Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: Brownsville NCP

Contact: Aleena Farishta (farishta@hpd.nyc.gov ▪ 212.863.5327)

Prepared by: Amhara Hernandez Date: August 22, 2024

Note: Racial equity reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

APPLICATION TYPE

Applications that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant criteria:

☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts

☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☑ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☑ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet

☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet

☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Section 1: Executive summary

Provide a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

The New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) works to make sure that New Yorkers can find affordable, quality housing, and the city's neighborhoods remain strong and diverse. One way that HPD does this is by providing financing to support the building of new, affordable housing. HPD also helps neighborhoods plan for their future by gathering feedback from the community. In 2017, after gathering public input for a year and a half, HPD published the Brownsville Plan. This plan recommended that the City should build affordable housing and improve access to arts and culture on Brownsville, Brooklyn's vacant public land. The proposed Brownsville NCP development will be aligned with many of the Brownsville Plan's goals.

Utilizing HPD's financing tools, the proposed development would create approximately 60 affordable rental apartments across three new construction buildings, one additional unit for a superintendent, and a commercial space in one of the buildings. The proposed project would include a mix of studios, one-, two-, and three-bedroom high quality, rental apartments affordable to formerly homeless households and low-income individuals and families earning up to 80% Area Median Income ("AMI").

Development Site 1 would include approximately six affordable rental apartments and commercial space on the ground floor. The proposed building would also include a green roof at the second floor towards the rear of the building, and washers and dryers would be available for residents to use within the building.

Development Site 2 would include approximately 21 affordable rental apartments. The proposed building would include a landscaped rear yard with seating accessible to tenants from the ground floor hallway, an indoor seating area on the ground floor, approximately 11 enclosed bicycle parking spaces, a common laundry room, a community room, and an outdoor seating area at the cellar level. The proposed building would also include a landscaped green roof with seating at the sixth floor.

Development Site 3 would include approximately 33 affordable rental apartments, including at least approximately eight permanently affordable MIH apartments, and one additional unit for a superintendent. The proposed building would include a landscaped rear yard with seating, an indoor seating area, an office space for building managers, and approximately 17 enclosed bicycle parking spaces.

As described in Section 4 of this report, the project advances several of the City's stated goals to advance fair and affordable housing in New York City. Most fundamentally, the project would develop three vacant sites and provide high-quality, affordable housing and amenities to approximately 60 of the City's households with lowest incomes. To advance fair housing, the proposed project would also:

- Provide high quality and affordable homes for New Yorkers currently in a shelter
- Set aside housing for people with disabilities
- Increase housing opportunities for New Yorkers using housing vouchers; and
- Provide a stable housing option for Brownsville residents who want to stay in the neighborhood

The Brownsville neighborhood, as of 2020, was composed of predominantly Black (67%) and Hispanic (23%) residents who faced significant housing challenges.[1][2] As of 2021, approximately 83% of the households in the neighborhood rented their homes, and six in ten of Brownsville households spent more than 30% of their income on rent, higher than citywide rate (52.6% of NYC households pay over 30% if their income in rent). Half of the households in the neighborhood earned less than $34,500 per year, less than half of the city's median income of $70,600.[3] Brownsville's median income was lower than citywide figures even while accounting for racial disparities: citywide, the median income of Black non-Hispanic ($54,000) and Hispanic households ($48,800) was significantly lower than the median income of Asian non-Hispanic ($75,300) and White Non-Hispanic households ($103,200).

Housing instability in Brownsville is reflected in disproportionately high rates of evictions: from 2017 to 2023, 50 in 1,000 households in Brownsville faced evictions, more than double the rate citywide where 22 in 1,000 households faced evictions. Additionally, Brownsville has a larger proportion (36%) of severely rent burdened households, spending over 50% of income toward rent, than the citywide average (28%). Brownsville also has a disproportionately high rate of poor-quality housing, where over 30% of the apartments in Brownsville report having three or more maintenance issues, as compared to only 11% citywide. These local housing conditions reflect citywide trends for Black and Hispanic households. Black and Hispanic households (21% and 20% respectively) in New York City live with three or more maintenance deficiencies —almost three times the rate of either White Non-Hispanic or Asian Non-Hispanic households (7% and 8% respectively).[4]

Many of these housing and economic insecurities are reflected in the neighborhood's displacement risk. At the location of the proposed development, displacement risk is categorized as "higher", compared to other neighborhoods in New York City. Rent burden, low incomes, and poor-quality housing are all displacement risk factors. At the same time, compared to other neighborhoods, Brownsville is experiencing low housing market pressures such as change in median rents, housing price appreciation, and a change in population with a bachelor's degree.

---

[1] Data referenced is for PUMA 4007, which includes Brownsville, Ocean Hill, and East New York

[2] Unless otherwise noted, all data is available through the Equitable Development Data Explorer

[3] Incomes are rounded to closest $100

[4] New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey (NYCHVS), 2021. US Census Bureau / NYC Dept of Housing Preservation and Development

Section 2: Project specific information

Before completing Section 2, please review the racial equity report submission guide.

2.1 Description of residential space and affordability

2.1.1 Reporting residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 50,764

2.1.2 Profile of anticipated residential affordability

Report the number of anticipated housing units. Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units.

Income Restriction	Units		Anticipated Affordability				
			AMI Band	Rent (2024)*		Eligible Income (2024) **	
	#	%		Studio	2-bedroom	1-person HH	4-person HH
Formerly Homeless	8	13%	N/A	30% of Income	30% of Income	Referred by HRA	Referred by HRA
Very Low Income	17	28%	40	1,090	1,400	43,500	62,100
Low Income	17	28%	60	1,630	2,100	65,200	93,200
Low Income	18	30%	70	1,900	2,450	76,100	108,700
Total	60	100%					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

Provide additional details below as needed. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.

It is important to note that any proposed publicly financed affordable housing is subject to change based on several factors including the availability of financing and the parameters of public financing programs in effect at the time of construction.

The proposed project consists of three new construction buildings with a total of approximately 60 affordable rental apartments, one additional unit for a superintendent, and a commercial space in one of the buildings. It would consist of a mix of studios, one-, two-, and three-bedroom apartments serving very low- and low-income households and formerly homeless individuals and families with incomes up to 80% AMI.

(2.1.2 continued)

The above table illustrates the anticipated affordability. The cost of an affordable unit is based on the size of the unit and the associated AMI. Eligible household incomes are based on household size. Larger apartments are available to larger households – a studio apartment is available to a household of one or two members. Example qualifying incomes therefore reflect a range of household sizes. Additional information about affordable housing eligibility can be found on HPD's website.

The project also proposes financing with Section 8 rental assistance, also known as the Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV"). 8 project-based vouchers would be included in the project. The project also proposes using Mandatory Inclusionary Housing ("MIH") Option 1 ensuring at least 25% of residential floor area as permanently affordable to households with an income at a weighted average of 60% AMI. for the proposed Development Site 3.

2.2 Description of non-residential space and jobs

Report building area and job sector details for specific non-residential uses. Specific non-residential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build for their own use.

If the proposal includes non-residential space but no specific tenants or businesses, do not complete this section. General non-residential space can be discussed in Section 4.

2.2.1 Reporting non-residential floor area

Total non-residential zoning square feet: 2,068

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by unknown tenants: 2,068

Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by known tenants:

2.2.2 Profile of anticipated new jobs for non-residential space with a known tenant

If the application proposes specific non-residential uses, report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector. Specific non-residential uses are those where there is a known tenant for the space.

In cases where there is not a known tenant, do not report the projected number of jobs or the characteristics of the potential sectors. Without a specific tenant/business, future industry sectors cannot be known and therefore all wage and workforce reporting would be speculative.

Sector (name and NAICS code)	Job count
Total	0

For each sector, use most recent available data to describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those sectors in New York City.

(2.2.2 continued)

2.3 Estimating construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
546 Thomas Boyland (Brooklyn, Block 3518, Lot 63)	44	22
425 Mother Gaston Boulevard (Brooklyn, Block 3743, Lot 12)	18	9
1733-1735 St. Marks Avenue (Brooklyn, Block 1455, Lots 65, 66, and 79)	61	30
Totals	123	61

Section 3: Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary provides a graphic snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the relevant Community District[1]. Data tables and sources can be found in the full community profile (Section 5 of this report.)

[1] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Community Profile Summary

Brownsville, & Ocean Hill

(PUMA 4007, approximately Brooklyn CD 16)

Each figure includes a description and a sample interpretive sentence. Data is sourced from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey, and the NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey. For more detailed data sourcing, see the associated source table in the Equitable Development Data Explorer, available here.

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2

Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6

Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes


Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."




Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."




Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector[1], 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06


[1] Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."




Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."




Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2022


Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2022, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2022 Q2 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars



Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates comes with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as ***errror bars.***

These "I" figures are called ***error bars***. Error bars account for uncertainty in ***sample data*** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the ***error bars*** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about $160 and $245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller ***error bar***, which is why the size of the ***error bar*** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.

Correct intrepretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about $50 and $190."

Based on the ***error bar*** for Chinatown, this is correct.

Incorrect intrepretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."


Because the ***error bars*** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan ($160-$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown ($45-$195).


Brownsville & Ocean Hill

PUMA 4007

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.





Displacement Risk

by Neighborhood Tabulation Area

Lowest | Lower | Intermediate | Higher | Highest

0 1 2

Miles

N

Section 4: Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, Where We Live NYC.

In accordance with the city of New York's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing ("AFFH"), the city prepared a fair housing plan in 2020, Where We Live NYC ("WWL"), that articulated six goals to advance fair housing in NYC. WWL describes NYC's multiple overlapping housing crises:

Housing production has not kept up with population growth in neighborhoods throughout the city, contributing to rising rents and housing costs.

The lowest-income families are hardest hit by these rising housing costs, resulting in one third of New Yorkers being severely rent burdened (spending more than 50% of their income on rent) and 50,000 New Yorkers sleeping within the city's shelter system.

A history of racist laws, policies, and practices led to patterns of segregation and disinvestment in low-income communities of color, resulting in a deteriorating built environment and compounding economic, public health, and safety challenges.

The City is tackling these complex issues with a variety of strategies outlined in the plan. The proposed project would advance the identified goals and strategies by developing 3 sites in Brownsville with 3 new construction buildings that include approximately 60 100% affordable rental apartments for individuals and families and a commercial space that would serve the neighborhood.

In a neighborhood with a legacy history of disinvestment, the proposed project would develop 5 vacant underutilized lots with high quality housing and amenities (Goal 6).

Brownsville contends with a history of disinvestment resulting from decades of racist laws, public policy, and practices which have disproportionately affected neighborhoods primarily comprised of people of color. This legacy of discrimination and segregation can be seen in built environment conditions such as high rates of buildings with maintenance deficiencies and concentrations of vacant and underutilized land.
The proposed project aligns with the 2017 Brownsville Plan, a community-based neighborhood plan that established four major goals: achieve equitable health outcomes, improve neighborhood safety, promote community economic development, and foster local arts and identity. The proposed project would improve 3 vacant sites and enhance the public streetscape. Development Site 1 has ground floor space intended for commercial uses which would be available to the community. Furthermore, Development Site 1 would include both indoor and outdoor recreational space and amenities for residents.

(Section 4 continued)

The project would provide stable housing options for Brownsville residents who want to stay in the neighborhood (Goal 3).

There is a critically low supply of deeply affordable housing in New York City. Over half of all NYC households pay more than 30% of their income on rent, and that rate is even higher for low-income households. Black and Hispanic New Yorkers are disproportionately rent burdened, and therefore face disproportionate housing instability. Market rate housing is simply out of reach for most New York City renters.

In addition, over 400,000 New York City households live with three or more housing maintenance deficiencies. Maintenance deficiencies can lead to significant health and safety issues, and even loss of housing. There are clear disparities in housing quality by race, ethnicity, and income. Black and Hispanic New Yorkers are much more likely to live in poor quality housing than other groups, and lower income households are more likely to live in poor quality housing than higher income households.

The proposed HPD-sponsored, 100% affordable housing project would directly address these needs by creating approximately 60 affordable homes. As described in Section 2, the proposed project would contain approximately 8 apartments serving formerly homeless households, approximately 17 apartments at 40% AMI, approximately 17 apartments at 60% AMI, and approximately 18 apartments at 70% AMI. The proposed project would redevelop 3 vacant underutilized sites with high quality housing and amenities across 3 new construction buildings.

New affordable housing is distributed through a lottery system run by HPD. Under current policy, lottery applicants residing in Brooklyn Community District 16 would be given preference for 20% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. If this development is advertised after April 2029, community district residents would be given a preference for 15% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. This policy creates opportunity for current area residents that face poor or unstable housing conditions but want to remain in their neighborhood. This is particularly important in Brownsville, a neighborhood with high displacement risk factors such as poor housing conditions, high rates of severely rent burdened households, and large proportions of households with extremely low-, very low-, and low incomes.

The proposed project increases housing opportunities for New Yorkers with housing vouchers (Goal 4).

New Yorkers using rental assistance such as Section 8 or city FHEPS encounter severe discrimination in the housing market. This “source of income” discrimination often forces households into unsafe, poor- quality homes. Where We Live NYC’s fourth goal is to expand opportunities for households using rental assistance benefits. Building approximately 60 apartments of affordable housing is a direct way to expand these opportunities. These apartments are particularly important for voucher holders, as the City has maximized opportunities for voucher holders to qualify for new affordable housing through the updated Housing Connect portal.
New Yorkers transitioning from shelter face a variety of challenges to accessing permanent housing, in part due to source of income discrimination which is when landlords, owners, and real estate brokers refuse to rent to tenants who want to pay with housing assistance vouchers or other forms of public assistance.

The proposed project addresses the issue by including approximately 8 apartments set aside for households transitioning from shelter.

The proposed project would create new quality housing for people with disabilities that is accessible, safe and affordable (Goal 5).

New Yorkers with disabilities face distinct challenges finding accessible, safe, and affordable housing. Therefore, expanding independent, integrated housing opportunities for New Yorkers with disabilities is a key goal of Where We Live NYC. The proposed project directly advanced this goal by setting aside approximately 5% of total apartments for people who are mobility impaired and approximately 2% of total apartments for people who are visually/hearing impaired. These apartments would be permanently set aside for New Yorkers who need specific accessibility features.

Section 5: Community profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer (EDDE) for the relevant Community District[2]. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

[2] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Demographic Conditions
PUMA 4007

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	116,790	100.0%	119,578	100.0%	134,109	100.0%	14,531	12.2%	
Asian non-Hispanic	655	0.6%	789	0.7%	1,739	1.3%	950	120.4%	0.6
Black non-Hispanic	88,673	75.9%	89,701	75.0%	89,395	66.7%	-306	-0.3%	-8.3
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	23,783	20.4%	25,544	21.4%	30,681	22.9%	5,137	20.1%	1.5
White non-Hispanic	804	0.7%	1,188	1.0%	4,215	3.1%	3,027	254.8%	2.1
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	2,875	2.5%	2,356	2.0%	8,079	6.0%	5,723	242.9%	4.0

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	114,262	2,406	1.3	100.0%		117,696	2,330	1.2	100.0%		122,733	4,790	2.4	100.0%		5,037	5,327	4.3%	4.6%		
Under 16 years	37,423	1,479	2.4	32.8%	1.1%	32,332	1,367	2.6	27.5%	1.0%	24,923	1,909	4.7	20.3%	1.3%	-7,409	2,348	-22.9%	6.7%	-7.2	1.6
16 to 64 years	68,138	1,546	1.4	59.6%	0.5%	74,736	1,719	1.4	63.5%	0.7%	82,402	3,433	2.5	67.1%	1.0%	7,666	3,839	10.3%	5.2%	3.6	1.2
65 years and over	8,701	836	5.8	7.6%	0.7%	10,628	512	2.9	9.0%	0.4%	15,408	977	3.9	12.6%	0.6%	4,780	1,103	45.0%	11.5%	3.6	0.7
Median age (years)	27.1	1.1	2.5			29.5	0.7	1.5			36.4	0.9	1.4			7.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	621	392	38.4	100.0%		1,004	371	22.5	100.0%		1,813	538	18.0	100.0%		809	654	80.6%	85.6%		
Under 16 years	195	130	40.5	31.4%	6.8%	229	118	31.2	22.8%	8.1%	203	262	78.4	11.2%	14.0%	-26	287	-11.4%	123.2%	-11.6	16.2
16 to 64 years	349	174	30.3	56.2%	45.2%	652	260	24.3	64.9%	9.8%	1,374	475	21.0	75.8%	13.5%	722	542	110.7%	111.2%	10.9	16.7
65 years and over	77	82	64.6	12.4%	10.6%	123	109	53.9	12.3%	9.9%	236	116	30.0	13.0%	5.1%	113	159	91.9%	194.4%	0.7	11.1
Median age (years)	31.1	14.3	28.0			32.1	2.7	5.1			39.2	8.0	12.4			7.0	8.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	87,105	2,270	1.6	100.0%		88,528	2,236	1.5	100.0%		83,068	3,979	2.9	100.0%		-5,460	4,564	-6.2%	5.1%		
Under 16 years	29,039	1,372	2.9	33.3%	1.3%	23,533	1,209	3.1	26.6%	1.2%	17,193	1,552	5.5	20.7%	1.6%	-6,340	1,967	-26.9%	7.6%	-5.9	2.0
16 to 64 years	51,648	1,568	1.8	59.3%	0.9%	56,799	1,597	1.7	64.2%	0.8%	54,837	2,843	3.2	66.0%	1.3%	-1,962	3,261	-3.5%	5.7%	1.8	1.5
65 years and over	6,418	725	6.9	7.4%	0.8%	8,196	460	3.4	9.3%	0.5%	11,038	946	5.2	13.3%	0.9%	2,842	1,052	34.7%	13.8%	4.0	1.0
Median age (years)	27.3	1.3	2.9			30.4	1.0	2.1			36.7	1.1	1.8			6.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	22,671	2,127	5.7	100.0%		25,530	1,846	4.4	100.0%		28,530	2,444	5.2	100.0%		3,000	3,063	11.8%	12.5%		
Under 16 years	7,090	760	6.5	31.3%	1.6%	8,003	831	6.3	31.3%	2.3%	6,542	983	9.1	22.9%	2.8%	-1,461	1,287	-18.3%	14.9%	-8.4	3.6
16 to 64 years	13,811	1,027	4.5	60.9%	7.3%	15,611	1,264	4.9	61.1%	2.2%	18,765	1,773	5.7	65.8%	2.6%	3,154	2,177	20.2%	15.0%	4.7	3.4
65 years and over	1,770	389	13.4	7.8%	1.6%	1,916	306	9.7	7.5%	1.1%	3,223	569	10.7	11.3%	1.7%	1,307	646	68.2%	40.0%	3.8	2.0
Median age (years)	26.4	2.2	5.1			26.2	1.6	3.6			34.6	1.7	3.0			8.0	2.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	781	439	34.2	100.0%		1,282	475	22.5	100.0%		4,676	787	10.2	100.0%		3,394	919	264.7%	148.4%		
Under 16 years	263	151	34.9	33.7%	3.9%	400	236	35.9	31.2%	14.4%	607	248	24.8	13.0%	4.8%	207	342	51.7%	108.9%	-18.2	15.2
16 to 64 years	337	171	30.8	43.1%	32.7%	733	281	23.3	57.2%	5.6%	3,843	663	10.5	82.2%	3.1%	3,110	720	424.3%	220.4%	25.0	6.4
65 years and over	181	125	42.1	23.2%	9.4%	149	82	33.5	11.6%	4.8%	226	114	30.6	4.8%	2.3%	77	140	51.7%	113.2%	-6.8	5.3
Median age (years)	32.0	16.4	31.2			28.4	6.8	14.6			33.1	2.8	5.1			5.0	7.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	114,262	2,406	1.3	100.0%		117,696	2,330	1.2	100.0%		122,733	4,790	2.4	100.0%		5,037	5,327	4.3%	4.6%		
Foreign-born	26,271	1,530	3.5	23.0%	1.2%	33,514	1,690	3.1	28.5%	1.3%	37,040	3,094	5.1	30.2%	2.2%	3,526	3,525	10.5%	10.8%	1.7	2.6

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	621	392	38.4	100.0%		1,004	371	22.5	100.0%		1,813	538	18.0	100.0%		809	654	80.6%	85.6%		
Foreign-born	298	185	37.8	48.0%	42.5%	764	300	23.9	76.1%	10.0%	1,099	421	23.3	60.6%	14.7%	335	517	43.8%	78.9%	-15.5	17.8

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	87,105	2,270	1.6	100.0%		88,528	2,236	1.5	100.0%		83,068	3,979	2.9	100.0%		-5,460	4,564	-6.2%	5.1%		
Foreign-born	17,559	1,311	4.5	20.2%	1.4%	24,353	1,719	4.3	27.5%	1.8%	23,479	2,311	6.0	28.3%	2.4%	-874	2,880	-3.6%	11.7%	0.8	3.0

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	22,671	2,127	5.7	100.0%		25,530	1,846	4.4	100.0%		28,530	2,444	5.2	100.0%		3,000	3,063	11.8%	12.5%		
Foreign-born	6,530	844	7.9	28.8%	2.6%	7,498	871	7.1	29.4%	2.7%	9,242	1,900	12.5	32.4%	6.1%	1,744	2,090	23.3%	29.1%	3.0	6.7

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	781	439	34.2	100.0%		1,282	475	22.5	100.0%		4,676	787	10.2	100.0%		3,394	919	264.7%	148.4%		
Foreign-born	240	166	42.2	30.7%	12.5%	351	203	35.2	27.4%	12.2%	916	415	27.5	19.6%	8.2%	565	462	161.0%	191.7%	-7.8	14.7

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	103,173	933	0.5	100.0%		107,387	2,154	1.2	100.0%		122,733	5,408	9.6	100.0%		15,346	5,821	14.3%	5.5%		
Speak English less than "very well"	10,814	1,135	6.4	10.5%	1.1%	8,722	961	6.7	8.1%	0.9%	10,609	1,555	8.9	8.6%	1.2%	1,887	1,828	21.6%	22.3%	0.5	1.5

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	529	214	24.6	100.0%		851	311	22.2	100.0%		1,813	538	18.0	100.0%		962	621	113.0%	100.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	131	131	60.9	24.8%	22.7%	419	211	30.7	49.2%	17.1%	599	257	26.1	33.0%	10.3%	180	333	43.0%	94.6%	-16.2	20.0

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	78,668	1,459	1.1	100.0%		81,110	2,130	1.6	100.0%		77,253	3,636	2.9	100.0%		-3,857	4,214	-4.8%	5.1%		
Speak English less than "very well"	1,485	439	18.0	1.9%	0.6%	1,202	329	16.6	1.5%	0.4%	1,885	941	30.4	2.4%	1.2%	683	997	56.8%	89.3%	0.9	1.3

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	20,498	1,209	3.6	100.0%		22,909	1,663	4.4	100.0%		26,563	2,308	5.3	100.0%		3,654	2,845	16.0%	13.1%		
Speak English less than "very well"	8,818	1,035	7.1	43.0%	4.4%	6,731	872	7.9	29.4%	3.2%	7,724	1,152	9.1	29.1%	3.5%	993	1,445	14.8%	22.7%	-0.3	4.7

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	675	241	21.7	100.0%		1,183	432	22.2	100.0%		4,403	758	10.5	100.0%		3,220	872	272.2%	150.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	194	160	50.0	28.7%	21.3%	264	158	36.4	22.3%	10.6%	285	196	41.8	6.5%	4.3%	21	252	8.0%	98.4%	-15.8	11.4

Household Economic Security
PUMA 4007

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	60,188	1,573	1.6	100.0%		67,410	1,309	1.2	100.0%		84,530	3,621	2.6	100.0%		17,120	3,850	25.4%	5.9%		
Less than high school degree	24,523	1,294	3.2	40.7%	1.9%	19,041	1,009	3.2	28.2%	1.4%	16,259	1,343	5.0	19.2%	1.4%	-2,782	1,680	-14.6%	8.4%	-9.0	2.0
High school degree or equivalent	18,664	1,165	3.8	31.0%	1.8%	24,197	1,355	3.4	35.9%	1.9%	31,603	2,284	4.4	37.4%	2.2%	7,406	2,656	30.6%	11.9%	1.5	2.9
Some college or Associate's degree	12,008	966	4.9	20.0%	1.5%	16,679	986	3.6	24.7%	1.4%	22,899	1,759	4.7	27.1%	1.7%	6,220	2,017	37.3%	13.3%	2.4	2.2
Bachelor's degree or higher	4,993	644	7.8	8.3%	1.0%	7,493	851	6.9	11.1%	1.2%	13,769	1,324	5.8	16.3%	1.4%	6,276	1,574	83.8%	27.3%	5.2	1.8

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	342	172	30.6	100.0%		737	299	24.7	100.0%		1,330	384	17.5	100.0%		593	487	80.5%	89.9%		
Less than high school degree	73	80	66.3	21.3%	20.7%	236	183	47.1	32.0%	21.1%	229	152	40.4	17.2%	10.3%	-7	238	-3.0%	99.0%	-14.8	23.5
High school degree or equivalent	86	86	61.1	25.1%	21.9%	175	140	48.7	23.7%	16.4%	414	225	33.1	31.1%	14.4%	239	265	136.6%	228.8%	7.4	21.8
Some college or Associate's degree	19	41	130.0	5.6%	11.5%	18	35	117.2	2.4%	4.6%	365	273	45.4	27.4%	18.9%	347	275	1927.8%	4224.5%	25.0	19.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	164	119	44.2	48.0%	25.2%	308	163	32.2	41.8%	14.3%	322	206	38.8	24.2%	13.8%	14	263	4.5%	86.8%	-17.6	19.9

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	46,041	1,545	2.0	100.0%		51,635	1,310	1.5	100.0%		57,385	2,713	2.9	100.0%		5,750	3,013	11.1%	6.0%		
Less than high school degree	17,074	1,123	4.0	37.1%	2.1%	12,841	858	4.1	24.9%	1.5%	9,428	1,032	6.7	16.4%	1.6%	-3,413	1,342	-26.6%	9.4%	-8.5	2.2
High school degree or equivalent	14,623	1,053	4.4	31.8%	2.0%	19,232	1,144	3.6	37.2%	2.0%	22,929	1,817	4.8	40.0%	2.5%	3,697	2,147	19.2%	11.8%	2.8	3.2
Some college or Associate's degree	10,314	903	5.3	22.4%	1.8%	13,538	1,035	4.6	26.2%	1.9%	16,763	1,389	5.0	29.2%	2.0%	3,225	1,732	23.8%	14.0%	3.0	2.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	4,030	581	8.8	8.8%	1.2%	6,024	793	8.0	11.7%	1.5%	8,265	975	7.2	14.4%	1.6%	2,241	1,257	37.2%	24.3%	2.7	2.2

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	11,787	958	4.9	100.0%		13,242	967	4.4	100.0%		18,928	1,838	5.9	100.0%		5,686	2,077	42.9%	17.4%		
Less than high school degree	6,540	732	6.8	55.5%	4.3%	5,606	685	7.4	42.3%	4.1%	5,702	817	8.7	30.1%	3.2%	96	1,066	1.7%	19.2%	-12.2	5.2
High school degree or equivalent	3,201	520	9.9	27.2%	3.8%	4,109	710	10.5	31.0%	4.9%	6,461	1,108	10.4	34.1%	4.8%	2,352	1,316	57.2%	38.3%	3.1	6.9
Some college or Associate's degree	1,428	350	14.9	12.1%	2.8%	2,611	455	10.6	19.7%	3.1%	4,133	801	11.8	21.8%	3.7%	1,522	921	58.3%	41.3%	2.1	4.8
Bachelor's degree or higher	618	231	22.7	5.2%	1.9%	916	241	16.0	6.9%	1.7%	2,632	506	11.7	13.9%	2.3%	1,716	560	187.3%	93.6%	7.0	2.9

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021	Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021

	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	442	196	26.9	100.0%		724	272	22.9	100.0%		3,302	555	10.2	100.0%		2,578	618	356.1%	187.7%		
Less than high school degree	142	111	47.5	32.1%	20.7%	127	80	38.2	17.5%	8.8%	137	95	42.3	4.1%	2.8%	10	124	7.9%	101.1%	-13.4	9.2
High school degree or equivalent	234	142	37.0	52.9%	22.1%	320	176	33.5	44.2%	17.8%	907	236	15.8	27.5%	5.5%	587	294	183.4%	172.5%	-16.7	18.6
Some college or Associate's degree	31	52	101.8	7.0%	11.3%	178	109	37.4	24.6%	12.0%	739	365	30.0	22.4%	10.4%	561	381	315.2%	326.6%	-2.2	15.9
Bachelor's degree or higher	35	55	95.8	7.9%	12.0%	99	65	40.0	13.7%	7.4%	1,519	443	17.7	46.0%	11.0%	1,420	448	1434.3%	1102.3%	32.3	13.3

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	41,842	505	0.7	47,718	1,404	1.8	5,876	1,492	14.0%	3.6%
Median household income (dollars)	$33,321	$2,673	4.9	$34,490	$2,751	4.8	$1,169	$3,836	3.5%	11.7%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	269	112	25.4	700	250	21.7	431	274	160.2%	142.7%
Median household income (dollars)	$63,398	$37,363	35.8	$30,144	$8,003	16.1	-$33,254	$38,210	-52.5%	30.7%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	32,446	742	1.4	32,872	1,327	2.5	426	1,520	1.3%	4.7%
Median household income (dollars)	$35,289	$3,387	5.8	$34,204	$3,342	5.9	-$1,085	$4,758	-3.1%	13.3%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	8,175	681	5.1	10,822	1,000	5.6	2,647	1,210	32.4%	16.5%
Median household income (dollars)	$23,295	$6,070	15.8	$31,324	$7,053	13.7	$8,029	$9,306	34.5%	46.3%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	375	195	31.6	1,541	268	10.6	1,166	331	310.9%	225.3%
Median household income (dollars)	$28,445	$27,076	57.9	$89,422	$32,628	22.2	$60,977	$42,399	214.4%	320.5%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	47,718	1,404	1.8	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	21,219	1,350	3.9	44.5%	2.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	6,736	867	7.8	14.1%	1.8%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	8,372	949	6.9	17.5%	1.9%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	6,577	1,017	9.4	13.8%	2.1%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	2,737	553	12.3	5.7%	1.1%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	2,077	409	12.0	4.4%	0.8%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	700	250	21.7	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	305	157	31.2	43.6%	16.1%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	218	132	36.9	31.1%	15.3%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	111	157	85.9	15.9%	21.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	51	58	69.4	7.3%	7.9%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	0				
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	15	29	117.6	2.1%	4.1%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	32,872	1,327	2.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	14,643	1,295	5.4	44.5%	3.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	4,843	765	9.6	14.7%	2.3%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	5,836	725	7.6	17.8%	2.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	4,265	692	9.9	13.0%	2.0%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,816	400	13.4	5.5%	1.2%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1,469	362	15.0	4.5%	1.1%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,822	1,000	5.6	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	5,284	693	8.0	48.8%	4.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,268	359	17.2	11.7%	3.1%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,867	546	17.8	17.3%	4.8%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,603	580	22.0	14.8%	5.2%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	560	286	31.0	5.2%	2.6%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	240	144	36.4	2.2%	1.3%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,541	268	10.6	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	214	153	43.6	13.9%	9.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	213	171	48.7	13.8%	10.8%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	229	160	42.5	14.9%	10.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	284	141	30.3	18.4%	8.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	297	134	27.4	19.3%	8.0%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	304	133	26.7	19.7%	7.9%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	74,736	1,719	1.4	100.0%		82,402	3,433	2.5	100.0%		7,666	3,839	10.3%	5.2%		
In labor force	47,218	1,473	1.9	63.2%	1.3%	52,107	2,517	2.9	63.2%	1.5%	4,889	2,916	10.4%	6.3%	0.0	2.0

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	652	260	24.3	100.0%		1,374	475	21.0	100.0%		722	542	110.7%	111.2%		
In labor force	426	189	27.0	65.3%	12.6%	880	329	22.7	64.0%	9.1%	454	379	106.6%	119.8%	-1.3	15.5

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	56,799	1,597	1.7	100.0%		54,837	2,843	3.2	100.0%		-1,962	3,261	-3.5%	5.7%		
In labor force	36,553	1,417	2.4	64.4%	1.7%	35,047	2,156	3.7	63.9%	2.1%	-1,506	2,580	-4.1%	7.0%	-0.5	2.7

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	15,611	1,264	4.9	100.0%		18,765	1,773	5.7	100.0%		3,154	2,177	20.2%	15.0%		
In labor force	9,287	778	5.1	59.5%	1.3%	11,012	1,223	6.8	58.7%	3.4%	1,725	1,449	18.6%	16.5%	-0.8	3.6

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	733	281	23.3	100.0%		3,843	663	10.5	100.0%		3,110	720	424.3%	220.4%		
In labor force	305	135	27.0	41.6%	9.3%	2,974	655	13.4	77.4%	10.6%	2,669	669	875.1%	482.1%	35.8	14.1

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	39,919	1,541	2.3	100.0%		44,152	2,309	3.2	100.0%		4,233	2,776	10.6%	7.2%		
Management, business, science, and arts	8,549	817	5.8	21.4%	1.9%	11,181	1,074	5.8	25.3%	2.0%	2,632	1,349	30.8%	17.7%	3.9	2.8
Service	13,917	958	4.2	34.9%	2.0%	15,485	1,521	6.0	35.1%	2.9%	1,568	1,798	11.3%	13.3%	0.2	3.5
Sales and office	10,710	996	5.7	26.8%	2.3%	10,146	1,091	6.5	23.0%	2.2%	-564	1,477	-5.3%	13.5%	-3.8	3.2
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,839	412	8.8	7.1%	1.0%	2,749	525	11.6	6.2%	1.1%	-90	667	-3.2%	23.2%	-0.9	1.5
Production, transportation, and material moving	3,904	605	9.4	9.8%	1.5%	4,591	777	10.3	10.4%	1.7%	687	985	17.6%	27.0%	0.6	2.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$50,841	$3,625	4.3			$52,692	$5,167	6.0			$1,851	$6,312	3.6%	12.6%		
Service	$26,930	$2,645	6.0			$27,751	$2,126	4.7			$821	$3,394	3.1%	12.8%		
Sales and office	$32,920	$3,337	6.2			$36,268	$3,457	5.8			$3,348	$4,805	10.2%	15.3%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$40,382	$4,918	7.4			$43,187	$6,256	8.8			$2,805	$7,958	6.9%	20.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$31,398	$7,383	14.3			$29,637	$4,278	8.8			-$1,761	$8,533	-5.6%	26.0%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	426	189	27.0	100.0%		760	286	22.9	100.0%		334	343	78.4%	103.8%		
Management, business, science, and arts	232	129	33.9	54.5%	18.4%	234	187	48.7	30.8%	21.8%	2	227	0.9%	98.2%	-23.7	28.5
Service	57	60	64.5	13.4%	12.9%	366	228	37.9	48.2%	23.9%	309	236	542.1%	785.4%	34.8	27.2
Sales and office	81	48	36.3	19.0%	7.6%	111	123	67.3	14.6%	15.2%	30	132	37.0%	172.2%	-4.4	17.0
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	38	52	82.4	8.9%	11.4%	0					-38	52	-100.0%	0.0%	-8.9	11.4
Production, transportation, and material moving	18	27	91.3	4.2%	6.1%	49	88	108.8	6.4%	11.3%	31	92	172.2%	637.0%	2.2	12.8
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$59,529	$31,648	32.3			$46,544	$33,515	43.8			-$12,985	$46,096	-21.8%	70.0%		
Service	$17,182	$20,503	72.5			$12,540	$2,811	13.6			-$4,642	$20,695	-27.0%	88.6%		
Sales and office	$22,882	$29,400	78.1			$9,999					-$12,883	$29,400	-56.3%			
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$48,534										-$48,534		-100.0%	0.0%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$20,693					$22,986	$27,633	73.1			$2,293		11.1%			

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	30,858	1,431	2.8	100.0%		29,272	1,833	3.8	100.0%		-1,586	2,325	-5.1%	7.4%		
Management, business, science, and arts	6,914	756	6.6	22.4%	2.2%	7,217	972	8.2	24.7%	2.9%	303	1,231	4.4%	18.1%	2.3	3.6
Service	10,846	847	4.7	35.1%	2.2%	10,767	1,180	6.7	36.8%	3.3%	-79	1,453	-0.7%	13.4%	1.7	4.0
Sales and office	8,237	840	6.2	26.7%	2.4%	6,051	901	9.1	20.7%	2.8%	-2,186	1,232	-26.5%	13.3%	-6.0	3.7
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,111	355	10.2	6.8%	1.1%	2,133	502	14.3	7.3%	1.7%	22	615	1.0%	29.2%	0.5	2.0
Production, transportation, and material moving	2,750	481	10.6	8.9%	1.5%	3,104	674	13.2	10.6%	2.2%	354	828	12.9%	31.5%	1.7	2.7
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$51,108	$4,393	5.2			$50,347	$5,643	6.8			-$761	$7,151	-1.5%	13.9%		
Service	$29,189	$3,019	6.3			$28,134	$2,294	5.0			-$1,055	$3,792	-3.6%	12.7%		
Sales and office	$34,295	$3,732	6.6			$36,746	$3,986	6.6			$2,451	$5,461	7.1%	16.5%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$42,039	$6,042	8.7			$45,858	$7,288	9.7			$3,819	$9,467	9.1%	23.4%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$38,446	$13,307	21.0			$28,586	$3,772	8.0			-$9,860	$13,832	-25.6%	27.5%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	7,887	787	6.1	100.0%		9,453	1,112	7.2	100.0%		1,566	1,362	19.9%	18.5%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,210	321	16.1	15.3%	3.8%	1,997	497	15.1	21.1%	4.6%	787	592	65.0%	60.0%	5.8	6.0
Service	2,749	553	12.2	34.9%	6.1%	3,047	667	13.3	32.2%	5.9%	298	866	10.8%	33.0%	-2.7	8.5
Sales and office	2,201	467	12.9	27.9%	5.2%	3,064	600	11.9	32.4%	5.1%	863	760	39.2%	40.2%	4.5	7.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	653	281	26.2	8.3%	3.5%	394	167	25.8	4.2%	1.7%	-259	327	-39.7%	36.4%	-4.1	3.9
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,074	280	15.8	13.6%	3.3%	951	331	21.1	10.1%	3.3%	-123	434	-11.5%	38.5%	-3.5	4.7
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$46,666	$8,299	10.8			$58,171	$11,705	12.2			$11,505	$14,349	24.7%	33.5%		
Service	$20,267	$5,113	15.3			$28,248	$5,961	12.8			$7,981	$7,854	39.4%	45.8%		
Sales and office	$26,316	$6,667	15.4			$35,270	$7,812	13.5			$8,954	$10,270	34.0%	45.1%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$29,472	$14,275	29.4			$37,713	$14,950	24.1			$8,241	$20,671	28.0%	80.1%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$24,913	$6,974	17.0			$33,110	$10,213	18.8			$8,197	$12,367	32.9%	55.4%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	227	118	31.7	100.0%		2,842	639	13.7	100.0%		2,615	650	1152.0%	709.1%		
Management, business, science, and arts	47	56	72.1	20.7%	22.1%	1,276	381	18.2	44.9%	8.8%	1,229	385	2614.9%	3334.8%	24.2	23.8
Service	39	55	85.6	17.2%	22.5%	557	226	24.6	19.6%	6.6%	518	233	1328.2%	2095.8%	2.4	23.4
Sales and office	77	55	43.4	33.9%	16.5%	535	226	25.7	18.8%	6.7%	458	233	594.8%	576.6%	-15.1	17.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	37	37	60.1	16.3%	13.7%	110	111	61.3	3.9%	3.8%	73	117	197.3%	422.4%	-12.4	14.2
Production, transportation, and material moving	27	47	105.5	11.9%	19.7%	364	245	40.9	12.8%	8.1%	337	249	1248.1%	2516.1%	0.9	21.3
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$61,239	$143,193	142.1			$71,554	$22,127	18.8			$10,315	$144,892	16.8%	275.6%		
Service	$48,780					$19,801	$13,496	41.4			-$28,979		-59.4%			
Sales and office	$37,377	$53,860	87.6			$34,373	$18,879	33.4			-$3,004	$57,073	-8.0%	141.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$27,451					$30,713	$32,846	65.0			$3,262		11.9%			
Production, transportation, and material moving	$103,474					$40,546	$36,580	54.8			-$62,928		-60.8%			

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	39,919	1,541	2.3	100.0%		44,152	2,309	3.2	100.0%		4,233	2,776	10.6%	7.2%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	3	6	113.5	0.0%	0.0%	93	70	45.8	0.2%	0.2%	90	70	3000.0%	6624.5%	0.2	0.2
Construction	2,224	380	10.4	5.6%	0.9%	2,010	474	14.3	4.6%	1.0%	-214	608	-9.6%	26.3%	-1.0	1.3
Manufacturing	996	284	17.4	2.5%	0.7%	1,711	556	19.7	3.9%	1.2%	715	624	71.8%	74.3%	1.4	1.4
Wholesale trade	302	147	29.7	0.8%	0.4%	767	317	25.1	1.7%	0.7%	465	349	154.0%	162.2%	0.9	0.8
Retail trade	4,018	747	11.3	10.1%	1.8%	4,038	812	12.2	9.1%	1.8%	20	1,103	0.5%	27.5%	-1.0	2.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	4,020	600	9.1	10.1%	1.5%	3,812	600	9.6	8.6%	1.3%	-208	849	-5.2%	20.6%	-1.5	2.0
Information	733	264	21.9	1.8%	0.7%	790	329	25.3	1.8%	0.7%	57	422	7.8%	59.3%	0.0	1.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,707	471	10.6	6.8%	1.2%	2,138	439	12.5	4.8%	1.0%	-569	644	-21.0%	21.3%	-2.0	1.6
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	3,506	544	9.4	8.8%	1.3%	4,759	755	9.6	10.8%	1.6%	1,253	931	35.7%	30.1%	2.0	2.1
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	14,308	971	4.1	35.8%	2.0%	15,406	1,350	5.3	34.9%	2.5%	1,098	1,663	7.7%	11.9%	-0.9	3.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	2,443	495	12.3	6.1%	1.2%	4,015	690	10.4	9.1%	1.5%	1,572	849	64.3%	43.7%	3.0	1.9
Other services, except public administration	2,328	492	12.9	5.8%	1.2%	2,720	576	12.9	6.2%	1.3%	392	758	16.8%	35.0%	0.4	1.8
Public administration	2,331	404	10.5	5.8%	1.0%	1,893	428	13.8	4.3%	0.9%	-438	589	-18.8%	23.1%	-1.5	1.3

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$65,040					$20,311	$37,988	113.7			-$44,729		-68.8%			
Construction	$38,323	$6,152	9.8			$46,413	$9,986	13.1			$8,090	$11,729	21.1%	32.5%		
Manufacturing	$21,245	$8,913	25.5			$40,910	$12,041	17.9			$19,665	$14,981	92.6%	98.7%		
Wholesale trade	$24,703	$9,284	22.8			$30,444	$5,254	10.5			$5,741	$10,667	23.2%	51.0%		
Retail trade	$18,015	$2,883	9.7			$28,281	$3,808	8.2			$10,266	$4,776	57.0%	32.8%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$48,149	$8,820	11.1			$40,757	$7,724	11.5			-$7,392	$11,724	-15.4%	22.3%		
Information	$35,856	$10,630	18.0			$51,394	$17,519	20.7			$15,538	$20,492	43.3%	64.8%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$42,532	$10,250	14.6			$45,172	$5,079	6.8			$2,640	$11,439	6.2%	28.2%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$33,956	$4,875	8.7			$38,040	$7,600	12.1			$4,084	$9,029	12.0%	27.6%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$38,121	$2,784	4.4			$35,215	$2,694	4.6			-$2,906	$3,874	-7.6%	9.8%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$19,124	$3,812	12.1			$25,590	$3,893	9.2			$6,466	$5,449	33.8%	33.6%		
Other services, except public administration	$24,606	$6,867	17.0			$18,663	$7,764	25.3			-$5,943	$10,365	-24.2%	38.0%		
Public administration	$52,083	$7,292	8.5			$55,796	$5,571	6.1			$3,713	$9,177	7.1%	18.4%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	426	189	27.0	100.0%		760	286	22.9	100.0%		334	343	78.4%	103.8%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	14	21	92.3	3.3%	4.8%	0					-14	21	-100.0%	0.0%	-3.3	4.8
Manufacturing	0					43	68	95.9	5.7%	8.7%	43		0.0%			
Wholesale trade	24	47	117.9	5.6%	10.6%	94	115	74.6	12.4%	14.5%	70	124	291.7%	904.4%	6.8	18.0
Retail trade	54	39	44.1	12.7%	7.3%	0					-54	39	-100.0%	0.0%	-12.7	7.3
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	0					41	86	128.1	5.4%	11.2%	41		0.0%			
Information	18	35	117.2	4.2%	7.9%	0					-18	35	-100.0%	0.0%	-4.2	7.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	55	61	67.0	12.9%	13.0%	0					-55	61	-100.0%	0.0%	-12.9	13.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	41	51	76.0	9.6%	11.2%	41	55	81.9	5.4%	7.0%	0	75	0.0%	182.9%	-4.2	13.2
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	136	82	36.7	31.9%	13.1%	185	147	48.4	24.3%	17.1%	49	168	36.0%	135.7%	-7.6	21.5
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	41	55	82.2	9.6%	12.3%	339	230	41.3	44.6%	25.2%	298	236	726.8%	1243.0%	35.0	28.0
Other services, except public administration	43	47	67.0	10.1%	10.2%	17	30	106.1	2.2%	3.8%	-26	56	-60.5%	82.1%	-7.9	10.9
Public administration	0					0					0		0.0%			

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$20,693										-$20,693		-100.0%	0.0%		
Manufacturing						$22,498	$20,545	55.5								
Wholesale trade	$50,258					$9,999					-$40,259		-80.1%			
Retail trade	$22,052	$37,273	102.8								-$22,052	$37,273	-100.0%	0.0%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities						$22,498										
Information	$32,519										-$32,519		-100.0%	0.0%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$26,237	$54,236	125.7								-$26,237	$54,236	-100.0%	0.0%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$72,162					$105,287					$33,125		45.9%			
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$52,077	$33,001	38.5			$24,561	$22,712	56.2			-$27,516	$40,061	-52.8%	52.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$21,231					$13,932	$27,309	119.2			-$7,299		-34.4%			
Other services, except public administration	$18,942	$19,404	62.3			$9,999					-$8,943	$19,404	-47.2%			
Public administration																

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	30,858	1,431	2.8	100.0%		29,272	1,833	3.8	100.0%		-1,586	2,325	-5.1%	7.4%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	3	6	113.5	0.0%	0.0%	32	37	70.3	0.1%	0.1%	29	37	966.7%	2464.2%	0.1	0.1
Construction	1,693	324	11.6	5.5%	1.0%	1,550	420	16.5	5.3%	1.4%	-143	530	-8.4%	30.4%	-0.2	1.7
Manufacturing	517	191	22.5	1.7%	0.6%	659	348	32.1	2.3%	1.2%	142	397	27.5%	82.1%	0.6	1.3
Wholesale trade	130	95	44.3	0.4%	0.3%	238	137	35.1	0.8%	0.5%	108	167	83.1%	170.3%	0.4	0.6
Retail trade	2,595	530	12.4	8.4%	1.7%	2,232	578	15.7	7.6%	1.9%	-363	784	-14.0%	28.4%	-0.8	2.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	3,385	584	10.5	11.0%	1.8%	2,964	547	11.2	10.1%	1.8%	-421	800	-12.4%	22.1%	-0.9	2.5
Information	673	233	21.0	2.2%	0.7%	398	178	27.2	1.4%	0.6%	-275	293	-40.9%	33.4%	-0.8	0.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,226	444	12.1	7.2%	1.4%	1,505	403	16.3	5.1%	1.3%	-721	600	-32.4%	22.6%	-2.1	1.9
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	2,760	513	11.3	8.9%	1.6%	2,997	612	12.4	10.2%	2.0%	237	799	8.6%	30.0%	1.3	2.6
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	11,612	864	4.5	37.6%	2.2%	11,110	1,114	6.1	38.0%	3.0%	-502	1,410	-4.3%	11.9%	0.4	3.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,605	355	13.4	5.2%	1.1%	2,023	539	16.2	6.9%	1.8%	418	645	26.0%	43.6%	1.7	2.1
Other services, except public administration	1,590	412	15.8	5.2%	1.3%	1,941	543	17.0	6.6%	1.8%	351	682	22.1%	46.5%	1.4	2.2
Public administration	2,069	366	10.8	6.7%	1.1%	1,623	427	16.0	5.5%	1.4%	-446	562	-21.6%	24.9%	-1.2	1.8

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$65,040					$9,999					-$55,041		-84.6%			
Construction	$38,739	$5,371	8.4			$46,867	$10,086	13.1			$8,128	$11,427	21.0%	31.0%		
Manufacturing	$28,983	$18,472	38.7			$29,859	$15,778	32.1			$876	$24,293	3.0%	85.3%		
Wholesale trade	$30,472	$32,303	64.4			$28,485	$6,143	13.1			-$1,987	$32,881	-6.5%	101.1%		
Retail trade	$16,604	$3,457	12.7			$27,726	$3,300	7.2			$11,122	$4,779	67.0%	40.0%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$50,337	$5,037	6.1			$41,584	$8,140	11.9			-$8,753	$9,572	-17.4%	18.2%		
Information	$38,390	$11,501	18.2			$47,665	$26,355	33.6			$9,275	$28,755	24.2%	78.1%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$43,335	$11,590	16.3			$44,241	$7,013	9.6			$906	$13,546	2.1%	31.7%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$35,194	$6,208	10.7			$33,384	$6,702	12.2			-$1,810	$9,135	-5.1%	25.4%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$38,717	$3,308	5.2			$36,483	$3,209	5.3			-$2,234	$4,608	-5.8%	11.6%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$20,238	$4,278	12.9			$26,456	$4,871	11.2			$6,218	$6,483	30.7%	36.6%		
Other services, except public administration	$27,293	$6,942	15.5			$15,751	$8,976	34.6			-$11,542	$11,348	-42.3%	36.0%		
Public administration	$51,669	$7,491	8.8			$55,133	$7,295	8.0			$3,464	$10,457	6.7%	20.9%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	7,887	787	6.1	100.0%		9,453	1,112	7.2	100.0%		1,566	1,362	19.9%	18.5%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					10	18	112.4	0.1%	0.2%	10		0.0%			
Construction	458	216	28.6	5.8%	2.7%	332	187	34.3	3.5%	1.9%	-126	286	-27.5%	53.3%	-2.3	3.3
Manufacturing	479	230	29.2	6.1%	2.9%	682	477	42.6	7.2%	5.0%	203	530	42.4%	120.8%	1.1	5.8
Wholesale trade	148	105	42.9	1.9%	1.3%	241	180	45.5	2.5%	1.9%	93	208	62.8%	167.7%	0.6	2.3
Retail trade	1,209	380	19.1	15.3%	4.6%	1,330	458	20.9	14.1%	4.6%	121	595	10.0%	51.3%	-1.2	6.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	588	211	21.9	7.5%	2.6%	573	256	27.1	6.1%	2.6%	-15	332	-2.6%	55.8%	-1.4	3.7
Information	35	56	97.6	0.4%	0.7%	163	130	48.5	1.7%	1.4%	128	142	365.7%	832.6%	1.3	1.6
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	366	195	32.5	4.6%	2.4%	415	197	28.9	4.4%	2.0%	49	277	13.4%	80.9%	-0.2	3.1
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	646	261	24.5	8.2%	3.2%	1,217	437	21.8	12.9%	4.4%	571	509	88.4%	101.8%	4.7	5.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,384	436	11.1	30.2%	4.6%	2,927	550	11.4	31.0%	4.5%	543	702	22.8%	32.2%	0.8	6.4
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	773	340	26.7	9.8%	4.2%	983	316	19.5	10.4%	3.1%	210	464	27.2%	69.3%	0.6	5.2
Other services, except public administration	573	232	24.6	7.3%	2.8%	446	234	31.9	4.7%	2.4%	-127	330	-22.2%	51.6%	-2.6	3.7
Public administration	228	136	36.2	2.9%	1.7%	134	91	41.2	1.4%	0.9%	-94	164	-41.2%	53.1%	-1.5	1.9

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining						$47,498										
Construction	$35,968	$13,035	22.0			$51,999	$20,633	24.1			$16,031	$24,406	44.6%	77.7%		
Manufacturing	$16,559	$7,590	27.9			$45,999	$12,095	16.0			$29,440	$14,279	177.8%	146.8%		
Wholesale trade	$15,424	$14,106	55.6			$39,215	$6,194	9.6			$23,791	$15,406	154.3%	236.0%		
Retail trade	$19,091	$4,595	14.6			$28,201	$12,634	27.2			$9,110	$13,444	47.7%	75.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$27,013	$11,587	26.1			$37,671	$15,300	24.7			$10,658	$19,193	39.5%	82.4%		
Information	$26,606					$60,408	$52,636	53.0			$33,802		127.0%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$49,470	$18,342	22.5			$47,066	$8,817	11.4			-$2,404	$20,351	-4.9%	39.5%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$26,935	$10,207	23.0			$43,382	$15,348	21.5			$16,447	$18,432	61.1%	83.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$35,890	$5,501	9.3			$30,543	$5,141	10.2			-$5,347	$7,529	-14.9%	19.4%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$16,054	$8,905	33.7			$29,647	$14,713	30.2			$13,593	$17,198	84.7%	137.4%		
Other services, except public administration	$16,998	$12,402	44.4			$35,943	$17,055	28.8			$18,945	$21,088	111.5%	184.1%		
Public administration	$53,669	$34,577	39.2			$55,373	$8,273	9.1			$1,704	$35,553	3.2%	68.2%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	227	118	31.7	100.0%		2,842	639	13.7	100.0%		2,615	650	1152.0%	709.1%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					14	23	99.6	0.5%	0.8%	14		0.0%			
Construction	27	29	65.7	11.9%	11.3%	94	109	70.6	3.3%	3.8%	67	113	248.1%	550.3%	-8.6	11.9
Manufacturing	0					255	142	33.9	9.0%	4.6%	255		0.0%			
Wholesale trade	0					182	175	58.6	6.4%	6.0%	182		0.0%			
Retail trade	77	64	50.8	33.9%	22.1%	260	162	37.8	9.1%	5.3%	183	174	237.7%	350.8%	-24.8	22.7
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	27	47	105.5	11.9%	19.7%	124	160	78.4	4.4%	5.5%	97	167	359.3%	995.1%	-7.5	20.5
Information	3	10	204.8	1.3%	4.4%	206	141	41.5	7.2%	4.7%	203	141	6766.7%	23366.5%	5.9	6.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0					149	98	40.2	5.2%	3.3%	149		0.0%			
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	28	39	84.4	12.3%	15.9%	328	212	39.3	11.5%	7.0%	300	216	1071.4%	1798.7%	-0.8	17.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	39	46	71.1	17.2%	18.0%	353	173	29.9	12.4%	5.4%	314	179	805.1%	1156.1%	-4.8	18.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	0					538	228	25.8	18.9%	6.8%	538		0.0%			
Other services, except public administration	26	45	104.3	11.5%	18.7%	316	189	36.3	11.1%	6.2%	290	194	1115.4%	2225.6%	-0.4	19.7
Public administration	0					23	36	96.3	0.8%	1.3%	23		0.0%			

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining						$112,498										
Construction	$23,438					$9,999					-$13,439		-57.3%			
Manufacturing						$66,852	$36,463	33.2								
Wholesale trade						$28,419	$21,423	45.8								
Retail trade	$22,593	$51,290	138.0			$44,613	$23,836	32.5			$22,020	$56,558	97.5%	460.5%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$103,474					$9,999					-$93,475		-90.3%			
Information	$14,782					$60,507	$22,334	22.4			$45,725		309.3%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing						$200,000										
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$55,185					$110,293	$78,208	43.1			$55,108		99.9%			
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$64,618					$36,165	$36,204	60.9			-$28,453		-44.0%			
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services						$25,802	$15,540	36.6								
Other services, except public administration	$50,258					$25,311	$36,825	88.4			-$24,947		-49.6%			
Public administration						$67,498										

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security

PUMA 4007

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	37,921	1,388	2.2	100.0%		41,842	505	0.7	100.0%		47,718	1,404	1.8	100.0%		5,876	1,492	14.0%	3.6%		
Owner-occupied	6,655	637	5.8	17.5%	1.6%	8,122	697	5.2	19.4%	1.7%	8,262	849	6.2	17.3%	1.7%	140	1,098	1.7%	13.6%	-2.1	2.4
Renter-occupied	31,266	637	1.2	82.5%	3.5%	33,720	839	1.5	80.6%	1.8%	39,456	1,522	2.3	82.7%	2.1%	5,736	1,738	17.0%	5.4%	2.1	2.8

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	256	137	32.6	100.0%		269	112	25.4	100.0%		700	250	21.7	100.0%		431	274	160.2%	142.7%		
Owner-occupied	44	57	78.8	17.2%	20.3%	20	35	106.9	7.4%	12.7%	52	51	60.1	7.4%	6.8%	32	62	160.0%	521.6%	0.0	14.4
Renter-occupied	212	125	35.8	82.8%	20.3%	249	108	26.5	92.6%	11.4%	648	248	23.2	92.6%	12.6%	399	270	160.2%	150.5%	0.0	17.0

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	29,874	685	1.4	100.0%		32,446	742	1.4	100.0%		32,872	1,327	2.5	100.0%		426	1,520	1.3%	4.7%		
Owner-occupied	5,246	579	6.7	17.6%	1.9%	6,967	606	5.3	21.5%	1.8%	5,977	761	7.7	18.2%	2.2%	-990	973	-14.2%	13.2%	-3.3	2.8
Renter-occupied	24,628	799	2.0	82.4%	1.9%	25,479	897	2.1	78.5%	2.1%	26,895	1,315	3.0	81.8%	2.3%	1,416	1,592	5.6%	6.4%	3.3	3.1

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	6,509	579	5.4	100.0%		8,175	681	5.1	100.0%		10,822	1,000	5.6	100.0%		2,647	1,210	32.4%	16.5%		
Owner-occupied	927	259	17.0	14.2%	3.8%	980	249	15.4	12.0%	2.9%	1,383	329	14.5	12.8%	2.8%	403	413	41.1%	49.1%	0.8	4.0
Renter-occupied	5,582	594	6.5	85.8%	5.0%	7,195	699	5.9	88.0%	4.4%	9,439	971	6.3	87.2%	3.9%	2,244	1,196	31.2%	18.6%	-0.8	5.9

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	194	120	37.5	100.0%		375	195	31.6	100.0%		1,541	268	10.6	100.0%		1,166	331	310.9%	225.3%		
Owner-occupied	105	88	51.0	54.1%	30.8%	23	20	52.4	6.1%	4.2%	426	164	23.4	27.6%	9.5%	403	165	1752.2%	1761.4%	21.5	10.4
Renter-occupied	89	81	55.4	45.9%	30.8%	352	194	33.6	93.9%	17.6%	1,115	252	13.7	72.4%	10.5%	763	318	216.8%	188.7%	-21.5	20.5

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	8,122	697	5.2	8,262	849	6.2	140	1,098	1.7%	13.6%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$491,233	$28,591	3.5	$558,901	$55,638	6.1	$67,668	$62,554	13.8%	13.1%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	20	35	106.9	52	51	60.1	32	62	160.0%	521.6%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$413,900			$407,142	$718,442	107.3	-$6,758		-1.6%	

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	6,967	606	5.3	5,977	761	7.7	-990	973	-14.2%	13.2%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$493,322	$29,882	3.7	$543,611	$64,717	7.2	$50,289	$71,283	10.2%	14.7%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	980	249	15.4	1,383	329	14.5	403	413	41.1%	49.1%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$483,673	$79,144	9.9	$612,932	$115,508	11.5	$129,259	$140,021	26.7%	31.6%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	23	20	52.4	426	164	23.4	403	165	1752.2%	1761.4%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$603,606			$645,668	$218,396	20.6	$42,062		7.0%	

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	33,236	837	1.5	39,130	1,485	2.3	5,894	1,705	17.7%	5.4%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,001	$64	3.8	$1,105	$65	3.6	$104	$91	10.3%	9.6%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	249	108	26.5	604	258	26.0	355	280	142.6%	147.7%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,225	$453	22.5	$1,249	$397	19.3	$24	$602	2.0%	49.7%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	25,213	901	2.2	26,728	1,302	3.0	1,515	1,583	6.0%	6.4%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,066	$65	3.7	$1,121	$78	4.2	$55	$101	5.1%	9.7%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	7,009	701	6.1	9,389	957	6.2	2,380	1,186	34.0%	19.1%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$751	$172	13.9	$943	$189	12.2	$192	$255	25.5%	38.1%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	352	194	33.6	1,115	252	13.7	763	318	216.8%	188.7%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$801	$459	34.8	$1,509	$276	11.1	$708	$535	88.3%	113.2%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	31,525	882	1.7	100.0%		37,392	1,361	2.2	100.0%		5,867	1,622	18.6%	5.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	18,820	983	3.2	59.7%	2.6%	22,837	1,144	3.0	61.1%	2.1%	4,017	1,508	21.3%	8.8%	1.4	3.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	11,063	696	3.8	35.1%	2.0%	13,285	1,048	4.8	35.5%	2.5%	2,222	1,258	20.1%	12.1%	0.4	3.2

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	249	108	26.5	100.0%		604	258	26.0	100.0%		355	280	142.6%	147.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	113	85	45.6	45.4%	27.8%	407	204	30.5	67.4%	17.8%	294	221	260.2%	325.6%	22.0	33.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	61	74	73.4	24.5%	27.6%	344	205	36.2	57.0%	23.6%	283	218	463.9%	762.2%	32.5	36.3

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	23,925	923	2.3	100.0%		25,481	1,241	3.0	100.0%		1,556	1,547	6.5%	6.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	14,542	933	3.9	60.8%	3.1%	16,486	1,156	4.3	64.7%	3.3%	1,944	1,486	13.4%	10.8%	3.9	4.5
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	8,782	618	4.3	36.7%	2.2%	9,639	1,022	6.4	37.8%	3.6%	857	1,194	9.8%	14.0%	1.1	4.2

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	6,699	680	6.2	100.0%		8,924	951	6.5	100.0%		2,225	1,169	33.2%	19.6%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	3,884	494	7.7	58.0%	4.4%	4,640	637	8.3	52.0%	4.5%	756	806	19.5%	22.4%	-6.0	6.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	2,040	309	9.2	30.5%	3.4%	2,604	543	12.7	29.2%	5.2%	564	625	27.6%	32.9%	-1.3	6.2

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	290	175	36.7	100.0%		1,089	244	13.6	100.0%		799	300	275.5%	241.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	116	109	57.2	40.0%	28.9%	558	232	25.2	51.2%	17.9%	442	256	381.0%	494.3%	11.2	34.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	92	87	57.2	31.7%	22.9%	167	136	49.7	15.3%	12.1%	75	161	81.5%	226.5%	-16.4	25.9

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	14,863	4,347	15.0	35.3%	10.5%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	39,130	1,485	2.3	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	13,274	1,152	5.3	33.9%	2.6%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	8,117	853	6.4	20.7%	2.0%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	13,147	1,227	5.7	33.6%	2.9%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	4,364	886	12.3	11.2%	2.2%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	211	150	43.2	0.5%	0.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	17	30	105.5	0.0%	0.1%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	10,992	3,337	15.0	30.2%	8.5%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	41,842	505	0.7	100.0%		47,718	1,404	1.8	100.0%		5,876	1,492	14.0%	3.6%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	3,264	569	10.6	7.8%	1.4%	3,116	604	11.8	6.5%	1.3%	-148	830	-4.5%	24.9%	-1.3	1.9

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	269	112	25.4	100.0%		700	250	21.7	100.0%		431	274	160.2%	142.7%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	43	40	56.5	16.0%	13.3%	141	170	73.1	20.1%	23.1%	98	175	227.9%	499.3%	4.1	26.7

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	32,446	742	1.4	100.0%		32,872	1,327	2.5	100.0%		426	1,520	1.3%	4.7%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	2,554	460	10.9	7.9%	1.4%	2,044	500	14.9	6.2%	1.5%	-510	679	-20.0%	24.3%	-1.7	2.1

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	8,175	681	5.1	100.0%		10,822	1,000	5.6	100.0%		2,647	1,210	32.4%	16.5%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	616	222	22.0	7.5%	2.6%	877	335	23.2	8.1%	3.0%	261	402	42.4%	74.8%	0.6	4.0

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	375	195	31.6	100.0%		1,541	268	10.6	100.0%		1,166	331	310.9%	225.3%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	21	26	76.3	5.6%	6.4%	54	54	60.7	3.5%	3.4%	33	60	157.1%	409.2%	-2.1	7.2

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019-2023
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	2,113

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	1,563	1,073	-490	-31.3%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	9,437
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	8,564

Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	134,109	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	20,327	15.2%

Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	1,739	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	326	18.8%

Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	89,395	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	13,138	14.7%

Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	30,681	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	6,237	20.3%

Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	4,215	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	306	7.3%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Housing Production
PUMA 4007

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2022

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2022 Q2	
	Number	Percent
Net change	5,325	11.8%
New building	5,079	11.3%
Alterations that increase units	391	0.9%
Alterations that decrease units	-33	-0.1%
Demolitions	-112	-0.3%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2023	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,539	383
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,046	407
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,937	2,103
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	78	729
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	173	45
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	30	16

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	2.6	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.0	0.0%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity
PUMA 4007

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	73.7%	-11.7%	9.1%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	15.2%	-4.5%	5.9%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	465.8	360.7	405.8	45.0	12.5%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	485.6	373.0	401.4	28.0	7.6%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	342.2	274.2	372.0	98.0	35.7%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	1,454.7	1,245.8	1,277.7	32.0	2.6%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	11.2	6.4	6.4	0.0	-0.6%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	11.7	6.2	7.3	1.0	17.7%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	20.2	31.9	12.0	58.1%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	16.4	22.8	6.0	39.0%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	45.1	45.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	417.1	417.0	0.0%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	409

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	748

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	449

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	329

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	166

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	5

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	57,546

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021 Percent Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	51.5%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	0.0%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	40,270	1,527	2.3	100.0%		44,265	2,312	3.2	100.0%		3,995	2,771	9.9%	7.1%		
Drove or carpooled to work	9,034	711	4.8	22.4%	1.5%	8,226	971	7.2	18.6%	2.0%	-808	1,203	-8.9%	12.9%	-3.8	2.5

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	428	193	27.3	100.0%		760	286	22.9	100.0%		332	345	77.6%	104.3%		
Drove or carpooled to work	130	106	49.7	30.4%	20.7%	161	154	58.0	21.2%	18.6%	31	187	23.8%	155.7%	-9.2	27.8

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	31,369	1,408	2.7	100.0%		29,317	1,843	3.8	100.0%		-2,052	2,319	-6.5%	7.2%		
Drove or carpooled to work	6,982	659	5.7	22.3%	1.8%	5,652	777	8.4	19.3%	2.4%	-1,330	1,019	-19.0%	13.5%	-3.0	3.0

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	7,690	799	6.3	100.0%		9,457	1,138	7.3	100.0%		1,767	1,390	23.0%	19.6%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,701	421	15.0	22.1%	5.0%	1,955	440	13.7	20.7%	3.9%	254	609	14.9%	38.4%	-1.4	6.3

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	212	118	33.8	100.0%		2,885	641	13.5	100.0%		2,673	652	1260.8%	815.6%		
Drove or carpooled to work	41	52	77.8	19.3%	22.3%	175	102	35.5	6.1%	3.3%	134	114	326.8%	595.8%	-13.2	22.5

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	47,718	1,404	1.8	100.0%	
With a computer	30,759	1,667	3.3	64.5%	2.9%
With a broadband internet subscription	30,405	1,564	3.1	63.7%	2.7%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	700	250	21.7	100.0%	
With a computer	540	250	28.2	77.1%	22.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	418	244	35.5	59.7%	27.6%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	32,872	1,327	2.5	100.0%	
With a computer	21,201	1,250	3.6	64.5%	2.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	21,375	1,263	3.6	65.0%	2.8%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,822	1,000	5.6	100.0%	
With a computer	6,514	911	8.5	60.2%	6.3%
With a broadband internet subscription	6,482	952	8.9	59.9%	6.8%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,541	268	10.6	100.0%	
With a computer	1,294	274	12.9	84.0%	10.2%
With a broadband internet subscription	1,145	235	12.5	74.3%	8.1%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	36.4%
Math proficient	33.8%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	47.1%
Math proficient	51.9%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	38.0%
Math proficient	35.1%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	31.7%
Math proficient	29.9%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	28.1%
Math proficient	29.7%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	64.4%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	43.8%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	65.6%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	60.6%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	0.0%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number Estimate	Percent Estimate
Total Population	134,109	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	132,826	99.0%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	1,447.6	100%	1,552.7	100%	105.0	7.3%	
Pedestrian injuries	310.1	21%	287.9	19%	-22.0	-7%	-2.9
Cyclist injuries	94.2	7%	99.5	6%	5.0	5%	-0.1
Motorist injuries	1,043.3	72%	1,165.3	75%	122.0	12%	3.0

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	3.5	5.6	2.0	57.3%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	37.0

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	105.2