

DOMINO SUGAR REZONING

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

CEQR No.: 07DCP094K

Lead Agency:
City Planning Commission, City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, FAICP, *Chair*

Prepared by:
AKRF, Inc.

December 2009

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
for the
DOMINO SUGAR REZONING
December 30, 2009

CEQR No.: 07DCP094K
ULURP Nos.: 100185ZMK
100186ZRK
100187ZSK
100188ZSK
100189ZSK
100190ZAK
100191ZCK
100192ZCK

LEAD AGENCY:

City Planning Commission
City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, FAICP, Chair

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:

Robert Dobruskin, AICP, Director
Environmental Assessment and Review Division
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, NY 10007
(212) 720-3423

PREPARED FOR THE LEAD AGENCY BY:

AKRF, Inc.

A public hearing on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) will be held at a later date to be announced. Advance notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. Written comments on the DEIS are requested and will be received and considered by the Lead Agency until the 10th calendar day following the close of the public hearing.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary **S-1**

1: Project Description **1-1**
A. Project Identification 1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need 1-2
Project Background 1-3
Project Goals and Objectives 1-3
C. Project Description 1-4
Description of the Project Site 1-4
Proposed Development Program 1-5
D. Required Public Approvals 1-12
Restrictive Declaration 1-15

2: Analytical Framework **2-1**
A. Overview 2-1
B. Environmental Review Process 2-1
City Environmental Quality Review 2-1
C. Analytical Approach to the EIS 2-2
D. Study Areas 2-3
E. Analysis Year 2-3
F. Defining Baseline Conditions 2-3
Existing Conditions 2-3
Definition of the Future Without the Proposed Project 2-4
G. Assumptions for the Impact Analyses 2-7
H. Mitigation 2-8
I. Alternatives 2-8

3: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy **3-1**
A. Introduction 3-1
Principal Conclusions 3-1
B. Background and Development History 3-2
C. Existing Conditions 3-3
Land Use 3-3
Zoning 3-6
Public Policy 3-9
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 3-11
Land Use 3-11
Zoning 3-12
Public Policy 3-12
E. The Future with the Proposed Project 3-12

Land Use....3-12
Zoning....3-14
Public Policy....3-16

4: Socioeconomic Conditions....4-1
A. Introduction....4-1
Principal Conclusions....4-1
B. Methodology....4-3
CEQR Overview....4-3
Assessment Methods....4-5
C. Preliminary Assessment....4-6
Indirect Residential Displacement....4-6
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement....4-10
Adverse Effects On Specific Industries....4-14
D. Detailed Analysis of Indirect Residential Displacement....4-15
Existing Conditions....4-15
Recent Residential Trends....4-22
Population Currently At Risk of Indirect Displacement....4-25
The Future Without the Proposed Project....4-33
The Future With the Proposed Project....4-34

5: Community Facilities....5-1
A. Introduction....5-1
Principal Conclusions....5-1
B. Preliminary Screening....5-3
Public Schools....5-3
Libraries....5-4
Child Care Facilities....5-4
Health Care Facilities....5-4
Police and Fire Services....5-5
C. Public Schools....5-5
Methodology....5-5
Existing Conditions....5-6
The Future Without the Proposed Project....5-8
The Future With the Proposed Project....5-11
D. Libraries....5-13
Methodology....5-13
Existing Conditions....5-13
The Future Without the Proposed Project....5-14
The Future With the Proposed Project....5-14
E. Child Care Facilities....5-15
Methodology....5-15
Existing Conditions....5-16
The Future Without the Proposed Project....5-16
The Future With the Proposed Project....5-18
F. Health Care Facilities....5-18
Methodology....5-18
Existing Conditions....5-19

The Future Without the Proposed Project 5-20
The Future With the Proposed Project 5-20
G. Police and Fire Services 5-20
Existing Conditions 5-21

6: Open Space 6-1
A. Introduction 6-1
Principal Conclusions 6-1
B. Methodology 6-4
Direct Effects Analysis 6-4
Indirect Effects Analysis 6-4
C. Existing Conditions 6-8
Study Area Population 6-8
Study Area Open Space Inventory 6-9
Adequacy of Open Spaces 6-11
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 6-13
Study Area Population 6-13
Study Area Open Spaces 6-14
Adequacy of Open Spaces 6-14
E. The Future With the Proposed Project 6-16
Study Area Population 6-16
Study Area Open Spaces 6-16
Adequacy of Open Spaces 6-17
Impact Significance 6-18

7: Shadows 7-1
A. Introduction 7-1
Principal Conclusions 7-1
B. Daily and Seasonal Variations in Shadows 7-2
C. Methodology 7-2
D. Screening Analysis 7-3
Resources of Concern 7-4
E. Detailed Analysis and Assessment 7-4
Grand Ferry Park 7-5
East River 7-7
P.S. 84 William Sheridan Playground 7-8
Proposed Open Space 7-8

8: Historic Resources 8-1
A. Introduction 8-1
Principal Conclusions 8-1
B. Methodology 8-4
Architectural Resources 8-4
C. Historical Overview 8-6
Project Site 8-7
D. Existing Conditions 8-8
Project Site 8-8
Study Area 8-12

E. The Future Without the Proposed Project 8-20
Project Site 8-20
Study Area 8-21
F. The Future With the Proposed Project 8-22
Project Site 8-22
Study Area 8-26

9: Urban Design and Visual Resources 9-1
A. Introduction 9-1
Principal Conclusions 9-1
B. Methodology 9-3
C. Existing Conditions 9-4
Project Site 9-4
Study Area 9-6
Visual Resources 9-14
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 9-15
Project Site 9-15
Study Area 9-15
E. The Future With the Proposed Project 9-16
Project Site 9-16
Study Area 9-21
Visual Resources 9-22

10: Neighborhood Character 10-1
A. Introduction 10-1
Principal Conclusions 10-1
B. Methodology 10-2
Neighborhood Character Components 10-2
Study Area 10-3
C. Existing Conditions 10-3
Project Site 10-3
Study Area 10-5
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 10-9
Project Site 10-9
Study Area 10-10
E. The Future With the Proposed Project 10-11
Project Site 10-11
Study Area 10-12
F. Conclusions 10-15

11: Natural Resources and Water Quality 11-1
A. Introduction 11-1
Principal Conclusions 11-1
B. Methodology 11-3
Overview 11-3
Existing Conditions 11-3
The Future without the Proposed Project 11-4
The Future with the Proposed Project 11-5

C. Regulatory Context 11-7
Federal 11-7
New York 11-9
D. Existing Conditions 11-10
Geological Conditions 11-10
Groundwater 11-10
Floodplains and Wetlands 11-12
Aquatic Resources 11-13
Terrestrial Resources 11-23
Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species 11-24
Essential Fish Habitat 11-26
E. The Future without the Proposed Project 11-27
Groundwater 11-27
Floodplains 11-27
Terrestrial Resources 11-27
Water Quality and Aquatic Resources 11-27
Threatened or Endangered Species 11-30
Essential Fish Habitat 11-31
F. Future with the Proposed Project 11-31
Groundwater 11-31
Floodplains and Wetlands 11-32
Aquatic Resources 11-36
Terrestrial Resources 11-42
Threatened or Endangered Species 11-43
Essential Fish Habitat 11-44

12: Hazardous Materials 12-1
A. Introduction 12-1
B. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment 12-1
C. Phase II Environmental Investigations 12-2
D. Remedial Action Plan 12-5
E. Construction Health and Safety Plan 12-5
F. The Future without the Proposed Project 12-5
G. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 12-6

13: Waterfront Revitalization Program 13-1
A. Introduction 13-1
B. Consistency With LWRP Policies 13-2

14: Infrastructure 14-1
A. Introduction 14-1
B. Principal Conclusions 14-2
C. Existing Conditions 14-2
Water Supply 14-2
Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Treatment 14-3
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 14-5
Water Supply 14-5
Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management 14-6

E. The Future with the Proposed Project 14-6
Water Supply 14-6
Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management 14-7
Stormwater Management 14-8
Combined Sewer Overflows 14-9
Best Management Practices 14-10

15: Solid Waste and Sanitation Services 15-1
A. Introduction 15-1
B. Principal Conclusions 15-1
C. Existing Conditions 15-1
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 15-2
E. The Future with the Proposed Project 15-4

16: Energy 16-1
A. Introduction 16-1
B. Principal Conclusions 16-1
C. Existing Conditions 16-1
Energy Providers 16-1
Energy Initiatives 16-2
Existing Energy Demand 16-3
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 16-3
E. The Future with the Proposed Project 16-4

17: Traffic and Parking 17-1
A. Introduction 17-1
Principal Conclusions 17-1
B. Analysis Framework 17-2
Kent Avenue Reconfiguration 17-3
C. Methodology 17-4
Signalized Intersections 17-4
Unsignalized Intersections 17-4
D. Existing Conditions 17-5
Study Area 17-5
Intersection and Roadway Characteristics 17-6
Traffic Volumes 17-7
Level of Service 17-8
Parking 17-9
E. The Future without the Proposed Project 17-10
Changes in Traffic Volumes 17-10
No Action Development on the Project Site 17-12
Capacity Analysis Results 17-13
F. The Future with the Proposed Project 17-16
Proposed Development 17-16
Trip Generation 17-16
Site Access and Circulation 17-19
Trip Assignment 17-19
Traffic Volumes and Level of Service 17-19

Significant Adverse Impacts 17-20
Parking Supply and Utilization 17-23
Pedestrian Safety 17-23

18: Transit and Pedestrians 18-1
A. Introduction 18-1
Principal Conclusions 18-1
B. Methodology 18-1
Transit and Pedestrian Study Areas 18-2
Operational Analysis Methodology 18-3
C. Existing Conditions 18-7
Subway Station Operations 18-7
Bus Line Haul Levels 18-9
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 18-10
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 18-13
Transit and Pedestrian Volume Projections 18-13
Subway Station Operations 18-14
Bus Line Haul Levels 18-15
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 18-16
E. The Future with the Proposed Project 18-19
Transit and Pedestrian Volume Projections 18-19
Trip Distribution and Assignment 18-20
Subway Station Operations 18-20
Bus Line Haul Levels 18-23
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 18-24

19: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions 19-1
A. Air Quality 19-1
Introduction 19-1
Principal Conclusions 19-1
Pollutants for Analysis 19-2
Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks 19-5
Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations 19-10
Existing Conditions 19-21
The Future Without the Proposed Project 19-22
The Future With the Proposed Project 19-23
B. Greenhouse Gas Emissions 19-30
Introduction 19-30
Principal Conclusions 19-30
Analysis Approach 19-30
Pollutants of Concern 19-31
Policy, Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks 19-32
Methodology 19-34
Probable Emissions from the Proposed Project 19-40
Project Elements That Would Reduce GHG Emissions 19-41
Conclusion 19-43

20: Noise 20-1
A. Introduction 20-1
Principal Conclusions 20-1
Noise Fundamentals 20-2
B. Noise Prediction Methodology 20-5
Proportional Modeling 20-5
Applicable Noise Codes and Impact Criteria 20-6
C. Existing Conditions 20-8
Study Area 20-8
Selection of Noise Receptor Locations 20-8
Noise Monitoring 20-8
Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring 20-8
Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Locations 20-9
D. The Future without the Proposed Project 20-10
E. The Future with the Proposed Project 20-11
F. Other Noise Concerns 20-12
Mechanical Equipment 20-12
Attenuation Requirements 20-12

21: Construction Impacts 21-1
A. Introduction 21-1
Principal Conclusions 21-1
B. Construction Activities 21-6
Schedule 21-6
General Construction Practices 21-6
Reconstruction of the Waterfront Platform 21-9
Construction of Buildings 21-9
Adaptive Reuse of the Refinery 21-12
Public Open Space 21-14
C. Methodology for Construction Impacts Analysis 21-14
Number of Construction Workers and Material Deliveries 21-15
D. Construction Impacts of the Proposed Project 21-15
Land Use 21-16
Socioeconomic Conditions 21-16
Open Space 21-16
Historic Resources 21-17
Hazardous Materials 21-17
Natural Resources 21-18
Infrastructure 21-19
Traffic and Parking 21-19
Transit and Pedestrians 21-24
Air Quality 21-25
Noise 21-28
Vibration 21-33
Rodent Control 21-37

22: Public Health 22-1
A. Introduction 22-1

Principal Conclusions 22-1
B. Methodology 22-1
CEQR Guidelines 22-1
Screening Assessment 22-2
C. Screening Assessment Conclusions 22-7

23: Mitigation 23-1
A. Introduction 23-1
B. Community Facilities 23-1
Public Schools 23-1
Child Care Facilities 23-2
C. Shadows 23-3
D. Historic Resources 23-4
E. Traffic and Parking 23-5
Recommended Mitigation Measures 23-5
F. Transit and Pedestrians 23-24
Overview 23-24
Subway Station Operations 23-24
Bus Line Haul 23-25
Street Level Pedestrian Operations 23-28
G. Air Quality 23-28
Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures 23-28
H. Noise 23-29
I. Construction 23-29
Traffic 23-29
Noise 23-29

24: Alternatives 24-1
A. Introduction 24-1
Principal Conclusions 24-1
B. No Action Alternative 24-4
Description of the No Action Alternative 24-4
No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-4
Conclusion 24-13
C. Reduced Density Alternative 24-13
Description of the Reduced Density Alternative 24-13
Reduced Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-14
Conclusion 24-24
D. Hotel Alternative 24-24
Description of the Hotel Alternative 24-24
Hotel Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-25
Conclusion 24-34
E. Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative 24-35
Introduction 24-35
Feasibility Analysis Summary 24-36
F. No Unmitigated Significant Impacts Alternative 24-37
Description of the No Unmitigated Significant Impacts Alternative 24-37

25: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts 25-1
A. Introduction 25-1
B. Shadows 25-1
C. Historic Resources 25-2

26: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Project 26-1
A. Introduction 26-1
B. Potential Growth in Off-Site Uses 26-1

27: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources 27-1

Appendices

Appendix A: Historic Resources

A.1 Historic Alternatives Analysis for Domino Sugar Site

A.2 LPC Correspondence

A.3 SHPO Correspondence

Appendix B: Natural Resources and Water Quality References

Appendix C: Waterfront Revitalization Program

WRP Form

Appendix D: Infrastructure

D.1 CSO Tech Memo

D.2 WQ Tech Memo

Appendix E: Air Quality

Appendix F: Construction Data

Appendix G: Cogeneration Feasibility Study

List of Tables

S-1 Proposed Development Program S-5

S-2 Significantly Impacted Locations and Mitigation Measures Primary Study Area Intersections S-35

S-3 Significantly Impacted Locations and Mitigation Measures Secondary Study Area Intersections S-36

S-4 2020 Mitigated Future With the Proposed Project Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels S-41

S-5 Minimum Building Attenuation to Comply With CEQR Requirements at the Project Site S-45

S-6 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels S-45

1-1 Proposed Development Program 1-5

2-1 No Action Development Projects Within ½-Mile Study Area 2-6

2-2 No Action Greenpoint-Williamsburg FEIS Projected Sites Within ½-Mile Study Area 2-6

3-1 Study Area Zoning Districts 3-7

4-1 Employment By Industry in 2000 4-11

4-2 Population: 1990, 2000, and Estimated Existing Condition 4-16

4-3 Age Distribution As Percent of Total Population: 1990 and 2000 4-16

4-4 Household and Income Characteristics 4-18

4-5 Housing Units: 1990, 2000, and Estimated Existing Condition 4-19

4-6 Vacancy Rates: 1990 and 2000 4-20

4-7 Housing Units By Year Built IN 2000 4-20

4-8 Housing Tenure 4-20

4-9 Housing Characteristics 4-21

4-10 Unprotected Rental Housing Units in Primary and Secondary Study Areas 4-27

4-11 Unprotected Housing Units By Census Block Group 4-28

4-12 Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings With 5 or More Units, and All Renter-Occupied Buildings in Brooklyn, 1999 4-30

4-13 Population and Housing Growth: No Action Condition, 2009-2020 4-34

4-14 Population and Housing Growth: Future With the Proposed Project, 2020 4-34

5-1 Preliminary Screening Analysis Criteria 5-3

5-2 Public Elementary and Intermediate Schools Serving the Study Area 5-6

5-3 Public High Schools Serving the Study Area 5-7

5-4 Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated in the Study Area: 2020 No Action Condition 5-9

5-5 Estimated Public Elementary/Middle School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 No Action Condition 5-10

5-6 Projected Public High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 No Action Condition 5-11

5-7 Estimated Public Elementary, Middle, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 Future With the Proposed Project 5-11

5-8 Public Libraries Serving the Project Site 5-14

5-9 Publicly Funded Child Care Facilities Serving the Study Area 5-17

5-10 Hospitals and Emergency Rooms Serving the Project Site 5-19

5-11 Outpatient Facilities Serving the Project Site 5-19

5-12 Police Facilities Serving the Project Site 5-21

5-13 Fire Facilities Serving the Project Site 5-21

6-1 2020 Future With the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary 6-3

6-2 Existing Population in Commercial and Residential Study Areas 6-8

6-3 Age Distribution of 2000 Population in Residential Study Area 6-8

6-4 Study Area Open Space Inventory 6-9

6-5 Existing Conditions: Adequacy of Open Space Resources 6-12

6-6 No Action: Adequacy of Open Space Resources 6-15

6-7 Future With the Proposed Project: Adequacy of Open Space Resources 6-17

6-8 2020 Future With the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary 6-21

7-1 Incremental Shadow Durations 7-5

8-1 Architectural Resources on the Project Site and in the Study Area 8-13

11-1 2003-2007 DEP Water Quality Data for the East 23rd Street Sampling Station 11-15

11-2 List of Fish Species Known to Occur in the East River 11-21

11-3 Essential Fish Habitat Designated Species for the East River 11-26

14-1 Projected Average Daily Water Demands (gpd) in the No Action Condition 14-6

14-2 Projected Average Daily Water Demands (gpd) in the Future with the Proposed Project 14-7

14-3 Outfalls with Increased CSO Volumes/Frequencies 14-10

15-1 Project Site Solid Waste Generation in the No Action Condition 15-3

15-2 Solid Waste Generation for the Proposed Project..... 15-4

16-1 Project Site Energy Usage in the No Action Condition..... 16-3

16-2 Energy Usage for the Proposed Project 16-4

17-1 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-8

17-2 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections .....after 17-8

17-3 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-8

17-4 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections .....after 17-8

17-5 No Action Development on the Project Site: Trip Generation Factors .....after 17-12

17-6 No Action Development on the Project Site.....after 17-14

17-7 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-14

17-8 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections .....after 17-14

17-9 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-14

17-10 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections .....after 17-14

17-11 Proposed Project: Trip Generation Factors.....after 17-16

17-12a Proposed Development—Peak Hour Person Trips by Mode.....after 17-20

17-12b Proposed Development—Peak Hour Vehicle Trips by Mode .....after 17-20

17-13 No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-20

17-14 No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections.....after 17-20

17-15 No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections.....after 17-20

17-16 No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections.....after 17-20

17-17 Parking Demand Summary by Component .....after 17-24

17-18 Summary of Pedestrian-Related Accidents 17-24

18-1 Local Bus Routes Serving the Study Area..... 18-2

18-2 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements 18-4

18-3 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements 18-7
18-4 2009 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Stairway Analysis....18-8
18-5 2009 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Control Area Analysis....18-9
18-6 2009 Existing Conditions: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Peak Load Points....18-10
18-7 2009 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks....18-10
18-8 2009 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners....18-13
18-9 2009 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks....18-13
18-10 2020 No Action Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis....18-14
18-11 2020 No Action Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis....18-15
18-12 2020 No Action Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Peak Load Points....18-16
18-13 2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks....18-16
18-14 2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners....18-19
18-15 2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks....18-19
18-16 2020 Future with the Proposed Project Conditions: Subway Station Stairway Analysis 18-21
18-17 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis....18-22
18-18 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis At Peak Load Points....18-23
18-19 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks....18-24
18-20 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners 18-27
18-21 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks....18-28
19a-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)....19-6
19a-2 Mobile Source Analysis Sites 19-13
19a-3 Boiler Stack Parameters and Emission Rates....19-16
19a-4 Maximum Background Pollutant Concentrations 19-17
19a-5 NYPA North 1st Street Facility Stack Parameters and Emission Quantities....19-19
19a-6 NYPA North 1st Street Facility Estimated Annual Emissions 19-20
19a-7 Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data....19-21
19a-8 Maximum Predicted Existing Eight-Hour Average CO Concentrations for 2007....19-22
19a-9 Future Maximum Predicted Eight-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Action Concentrations....19-22

19a-10 Future Maximum Predicted No Action 24-Hour PM_{10} Concentrations 19-23
19a-11 Future Maximum Predicted Eight-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Build Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 19-24
19a-12 Future Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM_{10} Concentrations 19-24
19a-13 Future Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average $PM_{2.5}$ Increment 19-24
19a-14 Future Maximum Predicted Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Increment 19-25
19a-15 Future Maximum Predicted One- and Eight-Hour Carbon Monoxide On the Proposed Project (Parts Per Million) 19-25
19a-16 Future Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations from the Proposed Project ($\mu g/m^3$) 19-26
19a-17 Future Maximum Predicted $PM_{2.5}$ Concentrations 19-26
19a-18 Maximum Predicted Impacts from Industrial Sources 19-28
19a-19 Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations from the NYPA North 1st Street Facility On the Proposed Project ($\mu g/m^3$) 19-28
19a-20 Future Maximum Predicted $PM_{2.5}$ Increments 19-29
19b-1 Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major GHGs 19-35
19b-2 Average Vehicle Trip Distances 19-36
19b-3 GHG Emissions from Construction Activity and Material Use 2012 to 2020 19-40
19b-4 Summary of Annual GHG Emissions 19-40
20-1 Common Noise Levels 20-3
20-2 Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels 20-3
20-3 Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels 20-4
20-4 New York City Noise Codes 20-6
20-5 Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review 20-7
20-6 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels 20-7
20-7 Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Sites 1 through 6 (in dBA) 20-9
20-8 Existing Noise Levels at Receptor Site 7 (in dBA) 20-10
20-9 Future No Action Noise Levels (in dBA) 20-10
20-10 Future Build Noise Levels (in dBA) 20-11
20-11 Minimum Building Attenuation to Comply With CEQR Requirements at the Project Site 20-12
21-1 Construction Components and Projected Durations 21-6
21-2 Number of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks per Day 21-15
21-3 Peak Construction Trip Projections 2016 (First Quarter) 21-21

21-4 Comparison of Weekday Vehicle Trip Generation—Construction and Operational..... 21-22

21-5 Typical Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels (dBA).....21-31

21-6 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 21-35

21-7 Construction Equipment Vibration Critical Distances 21-36

23-1 2020 Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Significantly Impacted Locations (Primary Study Area) 23-6

23-2 Mitigation Measures Primary Study Area Intersections 23-9

23-3 Mitigation Measures Secondary Study Area Intersections 23-10

23-4 2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Primary Study Area Intersections Signalized Intersections.....23-15

23-5 2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Primary Study Area Intersections Unsignalized Intersections 23-18

23-6 2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Secondary Study Area Intersections Signalized Intersections.....23-21

23-7 2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Secondary Study Area Intersections Unsignalized Intersections 23-22

23-8 2020 Mitigated Future With the Proposed Project Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels.....23-27

24-1 Congested Intersections for the No Action Alternative (2020)..... after 24-12

24-2 Future with the Proposed Project Noise Levels (in dBA) 24-12

24-3 Comparison of Proposed Project and Reduced Density Alternative.....24-15

24-4 Comparison of Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Utilizations for the Reduced Density Alternative and the Proposed Project.....24-16

24-5 Open Space Ratios Summary:
Comparison of Reduced Density Alternative and Proposed Project.....24-18

24-6a Comparison of Person Trips Generated by the Proposed Project
and the Reduced Density Alternative.....24-22

24-6b Comparison of Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project
and the Reduced Density Alternative.....24-22

24-7 Comparison of Proposed Project and Hotel Alternative 24-25

24-8 Comparison of Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Utilizations
for the Hotel Alternative and the Proposed Project.....24-27

24-9 Open Space Ratios Summary:
Comparison of Hotel Alternative and Proposed Project 24-28

24-10a Comparison of Person Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Hotel Alternative 24-32

24-10b Comparison of Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Hotel Alternative 24-32

List of Figures

		Following page
S-1	Project Site Location	S-2
S-2	Project Site	S-4
S-3	Illustrative Site Plan	S-4
S-4	Proposed Zoning Envelope	S-6
S-5	Building Envelopes and Heights: West Elevation	S-6
S-6	Building Envelopes and Heights: East Elevation	S-6
S-7	Building Envelopes and Heights: North Elevation	S-6
S-8a	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Project from Williamsburg Bridge	S-6
S-8b	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northwest	S-6
S-8c	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northeast	S-6
S-8d	Illustrative Rendering of the Proposed Project Along Kent Avenue	S-6
S-9	Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition	S-8
S-10	Open Space Plan	S-8
S-11	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Open Space	S-8
S-12	Proposed Zoning	S-10
S-13	Proposed Waivers: Site Plan	S-10
S-14	Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Site E	S-10
S-15	Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Sites A, B, C, D, and Refinery	S-10
S-16	Site A Special Permit Parking Plan	S-12
1-1	Project Site Location	1-2
1-2	Existing Zoning	1-2
1-3	Project Site	1-4
1-4a	Illustrative Site Plan	1-6
1-4b	Proposed Zoning Envelope	1-6
1-5	Building Envelopes and Heights: West Elevation	1-6
1-6	Building Envelopes and Heights: East Elevation	1-6
1-7	Building Envelopes and Heights: North Elevation	1-6
1-8a	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Project from Williamsburg Bridge	1-6
1-8b	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northwest	1-6
1-8c	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northeast	1-6
1-8d	Illustrative Rendering of the Proposed Project Along Kent Avenue	1-8
1-9	Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition	1-8
1-10	Open Space Plan	1-8
1-11	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Open Space	1-8

1-12 Proposed Zoning 1-12
1-13 Proposed Waivers: Site Plan 1-12
1-14a Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Site E 1-12
1-14b Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Sites A, B, C, D, and Refinery 1-12
1-15 Site A Special Permit Parking Plan 1-14
2-1 No Action Development on the Project Site 2-4
2-2 No Action Developments 2-6
3-1 Land Use 3-4
3-2 Existing Zoning 3-6
3-3 Greenpoint Williamsburg Industrial Business Area 3-10
3-4 Proposed Zoning 3-16
4-1 Socioeconomic Conditions Study Areas 4-2
4-2 1999 Median Household Income 4-18
4-3 Percent of Population below Poverty Level 4-18
4-4 Census Tracts Containing Population Vulnerable to Indirect Displacement 4-30
5-1 Public Schools 5-6
5-2 Libraries 5-14
5-3 Publicly Funded Group Child Care and Head Start Facilities 5-16
5-4 Out Patient Health Care Facilities 5-20
5-5 Police and Fire Services 5-22
6-1 Open Space Study Areas 6-6
6-2 Open Space Resources 6-10
7-1 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—11 AM EDT 7-9
7-2 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—12:45 PM EDT 7-9
7-3 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—2:30 PM EDT 7-9
7-4 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—4:30 PM EDT 7-9
7-5 Shadows: May 6/August 6—12:30 PM EDT 7-9
7-6 Shadows: May 6/August 6—2:15 PM EDT 7-9
7-7 Shadows: May 6/August 6—4:15 PM EDT 7-9
7-8 Shadows: June 21—12:45 PM EDT 7-9
7-9 Shadows: June 21—2:45 PM EDT 7-9
7-10 Shadows: December 21—10:15 AM EST 7-9
7-11 Shadows: December 21—12:15 PM EST 7-9
7-12 Shadows: December 21—2:15 PM EST 7-9
7-13 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—11 AM EDT 7-9
7-14 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—12:45 PM EDT 7-9
7-15 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—2:30 PM EDT 7-9
7-16 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—4:30 PM EDT 7-9
7-17 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—10:15 AM EST 7-9
7-18 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—12:15 PM EST 7-9
7-19 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—2:15 PM EST 7-9
8-1 Photo Key of Historic Resources 8-28

8-2 Existing Site Plan 8-28
8-3 Project Site 8-28
8-4 Project Site 8-28
8-5 Project Site 8-28
8-6 Project Site 8-28
8-7 Project Site 8-28
8-8 Additional American Sugar Refinery Company Buildings 8-28
8-9 Historic Resources 8-28
8-10 Historic Resources 8-28
8-11 Historic Resources 8-28
8-12 Historic Resources 8-28
8-13 Historic Resources 8-28
8-14 Historic Resources 8-28
8-15 Historic Resources 8-28
8-16 Historic Resources 8-28
8-17 Historic Resources 8-28
8-18 Historic Resources 8-28
8-19 Historic Resources 8-28
8-20 Historic Resources 8-28
8-21 Historic Resources 8-28
8-22 Historic Resources 8-28
8-23 Historic Resources 8-28
8-24 Historic Resources 8-28
8-25 Proposed Open Space Plan 8-28
8-26 Rendering of the View from the East River 8-28
8-27 Refinery Building 8-28
9-1 Photo Key of Urban Design and Visual Resources 9-23
9-2 Existing Site Plan 9-23
9-3 Study Area Views 9-23
9-4 Project Site 9-23
9-5 Project Site Views 9-23
9-6 Study Area Views 9-23
9-7 Project Site Views 9-23
9-8 Study Area Views 9-23
9-9 Study Area Views 9-23
9-10 Study Area Views 9-23
9-11 Study Area Views 9-23
9-12 Study Area Views 9-23
9-13 Study Area Views 9-23
9-14 Study Area Views 9-23
9-15 Study Area Views 9-23
9-16 Study Area Views 9-23

9-17 Study Area Views 9-23
9-18 Study Area Views 9-23
9-19 Study Area Views 9-23
9-20 Study Area Views 9-23
9-21 Study Area Views 9-23
9-22 Study Area Views 9-23
9-23 Study Area Views 9-23
9-24 Study Area Views 9-23
9-25 Study Area Views 9-23
9-26 Study Area Views 9-23
9-27 Study Area Views 9-23
9-28 Study Area Views 9-23
9-29 No Action Development on the Project Site 9-23
9-30 Illustrative Site Plan 9-23
9-31 Building Envelope and Heights: North Elevation 9-23
9-32 View from Kent Avenue Facing South 9-23
9-33 Building Envelope and Heights: East Elevation 9-23
9-34 Building Envelope and Heights: West Elevation 9-23
9-35 View from Wythe and South Second 9-23
9-36 View from Berry and South Third 9-23
9-37 Open Space Plan 9-23
9-38 Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition 9-23
9-39 View North from Broadway and Kent 9-23
9-40 View Southwest from Wythe and Grand 9-23
9-41 View South from East River State Park 9-23
9-42 View East from Manhattan 9-23
9-43 Illustrative View Northeast from Williamsburg Bridge 9-23
10-1 Neighborhood Character Study Area 10-4
11-1 USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map—Brooklyn Quad 11-10
11-2 FEMA Flood Hazard Areas 11-12
11-3 National Wetlands Inventory Mapped Wetlands 11-12
11-4 NYS DEC Mapped Tidal Wetlands 11-14
13-1 Coastal Zone 13-2
14-1 Existing Outfall and Regulator Locations 14-8
14-2 Stormwater Drainage with the Proposed Project 14-8
17-1a Traffic Analysis Locations—Weekday 17-6
17-1b Traffic Analysis Locations—Saturday 17-6
17-2 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-8
17-3 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 17-8
17-4 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 17-8
17-5 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 17-8

17-6 ATR Volume Comparison for Reconfigured Kent Avenue, AM Peak Hour (8:30–9:30 AM) 17-8
17-7 ATR Volume Comparison for Reconfigured Kent Avenue, Midday Peak Hour (12:15–1:15 PM) 17-8
17-8 ATR Volume Comparison for Reconfigured Kent Avenue, PM Peak Hour (4:30–5:30 PM) 17-8
17-9 ATR Volume Comparison for Reconfigured Kent Avenue, Saturday Midday Peak Hour (1:00–2:00 PM) 17-8
17-10 2020 No Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-14
17-11 2020 No Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 17-14
17-12 2020 No Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 17-14
17-13 2020 No Build Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 17-14
17-14 Project Generated Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-20
17-15 Project Generated Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 17-20
17-16 Project Generated Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 17-20
17-17 Project Generated Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday PM Peak Hour 17-20
17-18 2020 Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-20
17-19 2020 Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 17-20
17-20 2020 Build Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 17-20
17-21 2020 Build Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 17-20
18-1 Transit Routes 18-2
18-2 Pedestrian Analysis Locations 18-4
18-3 Average Weekday Ridership Volumes ..... *on* 18-5
19a-1 Air Quality Receptor Locations 19-14
19a-2 NYPA Facility $PM_{2.5}$ Analysis, Receptor Locations 19-22
19a-3 Modeled Boiler Stack Locations 19-28
20-1 Noise Receptor Locations 20-8
21-1 Construction Phasing Plan 21-6
21-2 Anticipated Construction Schedule 21-6
23-1 Intersections with Proposed Signal Timing Modifications 23-6
23-2 Intersections with Proposed Geometric Improvements and Traffic Control Measures 23-6
24-1 No Action Alternative 24-4
24-2 Reduced Density Alternative 24-14
24-3 Hotel Alternative 24-26

✷