4 TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS
Figure 3.4-1, Subway Service 3.4-2
Figure 3.4-2, Bus Service 3.4-9

3.6 NOISE
Figure 3.6-1, Common Indoor and Outdoor Noise Levels 3.6-3
Figure 3.6-2, Locations of Noise Monitoring Sites 3.6-3