Final Environmental Impact Statement

Silvercup West



June 2006

Project Sponsor
Terra Cotta, LLC

Lead Agency
City Planning Commission
City of New York



Final Environmental Impact Statement

Silvercup West

June 30, 2006

CEQR No.	05DCP080Q
ULURP Nos.	060323 ZMQ, 060324 ZRQ, 060325 ZSQ, 060326 ZSQ, 060327 ZSQ, N060328 ZAQ, N060330ZCQ
Action Location:	Long Island City, Queens
LEAD AGENCY:	City Planning Commission City of New York Amanda M. Burden, A.I.C.P. Chair
LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:	Robert Dobruskin, Director Environmental Assessment and Review Division New York City Department of City Planning 22 Reade Street, Room 4E New York, New York 10007 (212) 720-3423
PREPARED BY:	Parsons Brinckerhoff *in association with* Eng-Wong, Taub & Associates HDR, Inc.

Final Environmental Impact Statement
Table of Contents

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1-1
A. Introduction 1-1
B. Project Identification 1-1
C. Background 1-11
D. Project Purpose and Need 1-12
E. Proposed Building Program and Design 1-16
F. Required Actions and Approvals 1-36
G. Environmental Review Process and Related Land Use Reviews 1-39

2.0 LAND USE, ZONING, AND PUBLIC POLICY 2-1
A. Introduction 2-1
B. Background 2-3
C. Existing Conditions 2-4
D. Future Conditions without the Proposed Action 2-12
E. Future Conditions with the Proposed Action 2-15

3.0 SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS 3-1
A. Introduction 3-1
B. Definitions and Methodology 3-2
C. Initial Screening Assessment 3-6
D. Detailed Assessment 3-8
E. Variations 3-34

4.0 NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 4-1
A. Introduction 4-1
B. Existing Conditions 4-3
C. Future Conditions without the Proposed Action 4-7
D. Future Conditions with the Proposed Action 4-8
E. Variations 4-10

5.0 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES 5-1
A. Introduction 5-1
B. Existing Conditions 5-2
C. Future Conditions without the Proposed Action 5-10
D. Future Conditions with the Proposed Action 5-15
E. Variations 5-17

6.0 OPEN SPACE 6-1
A. Introduction 6-1
B. Existing Conditions 6-2
C. Future Conditions without the Proposed Action 6-6
D. Future Conditions with the Proposed Action 6-8
E. Variations 6-15

7.0 SHADOWS 7-1
A. Introduction 7-1
B. Identification of Sensitive Receptors 7-2
C. Shadow Effects 7-3
D. Existing Conditions 7-4

E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 7-4
F. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 7-4
G. CONCLUSIONS 7-13
H. VARIATIONS 7-13

8.0 HISTORIC RESOURCES 8-1
A. INTRODUCTION 8-1
B. METHODOLOGY 8-3
C. EXISTING CONDITIONS 8-3
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-8
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-9
F. VARIATIONS 8-16

9.0 TRAFFIC AND PARKING 9-1
A. INTRODUCTION 9-1
B. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (YEAR 2003) 9-2
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION (NO BUILD CONDITION – YEAR 2009) 9-16
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (BUILD CONDITION – YEAR 2009) ..9-31
E. TRAFFIC MITIGATION (YEAR 2009) 9-53
F. ASSESSMENT OF VARIATIONS.....9-61

10.0 TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS 10-1
A. INTRODUCTION 10-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-1
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION.....10-17
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION.....10-22
E. TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIAN MITIGATION (2009).....10-29
F. ASSESSMENT OF VARIATIONS.....10-30

11.0 AIR QUALITY 11-1
A. INTRODUCTION 11-1
B. PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS 11-1
C. POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN 11-3
D. AIR QUALITY STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES 11-5
E. EXISTING CONDITIONS 11-6
F. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 11-12
G. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION.....11-13
H. VARIATIONS 11-22

12.0 NOISE 12-1
A. INTRODUCTION 12-1
B. NOISE ASSESSMENT 12-2
C. CONCLUSIONS 12-29
D. VARIATIONS 12-29

13.0 INFRASTRUCTURE..... 13-1
A. INTRODUCTION 13-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 13-1
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 13-3
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION.....13-3
E. VARIATIONS 13-5

14.0 SOLID WASTE AND SANITATION SERVICES 14-1
A. INTRODUCTION 14-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 14-1
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 14-1
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 14-2
E. VARIATIONS 14-2

15.0 ENERGY 15-1
A. INTRODUCTION 15-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 15-1
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 15-1
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 15-2
E. VARIATIONS 15-2

16.0 NATURAL RESOURCES 16-1
A. INTRODUCTION 16-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 16-2
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 16-10
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 16-12
E. VARIATIONS 16-14

17.0 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 17-1
A. INTRODUCTION 17-1
B. LAND USE HISTORY OF THE PROJECT SITE AND ITS ENVIRONS 17-2
C. GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY 17-4
D. EXISTING CONDITIONS 17-5
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 17-16
F. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 17-16
G. VARIATIONS 17-18

18.0 URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES 18-1
A. INTRODUCTION 18-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 18-1
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 18-5
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 18-18
E. VARIATIONS 18-27

19.0 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS 19-1
A. INTRODUCTION 19-1
B. CONSTRUCTION STAGES AND SCHEDULE 19-1
C. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES 19-3
D. POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION-RELATED IMPACTS 19-6
E. VARIATIONS 19-15

20.0 WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM 20-1
A. INTRODUCTION 20-1
B. CONSISTENCY REVIEW 20-1
C. THE POLICIES 20-2
D. VARIATIONS 20-12

21.0 PUBLIC HEALTH 21-1
A. INTRODUCTION 21-1
B. POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO PUBLIC HEALTH 21-1

22.0 GENERIC ANALYSIS OF IMPACTS FROM SALT PILE RELOCATION 22-1
A. INTRODUCTION 22-1
B. ASSESSMENT 22-3

23.0 ALTERNATIVES 23-1
A. NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 23-1
B. REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE 23-5
C. RECONFIGURATION OF BULK ALTERNATIVES 23-12

24.0 UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS 24-1

25.0 GROWTH-INDUCING ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 25-1

26.0 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES . 26-1

27.0 MITIGATION 27-1
A. INTRODUCTION 27-1
B. TRAFFIC 27-1
C. TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS 27-9
D. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 27-10

28.0 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT SCOPING DOCUMENT AND DEIS 28-1
A. RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT SCOPING DOCUMENT 28-1
B. RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON DEIS 28-6

ATTACHMENT 1: AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE

ATTACHMENT 2: DEIS WRITTEN COMMENTS

TRAFFIC TECHNICAL APPENDIX

List of Tables

TABLE 1-1: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 1-7
TABLE 2-1: ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, 2009 2-14
TABLE 2-2: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 2-15
TABLE 2-3: SUMMARY OF BULK REGULATIONS FOR A WATERFRONT BLOCK IN A M1-5/R10 DISTRICT COMPARED TO AN M1-4 DISTRICT 2-19
TABLE 2-4: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM BY SIZE AND FAR 2-19
TABLE 3-1: POPULATION TRENDS 1990-2000.....3-10
TABLE 3-2: RACIAL AND ETHNIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA POPULATION 3-11
TABLE 3-3: AGE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA POPULATION 3-11
TABLE 3-4: HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-12
TABLE 3-5: HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-14
TABLE 3-6: BUSINESS INVENTORY OF BLOCKS IN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT SITE.....3-21
TABLE 3-7: EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-26
TABLE 3-8: TOTAL FIRMS, EMPLOYEES AND WAGES (ZIP CODE AREA) 3-27
TABLE 3-9: AVAILABLE INDUSTRIAL SPACE IN LONG ISLAND CITY 3-28
TABLE 3-10: RESIDENTS AND DWELLING UNITS INTRODUCED BY THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND VARIATIONS 3-35
TABLE 5-1: EXISTING CONDITIONS: PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION 5-6
TABLE 5-2: EXISTING CONDITIONS: PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL UTILIZATION, CAPACITY, AND ENROLLMENT 5-7
TABLE 5-3: EXISTING CONDITIONS: LIBRARY SERVICES WITHIN ¾-MILE OF THE PROJECT SITE..... 5-8
TABLE 5-4: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-13
TABLE 5-5: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: LIBRARY SERVICES WITHIN ¾ MILE OF THE PROJECT SITE 5-14
TABLE 5-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009).....5-15
TABLE 5-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-16
TABLE 5-8: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009).....5-17
TABLE 5-9: VARIATION 1 OR 3: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-18
TABLE 5-10: VARIATION 1 OR 3: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009) 5-19
TABLE 6-1: EXISTING RESIDENTIAL POPULATION (2000) BY AGE GROUP IN THE RESIDENTIAL STUDY AREA..... 6-2
TABLE 6-2: INVENTORY OF EXISTING OPEN SPACE RESOURCES IN THE RESIDENTIAL AND EMPLOYMENT STUDY AREAS..... 6-5
TABLE 6-3: OPEN SPACE 6-8
TABLE 6-4: ANALYSIS OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE RESOURCES IN THE STUDY AREA 6-13
TABLE 6-5: RESIDENTS AND EMPLOYEES INTRODUCED BY THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS.....6-15
TABLE 7-1: POTENTIAL EXTENT AND DURATION OF INCREMENTAL AND TOTAL NEW SHADOWS 7-6

TABLE 9-1: EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES 9-5
TABLE 9-2: EXISTING TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY 9-6
TABLE 9-3: INVENTORY OF PUBLIC PARKING LOTS AND GARAGES 9-14
TABLE 9-4: ON-STREET PARKING SUMMARY 9-16
TABLE 9-5: APPROVED DEVELOPMENTS FOR 2009 NO BUILD CONDITIONS 9-18
TABLE 9-6: 2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 9-19
TABLE 9-7: 2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-19
TABLE 9-8: 2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 9-19
TABLE 9-9: 2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-20
TABLE 9-10: 2009 NO BUILD TOTAL VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION 9-20
TABLE 9-11: 2003 EXISTING VS. 2009 NO BUILD TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY 9-23
TABLE 9-12: 2009 NO BUILD PROJECTED PARKING OCCUPANCIES FOR PUBLIC PARKING LOTS AND GARAGES WITHOUT RE-ALLOCATION OF DEMAND 9-30
TABLE 9-13: 2009 NO BUILD PROJECTED ON-STREET PARKING SUMMARY 9-30
TABLE 9-14: WEEKDAY TRAVEL DEMAND CHARACTERISTICS 9-32
TABLE 9-15: WEEKEND TRAVEL DEMAND CHARACTERISTICS 9-33
TABLE 9-16: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 9-37
TABLE 9-17: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-37
TABLE 9-18: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 9-37
TABLE 9-19: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-38
TABLE 9-20: 2009 NO BUILD VERSUS BUILD WEEKDAY TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY 9-39
TABLE 9-21: 2009 NO BUILD VERSUS BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY 9-45
TABLE 9-22A: WEEKDAY GARAGE PARKING ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITION 9-49
TABLE 9-22B: SATURDAY GARAGE PARKING ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITION 9-50
TABLE 9-23: SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT MITIGATION SUMMARY 9-53
TABLE 9-24: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 9-61
TABLE 9-25: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-62
TABLE 9-26: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 9-62
TABLE 9-27: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-62
TABLE 9-28: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 9-63
TABLE 9-29: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-63
TABLE 9-30: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 9-63
TABLE 9-31: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-64
TABLE 9-32: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 9-64
TABLE 9-33: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-65
TABLE 9-34: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 9-65
TABLE 9-35: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 9-65
TABLE 9-36: COMPARISON OF VARIATIONS WITH THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM 9-66
TABLE 10-1 EXISTING SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION 10-2
TABLE 10-2 EXISTING SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION 10-4
TABLE 10-3 LOS CRITERIA FOR STAIRWAYS AND CORRIDORS 10-8
TABLE 10-4 EXISTING LOS AND VOLUMES FOR STAIRWAYS AND CORRIDORS 10-8
TABLE 10-5 ESCALATOR CAPACITY 10-10
TABLE 10-6 LOS FOR TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-10
TABLE 10-7 EXISTING LOS FOR TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-11
TABLE 10-8 EXISTING BUS SERVICE AND RIDERSHIP DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOURS 10-14

TABLE 10-9 LOS CRITERIA FOR WALKWAYS AND SIDEWALKS 10-15
TABLE 10-10 LOS CRITERIA FOR CROSSWALKS AND CORNER RESERVOIR SPACES 10-16
TABLE 10-11 LOS CRITERIA FOR PEDESTRIANS AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-16
TABLE 10-12 EXISTING PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-17
TABLE 10-13: NO BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION 10-18
TABLE 10-14: NO BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION 10-18
TABLE 10-15: NO BUILD CONDITION LOS AND VOLUMES FOR STAIRWAYS, CORRIDORS, TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-19
TABLE 10-16: NO BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOURS 10-21
TABLE 10-17: NO BUILD CONDITION PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-22
TABLE 10-18: BUILD CONDITION PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-22
TABLE 10-19: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY STATION PASSENGER VOLUMES GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION 10-23
TABLE 10-20: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION 10-23
TABLE 10-21: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION 10-24
TABLE 10-22: BUILD CONDITION LOS FOR STAIRWAYS, CORRIDORS, TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-24
TABLE 10-23: NO BUILD AND BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP COMPARISON – AM PEAK HOUR 10-26
TABLE 10-24: NO BUILD AND BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP COMPARISON – PM PEAK HOUR 10-27
TABLE 10-25: BUILD PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-28
TABLE 10-26: VARIATION 1: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-30
TABLE 10-27: VARIATION 2: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-31
TABLE 10-28: VARIATION 3: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-31
TABLE 11-1: APPLICABLE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS 11-5
TABLE 11-2: REPRESENTATIVE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY DATA (2004) 11-7
TABLE 11-3: BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS 11-7
TABLE 11-4: MICROSCALE INTERSECTION ANALYSIS SITES 11-8
TABLE 11-5: 2003 EXISTING CONDITIONS – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-11
TABLE 11-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-12
TABLE 11-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-13
TABLE 11-8: FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM $PM_{2.5}$ INCREMENTAL IMPACTS 11-14
TABLE 11-9: BUILDING-ON-BUILDING IMPACTS 11-17
TABLE 11-10: AIR QUALITY IMPACTS OF THE RAVENSWOOD POWER PLANT STACK EMISSIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT'S SENSITIVE RECEPTORS 11-21
TABLE 12-1: NOISE EXPOSURE GUIDELINES FOR USE IN CITY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW 12-6
TABLE 12-2: CEQR EXTERIOR NOISE STANDARDS AND ATTENUATION VALUES 12-6
TABLE 12-3: EXISTING 24-HOUR L_{eq} NOISE LEVELS AT SITE C (QUEENSBRIDGE PARK) 12-10
TABLE 12-4: COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND TNM 2.5 LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E 12-11
TABLE 12-5: EXISTING AM, MIDDAY AND PM NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E 12-13

TABLE 12-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: HOURLY EQUIVALENT (L_{EQ}) AND L_{10} NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E DURING THE AM, MIDDAY AND PM PEAK TRAFFIC PERIODS (DBA) 12-15
TABLE 12-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: CEQR NOISE EXPOSURE CLASSIFICATIONS OF PROJECTED NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E..... 12-15
TABLE 12-8: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: HOURLY EQUIVALENT NOISE LEVELS (L_{EQ}) AND L_{10} AT SITES A THROUGH I DURING THE AM, MIDDAY, AND PM PEAK TRAFFIC PERIODS 12-17
TABLE 12-9: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION COMPARED TO 2009 FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION L_{EQ}: CHANGE IN NOISE LEVELS 12-19
TABLE 12-10: BUILDING ATTENUATION RECOMMENDATION BASED ON PROJECTED NOISE EXPOSURE IN 2009..... 12-21
TABLE 13-1: DAILY FLOW VOLUME 13-2
TABLE 13-2: WATER CONSUMPTION WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION..... 13-4
TABLE 14-1: SOLID WASTE GENERATION..... 14-2
TABLE 15-1: ENERGY DEMAND 15-2
TABLE 16-1: EAST RIVER WATER QUALITY 16-5
TABLE 16-2: TYPICAL FISH SPECIES FOUND IN THE EAST RIVER 16-8
TABLE 19-1: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND PROJECTED DURATIONS..... 19-1
TABLE 19-2: TYPICAL ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS 19-12
TABLE 19-3: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION 19-13
TABLE 23-1: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 23-8
TABLE 23-2: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 23-8
TABLE 23-3: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 23-9
TABLE 23-4: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 23-9
TABLE 23-5: COMPARISON OF THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE WITH THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM 23-9
TABLE 23-6: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS..... 23-17
TABLE 27-1: SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT MITIGATION SUMMARY..... 27-1

List of Figures

FIGURE 1-1: PROJECT LOCATION 1-2
FIGURE 1-2: REZONING AREA AND PROJECT SITE 1-3
FIGURE 1-3: VIEWS OF SITE 1-5
FIGURE 1-4: MAXIMUM BUILDING ENVELOPE 1-9
FIGURE 1-5: AXONOMETRIC (3 DIMENSIONAL) SITE PLAN 1-10
FIGURE 1-6: INITIATIVES IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT SITE 1-15
FIGURE 1-7A: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – WEST ELEVATION 1-17
FIGURE 1-7B: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – NORTH ELEVATION 1-18
FIGURE 1-7C: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SOUTH ELEVATION 1-19
FIGURE 1-7D: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – EAST ELEVATION 1-20
FIGURE 1-7E: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT ISLAND 1-21
FIGURE 1-7F: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SOUTHWEST VIEW 1-22
FIGURE 1-7G: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – PANORAMIC AND NORTHWEST VIEWS 1-23
FIGURE 1-7H: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – NIGHT VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT ISLAND 1-24
FIGURE 1-7I: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – NIGHT VIEW OF ESPLANADE 1-25
FIGURE 1-8: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SITE PLAN 1-26
FIGURE 1-9: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN 1-32
FIGURE 1-10: EXISTING ZONING 1-40
FIGURE 1-11: PROPOSED ZONING 1-41
FIGURE 2-1: LAND USE STUDY AREAS 2-2
FIGURE 2-2: EXISTING LAND USE 2-5
FIGURE 2-3: EXISTING ZONING 2-8
FIGURE 2-4: ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, 2009 2-13
FIGURE 2-5: PROPOSED ZONING 2-18
FIGURE 3-1: SOCIOECONOMIC STUDY AREA 3-3
FIGURE 3-2: ZIP CODE 11101 AREA 3-4
FIGURE 3-3: BUSINESS INVENTORY AREA 3-19
FIGURE 3-4: INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS ZONE AND OMBUDSMAN AREA BOUNDARIES 3-20
FIGURE 4-1: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER STUDY AREA 4-2
FIGURE 4-2: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER – PHOTOS 1 AND 2 4-5
FIGURE 4-3: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER – PHOTOS 3 AND 4 4-6
FIGURE 5-1: POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS 5-3
FIGURE 5-2: PUBLIC SCHOOLS 5-5
FIGURE 5-3: LIBRARIES 5-9
FIGURE 5-4: HEALTH CARE FACILITIES 5-11
FIGURE 6-1: OPEN SPACE RESOURCES WITHIN RESIDENTIAL AND EMPLOYMENT STUDY AREAS 6-3
FIGURE 6-2: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN 6-9
FIGURE 6-3: PROPOSED ESPLANADE PLAN 6-10
FIGURE 6-4: PROPOSED ESPLANADE RENDERING 6-11
FIGURE 7-1: SHADOWS - DECEMBER 7-8
FIGURE 7-2: SHADOWS - MAY 7-9
FIGURE 7-3: SHADOWS - JUNE 7-11
FIGURE 7-4: SHADOWS - SEPTEMBER 7-12
FIGURE 8-1: DESIGNATED HISTORIC (ARCHITECTURAL) RESOURCES 8-7
FIGURE 8-2: SHADOW EFFECT AT CHAPEL OF THE GOOD SHEPHERD 8-11
FIGURE 8-3: HISTORIC CONTEXT 8-12
FIGURE 8-4: TERRA COTTA INSPIRED DESIGN ELEMENTS 8-14
FIGURE 8-5: VIEWS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-15

FIGURE 9-1: TRAFFIC ANALYSIS STUDY AREA 9-3
FIGURE 9-2: EXISTING AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-8
FIGURE 9-3: EXISTING MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-9
FIGURE 9-4: EXISTING PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-10
FIGURE 9-5: EXISTING SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-11
FIGURE 9-6: EXISTING OFF-STREET PARKING FACILITIES 9-13
FIGURE 9-7: EXISTING ON-STREET PARKING ZONES 9-15
FIGURE 9-8: BACKGROUND DEVELOPMENTS FOR 2009 NO BUILD CONDITIONS 9-17
FIGURE 9-9: 2009 NO BUILD AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-24
FIGURE 9-10: 2009 NO BUILD MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-25
FIGURE 9-11: 2009 NO BUILD PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-26
FIGURE 9-12: 2009 NO BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-27
FIGURE 9-13: PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 9-40
FIGURE 9-14: 2009 BUILD AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-41
FIGURE 9-15: 2009 BUILD MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-42
FIGURE 9-16: 2009 BUILD PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-43
FIGURE 9-17: 2009 BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-44
FIGURE 9-18A: WEEDAY GARAGE ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITIONS 9-51
FIGURE 9-18B: SATURDAY GARAGE ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITIONS 9-52
FIGURE 9-19: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY AM PEAK 9-54
FIGURE 9-20: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK 9-55
FIGURE 9-21: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY PM PEAK 9-56
FIGURE 9-22: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK 9-57
FIGURE 9-23: PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS ALONG VERNON BOULEVARD NEAR PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 9-59
FIGURE 10-1: EXISTING SUBWAY LINES AND STATIONS 10-3
FIGURE 10-2: QUEENSBORO PLAZA STATION PLAN 10-5
FIGURE 10-3: 21ST STREET QUEENSBRIDGE STATION PLAN 10-6
FIGURE 10-4: 23RD STREET-ELY AVENUE STATION PLAN 10-7
FIGURE 10-5: EXISTING BUS ROUTES 10-12
FIGURE 11-1: AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS SITES 11-9
FIGURE 12-1: COMMON INDOOR AND OUTDOOR NOISE LEVELS 12-3
FIGURE 12-2: NOISE MONITORING AND ANALYSIS LOCATIONS 12-5
FIGURE 12-3: NOISE RECEPTORS FOR DEVELOPMENT VARIATIONS 12-8
FIGURE 16-1: SOUTHWEST VIEW OF NORTHERN UPLAND ZONE FROM EAST RIVER 16-3
FIGURE 16-2: SOUTHEAST VIEW OF NORTHERN UPLAND ZONE FROM EAST RIVER 16-3
FIGURE 16-3: ERODING SHORELINE ALONG WESTERN EDGE OF PROJECT SITE 16-6
FIGURE 16-4: EXISTING BULKHEAD ALONG WESTERN EDGE OF PROJECT SITE 16-6
FIGURE 16-5: FLOODPLAINS 16-11
FIGURE 17-1: AERIAL VIEW OF PROJECT SITE 17-3
FIGURE 17-2: FORMER USES ON NORTHERN PORTION OF PROJECT SITE 17-6
FIGURE 17-3: FORMER USES ON SOUTHERN PORTION OF PROJECT SITE 17-12
FIGURE 18-1: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES STUDY AREAS 18-2
FIGURE 18-2: PHOTO LOCATOR MAP 18-6
FIGURE 18-3: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 1 AND 2 18-7
FIGURE 18-4: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 3 AND 4 18-8
FIGURE 18-5: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 5 AND 6 18-9
FIGURE 18-6: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 7 AND 8 18-10
FIGURE 18-7: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 9 AND 10 18-11
FIGURE 18-8: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 11 AND 12 18-12
FIGURE 18-9: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 13 AND 14 18-13
FIGURE 18-10: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 15 AND 16 18-14
FIGURE 18-11: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 17 AND 18 18-15

FIGURE 18-12: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 19 AND 20 18-16
FIGURE 18-13: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTO 21 18-17
FIGURE 18-14: VIEWS TOWARD QUEENSBORO BRIDGE AND PROJECT SITE 18-20
FIGURE 18-15: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN 18-21
FIGURE 18-16: VIEWS OF PROJECT SITE FROM QUEENSBRIDGE PARK 18-24
FIGURE 18-17: VIEWS OF PROJECT SITE FROM QUEENS PLAZA 18-26
FIGURE 18-18: WESTWARD VIEW DOWN 43RD AVENUE 18-28
FIGURE 19-1: PRELIMINARY MILESTONE SCHEDULE 19-2
FIGURE 20-1: NEW YORK CITY AND NEW YORK STATE COASTAL BOUNDARIES 20-3
FIGURE 20-2: FLOODPLAIN MAP 20-9
FIGURE 22-1: VIEW OF SALT STORAGE FACILITY 22-2
FIGURE 23-1: BULK ENVELOPE: REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE 23-6
FIGURE 23-2: TYPICAL SUPPORT SPACE DETAIL 23-14
FIGURE 23-3: TYPICAL STUDIO LAYOUT 23-15
FIGURE 27-1: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW (WEEKDAY AM PEAK) 27-2
FIGURE 27-2: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW (WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK) 27-3
FIGURE 27-3: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW (WEEKDAY PM PEAK) 27-4
FIGURE 27-4: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW (SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK) 27-5
FIGURE 27-5: PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS ALONG VERNON BOULEVARD NEAR PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 27-7