

15 PENN PLAZA

Final Environmental Impact Statement

CEQR No.: 09DCP019M

Lead Agency:
City Planning Commission, City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, FAICP, Chair

Prepared by:
AKRF, Inc.
Stantec

July 2010

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
For
15 PENN PLAZA
July 2, 2010

CEQR No.: 09DCP019M
ULURP Nos.: 100047ZMM
N100048ZRM
100049ZSM
100050ZSM
100237PQM

LEAD AGENCY:

City Planning Commission
City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, FAICP, Chair

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:

Robert Dobruskin, AICP, Director
Environmental Assessment and Review Division
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Room 4E
New York, NY 10007
(212) 720-3423

PREPARED FOR THE LEAD AGENCY BY:

AKRF, Inc.
Stantec

Table of Contents

Executive Summary **S-1**

1: Project Description **1-1**
A. Introduction 1-1
B. Development and Project Sites 1-1
C. Project Program and Design 1-2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario 1-2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario 1-4
Mass Transit Improvements 1-4
Sustainable Design 1-5
D. Proposed Actions 1-6
Zoning Map Amendment (ULURP No. 100047ZMM) 1-6
Zoning Text Amendments (ULURP No. N100048ZRM) 1-6
Special Permits (ULURP Nos. 100049zsm and 100050ZSM) 1-7
Easements (ULURP No. 100237PQM) 1-8
Other Approvals 1-8
E. Purpose and Need 1-8
Purpose and Need for the Proposed Project 1-8
Need for the Proposed Actions 1-9
F. Restrictive Declaration 1-10

2: Procedural and Analytical Framework **2-1**
A. Introduction 2-1
B. Environmental Review Process 2-1
Coordination With Other Review Processes 2-2
C. Framework for Environmental Analyses 2-4
Scope of Environmental Analyses 2-4
Analysis Year 2-5
Definition of Study Areas 2-5
Defining Baseline Conditions 2-5
Defining the Action for Environmental Analysis 2-6
Mitigation 2-10
Alternatives 2-10

3: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy **3-1**
A. Introduction 3-1
B. Methodology 3-1
C. Existing Conditions 3-2
Land Use 3-2
Zoning 3-6

Public Policy 3-13
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 3-15
Land Use 3-15
Zoning and Public Policy 3-17
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 3-17
Land Use 3-17
Zoning and Public Policy 3-18

4: Socioeconomic Conditions 4-1
A. Introduction 4-1
B. Methodology 4-1
CEQR Overview 4-1
Determining Whether A Socioeconomic Assessment Is Appropriate 4-2
Analysis Format and Data Sources 4-3
C. Preliminary Assessment 4-4
Direct Residential Displacement 4-5
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement 4-5
Indirect Residential Displacement 4-5
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement 4-7
Potential Effects On Specific Industries 4-19
D. Conclusions 4-20
Direct Residential Displacement 4-20
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement 4-20
Indirect Residential Displacement 4-20
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement 4-21
Potential Effects On Specific Industries 4-21

5: Community Facilities 5-1
A. Introduction 5-1
B. Police and Fire Protection Services 5-1
Police Services 5-1
Fire Department Services 5-2

6: Open Space 6-1
A. Introduction 6-1
Principal Conclusions 6-1
B. Methodology 6-2
Direct Effects Analysis 6-2
Indirect Effects Analysis 6-3
C. Existing Conditions 6-5
Study Area Population 6-5
Study Area Open Spaces 6-5
Adequacy of Open Spaces 6-7
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 6-9
Study Area Population 6-9
Study Area Open Spaces 6-9
Adequacy of Open Spaces 6-9
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 6-10
Direct Effects Analysis 6-10

Indirect Effects Analysis 6-10

7: Shadows 7-1
A. Introduction 7-1
B. Daily and Seasonal Variations in Shadows 7-1
C. Methodology 7-1
Analysis Framework 7-2
Analysis Tools and Data Sources 7-3
Determination of Impact Significance 7-3
D. Screening Analysis 7-3
E. Detailed Shadows Analysis 7-4
Penn South Houses 7-7
Chelsea, Elliot, and Chelsea Addition Houses 7-7
Chelsea Park 7-7
Farley Building Steps 7-8
Two Penn Plaza 7-8
One Penn Plaza 7-9
Herald Square 7-9
Probable Impacts On Historic Resources 7-10

8: Historic and Cultural Resources 8-1
A. Introduction 8-1
B. Background History 8-2
C. Existing Conditions 8-4
Project Site 8-4
Primary Study Area 8-5
Secondary Study Area 8-7
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project 8-9
No Action Building 8-9
Other Future Projects 8-10
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 8-11
Project Site 8-11
Primary Study Area 8-12
Secondary Study Area 8-14

9: Urban Design and Visual Resources 9-1
A. Introduction 9-1
B. Existing Conditions 9-4
Development Site 9-4
Project Site and Primary Study Area 9-4
Secondary Study Area 9-8
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project 9-10
Development Site 9-10
Project Site 9-11
Study Areas 9-11
Other Future Projects 9-11
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 9-12
Development Site 9-13
Project Site 9-14

Study Areas....9-14

10: Neighborhood Character....10-1
A. Introduction....10-1
Neighborhood Character Components....10-1
B. Existing Conditions....10-2
Development Site....10-2
Study Area....10-3
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project....10-5
Development Site....10-5
Study Area....10-5
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project....10-6
Development Site....10-6
Study Area....10-7

11: Natural Resources....11-1
A. Introduction....11-1
B. Methodology....11-1
Study Area....11-1
Assessment of Existing and No Action Conditions....11-1
Assessment of Impacts On Natural Resources....11-2
C. Existing Conditions....11-2
Flora and Fauna....11-2
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project....11-3
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project....11-3
F. Conclusions....11-6
G. Literature Cited....11-6

12: Hazardous Materials....12-1
A. Introduction....12-1
B. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment....12-1
C. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project....12-2

13: Infrastructure....13-1
A. Introduction....13-1
B. Methodology....13-1
C. Existing Conditions....13-2
Water Supply....13-2
Sanitary Sewage....13-2
Wet Weather Conditions....13-4
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project....13-4
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project....13-5
Water Supply....13-5
Sanitary Sewage....13-6
Wet Weather Conditions....13-7
F. Conclusion....13-8
Water Supply....13-8
Sanitary Sewage....13-8
Wet Weather Conditions....13-8

Sustainable Design Measures and PlaNYC 13-9

14: Solid Waste and Sanitation Services 14-1
A. Introduction 14-1
B. Existing Conditions 14-1
Solid Waste Services 14-1
Solid Waste Generation 14-2
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project 14-2
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 14-3

15: Energy 15-1
A. Introduction 15-1
B. Existing Conditions 15-1
Energy Supply 15-1
Energy Demand 15-2
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project 15-2
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 15-3

16: Traffic and Parking 16-1
A. Introduction 16-1
Principal Conclusions 16-1
B. Methodology 16-2
Overview 16-2
Study Area 16-3
Peak Hours for Analysis 16-4
Operational Analysis Methodology 16-4
Transportation Planning Factors 16-6
C. 2008 Existing Conditions 16-13
Roadway Characteristics 16-13
Study Area Roadways and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 16-14
Level of Service Analysis 16-26
Parking 16-30
D. 2014 No Action 16-38
Roadway Modifications 16-38
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 16-40
Level of Service Analysis 16-49
Parking 16-50
E. 2014 Future With the Proposed Project 16-51
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 16-51
Level of Service Analysis 16-85
Significant Adverse Traffic Impacts 16-85
Parking 16-104
Traffic Safety 16-106

17: Transit and Pedestrians 17-1
A. Introduction 17-1
Principal Conclusions 17-1
B. Methodology 17-4
Overview 17-4

Transit Study Area 17-5
Pedestrian Study Area 17-5
Analysis Hours 17-8
Operational Analysis Methodology 17-8
Trip Generation 17-10
C. Existing Conditions 17-14
Transit Service 17-14
Study Area Subway Station Access & Circulation 17-19
Transit Elements 17-21
Subway Station Operations 17-21
Pedestrian Elements 17-22
Pedestrian Operations 17-22
D. 2014 No Action 17-30
Conditions for Analysis 17-30
Subway Station Operations 17-33
Pedestrian Operations 17-34
E. 2014 Future With the Proposed Project 17-40
Conditions for Analysis 17-40
Single-Tenant Office Scenario 17-42
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario 17-51

18: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions 18-1
A. Air Quality 18-1
Introduction 18-1
Principal Conclusions 18-1
Pollutants for Analysis 18-2
Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks 18-4
Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations 18-9
Existing Conditions 18-16
Future Without the Proposed Project 18-17
Stationary Source Analysis 18-18
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 18-18
B. Greenhouse Gas Emissions 18-22
Introduction 18-22
Analysis Approach 18-23
Pollutants of Concern 18-24
Policy, Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks 18-25
Methodology 18-27
Probable Emissions from the Proposed Project 18-32
Project Elements That Would Reduce GHG Emissions 18-34
Conclusion 18-36

19: Noise 19-1
A. Introduction 19-1
B. Noise Fundamentals 19-1
"A"-Weighted Sound Level (dBA) 19-1
Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels 19-1
C. Noise Standards and Criteria 19-3
New York City Noise Control Code 19-3

New York CEQR Noise Criteria 19-3
D. Impact Definition 19-4
E. Noise Prediction Methodology 19-5
Analysis Procedure 19-5
F. Existing Conditions 19-6
Site Description 19-6
Selection of Noise Receptor Locations 19-6
Noise Monitoring 19-6
Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring 19-6
Results of Baseline Measurements 19-6
G. The Future Without the Proposed Project 19-7
H. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 19-8
I. Noise Attenuation Measures 19-8

20: Construction Impacts 20-1
A. Introduction 20-1
Principal Conclusions 20-2
B. Overview of Construction Activities 20-5
General Construction Practices 20-5
Construction Methods and Activities 20-8
Conceptual Construction Phasing and Schedule 20-11
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project 20-12
Development Site and Project Site 20-12
Surrounding Area 20-14
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project 20-14
Land Use and Neighborhood Character 20-14
Socioeconomic Conditions 20-15
Community Facilities 20-15
Open Space 20-15
Historic Resources 20-16
Traffic and Parking 20-16
Transit and Pedestrians 20-19
Air Quality 20-20
Noise 20-28
Determining Existing Noise Levels 20-33
Vibration 20-37
Cumulative Effects 20-39

21: Public Health 21-1

22: Mitigation 22-1
A. Introduction 22-1
B. Open Space 22-1
C. Traffic 22-1
34th Street Bus Rapid Transitway (BRT) and First Avenue/Second Avenue Select Bus Service (SBS) Corridors 22-33
Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures On Air Quality and Noise 22-33
D. Transit and Pedestrians 22-35
Subway Stations 22-35

Pedestrian Elements 22-35
E. Construction-Period Noise 22-47

23: Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 23-1
A. Introduction 23-1
B. Open Space 23-1
C. Transit and Pedestrians 23-1
D. Construction-Period Noise 23-1

24: Alternatives 24-1
A. Introduction 24-1
Overview 24-1
Principal Conclusions 24-2
B. No Action Alternative 24-3
Description 24-3
No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-3
Conclusion 24-10
C. Hotel-Residential Alternative 24-10
Description of the Alternative 24-10
Hotel-Residential Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-11
Conclusion 24-20
D. Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative 24-20
Introduction 24-20
Feasibility Analysis Summary 24-20
Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project 24-21
Conclusion 24-25
E. No Unmitigated Significant Impacts Alternative 24-25
Open Space 24-25
Transit and Pedestrians 24-26

25: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Project 25-1

26: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 26-1

27: Conceptual Analysis of the Proposed Zoning Text Amendments 27-1
A. Introduction 27-1
B. Description of the Proposed Text Amendments 27-1
Introduction 27-1
Proposed Text Amendment Text 27-2
Purpose and Need for the Proposed Text Amendments 27-5
Areas of Applicability 27-7
C. Assessment of the Potential Effects of the Proposed Text Amendments 27-9
Methodology 27-9
Future Applicability 27-10
Environmental Effects 27-10

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Zoning Text

Appendix B: Historic Resources

- **LPC 8-11-08**
- **SHPO correspondence 10-30-03**
  - **LPC 10-29-03**
  - **LPC correspondence 3-26-08**

Appendix C: Transportation Technical Memos and Analyses

- **C.1 Transportation Planning Assumptions**
- **C.2 Traffic Volumes**
- **C.3 Traffic Level of Service Tables**
- **C.4 Transit Analyses**
- **C.5 Pedestrian Analyses**
- **C.6 No Action Changes Between DEIS and FEIS**

Appendix D: Infrastructure

Appendix E: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

- **E.1 JBB Memorandum**
- **E.2 Baseline Electricity and Steam**

Appendix F: Noise

- **F.1 Noise Monitoring Results**
- **F.2 Noise Analysis Results**
- **F.3 Results at Receptors**

Appendix G: Construction Noise

- **G.1 Construction Schedule**
- **G.2 Equipment Inform ation**
- **G.3 Measured Existing Noise Levels**
- **G.4 Predicted Existing Noise Levels**
- **G.5 Noise Analysis Results**
- **G.6 Noise Contour Maps**
- **G.7 Vibration Calculations**

Appendix H: Written Comments on the Draft Scope of Work and Transcript of Oral Testimony from the Public Scoping Hearing

- **H.1 Written Comments on the Draft Scope of Work**
- **H.2 Transcript of Oral Testimony from the Public Scoping Hearing**

[on CD only]

Appendix I: Written Comments on the DEIS, Manhattan Borough President's Recommendations, and Vornado Correspondence

- **I.1 Written Comments on the DEIS**
- **I.2 Manhattan Borough President's Recommendations**
- **I.3 Vornado Correspondence**

[on CD only]

List of Tables

1-1 Proposed Building Program—Single-Tenant Office and Multi-Tenant Office Scenarios 1-3

2-1 Projects Under Construction or Expected to be Complete by 2014 (¼- and ½-Mile Study Areas)....2-7

2-2 Projects Under Construction or Expected to be Complete by 2014 (Transportation Study Area)....2-8

3-1 Development and Project Site Zoning Districts....3-7

3-2 Zoning Districts Located in the Primary Study Area....3-8

3-3 Zoning Districts Located in the Secondary Study Area....3-11

4-1 2000 and 2008 Private Sector Employment in the Study Area....4-9

5-1 Police Protection Services....5-2

5-2 Fire Protection Services....5-3

6-1 Existing Residential and Non-Residential Populations—2009 Estimate....6-5

6-2 Existing Conditions Open Space Inventory....6-6

6-3 Existing Conditions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations....6-7

6-4 2014 No Action Condition: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations....6-10

6-5 2014 Future With the Proposed Project: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations....6-11

6-6 Open Space Ratio Summary....6-12

13-1 Monthly Average Actual Flows to the North River WPCP....13-3

13-2 Total Flow Volume to the Combined Sewer System: Existing Conditions....13-4

13-3 Projected Water Use and Sewage Generation: No Action Building....13-5

13-4 Total Flow Volume to the Combined Sewer System: No Action Condition....13-5

13-5 Projected Water Use and Sewage Generation: Single-Tenant Office Scenario....13-6

13-6 Projected Water Use and Sewage Generation: Multi-Tenant Office Scenario....13-6

13-7 Total Flow Volume to the Combined Sewer System: Single-Tenant Office Scenario 13-7

13-8 Total Flow Volume to the Combined Sewer System: Multi-Tenant Office Scenario..13-7

14-1 Existing Solid Waste Generation....14-2

14-2 No Action Building: Estimated Solid Waste Generation 14-2
14-3 Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Estimated Solid Waste Generation 14-3
14-4 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Estimated Solid Waste Generation 14-3
15-1 Existing Energy Consumption: Development Site 15-2
15-2 Projected Energy Consumption: No Action Project 15-2
15-3 Projected Energy Consumption: Single-Tenant Office Scenario 15-3
16-1 No Action Building Program 16-3
16-2 LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections 16-4
16-3 Proposed Building Program–Single-Tenant Office and Multi-Tenant Office Scenarios 16-7
16-4 Transportation Planning Assumptions 16-8
16-5 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Mode 16-9
16-6 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Mode 16-10
16-7 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Generated By Type 16-11
16-8 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Generated By Type 16-12
16-9 2008 Existing Conditions Number of Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F 16-26
16-10 2008 Existing Conditions Intersection Approach Movements Operating At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F 16-30
16-11 2008 Existing Off-Street Parking Utilization 16-33
16-12 On-Street Parking Regulations 16-37
16-13 2014 No Action Number of Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-Los D, Los E, or LOS F 16-49
16-14 2014 No Action Intersection Approach Movements Operating At Mid-Los D, Los E, or LOS F 16-50
16-15 2014 No Action Off-Street Parking Utilization: Weekday Midday 16-51
16-16 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F 16-85
16-17 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F 16-85
16-18 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Intersections 16-86
16-19 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Approach Movements 16-86

16-20 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Intersections 16-86

16-21 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Approach Movements 16-87

16-22 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Am Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F ... 16-96

16-23 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Midday Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F..... 16-97

16-24 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Pm Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F ... 16-98

16-25 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Single-Tenant Office Scenario Saturday Midday Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F..... 16-99

16-26 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Am Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F . 16-100

16-27 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Midday Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F..... 16-101

16-28 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Pm Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F . 16-102

16-29 2014 Future With the Proposed Project—Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Saturday Midday Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F..... 16-103

16-30 Hour-By-Hour Parking Accumulation: Single Tenant Office Scenario..... 16-104

16-31 Hour-By-Hour Parking Accumulation: Multi-Tenant Office Scenario..... 16-105

16-32 2014 Future With the Proposed Project: Off-Street Parking Utilization..... 16-106

16-33 2006 to 2008 Accident History 16-107

17-1 No Action Building Program 17-4

17-2 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements..... 17-8

17-3 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements 17-10

17-4 Future With the Proposed Project, Single-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Mode 17-12

17-5 Future With the Proposed Project, Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Mode 17-12

17-6 Proposed Project, Single-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Route 17-13

17-7 Future With the Proposed Project, Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Incremental Peak Hour Person Trips Generated By Route 17-14

17-8 Study Area Subway Service 17-15

17-9 Study Area Bus Service..... 17-17

17-10 2008 Existing Conditions Subway Control Area Elements Operating Near or Above Capacity 17-21

17-11 2008 Existing Conditions Subway Station Stairways at LOS D, E, or F..... 17-22

17-12 2008 Existing Conditions Summary of Pedestrian Element Los 17-23

17-13 2008 Existing Conditions Pedestrian Elements at LOS D, E, or F 17-28

17-14 2014 No Action Condition Trips Assigned By Subway Line..... 17-33

17-15 2014 No Action Condition Subway Control Area Elements Operating Above Capacity .. 17-33

17-16 2014 No Action Condition Subway Station Stairways at LOS D, E, or F..... 17-34

17-17 2014 No Action Condition Summary of Pedestrian Element Los 17-34

17-18 2014 No Action Condition Pedestrian Elements at LOS D, E, or F 17-39

17-19 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Trips Assigned By Subway Line..... 17-42

17-20 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Subway Control Area Elements Near or Above Capacity..... 17-43

17-21 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Affected Subway Station Stairways 17-43

17-22 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Summary of Pedestrian Element Los 17-44

17-23 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Pedestrian Elements At Los D, E, or F..... 17-49

17-24 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Pedestrian Elements 17-51

17-25 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Pedestrian Elements With Significant Adverse Impacts 17-51

17-26 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Trips Assigned By Subway Line..... 17-52

17-27 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Subway Control Area Elements Near or Above Capacity..... 17-52

17-28 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Affected Subway Station Stairways 17-53

17-29 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Summary of Pedestrian Element Los 17-53

17-30 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Pedestrian Elements At Los D, E, or F..... 17-58

17-31 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Scenario Number of Significantly Impacted Pedestrian Elements 17-59

17-32 2014 Future With the Proposed Project - Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Pedestrian Elements With Significant Adverse Impacts 17-60

18a-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).....18-5
18a-2 Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations.....18-13
18a-3 Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data.....18-16
18a-4 Maximum Predicted Existing 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations for 2009.....18-17
18a-5 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) 8-Hour Average CO No Action Concentrations 18-17
18a-6 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) 24-Hour Average PM_{10} No Action Concentrations 18-18
18a-7 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) 8-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project CO Concentrations.....18-18
18a-8 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) 24-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project PM_{10} Concentrations 18-19
18a-9 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) 24-Hour Average $PM_{2.5}$ Increment.....18-19
18a-10 Maximum Predicted Future (2014) Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Increment.....18-19
18a-11 Existing Buildings Analyzed in the HVAC Assessment.....18-21
18a-12 Maximum Predicted Impacts from Industrial Sources.....18-22
18b-1 Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major GHGs.....18-27
18b-2 GHG Emissions from Construction Activity and Material Use 2010-2014.....18-32
18b-3 Summary of Annual GHG Emissions Single-Tenant Office Scenario.....18-33
18b-4 Summary of Annual GHG Emissions Multi-Tenant Office Scenario.....18-33
19-1 Common Noise Levels.....19-2
19-2 Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels 19-2
19-3 Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review 19-3
19-4 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels 19-4
19-5 Noise Receptor Locations 19-6
19-6 Measured Existing Noise Levels (in dBA).....19-7
19-7 No Action Noise Levels (in dBA).....19-7
19-8 Future With the Proposed Project Noise Levels (in dBA) 19-8
20-1 Quarterly Peak Numbers of Daily Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks: Proposed Action (Single-Tenant Office Scenario With Subway Improvements).....20-12
20-2 Quarterly Peak Numbers of Daily Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks: No Action Development (Without Subway Improvements).....20-13
20-3 Peak Construction Vehicle Trip Projections 20-18
20-4 Background Pollutant Concentrations.....20-25
20-5 Maximum Predicted Total Concentrations for Construction Activities.....20-26

20-6 Maximum $PM_{2.5}$ Increments 20-26

20-7 Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels (dBA) 20-32

20-8 Construction Noise Receptor Locations 20-34

20-9 Construction Noise Analysis Results (dBA) 20-35

20-10 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 20-38

22-1 Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary 22-2

22-2 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-11

22-3 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday MD Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-13

22-4 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-15

22-5 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Saturday MD Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-17

22-6 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-19

22-7 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday MD Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-21

22-8 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-23

22-9 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Saturday MD Peak Hour Intersection Approach Movements With and Without Proposed Mitigation 22-25

22-10 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday AM Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures 22-27

22-11 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single Tenant Office Scenario Weekday PM Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures 22-28

22-12 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday AM Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures 22-29

22-13 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday Midday Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures 22-30

22-14 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday PM Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures 22-31

22-15 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Saturday Midday Peak Hour Proposed Mitigation Measures.....22-32

22-10 2014 Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Co Concentrations (With Traffic Mitigation) 22-34

22-11 2014 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project PM_{10} Concentrations (With Traffic Mitigation).....22-34

22-12 2014 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average $PM_{2.5}$ Concentrations (With Traffic Mitigation).....22-34

22-13 2014 Maximum Predicted Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Concentrations (With Traffic Mitigation).....22-34

22-16 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Locations With Significant Adverse Impacts With Mitigation.....22-38

22-17 2014 Future With the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Locations With Significant Adverse Impacts With Mitigation.....22-38

24-1 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Number of Intersection Approach Movements At Mid-Los D, Los E, or Los F 24-8

24-2 No Action Off-Street Parking Utilization 24-8

24-3 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Number of Unmitigated Significant Adverse Impacts 24-8

24-4 Vehicle Trip Generation Comparison Hotel-Residential Alternative vs. The Proposed Project 24-17

24-5 Off-Street Parking Utilization Future With the Proposed Project vs. Hotel-Residential Alternative.....24-18

24-6 Person Trip Generation Comparison Hotel-Residential Alternative vs. The Proposed Project 24-18

24-7 Boiler Stack Parameters and Emission Rates.....24-22

24-8 Maximum Pollutant Concentrations With the Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative ($\mu g/m^3$) 24-24

24-9 Maximum Predicted $PM_{2.5}$ Concentration Increments.....24-24

List of Figures

Following page:

S-1 Project Location S-2
S-2 Existing Zoning S-2
S-3 Special Midtown District S-2
S-4 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Ground-Floor Plan S-4
S-5 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Building Section S-4
S-6 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Rendering S-4
S-7 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Ground Floor Plan S-4
S-8 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Building Section S-4
S-9 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Rendering S-4
S-10 Rezoning Area S-6
S-11 Proposed Zoning S-6
1-1 Project Location 1-2
1-2 Existing Zoning 1-2
1-3 Special Midtown District 1-2
1-4 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Ground-Floor Plan 1-4
1-5 Single-Tenant Office—Illustrative Building Section 1-4
1-6 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Rendering 1-4
1-7 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 33rd Street View from Northwest Corner of Seventh Avenue 1-4
1-8 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Aerial View Looking South 1-4
1-9 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Ground Floor Plan 1-4
1-10 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Building Section 1-4
1-11 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative Rendering 1-4
1-12 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 33rd Street from Northwest Corner of Seventh Avenue 1-4
1-13 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: Subway Entrance at West 32nd Street and Seventh Avenue 1-4
1-14 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: Subway Entrance at West 32nd Street and Seventh Avenue 1-4
1-15 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements—Street Level 1-6
1-16 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements—Lower Level 1 1-6
1-17 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements—Lower Level 2 1-6
1-18 Illustrative Elevation of the 33rd Street Passageway 1-6
1-19 Rezoning Area 1-6

1-20 Proposed Zoning 1-6
1-21 No Action Scenario Ground-Floor Plan 1-8
1-22 No Action Scenario Illustrative Building Section 1-8
1-23 Illustrative View of No Action Building 1-8
2-1 Projects Under Construction or Planned for Completion by 2014—¼-Mile, ½-Mile, and Transportation Study Areas 2-6
3-1 Land Use 3-2
3-2 Existing Zoning 3-8
3-3 Special Midtown District 3-8
3-4 Projects Under Construction or Planned for Completion by 2014—Land Use Study Area 3-16
4-1 Socioeconomic Study Area 4-4
4-2 Midtown Class A Rental vs. Vacancy Rates ..... *appears on* 4-14
4-3 Midtown South Class A Rental vs. B Asking Rents ..... *appears on* 4-15
5-1 Emergency Services 5-2
6-1 Open Space Study Area 6-4
7-1 Proposed Project (Two Scenarios) and No Action Building 7-2
7-2 Screening Analysis 7-4
7-3 March 21/Sept. 21—9:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-4 View Northwest March 21/Sept. 21—10:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-5 March 21/Sept. 21—11:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-6 March 21/Sept. 21—12:00 PM EDT 7-4
7-7 View Southwest May 6/August 6—8:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-8 May 6/August 6—9:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-9 View Northwest May 6/August 6—10:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-10 May 6/August 6—11:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-11 May 6/August 6—12:00 PM EDT 7-4
7-12 May 6/August 6—4:00 PM EDT 7-4
7-13 View Southwest June 21—8:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-14 June 21—9:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-15 June 21—10:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-16 June 21—11:00 AM EDT 7-4
7-17 June 21—12:00 PM EDT 7-4
7-18 June 21—4:00 PM EDT 7-4
7-19 June 21—5:00 PM EDT 7-4
8-1 Historic Resources 8-2
8-2 Historic Resources—Project Site, Views 1 and 2 8-4
8-3 Historic Resources—Study Area, Views 3 and 4 8-6
8-4 Historic Resources—Study Area, Views 5, 6, and 7 8-6
8-5 Historic Resources—Study Area, Views 8 and 9 8-6
8-6 Historic Resources—Study Area, View 10 8-8
8-7 Historic Resources—Study Area, Views 11 and 12 8-10

8-8 Historic Resources—Study Area, Views 13 and 14 8-10
9-1 Urban Design and Visual Resources....9-2
9-2 Views from Development Site, Views 1 and 2....9-4
9-3 Views from Development Site, Views 3 and 4....9-4
9-4 Study Area Views, Views 5 and 6 9-6
9-5 Study Area Views, Views 7 and 8 9-6
9-6 Study Area Views, Views 9 and 10 9-6
9-7 Study Area Views, Views 11 and 12 9-6
9-8 View Corridors in Study Area, Views 13 and 14 9-8
9-9 View Corridors in Study Area, Views 15 and 16 9-8
9-10 View Corridors in Study Area, Views 17 and 18 9-8
9-11 Study Area Views, Views 19 and 20 9-8
9-12 Study Area Views, Views 21 and 22 9-8
9-13 Comparison of Elevations Looking North through West 32nd Street 9-12
9-14 Comparison of Renderings 9-12
9-15 Single-Tenant Office Scenario Illustrative Rendering....9-12
9-16 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 33rd Street and Seventh Avenue....9-12
9-17 Single-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 32nd Street and Seventh Avenue 9-12
9-18 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Illustrative Rendering 9-12
9-19 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 33rd Street and Seventh Avenue....9-14
9-20 Multi-Tenant Office Scenario—Illustrative View: West 32nd Street and Seventh Avenue 9-16
9-21 Location of Illustrative Views 9-16
9-22 View of Single-Tenant Office Scenario from Brooklyn Bridge 9-16
9-23 Comparison of Empire State Building Views from West 33rd Street and Eighth Avenue 9-16
9-24 Existing Visibility of Empire State Building Within Study Areas 9-18
16-1 Traffic Study Area ....*appears on* 16-5
16-2 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes: Key Map....*appears on* 16-17
16-3 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Weekday AM Peak Hour)..*appears on* 16-18
16-4 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday AM Peak Hour)..*appears on* 16-19
16-5 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Weekday MD Peak Hour)..*appears on* 16-20
16-6 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday MD Peak Hour)..*appears on* 16-21
16-7 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Weekday PM Peak Hour)...*appears on* 16-22
16-8 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday PM Peak Hour)...*appears on* 16-23
16-9 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Saturday MD Peak Hour)...*appears on* 16-24
16-10 2008 Existing Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Saturday MD Peak Hour)...*appears on* 16-25
16-11 Off-Street Parking Facilities ....*appears on* 16-32
16-12 On-Street Parking Regulations ....*appears on* 16-35

16-13 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 1(Weekday AM Peak Hour) ... *appears on* 16-41
16-14 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday AM Peak Hour) .. *appears on* 16-42
16-15 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Weekday MD Peak Hour) .. *appears on* 16-43
16-16 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ... *appears on* 16-44
16-17 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Weekday PM Peak Hour) .... *appears on* 16-45
16-18 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Weekday PM Peak Hour) .... *appears on* 16-46
16-19 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 1 (Saturday MD Peak Hour) ... *appears on* 16-47
16-20 2014 No Action Traffic Volumes—Inset 2 (Saturday MD Peak Hour) .... *appears on* 16-48
16-21 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-53
16-22 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-54
16-23 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-55
16-24 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-56
16-25 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-57
16-26 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-58
16-27 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-59
16-28 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-60
16-29 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-61
16-30 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-62
16-31 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-63
16-32 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-64
16-33 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-65
16-34 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office (Weekday PM Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-66
16-35 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-67
16-36 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Single-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-68
16-37 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour)..... *appears on* 16-69

16-38 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-70
16-39 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-71
16-40 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-72
16-41 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-73
16-42 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-74
16-43 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-75
16-44 2014 Project Incremental Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-76
16-45 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-77
16-46 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-78
16-47 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-79
16-48 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-80
16-49 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-81
16-50 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-82
16-51 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 1
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-83
16-52 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes—Inset 2
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario (Saturday MD Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-84
16-53 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario:
Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts
(Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-88
16-54 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario:
Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts
(Weekday Midday Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-89
16-55 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario:
Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts
(Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-90
16-56 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario:
Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts
(Saturday Midday Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-91

16-57 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts (Weekday AM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-92
16-58 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts (Weekday Midday Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-93
16-59 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts (Weekday PM Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-94
16-60 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts (Saturday Midday Peak Hour) ..... *appears on* 16-95
17-1 Transit Study Area Subway Lines, Routes, and Stations ..... *appears on* 17-6
17-2 Transit Study Area Bus Routes and Terminus ..... *appears on* 17-7
17-3 2008 Existing Conditions Weekday AM Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS .... *appears on* 17-24
17-4 2008 Existing Conditions Weekday MD Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS .... *appears on* 17-25
17-5 2008 Existing Conditions Weekday PM Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS..... *appears on* 17-26
17-6 2008 Existing Conditions Saturday MD Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-27
17-7 2014 No Action Condition Weekday AM Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS .. *appears on* 17-35
17-8 2014 No Action Condition Weekday MD Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS... *appears on* 17-36
17-9 2014 No Action Condition Weekday PM Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS.... *appears on* 17-37
17-10 2014 No Action Condition Saturday MD Peak Hour—Pedestrian LOS .... *appears on* 17-38
17-11 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements Street Level 17-42
17-12 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements Lower Level 1 17-42
17-13 Proposed Mass Transit Improvements Lower Level 2 17-42
17-14 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday AM Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-45
17-15 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday MD Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-46
17-16 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday PM Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-47
17-17 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Single-Tenant Office Scenario Saturday MD Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-48
17-18 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday AM Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-54
17-19 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday MD Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-55
17-20 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Weekday PM Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-56
17-21 2014 Future with the Proposed Project – Multi-Tenant Office Scenario Saturday MD Peak Hour – Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 17-57
18a-1 Mobile Source Analysis Site Locations..... 18-13
19-1 Noise Receptor Locations..... 19-6

20-1 RWCDS Construction Schedule 20-12
20-2 Construction Noise Receptor Locations 20-34
22-1 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday AM Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-3
22-2 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday MD Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-4
22-3 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday PM Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-5
22-4 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Saturday MD Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-6
22-5 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday AM Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-7
22-6 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday MD Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-8
22-7 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Weekday PM Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-9
22-8 2014 Future with the Proposed Project Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Mitigation of Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts Saturday MD Peak Hour ..... *appears on* 22-10
22-9 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday AM Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-39
22-10 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday MD Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-40
22-11 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Single-Tenant Office Scenario: PM Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-41
22-12 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Single-Tenant Office Scenario: Saturday MD Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-42
22-13 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: AM Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-43
22-14 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: Weekday MD Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-44
22-15 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation— Multi-Tenant Office Scenario: PM Peak Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-45

22-16 2014 Future with the Proposed Project and Mitigation—
Multi-Tenant Office Scenario:
Saturday Midday Peak-Hour Pedestrian LOS ..... *appears on* 22-46
27-1 Special Midtown District 27-8
27-2 Subway Station and Rail Mass Transit Facility Improvement Areas 27-8

✷