# Appendix A: Land Use

Contents:

1) New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program Consistency Assessment Form
2) 0.2% Elevation + Sea Level Rise
3) NYC Waterfront Revitilization Program Policy 6.2 Flood Elevation Worksheet
4) Description of Physical Features
5) Assessment of Project Vulnerability Over a Range of Sea Level Rise Projections
6) Current Tidal and Flood Heights

| FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY | WRP No. _____ |
|---|---|
| Date Received: _____ | DOS No. _____ |

# NEW YORK CITY WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM
## Consistency Assessment Form

Proposed actions that are subject to CEQR, ULURP or other local, state or federal discretionary review procedures, and that are within New York City's Coastal Zone, must be reviewed and assessed for their consistency with the *New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program (WRP)* which has been approved as part of the State's Coastal Management Program.

This form is intended to assist an applicant in certifying that the proposed activity is consistent with the WRP. It should be completed when the local, state, or federal application is prepared. The completed form and accompanying information will be used by the New York State Department of State, the New York City Department of City Planning, or other city or state agencies in their review of the applicant's certification of consistency.

### A. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name of Applicant: 500 Kent LLC and United Jewish Organizations of Williamsburg, Inc.

Name of Applicant Representative: Raymond H. Levin, Esq., Herrick Feinstein LLP

Address: 2 Park Avenue, 14th Floor

Telephone: 212-592-1400 Email: rlevin@herrick.com

Project site owner (if different than above): _____

### B. PROPOSED ACTIVITY

*If more space is needed, include as an attachment.*

1. Brief description of activity

The Applicant is seeking several discretionary approvals to facilitate the development of a 23-story, 352-foot tall (roof height) commercial building with approximately 684,242 gross square feet (gsf), including 598,442 gsf of office space, and 21,233 gsf of retail space (the "Proposed Project"). The Proposed Project would be constructed at 500 Kent Avenue (Block 2023, Lot 10), a 115,244-sf, irregular-shaped corner lot located on at the southwest corner of the Kent Avenue and Division Avenue intersection in the South Williamsburg neighborhood of Brooklyn Community District CD) 2 (the "Project Site"). The development would also provide 234 (64,567 gsf) public parking spaces, two loading berths, and 49,453 sf of publicly-accessible waterfront open space along the Wallabout Channel plus supplemental public access area. It is expected that the proposed development would be completed by 2026.

2. Purpose of activity

In the vicinity of the Project Site both the demand for workspace and the residential population have increased substantially in recent years. The Brooklyn Navy Yard (BNY), immediately south and southwest of the Project Site, has undergone a transformation and is now home to over 450 commercial and light industrial businesses employing approximately 11,000 people with further growth anticipated. At the same time, there has been a resurgence of residential development in Williamsburg and other nearby neighborhoods. There are multiple new, high rise residential buildings near the Project Site and additional development expected in the future. The City is now promoting the increase of walk-to-work commuting patterns and new forms of public transportation along the waterfront including the NYC Ferry service. By providing a new hub of business development, the Proposed Project would be compatible with the ongoing development of the neighboring BNY and would be complementary to the increased residential uses in the area, providing space for work (office) and shopping (retail) that could reduce travel times and distances for local residents.

More broadly, the Proposed Project would result in the re-use of a vacant, former brownfield site that has been remediated and is served by existing water and sewer infrastructure and other utilities. The Proposed Project would contribute to the vitality of Brooklyn's East River waterfront and its new public waterfront open space by attracting new workers and visitors to the site.

## C. PROJECT LOCATION

Borough: Brooklyn Tax Block/Lot(s): Block 2023, Lot 10

Street Address: 492-518 Kent Avenue, 2-18 Division Avenue

Name of water body (if located on the waterfront): Wallabout Channel

## D. REQUIRED ACTIONS OR APPROVALS

*Check all that apply.*

### City Actions/Approvals/Funding

***City Planning Commission*** ☑ Yes ☐ No

- ☐ City Map Amendment
- ☑ Zoning Map Amendment
- ☐ Zoning Text Amendment
- ☐ Site Selection – Public Facility
- ☐ Housing Plan & Project
- ☑ Special Permit
  (if appropriate, specify type: ☐ Modification ☐ Renewal ☐ other) Expiration Date: _____
- ☑ Zoning Certification
- ☐ Zoning Authorizations
- ☐ Acquisition – Real Property
- ☐ Disposition – Real Property
- ☐ Other, explain: _____
- ☐ Concession
- ☐ UDAAP
- ☐ Revocable Consent
- ☐ Franchise

***Board of Standards and Appeals*** ☐ Yes ☑ No

- ☐ Variance (use)
- ☐ Variance (bulk)
- ☐ Special Permit
  (if appropriate, specify type: ☐ Modification ☐ Renewal ☐ other) Expiration Date: _____

***Other City Approvals***

- ☐ Legislation
- ☐ Rulemaking
- ☐ Construction of Public Facilities
- ☐ 384 (b) (4) Approval
- ☐ Other, explain: _____
- ☐ Funding for Construction, specify: _____
- ☐ Policy or Plan, specify: _____
- ☐ Funding of Program, specify: _____
- ☐ Permits, specify: _____

### State Actions/Approvals/Funding

- ☐ State permit or license, specify Agency: _____ Permit type and number: _____
- ☐ Funding for Construction, specify: _____
- ☐ Funding of a Program, specify: _____
- ☐ Other, explain: _____

### Federal Actions/Approvals/Funding

- ☐ Federal permit or license, specify Agency: _____ Permit type and number: _____
- ☐ Funding for Construction, specify: _____
- ☐ Funding of a Program, specify: _____
- ☐ Other, explain: _____

Is this being reviewed in conjunction with a Joint Application for Permits? ☐ Yes ☑ No

## E. LOCATION QUESTIONS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Does the project require a waterfront site? | ☐ Yes | ☑ No |
| 2. | Would the action result in a physical alteration to a waterfront site, including land along the shoreline, land under water or coastal waters? | ☑ Yes | ☐ No |
| 3. | Is the project located on publicly owned land or receiving public assistance? | ☐ Yes | ☑ No |
| 4. | Is the project located within a FEMA 1% annual chance floodplain? *(6.2)* | ☑ Yes | ☐ No |
| 5. | Is the project located within a FEMA 0.2% annual chance floodplain? *(6.2)* | ☑ Yes | ☐ No |
| 6. | Is the project located adjacent to or within a special area designation? *See Maps – Part III of the NYC WRP. If so, check appropriate boxes below and evaluate policies noted in parentheses as part of WRP Policy Assessment (Section F).* | ☑ Yes | ☐ No |

- ☑ Significant Maritime and Industrial Area (SMIA) (*2.1*)
- ☐ Special Natural Waterfront Area (SNWA) (*4.1*)
- ☑ Priority Maritime Activity Zone (PMAZ) (*3.5*)
- ☐ Recognized Ecological Complex (REC) (*4.4*)
- ☐ West Shore Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area (ESMIA) (*2.2, 4.2*)

## F. WRP POLICY ASSESSMENT

*Review the project or action for consistency with the WRP policies. For each policy, check* Promote, Hinder *or* Not Applicable (N/A). *For more information about consistency review process and determination, see* ***Part I*** *of the NYC Waterfront Revitalization Program. When assessing each policy, review the full policy language, including all sub-policies, contained within* ***Part II*** *of the WRP. The relevance of each applicable policy may vary depending upon the project type and where it is located (i.e. if it is located within one of the special area designations).*

*For those policies checked* Promote *or* Hinder, *provide a written statement on a separate page that assesses the effects of the proposed activity on the relevant policies or standards. If the project or action promotes a policy, explain how the action would be consistent with the goals of the policy. If it hinders a policy, consideration should be given toward any practical means of altering or modifying the project to eliminate the hindrance. Policies that would be advanced by the project should be balanced against those that would be hindered by the project. If reasonable modifications to eliminate the hindrance are not possible, consideration should be given as to whether the hindrance is of such a degree as to be substantial, and if so, those adverse effects should be mitigated to the extent practicable.*

| | | Promote | Hinder | N/A |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | **Support and facilitate commercial and residential redevelopment in areas well-suited to such development.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 1.1 | Encourage commercial and residential redevelopment in appropriate Coastal Zone areas. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 1.2 | Encourage non-industrial development with uses and design features that enliven the waterfront and attract the public. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 1.3 | Encourage redevelopment in the Coastal Zone where public facilities and infrastructure are adequate or will be developed. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 1.4 | In areas adjacent to SMIAs, ensure new residential development maximizes compatibility with existing adjacent maritime and industrial uses. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 1.5 | Integrate consideration of climate change and sea level rise into the planning and design of waterfront residential and commercial development, pursuant to WRP Policy 6.2. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |

| | | Promote | Hinder | N/A |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2** | **Support water-dependent and industrial uses in New York City coastal areas that are well-suited to their continued operation.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2.1 | Promote water-dependent and industrial uses in Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2.2 | Encourage a compatible relationship between working waterfront uses, upland development and natural resources within the Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 2.3 | Encourage working waterfront uses at appropriate sites outside the Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas or Ecologically Sensitive Maritime Industrial Area. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2.4 | Provide infrastructure improvements necessary to support working waterfront uses. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2.5 | Incorporate consideration of climate change and sea level rise into the planning and design of waterfront industrial development and infrastructure, pursuant to WRP Policy 6.2. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **3** | **Promote use of New York City's waterways for commercial and recreational boating and water-dependent transportation.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3.1. | Support and encourage in-water recreational activities in suitable locations. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 3.2 | Support and encourage recreational, educational and commercial boating in New York City's maritime centers. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 3.3 | Minimize conflicts between recreational boating and commercial ship operations. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 3.4 | Minimize impact of commercial and recreational boating activities on the aquatic environment and surrounding land and water uses. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 3.5 | In Priority Marine Activity Zones, support the ongoing maintenance of maritime infrastructure for water-dependent uses. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **4** | **Protect and restore the quality and function of ecological systems within the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4.1 | Protect and restore the ecological quality and component habitats and resources within the Special Natural Waterfront Areas. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 4.2 | Protect and restore the ecological quality and component habitats and resources within the Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 4.3 | Protect designated Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitats. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 4.4 | Identify, remediate and restore ecological functions within Recognized Ecological Complexes. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 4.5 | Protect and restore tidal and freshwater wetlands. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 4.6 | In addition to wetlands, seek opportunities to create a mosaic of habitats with high ecological value and function that provide environmental and societal benefits. Restoration should strive to incorporate multiple habitat characteristics to achieve the greatest ecological benefit at a single location. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4.7 | Protect vulnerable plant, fish and wildlife species, and rare ecological communities. Design and develop land and water uses to maximize their integration or compatibility with the identified ecological community. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4.8 | Maintain and protect living aquatic resources. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |

| | | Promote | Hinder | N/A |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **5** | **Protect and improve water quality in the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5.1 | Manage direct or indirect discharges to waterbodies. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5.2 | Protect the quality of New York City's waters by managing activities that generate nonpoint source pollution. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5.3 | Protect water quality when excavating or placing fill in navigable waters and in or near marshes, estuaries, tidal marshes, and wetlands. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 5.4 | Protect the quality and quantity of groundwater, streams, and the sources of water for wetlands. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 5.5 | Protect and improve water quality through cost-effective grey-infrastructure and in-water ecological strategies. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **6** | **Minimize loss of life, structures, infrastructure, and natural resources caused by flooding and erosion, and increase resilience to future conditions created by climate change.** | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6.1 | Minimize losses from flooding and erosion by employing non-structural and structural management measures appropriate to the site, the use of the property to be protected, and the surrounding area. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6.2 | Integrate consideration of the latest New York City projections of climate change and sea level rise (as published in *New York City Panel on Climate Change 2015 Report, Chapter 2: Sea Level Rise and Coastal Storms*) into the planning and design of projects in the city's Coastal Zone. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6.3 | Direct public funding for flood prevention or erosion control measures to those locations where the investment will yield significant public benefit. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6.4 | Protect and preserve non-renewable sources of sand for beach nourishment. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| **7** | **Minimize environmental degradation and negative impacts on public health from solid waste, toxic pollutants, hazardous materials, and industrial materials that may pose risks to the environment and public health and safety.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7.1 | Manage solid waste material, hazardous wastes, toxic pollutants, substances hazardous to the environment, and the unenclosed storage of industrial materials to protect public health, control pollution and prevent degradation of coastal ecosystems. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7.2 | Prevent and remediate discharge of petroleum products. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7.3 | Transport solid waste and hazardous materials and site solid and hazardous waste facilities in a manner that minimizes potential degradation of coastal resources. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **8** | **Provide public access to, from, and along New York City's coastal waters.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8.1 | Preserve, protect, maintain, and enhance physical, visual and recreational access to the waterfront. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8.2 | Incorporate public access into new public and private development where compatible with proposed land use and coastal location. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8.3 | Provide visual access to the waterfront where physically practical. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8.4 | Preserve and develop waterfront open space and recreation on publicly owned land at suitable locations. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |

| | | Promote | Hinder | N/A |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8.5 | Preserve the public interest in and use of lands and waters held in public trust by the State and City. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 8.6 | Design waterfront public spaces to encourage the waterfront's identity and encourage stewardship. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **9** | **Protect scenic resources that contribute to the visual quality of the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9.1 | Protect and improve visual quality associated with New York City's urban context and the historic and working waterfront. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9.2 | Protect and enhance scenic values associated with natural resources. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **10** | **Protect, preserve, and enhance resources significant to the historical, archaeological, architectural, and cultural legacy of the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10.1 | Retain and preserve historic resources, and enhance resources significant to the coastal culture of New York City. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10.2 | Protect and preserve archaeological resources and artifacts. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |

## G. CERTIFICATION

The applicant or agent must certify that the proposed activity is consistent with New York City's approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, pursuant to New York State's Coastal Management Program. If this certification cannot be made, the proposed activity shall not be undertaken. If this certification can be made, complete this Section.

"The proposed activity complies with New York State's approved Coastal Management Program as expressed in New York City's approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, pursuant to New York State's Coastal Management Program, and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program."

Applicant/Agent's Name: Philip A. Habib, P.E.

Address: 432 Park Avenue South, 6th Floor, New York, NY 10016

Telephone: 212.929.5656 Email: phabib@phaeng.com

Applicant/Agent's Signature: _____

Date: 10/31/23

| | | Promote | Hinder | N/A |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8.5 | Preserve the public interest in and use of lands and waters held in public trust by the State and City. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| 8.6 | Design waterfront public spaces to encourage the waterfront's identity and encourage stewardship. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **9** | **Protect scenic resources that contribute to the visual quality of the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9.1 | Protect and improve visual quality associated with New York City's urban context and the historic and working waterfront. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9.2 | Protect and enhance scenic values associated with natural resources. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **10** | **Protect, preserve, and enhance resources significant to the historical, archaeological, architectural, and cultural legacy of the New York City coastal area.** | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10.1 | Retain and preserve historic resources, and enhance resources significant to the coastal culture of New York City. | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10.2 | Protect and preserve archaeological resources and artifacts. | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |

## G. CERTIFICATION

The applicant or agent must certify that the proposed activity is consistent with New York City's approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, pursuant to New York State's Coastal Management Program. If this certification cannot be made, the proposed activity shall not be undertaken. If this certification can be made, complete this Section.

"The proposed activity complies with New York State's approved Coastal Management Program as expressed in New York City's approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, pursuant to New York State's Coastal Management Program, and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program."

Applicant/Agent's Name: Philip A. Habib, P.E.

Address: 432 Park Avenue South, 6th Floor, New York, NY 10016

Telephone: 212.929.5656 Email: phabib@phaeng.com

Applicant/Agent's Signature: 

Date: 10/3/23

## Submission Requirements

For all actions requiring City Planning Commission approval, materials should be submitted to the Department of City Planning.

For local actions not requiring City Planning Commission review, the applicant or agent shall submit materials to the Lead Agency responsible for environmental review. A copy should also be sent to the Department of City Planning.

For State actions or funding, the Lead Agency responsible for environmental review should transmit its WRP consistency assessment to the Department of City Planning.

For Federal direct actions, funding, or permits applications, including Joint Applicants for Permits, the applicant or agent shall also submit a copy of this completed form along with his/her application to the NYS Department of State Office of Planning and Development and other relevant state and federal agencies. A copy of the application should be provided to the NYC Department of City Planning.

The Department of City Planning is also available for consultation and advisement regarding WRP consistency procedural matters.

**New York City Department of City Planning**
Waterfront and Open Space Division
120 Broadway, 31st Floor
New York, New York 10271
212-720-3696
wrp@planning.nyc.gov
www.nyc.gov/wrp

**New York State Department of State**
Office of Planning and Development
Suite 1010
One Commerce Place, 99 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12231-0001
518-474-6000
www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/consistency

### Applicant Checklist

- ☐ Copy of original signed NYC Consistency Assessment Form
- ☐ Attachment with consistency assessment statements for all relevant policies
- ☐ For Joint Applications for Permits, one (1) copy of the complete application package
- ☐ Environmental Review documents
- ☐ Drawings (plans, sections, elevations), surveys, photographs, maps, or other information or materials which would support the certification of consistency and are not included in other documents submitted. All drawings should be clearly labeled and at a scale that is legible.
- ☐ Policy 6.2 Flood Elevation worksheet, if applicable. For guidance on applicability, refer to the WRP Policy 6.2 Guidance document available at www.nyc.gov/wrp


0.2% Flood Elevation + Sea Level Rise
Feet above NAVD88
30
28
26
24
22
20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
B Ground floor
A Cellar
H
Baseline
2020s
2050s
2080s
2100
SLR PROJECTIONS
High
High-Mid
Mid
Low-Mid
Low

**NYC Waterfront Revitalization Program - Policy 6.2 Flood Elevation Workhsheet**

**COMPLETE INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO USE THIS WORKSHEET ARE PROVIDED IN THE "CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION GUIDANCE" DOCUMENT AVAILABLE AT www.nyc.gov/wrp**

*Enter information about the project and site in highlighted cells in Tabs 1-3. Tab 4, "Summary Charts" contains primary results. Tab 5, "0.2%+SLR" produces charts to be used for critical infrastructure or facilities. Tab 6, "Calculations" contains background computations. Appendix A contains tide elevations for station across the city to be used for the elevation of MHHW if a site survey is not available. Non-highlighted cells have been locked.*

| Background Information | |
|---|---|
| Project Name | 500 Kent Avenue EIS |
| Location | 500 Kent Avenue (Block 2023, Lot 10), Brooklyn |
| Type(s) | ☑ Residential, Commercial, Community Facility ☐ Parkland, Open Space, and Natural Areas ☐ Tidal Wetland Restoration ☐ Critical Infrastructure or Facility ☐ Industrial Uses<br>☐ Over-water Structures ☐ Shoreline Structures ☐ Transportation ☐ Wastewater Treatment/Drainage ☐ Coastal Protection |
| Description | The Applicant is seeking several discretionary approvals to facilitate the development of a 23-story, 352-foot tall (roof height) commercial building with approximately 684,242 gross square feet (gsf), including 598,442 gsf of office space, and 21,233 gsf of retail space (the “Proposed Project”). The development would also provide 234 (64,567 gsf) public parking spaces, two loading berths, and 49,453 sf of publicly-accessible waterfront open space including a shore public walkway along the the Wallabout Channel. The 115,244-sf Project Site is an irregular-shaped corner lot located on at the SW corner of the Kent and Division Avenues intersection in Brooklyn Community District 2. |
| Planned Completion Date | 2026 |
| Expected Project Lifespan | 2074 |

*The New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program Climate Change Adaptation Guidance document was developed by the NYC Department of City Planning. It is a guidance document only and is not intended to serve as a substitute for actual regulations. The City disclaims any liability for errors that may be contained herein and shall not be responsible for any damages, consequential or actual, arising out of or in connection with the use of this information. The City reserves the right to update or correct information in this guidance document at any time and without notice.*

**For technical assistance on using this worksheet, email wrp@planning.nyc.gov, using the message subject "Policy 6.2 Worksheet."**

***Last update: Sept. 7, 2018***

**Describe key physical features of the project.**

| Feature *(enter name)* | Feature Category | Lifespan | Elevation | Units | Datum | Ft | Ft Above NAVD88 | Ft Above MHHW | Ft Above 0.2% flood height |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A Cellar | ☐ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | 2074 | 3.5 | Feet | NAVD88 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | -10.5 |
| *Parking* | | | | | | | | | |
| B Ground floor | ☑ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | 2074 | 12.0 | Feet | NAVD88 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 9.5 | -2.0 |
| *No critical mechanical infrastructure, retail and office space* | | | | | | | | | |
| C Floors 2-3 | ☐ Vulnerable ☑ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | 2074 | 47.0 | Feet | NAVD88 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 44.5 | 33.0 |
| *Office space, critical mechanical infrastructure on Floor 3* | | | | | | | | | |
| D Floors 4-23 | ☑ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | 2074 | 364.1 | Feet | NAVD88 | 364.1 | 364.1 | 361.6 | 350.1 |
| *Floor 5 has retail and office space, rest of the floors have only office space* | | | | | | | | | |
| E Mechanical Bulkhead | ☑ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | 2074 | 387.1 | Feet | NAVD88 | 387.1 | 387.1 | 384.6 | 373.1 |
| *Mechanical above the roof, i.e., above 23rd floor* | | | | | | | | | |
| F | ☐ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | | | Feet | NAVD88 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| G | ☐ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | | | Feet | NAVD88 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| H | ☐ Vulnerable ☐ Critical ☐ Potentially Hazardous ☐ Other | | | Feet | NAVD88 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

**Assess project vulnerability over a range of sea level rise projections.**

## Mean Higher High Water + Sea Level Rise




## 1% Flood Elevation + Sea Level Rise



## Establish current tidal and flood heights.

| | FT (NAVD88) | Feet | Datum | Source |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MHHW | 2.47 | **2.47** | **NAVD88** | *NOAA Tides and Current* |
| 1% flood height | 11.00 | **11.00** | **NAVD88** | *FEMA* |
| Design flood elevation | 12.00 | **12.00** | **NAVD88** | *Survey* |
| *As relevant:* | | | | |
| 0.2% flood height | 14.00 | 14.00 | **NAVD88** | *FEMA Preliminary FIS Report; Point K-49* |

*Data will be converted based on the following datums:*

| Datum | FT (NAVD88) |
|---|---|
| NAVD88 | *0.00* |
| NGVD29 | *-1.10* |
| Manhattan Datum | *1.65* |
| Bronx Datum | *1.51* |
| Brooklyn Datum (Sewer) | *0.61* |
| Brooklyn Datum (Highway) | *1.45* |
| Queens Datum | *1.63* |
| Richmond Datum | *2.09* |