

Racial Equity Report on Housing and Opportunity

Project name: 1946 East 7th Street

Contact: Eric Palatnik

Prepared by: Ian Rasmussen, Urban Cartographics Date: February 17, 2025

Note: Racial Equity Reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

PROJECT TYPE

Projects that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant actions or project types and describe the project details associated with the relevant project type:

- ☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts
- ☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks
- ☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

- ☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area
- ☒ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet
- ☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet
- ☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Although the application would increase the permitted residential floor area in the Rezoning Area by more than 50,000 square feet, the Proposed Development will only contain 38,787 square feet of residential use.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The executive summary should provide readers with a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

This report accompanies a land use application by Ahi Ezer Expansion Fund Inc. (the “Applicant”) seeking: (1) a zoning map amendment, rezoning their development site from an R5 district to an R6A district (as well as a separate part of the Project Area to an R7A district), (2) a zoning text amendment to Appendix A of Article XI, Chapter 3 to remove the Project Area from the Special Ocean Parkway Subdistrict, (3) and a zoning text amendment to Appendix F to make the affected area part of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area.

The object of the application is to facilitate a new residential–community facility building (the “Proposed Development”) on the Development Site, which is comprised of two lots (Brooklyn Tax Block 6682, Lots 41 & 43). The Development Site sits just north of Avenue S along the west side of East 7th Street (a narrow street per zoning, at 60 feet wide), with 80 feet of frontage along the latter. The Proposed Development is slated to rise seven-stories and 73.5-feet and contain 35,787 square feet of total zoning floor area (3.89 FAR). This will include approximately 2,292 square feet of community facility use on the cellar/ground floor (intended to be a synagogue and library area), which will not be counted toward the zoning floor area. Floors two through seven would be put to residential use and comprised entirely of one-bedroom apartments. There would be 53 dwelling units in total, which will all be permanently income-restricted at 50% AMI and oriented toward seniors under an agreement with HUD for the provision of affordable housing for the elderly. Outdoor recreation space would be provided in the rear of the property (2,400 square feet) and on a terrace area on the seventh floor (1,015 square feet), which would be reserved for residents.

The area to be rezoned (the “Rezoning Area”) includes the Development Site as well as a part of Block 6682, Lot 39, Lot 45, and parts of Lots 60, 62, 64, 66 & 68. It also includes Block 6683, part of Lot 49, Lots 51, 52, 57 & 61. These additional lots are included to create a comprehensive rezoning proposal, establishing an R7A district within 100 feet of Avenue S and an R6A district at an additional 100-foot-depth north of Avenue S. These Rezoning Area begins 125 feet east of Ocean Parkway (coincident with the centerline of Block 6682), and continues to the centerline of Block 6683, which is immediately east of East 7th Street. The Development Site (Block 6682, Lots 41 & 43) contains a combined 9,200 square feet of lot area, and is currently improved upon with two buildings. The four-story building on Lot 41 is currently vacant, while the four-story institutional building on Lot 43 contains 16 dwelling units, a private library, and the Tichon B’Not Rachel Girls High School. Also partially in the Rezoning Lot 39 sits north of the Development Site on Block 6682 and contains a three-story single-family home; Lot 45 is wholly in the Rezoning Area, sits at the northwest corner of Avenue S and East 7th Street, and contains a four-story assisted living facility for seniors that is owned and operated by the Applicant. It contains 39 residential units and the Ocean Parkway Senior Center on the ground floor,

which is open to all seniors in the community. Lot 60, a small portion of which is in the Rezoning Area, sits at the northeast corner of Avenue S and Ocean Parkway and contains a two-story synagogue (the Ahi Ezer Congregation), while Lots 62, 64, and 66 (the rear ten feet of which are in the Rezoning Area) all contain two-story homes. On Block 6683, Lots 49 and 51 sit on the north side of Avenue S and contain two-story homes, and Lot 52 sits at the northeast corner of East 7th Street and Avenue S and contains an Applicant-owned four-story residential building that also contains supportive housing units. Lots 57 and 61 both sit on the east side of East 7th Street, with Lot 57 containing a four-story residential building and Lot 61 containing a two-story residential building.

The Project Area falls within PUMA 4016, which is generally coextensive with the boundaries of Brooklyn Community District 15 and includes the neighborhoods of Sheepshead Bay, Homecrest, Gerritsen Beach, and Manhattan Beach. As of 2020, Brooklyn CD15's population was 156,693. Of this population, 19.5% identified as Asian non-Hispanic, 4.2% identified as Black non-Hispanic, 8.9% identified as Hispanic, and 63.2% identified as White non-Hispanic. The remaining 4.3% identified as non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races. The Asian population makes up about a slightly greater percentage of the area population as compared to Brooklyn and New York City as a whole, while the Hispanic population makes up a significantly smaller percentage of the area population when compared to the same. The share of the local population that identifies as Black is only a fraction of the areawide population compared to its share across the rest of the borough and city, while the share of the area's population that identifies as White is about double that of Brooklyn's and New York City's percentages.

2017-2021 American Community Survey data shows the median household income in the area was \$68,601, which is about the same as Brooklyn's median and just a tick below New York City's. Asian and White households had slightly higher median incomes when compared to the area as a whole (\$73,253 and \$70,642, respectively), whereas Black and Hispanic households had significantly lower median incomes when compared to the same (\$42,910 and \$55,574, respectively). The area's median age was 41.2 years old. Almost half of the area's population was foreign-born (49.3%), 34% indicated that they spoke English less than "very well."

Brooklyn CD15 saw a 6.1% increase in population between 2010 and 2020 and only a 2.8% increase in housing production between 2010 and 2023. This signifies that the area's housing production is significantly lagging behind its population growth, and is only a fraction of the housing production rates in the rest of the borough and city (11% for Brooklyn, 9% for New York City). The median gross rent in the area is \$1,538/month, which is just slightly lower than the Brooklyn and New York City medians. According to ACS PUMA data between 2017 and 2021, 28% of the area's rental units were affordable to households in the extremely low- to very low-income bands (0-50% AMI), another 46.8% were affordable to households in the low-income band (51-80%), and another 23.5% were affordable to households in the moderate-income band. More than half of the households (54.3%) in the area are considered rent-burdened, with 30.2% of households severely rent-burdened. 37.8% of the population in this area has access to a subway or SBS station within ¼ of a mile, and only 15.4% has access to an ADA-accessible subway station within ¼ of a mile. This reflects that the area as a whole is about as transit-accessible for able-bodied people as the rest of Brooklyn and New York City, but significantly less so for disabled people.

The proposed project would help New York City meet several of its goals to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity by creating 53 new units of income-restricted senior housing at an average of

50% AMI at a transit-accessible location, in a new building designed to be ADA-accessible and providing direct ADA accessibility to transit, while setting aside a certain percentage of income-restricted units for existing community district residents and those with disabilities.

The purpose of this report is to provide additional context not found in the land use application to facilitate public conversation about neighborhood change. To that end, it includes the following sections:

Section 1: Executive Summary (*this section*)

Section 2: Description of project components – Details of the proposed residential units in the proposed development: rent-regulated / market-rate, unit quantities, unit types, income restrictions, and projected rents. As well as details of non-residential uses: proposed jobs and likely demographic characteristics of the prospective workforce.

Section 3: Community profile summary - Graphs and charts describing neighborhood demographics, socioeconomic and housing conditions, with accompanying narrative explanation.

Section 4: Narrative statement - A statement describing how the project components and neighborhood characteristics relate to the City's commitment to affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity.

Section 5: Community profile – The complete data of all indicators in the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the local, community, the borough, and the city (for reference purposes).

2. PROJECT SPECIFIC INFORMATION

For guidance, please refer to the [racial equity report submission guide](#).

2.1. Description of residential space and affordability

2.1.1 Reporting residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 35,787 sf

2.1.2 Profile of anticipated residential affordability

Report the number of anticipated housing units. If income-restricted, report unit count by Area Media Income (AMI) tier. If not, report as “not income-restricted.”

Income Restriction	Unit Count		Anticipated Affordability***				
			AMI	Rent (2024)*		Eligible Income (2024)**	
	#	%		1-bedroom		1-person – 3-person	
Low Income	53	100%	50%	\$1,460	\$1,460	\$54,400	\$69,900
Total New Units	53	100%					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on 2024 AMI), rounded to the nearest \$10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on 2024 AMI, rounded to the nearest \$100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

***Affordability numbers are preliminary

Provide additional comments below, such as anticipated unit sizes. If applicable, report the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing option. If applicable, describe any affordable housing financing programs.

The Proposed Development will contain a total of 53 residential units, all of which will be income-restricted one-bedroom apartments pursuant to Option 2 of the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program ("MIH").

The 53 income-restricted units will comprise the entirety of the Proposed Development's residential floor area at an Area Median Income ("AMI") of 50%. The table above provides example rents and eligible incomes for this income band.

The Proposed Development will be utilizing a Section 202 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) loan. This program provides affordable housing for very low-income seniors (aged 62 and older) through capital advances and project rental assistance. Pursuant to this program, seniors must have household incomes at or below 50% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

2.3 Estimating construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development Site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
1946 East 7 th Street	86.2	44.9
Total	86.2	44.9

3. Community Profile Summary


The community profile summary is intended to provide a user-friendly snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators in the project area. This summary includes graphs and charts of selected indicators found in the full community profile (Section 5). The summary is available for download through the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#).

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."




Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."




Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [\$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.


"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)
Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.


"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector¹, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)
Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."




Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."




Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [\$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2023

Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2023, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."




Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2023 Q4 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars

Understanding error bars

Figure 14: Change in Median Gross Rent, Five-Year Estimate (2008-2012 to 2015-2019)



1

Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates come with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as **error bars**.

2

These "I" figures are called **error bars**. Error bars account for uncertainty in **sample data** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the **error bars** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about \$160 and \$245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller **error bar**, which is why the size of the **error bar** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.



Correct interpretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about \$50 and \$190."

Based on the **error bar** for Chinatown, this is correct.



Incorrect interpretation of this chart:


"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."

Because the **error bars** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan (\$160-\$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown (\$45-\$195).

Sheepshead Bay,
Gerritsen Beach &
Homecrest
PUMA 4016

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.



4. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, [Where We Live NYC](#).

The proposed development furthers several of the fair housing and equitable access to opportunity goals outlined in the City's fair housing plan known as "Where We Live NYC" as follows:

Goal #2: Facilitate equitable housing development in New York City and the region

The Proposed Development furthers this goal in several major ways: by providing new housing in a neighborhood that has experienced little housing production, by providing a substantial amount of new income-restricted housing units, and by providing new income-restricted housing in a location highly accessible to a key community amenity.

Brooklyn Community District 15 saw a population growth of 6.1% between 2010-2020 and only a 2.8% increase in housing units from 2010-2023. This conveys that housing production in the neighborhood has significantly lagged behind its population growth, and that it is building housing at only a fraction of the rate that Brooklyn and New York City are (where housing production rates came in at 11% and 9% respectively). The proposed development's 53 new units of housing would therefore provide a significant boost to the area, especially considering all of them will be income-restricted at 50% AMI and no very low-income units have been created in this neighborhood since 2014.

The Proposed Development would also serve as a key piece of the Applicant's larger "campus" in the immediate vicinity, which includes a synagogue, a senior center, a community center, and other supportive housing units. The 53 income-restricted units proposed would allow many very low-income senior households to have direct access to these key community amenities just steps from their front door.

Goal #3: Preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of long-standing residents

At the outset, while the Development Site contains 16 residential units, the Proposed Development's 53 units (a net 37-unit increase) would not only provide a significant bump in density, but affirmatively further the goal of creating affordable housing, as each of these units will be income-restricted at 50% AMI. This will provide those households an opportunity to live in modern, accessible, and affordable units.

Moreover, the new permanently affordable units will be subject to HPD Marketing Guidelines, which provide a preference for applications from within the Community District. This serves to specifically prevent the displacement of existing residents. That is particularly important here as the Neighborhood Tabulation Area in which the Development Site is located (NTA BK25 – Homecrest) is considered to be in the "higher" displacement risk category, and is adjacent to two other neighborhoods in the "highest" risk category (Bensonhurst East and Brighton Beach). Adding 53 income-restricted units in this section of Brooklyn would alleviate some of the pressure facing the subject area as well as other neighborhoods in the vicinity. The population vulnerability subindex lists the area as "higher" risk and the housing conditions subindex lists it as "intermediate" risk, while the market pressure subindex lists it as "highest" risk. 38.1% of

the population in the area has an income below 200% of the federal poverty rate, 99.5% of the housing in the area is not income-restricted, and the area experienced a larger rent change than when compared to New York City as a whole.

Goal #5: Create more independent and integrated living options for people with disabilities

Because this is a new development, it must be built pursuant to ADA guidelines, and set aside a portion of the income-restricted units for people with disabilities. This not only means the building will be designed to be accessible (including ADA-compliant elevators and ramps), but it will provide new affordable housing opportunities for people with disabilities as well. The Proposed Development will be geared toward senior living, providing a population with a higher rate and risk of disability with 53 modern, accessible income-restricted units in a location proximate to key community amenities such as senior centers and houses of worship, as well as contain community space in the building itself. These features will allow for greater independence for the building's residents, especially for those that may lack easy mobility.

5. Community Profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from [the Equitable Development Data Explorer](#) (EDDE) for the relevant Community District2. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the [Equitable Development Data Explorer](#).

Demographic Conditions
PUMA 4016

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	149,351	100.0%	147,751	100.0%	156,693	100.0%	8,942	6.1%	
Asian non-Hispanic	18,380	12.3%	21,690	14.7%	30,486	19.5%	8,796	40.6%	4.8
Black non-Hispanic	4,699	3.1%	5,225	3.5%	6,557	4.2%	1,332	25.5%	0.7
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	9,414	6.3%	11,524	7.8%	13,910	8.9%	2,386	20.7%	1.1
White non-Hispanic	113,197	75.8%	106,953	72.4%	98,989	63.2%	-7,964	-7.4%	-9.2
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	3,661	2.5%	2,359	1.6%	6,751	4.3%	4,392	186.2%	2.7

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	150,967	2,760	1.1	100.0%		143,588	2,638	1.1	100.0%		158,116	3,530	1.4	100.0%		14,528	4,407	10.1%	3.2%		
Under 16 years	29,162	1,430	3.0	19.3%	0.9%	26,120	1,687	3.9	18.2%	1.1%	31,722	1,720	3.3	20.1%	1.0%	5,602	2,409	21.4%	10.2%	1.9	1.5
16 to 64 years	95,097	1,749	1.1	63.0%	0.1%	92,941	1,874	1.2	64.7%	0.5%	95,305	2,592	1.7	60.3%	0.9%	2,364	3,198	2.5%	3.5%	-4.4	1.0
65 years and over	26,708	1,382	3.1	17.7%	0.9%	24,527	950	2.4	17.1%	0.6%	31,089	1,242	2.4	19.7%	0.7%	6,562	1,564	26.8%	7.1%	2.6	0.9
Median age (years)	39.3	0.8	1.2			41.4	0.9	1.3			41.2	0.9	1.3			0.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	18,684	2,018	6.6	100.0%		22,374	1,929	5.2	100.0%		28,324	1,855	4.0	100.0%		5,950	2,676	26.6%	13.7%		
Under 16 years	4,037	584	8.8	21.6%	2.1%	4,077	720	10.7	18.2%	2.8%	4,549	723	9.7	16.1%	2.3%	472	1,020	11.6%	26.5%	-2.1	3.6
16 to 64 years	13,220	1,024	4.7	70.8%	9.4%	15,739	1,415	5.5	70.3%	1.8%	19,282	1,325	4.2	68.1%	1.4%	3,543	1,939	22.5%	13.9%	-2.2	2.3
65 years and over	1,427	350	14.9	7.6%	1.7%	2,558	359	8.5	11.4%	1.3%	4,493	521	7.0	15.9%	1.5%	1,935	633	75.6%	32.0%	4.5	2.0
Median age (years)	34.9	2.0	3.5			39.5	1.8	2.8			43.3	2.1	3.0			4.0	3.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	5,220	1,120	13.0	100.0%		5,042	871	10.5	100.0%		6,891	1,089	9.6	100.0%		1,849	1,394	36.7%	32.0%		
Under 16 years	1,809	394	13.2	34.7%	1.3%	1,036	318	18.6	20.5%	5.2%	1,924	667	21.1	27.9%	8.6%	888	739	85.7%	86.0%	7.4	10.0
16 to 64 years	3,040	509	10.2	58.2%	15.8%	3,330	651	11.9	66.0%	6.0%	4,245	696	10.0	61.6%	2.7%	915	953	27.5%	32.5%	-4.4	6.6
65 years and over	371	179	29.4	7.1%	3.1%	676	212	19.1	13.4%	3.5%	722	166	14.0	10.5%	1.8%	46	269	6.8%	41.5%	-2.9	3.9
Median age (years)	24.3	3.9	9.8			35.2	3.8	6.6			30.2	2.4	4.8			-5.0	4.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	9,641	1,499	9.4	100.0%		10,302	1,285	7.6	100.0%		14,349	1,859	7.9	100.0%		4,047	2,260	39.3%	25.0%		
Under 16 years	2,536	465	11.2	26.3%	2.6%	2,868	597	12.7	27.8%	4.6%	3,495	783	13.6	24.4%	4.4%	627	985	21.9%	37.3%	-3.4	6.4
16 to 64 years	6,462	733	6.9	67.0%	12.9%	6,455	829	7.8	62.7%	1.9%	9,684	1,256	7.9	67.5%	0.4%	3,229	1,505	50.0%	27.4%	4.8	1.9
65 years and over	643	236	22.3	6.7%	2.2%	979	255	15.9	9.5%	2.2%	1,170	338	17.6	8.2%	2.1%	191	423	19.5%	46.5%	-1.3	3.0
Median age (years)	28.4	2.0	4.3			32.5	2.0	3.8			31.5	2.5	4.7			-1.0	3.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	113,981	2,636	1.4	100.0%		103,941	2,122	1.2	100.0%		102,733	3,202	1.9	100.0%		-1,208	3,841	-1.2%	3.7%		
Under 16 years	20,074	1,230	3.7	17.6%	1.0%	17,568	1,286	4.5	16.9%	1.2%	19,827	1,416	4.3	19.3%	1.2%	2,259	1,913	12.9%	11.5%	2.4	1.7
16 to 64 years	70,007	1,806	1.6	61.4%	0.7%	66,163	1,572	1.4	63.7%	0.8%	58,887	2,121	2.2	57.3%	1.0%	-7,276	2,640	-11.0%	3.8%	-6.4	1.3
65 years and over	23,900	1,322	3.4	21.0%	1.1%	20,210	838	2.5	19.4%	0.7%	24,019	1,061	2.7	23.4%	0.7%	3,809	1,352	18.8%	7.2%	4.0	1.0
Median age (years)	42.3	0.9	1.3			43.5	1.0	1.4			44.1	1.1	1.5			1.0	1.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	150,967	2,760	1.1	100.0%		143,588	2,638	1.1	100.0%		158,116	3,530	1.4	100.0%		14,528	4,407	10.1%	3.2%		
Foreign-born	67,145	2,077	1.9	44.5%	1.1%	64,947	2,212	2.1	45.2%	1.3%	78,000	2,656	2.1	49.3%	1.3%	13,053	3,456	20.1%	5.8%	4.1	1.8

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	18,684	2,018	6.6	100.0%		22,374	1,929	5.2	100.0%		28,324	1,855	4.0	100.0%		5,950	2,676	26.6%	13.7%		
Foreign-born	14,418	1,228	5.2	77.2%	10.6%	15,341	1,302	5.2	68.6%	8.3%	19,971	1,459	4.4	70.5%	2.3%	4,630	1,955	30.2%	14.6%	1.9	8.6

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	5,220	1,120	13.0	100.0%		5,042	871	10.5	100.0%		6,891	1,089	9.6	100.0%		1,849	1,394	36.7%	32.0%		
Foreign-born	952	331	21.1	18.2%	5.0%	732	247	20.5	14.5%	4.2%	1,550	402	15.8	22.5%	4.6%	818	472	111.7%	90.1%	8.0	6.2

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	9,641	1,499	9.4	100.0%		10,302	1,285	7.6	100.0%		14,349	1,859	7.9	100.0%		4,047	2,260	39.3%	25.0%		
Foreign-born	3,553	634	10.8	36.9%	3.2%	3,606	667	11.2	35.0%	4.8%	5,178	1,045	12.3	36.1%	5.6%	1,572	1,240	43.6%	39.3%	1.1	7.4

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	113,981	2,636	1.4	100.0%		103,941	2,122	1.2	100.0%		102,733	3,202	1.9	100.0%		-1,208	3,841	-1.2%	3.7%		
Foreign-born	46,468	1,929	2.5	40.8%	1.4%	44,328	1,752	2.4	42.6%	1.4%	48,702	2,230	2.8	47.4%	1.6%	4,374	2,836	9.9%	6.6%	4.8	2.1

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	142,462	835	0.4	100.0%		135,416	2,403	1.1	100.0%		158,116	4,107	6.4	100.0%		22,700	4,758	16.8%	3.7%		
Speak English less than "very well"	42,969	2,011	2.8	30.2%	1.4%	45,552	2,217	3.0	33.6%	1.5%	53,748	2,323	2.6	34.0%	1.2%	8,196	3,211	18.0%	7.7%	0.4	1.9

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	17,690	1,165	4.0	100.0%		21,211	1,826	5.2	100.0%		27,081	1,796	4.0	100.0%		5,870	2,561	27.7%	13.9%		
Speak English less than "very well"	10,929	1,155	6.4	61.8%	5.1%	11,831	1,283	6.6	55.8%	3.7%	15,456	1,157	4.6	57.1%	2.0%	3,625	1,728	30.6%	17.2%	1.3	4.2

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	4,701	629	8.1	100.0%		4,699	801	10.4	100.0%		6,038	845	8.5	100.0%		1,339	1,164	28.5%	28.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	229	173	46.1	4.9%	3.6%	217	149	41.8	4.6%	3.1%	557	267	29.1	9.2%	4.2%	340	306	156.7%	214.9%	4.6	5.2

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	8,758	847	5.9	100.0%		9,430	1,182	7.6	100.0%		13,004	1,639	7.7	100.0%		3,574	2,021	37.9%	24.5%		
Speak English less than "very well"	3,341	656	11.9	38.1%	6.5%	3,621	809	13.6	38.4%	7.1%	4,790	1,101	14.0	36.8%	7.1%	1,169	1,366	32.3%	42.4%	-1.6	10.0

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	108,240	1,632	0.9	100.0%		98,355	1,892	1.2	100.0%		96,412	2,905	1.8	100.0%		-1,943	3,467	-2.0%	3.5%		
Speak English less than "very well"	27,577	1,722	3.8	25.5%	1.5%	29,266	1,679	3.5	29.8%	1.6%	30,992	1,954	3.8	32.1%	1.8%	1,726	2,576	5.9%	9.0%	2.3	2.4

Household Economic Security
PUMA 4016

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	104,121	1,676	1.0	100.0%		101,737	1,487	0.9	100.0%		111,680	2,202	1.2	100.0%		9,943	2,657	9.8%	2.7%		
Less than high school degree	22,700	1,295	3.5	21.8%	1.2%	15,447	1,212	4.8	15.2%	1.2%	14,117	1,105	4.8	12.6%	1.0%	-1,330	1,640	-8.6%	10.1%	-2.6	1.6
High school degree or equivalent	29,605	1,438	3.0	28.4%	1.3%	30,735	1,591	3.1	30.2%	1.5%	30,982	1,655	3.2	27.7%	1.4%	247	2,296	0.8%	7.5%	-2.5	2.1
Some college or Associate's degree	21,563	1,267	3.6	20.7%	1.2%	19,877	1,198	3.7	19.5%	1.1%	20,885	1,355	3.9	18.7%	1.2%	1,008	1,809	5.1%	9.3%	-0.8	1.6
Bachelor's degree or higher	30,253	1,450	2.9	29.1%	1.3%	35,678	1,617	2.8	35.1%	1.5%	45,696	2,058	2.7	40.9%	1.7%	10,018	2,617	28.1%	8.2%	5.8	2.3

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	12,428	995	4.9	100.0%		15,359	1,188	4.7	100.0%		20,736	1,278	3.7	100.0%		5,377	1,745	35.0%	13.4%		
Less than high school degree	4,974	646	7.9	40.0%	4.1%	6,099	782	7.8	39.7%	4.1%	5,895	684	7.0	28.4%	2.8%	-204	1,039	-3.3%	16.7%	-11.3	5.0
High school degree or equivalent	2,964	502	10.3	23.8%	3.6%	2,944	510	10.5	19.2%	3.0%	5,833	875	9.1	28.1%	3.8%	2,889	1,013	98.1%	45.4%	8.9	4.8
Some college or Associate's degree	1,486	358	14.6	12.0%	2.7%	1,995	400	12.2	13.0%	2.4%	2,392	414	10.5	11.5%	1.9%	397	576	19.9%	31.8%	-1.5	3.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	3,004	506	10.2	24.2%	3.6%	4,321	727	10.2	28.1%	4.2%	6,616	868	8.0	31.9%	3.7%	2,295	1,132	53.1%	32.7%	3.8	5.6

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	2,544	466	11.1	100.0%		3,214	562	10.6	100.0%		4,306	611	8.6	100.0%		1,092	830	34.0%	30.2%		
Less than high school degree	792	262	20.1	31.1%	8.6%	453	215	28.8	14.1%	6.2%	503	217	26.2	11.7%	4.8%	50	305	11.0%	71.2%	-2.4	7.8
High school degree or equivalent	773	259	20.3	30.4%	8.5%	1,452	450	18.9	45.2%	11.6%	1,389	339	14.8	32.3%	6.4%	-63	563	-4.3%	37.7%	-12.9	13.2
Some college or Associate's degree	628	233	22.6	24.7%	8.0%	1,042	314	18.3	32.4%	8.0%	1,218	482	24.1	28.3%	10.5%	176	575	16.9%	58.1%	-4.1	13.2
Bachelor's degree or higher	351	174	30.2	13.8%	6.4%	267	141	32.2	8.3%	4.2%	1,196	362	18.4	27.8%	7.4%	929	388	347.9%	272.7%	19.5	8.5

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	5,611	685	7.4	100.0%		6,307	827	8.0	100.0%		8,698	1,017	7.1	100.0%		2,391	1,311	37.9%	24.2%		
Less than high school degree	2,442	457	11.4	43.5%	6.2%	2,189	480	13.3	34.7%	6.1%	2,094	474	13.7	24.1%	4.7%	-95	675	-4.3%	30.1%	-10.6	7.7
High school degree or equivalent	1,165	317	16.5	20.8%	5.0%	1,632	430	16.0	25.9%	5.9%	2,905	753	15.8	33.4%	7.7%	1,273	867	78.0%	65.8%	7.5	9.7
Some college or Associate's degree	1,327	338	15.5	23.6%	5.3%	1,225	319	15.8	19.4%	4.4%	1,719	352	12.5	19.8%	3.3%	494	475	40.3%	46.5%	0.4	5.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	677	242	21.7	12.1%	4.1%	1,261	372	17.9	20.0%	5.3%	1,980	450	13.8	22.8%	4.4%	719	584	57.0%	58.5%	2.8	6.9

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	81,441	1,805	1.3	100.0%		75,719	1,298	1.0	100.0%		74,502	1,926	1.6	100.0%		-1,217	2,323	-1.6%	3.1%		
Less than high school degree	13,893	1,047	4.6	17.1%	1.2%	6,499	754	7.0	8.6%	1.0%	5,282	687	7.9	7.1%	0.9%	-1,217	1,020	-18.7%	14.2%	-1.5	1.3
High school degree or equivalent	24,046	1,325	3.4	29.5%	1.5%	24,200	1,325	3.3	32.0%	1.7%	19,997	1,540	4.7	26.8%	1.9%	-4,203	2,032	-17.4%	7.8%	-5.2	2.5

Some college or Associate's degree	17,641	1,163	4.0	21.7%	1.3%	15,451	1,026	4.0	20.4%	1.3%	14,680	1,108	4.6	19.7%	1.4%	-771	1,510	-5.0%	9.6%	-0.7	1.9
Bachelor's degree or higher	25,861	1,365	3.2	31.8%	1.5%	29,569	1,433	2.9	39.1%	1.8%	34,543	1,911	3.4	46.4%	2.3%	4,974	2,389	16.8%	8.6%	7.3	2.9

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	55,527	585	0.6	57,922	1,156	1.2	2,395	1,296	4.3%	2.4%
Median household income (dollars)	\$58,574	\$3,895	4.0	\$68,601	\$4,229	3.7	\$10,027	\$5,749	17.1%	10.6%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	6,321	616	5.9	8,191	711	5.3	1,870	941	29.6%	16.9%
Median household income (dollars)	\$64,701	\$11,089	10.4	\$73,253	\$9,476	7.9	\$8,552	\$14,587	13.2%	24.3%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	2,032	452	13.5	2,390	432	11.0	358	625	17.6%	33.7%
Median household income (dollars)	\$35,300	\$11,569	19.9	\$42,910	\$10,049	14.2	\$7,610	\$15,324	21.6%	49.0%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	3,485	498	8.7	4,199	531	7.7	714	728	20.5%	23.0%
Median household income (dollars)	\$46,992	\$7,974	10.3	\$55,574	\$11,947	13.1	\$8,582	\$14,363	18.3%	32.4%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	43,063	846	1.2	41,468	1,086	1.6	-1,595	1,377	-3.7%	3.2%
Median household income (dollars)	\$60,989	\$4,109	4.1	\$70,642	\$5,197	4.5	\$9,653	\$6,625	15.8%	11.6%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	57,922	1,156	1.2	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	13,566	851	3.8	23.4%	1.4%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	8,775	751	5.2	15.1%	1.3%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	9,324	797	5.2	16.1%	1.3%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	10,153	893	5.3	17.5%	1.5%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	7,275	768	6.4	12.6%	1.3%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	8,829	736	5.1	15.2%	1.2%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	8,191	711	5.3	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,449	321	13.5	17.7%	3.6%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,350	441	19.9	16.5%	5.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	1,647	358	13.2	20.1%	4.0%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,623	344	12.9	19.8%	3.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,084	234	13.1	13.2%	2.6%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	1,038	228	13.4	12.7%	2.6%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	2,390	432	11.0	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	757	310	24.9	31.7%	11.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	576	224	23.6	24.1%	8.3%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	276	182	40.2	11.5%	7.3%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	490	202	25.0	20.5%	7.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	86	65	46.2	3.6%	2.7%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	205	111	32.9	8.6%	4.4%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
Number			Percent	
Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE

Total households with a Hispanic householder	4,199	531	7.7	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,148	289	15.3	27.3%	5.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	757	289	23.2	18.0%	6.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	709	251	21.5	16.9%	5.6%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	701	284	24.6	16.7%	6.4%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	448	197	26.7	10.7%	4.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	436	245	34.1	10.4%	5.7%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	41,468	1,086	1.6	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	9,703	733	4.6	23.4%	1.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	5,752	602	6.4	13.9%	1.4%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	6,540	735	6.8	15.8%	1.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	6,905	722	6.4	16.7%	1.7%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	5,526	645	7.1	13.3%	1.5%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	7,042	631	5.4	17.0%	1.5%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	92,941	1,874	1.2	100.0%		95,305	2,592	1.7	100.0%		2,364	3,198	2.5%	3.5%		
In labor force	63,958	1,845	1.8	68.8%	1.4%	67,414	2,294	2.1	70.7%	1.4%	3,456	2,944	5.4%	4.7%	1.9	2.0

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	15,739	1,415	5.5	100.0%		19,282	1,325	4.2	100.0%		3,543	1,939	22.5%	13.9%		
In labor force	11,012	1,067	5.9	70.0%	2.5%	13,170	973	4.5	68.3%	1.9%	2,158	1,444	19.6%	14.6%	-1.7	3.1

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	3,330	651	11.9	100.0%		4,245	696	10.0	100.0%		915	953	27.5%	32.5%		
In labor force	1,913	373	11.9	57.4%	15.9%	2,955	590	12.1	69.6%	7.9%	1,042	698	54.5%	43.1%	12.2	17.8

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	6,455	829	7.8	100.0%		9,684	1,256	7.9	100.0%		3,229	1,505	50.0%	27.4%		
In labor force	4,675	782	10.2	72.4%	7.8%	6,706	861	7.8	69.2%	12.6%	2,031	1,163	43.4%	30.2%	-3.2	14.8

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	66,163	1,572	1.4	100.0%		58,887	2,121	2.2	100.0%		-7,276	2,640	-11.0%	3.8%		
In labor force	45,685	1,546	2.1	69.0%	1.7%	42,233	1,901	2.7	71.7%	1.9%	-3,452	2,450	-7.6%	5.2%	2.7	2.5

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	58,527	1,776	1.8	100.0%		63,080	2,233	2.2	100.0%		4,553	2,853	7.8%	5.0%		
Management, business, science, and arts	23,695	1,306	3.4	40.5%	1.9%	26,048	1,485	3.5	41.3%	1.8%	2,353	1,978	9.9%	8.7%	0.8	2.6
Service	10,170	1,095	6.5	17.4%	1.8%	13,745	1,004	4.4	21.8%	1.4%	3,575	1,486	35.2%	17.6%	4.4	2.3
Sales and office	14,406	1,095	4.6	24.6%	1.7%	13,040	1,109	5.2	20.7%	1.6%	-1,366	1,558	-9.5%	10.3%	-3.9	2.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	4,263	571	8.1	7.3%	1.0%	3,734	622	10.1	5.9%	1.0%	-529	844	-12.4%	18.7%	-1.4	1.4
Production, transportation, and material moving	5,993	698	7.1	10.2%	1.2%	6,513	785	7.3	10.3%	1.2%	520	1,050	8.7%	18.2%	0.1	1.7

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$72,295	\$3,574	3.0			\$71,202	\$3,757	3.2			-\$1,093	\$5,185	-1.5%	7.1%		
Service	\$28,207	\$3,026	6.5			\$27,902	\$2,054	4.5			-\$305	\$3,657	-1.1%	12.9%		
Sales and office	\$38,622	\$3,229	5.1			\$35,889	\$4,124	7.0			-\$2,733	\$5,238	-7.1%	13.2%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$40,399	\$7,889	11.9			\$45,332	\$7,322	9.8			\$4,933	\$10,763	12.2%	28.4%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$38,310	\$5,483	8.7			\$33,288	\$4,895	8.9			-\$5,022	\$7,350	-13.1%	17.8%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	10,168	1,055	6.3	100.0%		12,429	945	4.6	100.0%		2,261	1,416	22.2%	15.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	2,770	588	12.9	27.2%	5.0%	4,309	632	8.9	34.7%	4.4%	1,539	863	55.6%	40.1%	7.5	6.7
Service	2,329	445	11.6	22.9%	3.7%	3,424	578	10.3	27.5%	4.2%	1,095	729	47.0%	37.5%	4.6	5.6
Sales and office	2,678	483	11.0	26.3%	3.9%	2,472	411	10.1	19.9%	2.9%	-206	634	-7.7%	22.6%	-6.4	4.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	650	234	21.9	6.4%	2.2%	608	173	17.3	4.9%	1.3%	-42	291	-6.5%	42.9%	-1.5	2.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,741	435	15.2	17.1%	3.9%	1,616	361	13.6	13.0%	2.7%	-125	565	-7.2%	31.1%	-4.1	4.7

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$70,868	\$7,240	6.2			\$67,398	\$6,473	5.8			-\$3,470	\$9,712	-4.9%	13.3%		
Service	\$19,142	\$4,243	13.5			\$26,485	\$2,922	6.7			\$7,343	\$5,152	38.4%	34.3%		
Sales and office	\$30,750	\$6,396	12.6			\$32,878	\$6,161	11.4			\$2,128	\$8,880	6.9%	29.9%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$28,231	\$6,980	15.0			\$34,443	\$17,100	30.2			\$6,212	\$18,470	22.0%	67.7%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$23,451	\$7,267	18.8			\$26,317	\$7,912	18.3			\$2,866	\$10,743	12.2%	48.4%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,666	364	13.3	100.0%		2,640	576	13.3	100.0%		974	681	58.5%	48.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	392	175	27.1	23.5%	9.1%	836	251	18.3	31.7%	6.6%	444	306	113.3%	114.7%	8.2	11.2
Service	411	202	29.8	24.7%	10.8%	639	278	26.5	24.2%	9.1%	228	344	55.5%	102.0%	-0.5	14.1
Sales and office	476	211	27.0	28.6%	11.0%	686	401	35.5	26.0%	14.1%	210	453	44.1%	105.7%	-2.6	17.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	0					117	120	62.2	4.4%	4.4%	117		0.0%			
Production, transportation, and material moving	387	206	32.3	23.2%	11.3%	362	265	44.6	13.7%	9.6%	-25	336	-6.5%	84.7%	-9.5	14.8

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$42,753	\$32,814	46.7			\$66,368	\$9,255	8.5			\$23,615	\$34,095	55.2%	121.1%		
Service	\$34,828	\$7,821	13.7			\$19,367	\$14,066	44.2			-\$15,461	\$16,094	-44.4%	42.3%		
Sales and office	\$33,110	\$12,229	22.5			\$29,608	\$11,439	23.5			-\$3,502	\$16,745	-10.6%	47.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance						\$62,781	\$54,626	52.9								
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$58,932	\$13,670	14.1			\$27,977	\$45,011	97.8			-\$30,955	\$47,041	-52.5%	77.2%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,117	690	10.2	100.0%		6,310	848	8.2	100.0%		2,193	1,093	53.3%	32.9%		
Management, business, science, and arts	1,064	338	19.3	25.8%	7.0%	1,759	437	15.1	27.9%	5.8%	695	552	65.3%	66.7%	2.1	9.1
Service	1,274	409	19.5	30.9%	8.5%	2,061	484	14.3	32.7%	6.3%	787	634	61.8%	64.3%	1.8	10.6
Sales and office	858	287	20.3	20.8%	6.0%	1,013	296	17.7	16.1%	4.2%	155	412	18.1%	52.4%	-4.7	7.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	401	190	28.9	9.7%	4.3%	507	275	33.0	8.0%	4.2%	106	334	26.4%	91.1%	-1.7	6.0
Production, transportation, and material moving	520	239	28.0	12.6%	5.4%	970	357	22.3	15.4%	5.3%	450	430	86.5%	109.8%	2.8	7.6

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$59,279	\$22,324	22.9			\$61,333	\$15,509	15.4			\$2,054	\$27,182	3.5%	46.9%		
Service	\$26,888	\$9,115	20.6			\$30,570	\$4,442	8.8			\$3,682	\$10,140	13.7%	41.9%		
Sales and office	\$43,400	\$21,581	30.2			\$37,659	\$15,122	24.4			-\$5,741	\$26,352	-13.2%	55.5%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$28,132	\$5,607	12.1			\$45,065	\$12,131	16.4			\$16,933	\$13,364	60.2%	53.7%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$39,081	\$19,590	30.5			\$35,640	\$21,539	36.7			-\$3,441	\$29,115	-8.8%	71.6%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	42,043	1,406	2.0	100.0%		39,560	1,802	2.8	100.0%		-2,483	2,286	-5.9%	5.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	19,306	1,119	3.5	45.9%	2.2%	18,406	1,251	4.1	46.5%	2.3%	-900	1,678	-4.7%	8.5%	0.6	3.2
Service	6,088	781	7.8	14.5%	1.8%	7,038	834	7.2	17.8%	1.9%	950	1,143	15.6%	20.2%	3.3	2.6
Sales and office	10,169	899	5.4	24.2%	2.0%	8,429	790	5.7	21.3%	1.7%	-1,740	1,197	-17.1%	10.7%	-2.9	2.6
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	3,186	471	9.0	7.6%	1.1%	2,406	496	12.5	6.1%	1.2%	-780	684	-24.5%	19.2%	-1.5	1.6
Production, transportation, and material moving	3,294	492	9.1	7.8%	1.1%	3,281	632	11.7	8.3%	1.6%	-13	801	-0.4%	24.3%	0.5	1.9

Median Wages by Occupation

Management, business, science, and arts	\$73,707	\$4,133	3.4			\$74,807	\$5,422	4.4			\$1,100	\$6,818	1.5%	9.3%		
Service	\$31,150	\$2,590	5.1			\$28,573	\$3,591	7.6			-\$2,577	\$4,428	-8.3%	13.8%		
Sales and office	\$40,931	\$3,797	5.6			\$38,176	\$5,857	9.3			-\$2,755	\$6,980	-6.7%	16.7%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	\$49,429	\$7,299	9.0			\$49,682	\$10,855	13.3			\$253	\$13,081	0.5%	26.5%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	\$45,313	\$8,914	12.0			\$40,740	\$9,323	13.9			-\$4,573	\$12,899	-10.1%	27.1%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	58,527	1,776	1.8	100.0%		63,080	2,233	2.2	100.0%		4,553	2,853	7.8%	5.0%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	77	74	58.2	0.1%	0.1%	10	18	108.5	0.0%	0.0%	-67	76	-87.0%	26.5%	-0.1	0.1
Construction	3,280	592	11.0	5.6%	1.0%	3,987	642	9.8	6.3%	1.0%	707	873	21.6%	29.4%	0.7	1.4
Manufacturing	3,279	563	10.4	5.6%	0.9%	2,517	487	11.8	4.0%	0.8%	-762	744	-23.2%	19.9%	-1.6	1.2
Wholesale trade	2,228	410	11.2	3.8%	0.7%	2,940	509	10.5	4.7%	0.8%	712	654	32.0%	33.3%	0.9	1.1
Retail trade	5,929	735	7.5	10.1%	1.2%	5,897	732	7.5	9.3%	1.1%	-32	1,037	-0.5%	17.4%	-0.8	1.6
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	4,000	545	8.3	6.8%	0.9%	4,747	659	8.4	7.5%	1.0%	747	855	18.7%	23.1%	0.7	1.3
Information	1,334	339	15.4	2.3%	0.6%	2,087	442	12.9	3.3%	0.7%	753	557	56.4%	51.8%	1.0	0.9
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6,276	780	7.6	10.7%	1.3%	5,334	608	6.9	8.5%	0.9%	-942	989	-15.0%	14.3%	-2.2	1.6
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	6,762	713	6.4	11.6%	1.2%	6,876	703	6.2	10.9%	1.0%	114	1,001	1.7%	14.9%	-0.7	1.6
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	16,171	938	3.5	27.6%	1.4%	19,315	1,239	3.9	30.6%	1.6%	3,144	1,554	19.4%	10.3%	3.0	2.1
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	4,350	623	8.7	7.4%	1.0%	4,606	687	9.1	7.3%	1.1%	256	927	5.9%	21.9%	-0.1	1.5
Other services, except public administration	2,322	426	11.2	4.0%	0.7%	2,578	417	9.8	4.1%	0.6%	256	596	11.0%	27.2%	0.1	0.9
Public administration	2,519	495	11.9	4.3%	0.8%	2,186	410	11.4	3.5%	0.6%	-333	643	-13.2%	23.6%	-0.8	1.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$32,755	\$96,073	178.3			\$9,999					-\$22,756	\$96,073	-69.5%			
Construction	\$46,837	\$11,698	15.2			\$40,990	\$8,110	12.0			-\$5,847	\$14,234	-12.5%	27.9%		
Manufacturing	\$48,291	\$8,867	11.2			\$49,364	\$8,605	10.6			\$1,073	\$12,356	2.2%	25.9%		
Wholesale trade	\$50,148	\$11,062	13.4			\$46,050	\$8,573	11.3			-\$4,098	\$13,995	-8.2%	26.5%		
Retail trade	\$29,388	\$3,824	7.9			\$26,808	\$4,126	9.4			-\$2,580	\$5,625	-8.8%	18.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$56,835	\$8,943	9.6			\$41,477	\$10,651	15.6			-\$15,358	\$13,908	-27.0%	22.0%		
Information	\$65,040	\$20,774	19.4			\$73,654	\$12,472	10.3			\$8,614	\$24,231	13.2%	40.9%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$69,389	\$8,242	7.2			\$72,385	\$10,769	9.0			\$2,996	\$13,561	4.3%	19.9%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$63,386	\$8,387	8.0			\$56,242	\$7,354	7.9			-\$7,144	\$11,154	-11.3%	16.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$43,875	\$4,644	6.4			\$44,286	\$3,859	5.3			\$411	\$6,038	0.9%	13.8%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$22,789	\$4,322	11.5			\$27,323	\$4,495	10.0			\$4,534	\$6,236	19.9%	30.1%		
Other services, except public administration	\$24,365	\$10,035	25.0			\$31,838	\$11,094	21.2			\$7,473	\$14,959	30.7%	70.5%		
Public administration	\$64,432	\$8,941	8.4			\$66,588	\$10,893	9.9			\$2,156	\$14,093	3.3%	22.2%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	10,168	1,055	6.3	100.0%		12,429	945	4.6	100.0%		2,261	1,416	22.2%	15.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	25	42	103.1	0.2%	0.4%	10	18	108.5	0.1%	0.1%	-15	46	-60.0%	98.5%	-0.1	0.4
Construction	498	208	25.4	4.9%	2.0%	593	204	20.9	4.8%	1.6%	95	291	19.1%	64.4%	-0.1	2.6
Manufacturing	1,048	316	18.3	10.3%	2.9%	1,054	366	21.1	8.5%	2.9%	6	484	0.6%	46.3%	-1.8	4.1
Wholesale trade	321	189	35.9	3.2%	1.8%	396	158	24.2	3.2%	1.2%	75	246	23.4%	87.7%	0.0	2.2
Retail trade	1,268	302	14.5	12.5%	2.7%	1,180	348	17.9	9.5%	2.7%	-88	461	-6.9%	35.3%	-3.0	3.8
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	899	261	17.7	8.8%	2.4%	1,246	296	14.5	10.0%	2.3%	347	395	38.6%	52.0%	1.2	3.3
Information	49	42	52.1	0.5%	0.4%	244	108	26.9	2.0%	0.9%	195	116	398.0%	480.4%	1.5	1.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	860	267	18.9	8.5%	2.5%	1,045	212	12.3	8.4%	1.6%	185	341	21.5%	45.1%	-0.1	3.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	792	282	21.7	7.8%	2.7%	885	213	14.6	7.1%	1.6%	93	353	11.7%	48.0%	-0.7	3.1
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,178	414	11.5	21.4%	3.4%	3,388	503	9.0	27.3%	3.5%	1,210	651	55.6%	37.5%	5.9	4.9
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,328	414	18.9	13.1%	3.8%	1,333	453	20.6	10.7%	3.6%	5	614	0.4%	46.3%	-2.4	5.2
Other services, except public administration	476	199	25.4	4.7%	1.9%	536	176	19.9	4.3%	1.4%	60	266	12.6%	59.9%	-0.4	2.4
Public administration	426	177	25.3	4.2%	1.7%	519	191	22.4	4.2%	1.5%	93	260	21.8%	67.6%	0.0	2.3

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$12,000					\$9,999					-\$2,001		-16.7%			
Construction	\$27,369	\$5,253	11.7			\$35,932	\$18,818	31.8			\$8,563	\$19,537	31.3%	73.2%		
Manufacturing	\$26,553	\$10,849	24.8			\$46,884	\$11,952	15.5			\$20,331	\$16,141	76.6%	85.0%		
Wholesale trade	\$30,261	\$13,843	27.8			\$34,837	\$23,915	41.7			\$4,576	\$27,632	15.1%	95.0%		
Retail trade	\$29,399	\$8,760	18.1			\$21,350	\$8,705	24.8			-\$8,049	\$12,350	-27.4%	36.7%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$39,126	\$16,206	25.2			\$34,433	\$16,174	28.6			-\$4,693	\$22,896	-12.0%	55.1%		
Information	\$114,224	\$142,046	75.6			\$59,248	\$42,118	43.2			-\$54,976	\$148,159	-48.1%	74.3%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$66,437	\$12,460	11.4			\$77,409	\$20,310	16.0			\$10,972	\$23,827	16.5%	37.6%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$64,683	\$17,630	16.6			\$58,979	\$15,487	16.0			-\$5,704	\$23,466	-8.8%	34.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$33,623	\$10,140	18.3			\$33,547	\$4,873	8.8			-\$76	\$11,250	-0.2%	33.4%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$18,078	\$3,590	12.1			\$26,762	\$4,405	10.0			\$8,684	\$5,683	48.0%	38.2%		
Other services, except public administration	\$12,000					\$20,863	\$8,717	25.4			\$8,863		73.9%			
Public administration	\$70,801	\$17,276	14.8			\$68,933	\$21,603	19.1			-\$1,868	\$27,661	-2.6%	38.7%		

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,666	364	13.3	100.0%		2,640	576	13.3	100.0%		974	681	58.5%	48.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	0					110	120	66.1	4.2%	4.4%	110		0.0%			
Manufacturing	118	108	55.8	7.1%	6.3%	62	76	74.3	2.3%	2.8%	-56	132	-47.5%	80.4%	-4.8	6.9
Wholesale trade	22	33	92.3	1.3%	2.0%	0					-22	33	-100.0%	0.0%	-1.3	2.0
Retail trade	73	64	53.2	4.4%	3.7%	308	286	56.4	11.7%	10.5%	235	293	321.9%	538.8%	7.3	11.1
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	326	167	31.1	19.6%	9.0%	497	307	37.5	18.8%	10.9%	171	349	52.5%	122.3%	-0.8	14.1
Information	82	110	81.5	4.9%	6.5%	31	32	62.4	1.2%	1.2%	-51	115	-62.2%	64.0%	-3.7	6.6
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	66	71	65.0	4.0%	4.1%	99	118	72.3	3.8%	4.4%	33	138	50.0%	240.8%	-0.2	6.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	128	78	36.9	7.7%	4.4%	453	217	29.2	17.2%	7.3%	325	231	253.9%	274.3%	9.5	8.5
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	521	221	25.7	31.3%	11.3%	779	289	22.5	29.5%	8.9%	258	364	49.5%	84.3%	-1.8	14.4
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	76	91	73.2	4.6%	5.4%	168	125	45.1	6.4%	4.5%	92	155	121.1%	311.6%	1.8	7.0
Other services, except public administration	14	25	106.5	0.8%	1.5%	65	79	73.7	2.5%	2.9%	51	83	364.3%	1002.9%	1.7	3.3
Public administration	240	137	34.6	14.4%	7.6%	68	66	59.4	2.6%	2.5%	-172	152	-71.7%	31.9%	-11.8	8.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction						\$62,181	\$55,211	54.0								
Manufacturing	\$62,462	\$74,084	72.1			\$60,908	\$58,230	58.1			-\$1,554	\$94,229	-2.5%	148.5%		
Wholesale trade	\$55,302										-\$55,302		-100.0%	0.0%		
Retail trade	\$25,234	\$23,863	57.5			\$22,105	\$2,968	8.2			-\$3,129	\$24,047	-12.4%	83.7%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$67,011	\$19,288	17.5			\$50,675	\$32,059	38.5			-\$16,336	\$37,414	-24.4%	52.6%		
Information	\$33,473	\$51,030	92.7			\$77,205					\$43,732	\$51,030	130.7%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$47,032	\$64,793	83.7			\$38,589	\$6,926	10.9			-\$8,443	\$65,162	-18.0%	114.0%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$23,558	\$38,105	98.3			\$25,832	\$17,364	40.9			\$2,274	\$41,875	9.7%	192.1%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$26,096	\$9,052	21.1			\$38,908	\$13,536	21.1			\$12,812	\$16,284	49.1%	73.3%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$44,345	\$37,083	50.8			\$47,204	\$62,094	80.0			\$2,859	\$72,324	6.4%	165.9%		
Other services, except public administration	\$12,000					\$37,498	\$31,734	51.4			\$25,498		212.5%			
Public administration	\$42,743	\$22,301	31.7			\$42,554	\$49,182	70.3			-\$189	\$54,002	-0.4%	126.2%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	4,117	690	10.2	100.0%		6,310	848	8.2	100.0%		2,193	1,093	53.3%	32.9%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	430	211	29.8	10.4%	4.8%	608	287	28.7	9.6%	4.4%	178	356	41.4%	96.3%	-0.8	6.5
Manufacturing	177	176	60.5	4.3%	4.2%	193	178	55.9	3.1%	2.8%	16	250	9.0%	147.9%	-1.2	5.0
Wholesale trade	234	137	35.5	5.7%	3.2%	195	148	46.0	3.1%	2.3%	-39	202	-16.7%	79.9%	-2.6	3.9
Retail trade	267	179	40.8	6.5%	4.2%	721	316	26.6	11.4%	4.8%	454	363	170.0%	216.3%	4.9	6.4
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	329	151	28.0	8.0%	3.4%	403	205	30.9	6.4%	3.1%	74	255	22.5%	83.9%	-1.6	4.6
Information	23	46	120.9	0.6%	1.1%	147	109	45.3	2.3%	1.7%	124	118	539.1%	1363.3%	1.7	2.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	365	203	33.8	8.9%	4.7%	333	136	24.9	5.3%	2.0%	-32	244	-8.8%	63.0%	-3.6	5.1
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	621	269	26.4	15.1%	6.0%	619	230	22.6	9.8%	3.4%	-2	354	-0.3%	56.9%	-5.3	6.9
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	673	198	17.9	16.3%	3.9%	1,464	405	16.8	23.2%	5.6%	791	451	117.5%	87.8%	6.9	6.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	569	278	29.7	13.8%	6.3%	851	303	21.6	13.5%	4.4%	282	411	49.6%	90.4%	-0.3	7.7
Other services, except public administration	250	129	31.4	6.1%	3.0%	342	198	35.2	5.4%	3.1%	92	236	36.8%	106.1%	-0.7	4.3
Public administration	179	148	50.3	4.3%	3.5%	434	226	31.6	6.9%	3.5%	255	270	142.5%	236.9%	2.6	4.9

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	\$28,137	\$7,246	15.7			\$35,237	\$21,493	37.1			\$7,100	\$22,681	25.2%	82.9%		
Manufacturing	\$75,499	\$44,519	35.8			\$50,173	\$38,943	47.2			-\$25,326	\$59,148	-33.5%	64.8%		
Wholesale trade	\$42,867	\$13,147	18.6			\$44,822	\$6,822	9.3			\$1,955	\$14,812	4.6%	35.8%		
Retail trade	\$19,684	\$18,231	56.3			\$25,440	\$10,579	25.3			\$5,756	\$21,078	29.2%	131.2%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$41,305	\$17,503	25.8			\$44,276	\$25,944	35.6			\$2,971	\$31,296	7.2%	77.5%		
Information	\$20,693					\$93,909	\$88,018	57.0			\$73,216		353.8%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$54,939	\$21,357	23.6			\$61,037	\$31,571	31.4			\$6,098	\$38,116	11.1%	71.9%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$33,429	\$11,345	20.6			\$33,407	\$14,550	26.5			-\$22	\$18,450	-0.1%	55.2%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$47,636	\$18,712	23.9			\$53,264	\$12,259	14.0			\$5,628	\$22,370	11.8%	50.9%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$29,882	\$8,228	16.7			\$24,756	\$8,270	20.3			-\$5,126	\$11,666	-17.2%	35.9%		
Other services, except public administration	\$36,227	\$27,694	46.5			\$41,181	\$39,388	58.1			\$4,954	\$48,149	13.7%	139.2%		
Public administration	\$28,520	\$41,986	89.5			\$43,368	\$17,839	25.0			\$14,848	\$45,618	52.1%	232.4%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	42,043	1,406	2.0	100.0%		39,560	1,802	2.8	100.0%		-2,483	2,286	-5.9%	5.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	52	52	60.9	0.1%	0.1%	0					-52	52	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.1	0.1
Construction	2,319	444	11.6	5.5%	1.0%	2,588	466	11.0	6.5%	1.1%	269	644	11.6%	29.3%	1.0	1.5
Manufacturing	1,922	446	14.1	4.6%	1.0%	1,167	265	13.8	2.9%	0.7%	-755	519	-39.3%	19.7%	-1.7	1.2
Wholesale trade	1,584	334	12.8	3.8%	0.8%	2,262	465	12.5	5.7%	1.1%	678	573	42.8%	42.1%	1.9	1.4
Retail trade	4,165	599	8.7	9.9%	1.4%	3,313	484	8.9	8.4%	1.2%	-852	770	-20.5%	16.3%	-1.5	1.8
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,411	473	11.9	5.7%	1.1%	2,447	580	14.4	6.2%	1.4%	36	748	1.5%	31.2%	0.5	1.8
Information	1,145	316	16.8	2.7%	0.7%	1,626	432	16.1	4.1%	1.1%	481	535	42.0%	54.4%	1.4	1.3
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	4,985	630	7.7	11.9%	1.4%	3,810	503	8.0	9.6%	1.2%	-1,175	806	-23.6%	14.0%	-2.3	1.8
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	5,206	591	6.9	12.4%	1.3%	4,618	505	6.6	11.7%	1.2%	-588	777	-11.3%	14.0%	-0.7	1.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	12,648	896	4.3	30.1%	1.9%	12,994	1,145	5.4	32.8%	2.5%	346	1,454	2.7%	11.6%	2.7	3.1
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	2,363	450	11.6	5.6%	1.1%	2,087	357	10.4	5.3%	0.9%	-276	574	-11.7%	22.6%	-0.3	1.4
Other services, except public administration	1,582	328	12.6	3.8%	0.8%	1,504	293	11.9	3.8%	0.7%	-78	440	-4.9%	27.0%	0.0	1.1
Public administration	1,661	409	15.0	4.0%	1.0%	1,144	250	13.3	2.9%	0.6%	-517	479	-31.1%	22.7%	-1.1	1.2

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	\$47,671	\$115,278	147.0								-\$47,671	\$115,278	-100.0%	0.0%		
Construction	\$53,200	\$6,350	7.3			\$44,646	\$11,456	15.6			-\$8,554	\$13,098	-16.1%	23.7%		
Manufacturing	\$54,106	\$9,480	10.7			\$50,461	\$12,754	15.4			-\$3,645	\$15,891	-6.7%	28.7%		
Wholesale trade	\$56,171	\$13,210	14.3			\$48,261	\$12,287	15.5			-\$7,910	\$18,041	-14.1%	29.8%		
Retail trade	\$29,695	\$4,782	9.8			\$35,400	\$12,768	21.9			\$5,705	\$13,634	19.2%	47.1%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	\$63,354	\$11,333	10.9			\$45,143	\$17,420	23.5			-\$18,211	\$20,782	-28.7%	30.3%		
Information	\$66,398	\$22,413	20.5			\$74,022	\$16,132	13.2			\$7,624	\$27,615	11.5%	44.8%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	\$71,670	\$10,825	9.2			\$73,142	\$14,267	11.9			\$1,472	\$17,909	2.1%	25.2%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	\$69,117	\$9,139	8.0			\$60,872	\$8,946	8.9			-\$8,245	\$12,789	-11.9%	17.4%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	\$47,196	\$4,432	5.7			\$46,891	\$5,359	6.9			-\$305	\$6,954	-0.6%	14.7%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	\$25,370	\$6,873	16.5			\$29,244	\$10,995	22.9			\$3,874	\$12,966	15.3%	53.4%		
Other services, except public administration	\$27,353	\$7,192	16.0			\$42,582	\$17,649	25.2			\$15,229	\$19,058	55.7%	76.4%		
Public administration	\$67,820	\$11,789	10.6			\$75,201	\$13,491	10.9			\$7,381	\$17,916	10.9%	27.7%		

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security
PUMA 4016

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	56,627	1,690	1.8	100.0%		55,527	585	0.6	100.0%		57,922	1,156	1.2	100.0%		2,395	1,296	4.3%	2.4%		
Owner-occupied	23,028	1,006	2.7	40.7%	1.3%	25,730	904	2.1	46.3%	1.6%	26,799	1,123	2.5	46.3%	1.7%	1,069	1,442	4.2%	5.7%	0.0	2.3
Renter-occupied	33,599	1,006	1.8	59.3%	0.1%	29,797	893	1.8	53.7%	1.5%	31,123	1,075	2.1	53.7%	1.5%	1,326	1,398	4.5%	4.8%	0.0	2.1

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	5,040	583	7.0	100.0%		6,321	616	5.9	100.0%		8,191	711	5.3	100.0%		1,870	941	29.6%	16.9%		
Owner-occupied	2,636	431	9.9	52.3%	6.1%	3,737	495	8.1	59.1%	5.3%	5,021	646	7.8	61.3%	5.8%	1,284	814	34.4%	24.8%	2.2	7.9
Renter-occupied	2,404	413	10.4	47.7%	6.1%	2,584	388	9.1	40.9%	4.7%	3,170	402	7.7	38.7%	3.6%	586	559	22.7%	24.1%	-2.2	5.9

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	1,702	350	12.5	100.0%		2,032	452	13.5	100.0%		2,390	432	11.0	100.0%		358	625	17.6%	33.7%		
Owner-occupied	129	98	46.0	7.6%	5.5%	280	153	33.3	13.8%	6.9%	344	165	29.2	14.4%	6.4%	64	225	22.9%	89.3%	0.6	9.4
Renter-occupied	1,573	336	13.0	92.4%	5.5%	1,752	438	15.2	86.2%	9.8%	2,046	395	11.7	85.6%	5.8%	294	590	16.8%	36.9%	-0.6	11.4

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	2,682	399	9.0	100.0%		3,485	498	8.7	100.0%		4,199	531	7.7	100.0%		714	728	20.5%	23.0%		
Owner-occupied	590	208	21.4	22.0%	7.0%	684	215	19.1	19.6%	5.5%	621	195	19.0	14.8%	4.2%	-63	290	-9.2%	40.3%	-4.8	6.9
Renter-occupied	2,092	386	11.2	78.0%	8.5%	2,801	438	9.5	80.4%	5.1%	3,578	540	9.2	85.2%	7.0%	777	695	27.7%	27.8%	4.8	8.7

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	46,067	798	1.1	100.0%		43,063	846	1.2	100.0%		41,468	1,086	1.6	100.0%		-1,595	1,377	-3.7%	3.2%		
Owner-occupied	19,321	971	3.1	41.9%	2.0%	20,813	782	2.3	48.3%	1.5%	20,408	991	3.0	49.2%	2.0%	-405	1,262	-1.9%	6.0%	0.9	2.5
Renter-occupied	26,746	1,022	2.3	58.1%	2.0%	22,250	923	2.5	51.7%	1.9%	21,060	999	2.9	50.8%	2.0%	-1,190	1,360	-5.3%	6.0%	-0.9	2.8

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	25,730	904	2.1	26,799	1,123	2.5	1,069	1,442	4.2%	5.7%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$661,821	\$25,836	2.4	\$728,326	\$33,132	2.8	\$66,505	\$42,015	10.0%	6.6%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,737	495	8.1	5,021	646	7.8	1,284	814	34.4%	24.8%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$687,951	\$46,235	4.1	\$770,271	\$54,448	4.3	\$82,320	\$71,430	12.0%	10.9%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	280	153	33.3	344	165	29.2	64	225	22.9%	89.3%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$523,870	\$107,903	12.5	\$674,698	\$308,630	27.8	\$150,828	\$326,949	28.8%	64.6%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	684	215	19.1	621	195	19.0	-63	290	-9.2%	40.3%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$540,453	\$70,243	7.9	\$600,420	\$197,084	20.0	\$59,967	\$209,228	11.1%	39.2%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	20,813	782	2.3	20,408	991	3.0	-405	1,262	-1.9%	6.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	\$664,615	\$31,244	2.9	\$714,326	\$41,795	3.6	\$49,711	\$52,182	7.5%	8.1%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	28,602	856	1.8	29,915	1,074	2.2	1,313	1,373	4.6%	4.9%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,281	\$38	1.8	\$1,538	\$49	1.9	\$257	\$62	20.0%	5.2%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,507	385	9.3	2,991	397	8.1	484	553	19.3%	24.2%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,304	\$110	5.1	\$1,593	\$130	5.0	\$289	\$170	22.2%	14.4%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	1,752	438	15.2	2,011	389	11.8	259	586	14.8%	36.3%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$646	\$105	9.8	\$1,202	\$236	11.9	\$556	\$258	85.9%	47.4%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	2,638	431	9.9	3,519	528	9.1	881	682	33.4%	29.6%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,228	\$125	6.2	\$1,645	\$152	5.6	\$417	\$197	33.9%	18.4%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	21,295	907	2.6	20,125	1,007	3.0	-1,170	1,355	-5.5%	6.2%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	\$1,315	\$43	2.0	\$1,528	\$60	2.4	\$213	\$74	16.2%	6.0%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	27,544	845	1.9	100.0%		29,512	1,058	2.2	100.0%		1,968	1,354	7.1%	5.1%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	15,570	832	3.2	56.5%	2.5%	16,020	984	3.7	54.3%	2.7%	450	1,289	2.9%	8.4%	-2.2	3.7
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	8,135	723	5.4	29.5%	2.5%	8,899	681	4.7	30.2%	2.0%	764	993	9.4%	12.8%	0.7	3.2

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,340	378	9.8	100.0%		2,919	390	8.1	100.0%		579	543	24.7%	26.2%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,507	313	12.6	64.4%	8.4%	1,573	299	11.5	53.9%	7.3%	66	433	4.4%	29.4%	-10.5	11.1
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	806	224	16.9	34.4%	7.8%	759	212	17.0	26.0%	6.4%	-47	308	-5.8%	37.1%	-8.4	10.1

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	1,752	438	15.2	100.0%		2,011	389	11.8	100.0%		259	586	14.8%	36.3%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	729	300	25.0	41.6%	13.6%	858	282	20.0	42.7%	11.3%	129	412	17.7%	62.0%	1.1	17.7
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	191	160	51.1	10.9%	8.7%	454	191	25.6	22.6%	8.4%	263	249	137.7%	222.8%	11.7	12.1

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,534	442	10.6	100.0%		3,451	525	9.2	100.0%		917	686	36.2%	31.5%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	1,565	332	12.9	61.8%	7.5%	1,897	351	11.3	55.0%	5.8%	332	483	21.2%	34.1%	-6.8	9.5
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	765	246	19.5	30.2%	8.1%	872	235	16.4	25.3%	5.6%	107	340	14.0%	47.8%	-4.9	9.8

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	20,508	892	2.6	100.0%		19,888	997	3.0	100.0%		-620	1,338	-3.0%	6.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	11,535	766	4.0	56.2%	2.8%	10,950	789	4.4	55.1%	2.8%	-585	1,100	-5.1%	9.3%	-1.1	4.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,279	595	5.8	30.6%	2.6%	6,363	597	5.7	32.0%	2.5%	84	843	1.3%	13.5%	1.4	3.6

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	16,449	3,426	10.0	27.1%	5.7%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	29,915	1,074	2.2	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	3,491	545	9.5	11.7%	1.8%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	4,874	625	7.8	16.3%	2.0%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	13,996	837	3.6	46.8%	2.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	7,019	685	5.9	23.5%	2.1%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	440	172	23.8	1.5%	0.6%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	95	110	70.4	0.3%	0.4%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	2,439	1,601	33.0	4.5%	2.9%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	55,527	585	0.6	100.0%		57,922	1,156	1.2	100.0%		2,395	1,296	4.3%	2.4%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	3,439	476	8.4	6.2%	0.9%	6,340	619	5.9	10.9%	1.0%	2,901	781	84.4%	31.2%	4.7	1.3

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	6,321	616	5.9	100.0%		8,191	711	5.3	100.0%		1,870	941	29.6%	16.9%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,016	275	16.5	16.1%	4.1%	1,310	278	12.9	16.0%	3.1%	294	391	28.9%	44.3%	-0.1	5.1

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	2,032	452	13.5	100.0%		2,390	432	11.0	100.0%		358	625	17.6%	33.7%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	139	102	44.4	6.8%	4.8%	332	135	24.7	13.9%	5.0%	193	169	138.8%	200.4%	7.1	6.9

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	3,485	498	8.7	100.0%		4,199	531	7.7	100.0%		714	728	20.5%	23.0%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	203	117	34.9	5.8%	3.2%	761	234	18.7	18.1%	5.1%	558	262	274.9%	244.9%	12.3	6.0

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	43,063	846	1.2	100.0%		41,468	1,086	1.6	100.0%		-1,595	1,377	-3.7%	3.2%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,959	394	12.2	4.5%	0.9%	3,476	517	9.0	8.4%	1.2%	1,517	650	77.4%	44.4%	3.9	1.5

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019- 2024
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	827

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	165	127	-38	-23.0%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2024
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	2,204
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	1,135

Table 3.12.T: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	156,693	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	4,327	2.8%

Table 3.12.A: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	30,486	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	382	1.3%

Table 3.12.B: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	6,557	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	2,354	35.9%

Table 3.12.H: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	13,910	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	1,109	8.0%

Table 3.12.W: Populating NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2023	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	98,989	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	414	0.4%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Housing Production PUMA 4016

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2023

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2023 Q4	
	Number	Percent
Net change	1,792	2.8%
New building	2,354	3.6%
Alterations that increase units	154	0.2%
Alterations that decrease units	-162	-0.3%
Demolitions	-554	-0.9%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2024	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	0	896
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	0	0
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	14	73
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	0	0
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	150	0
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	0	2

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	4.3	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.0	0.0%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity

PUMA 4016

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	78.3%	-7.4%	5.9%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	11.0%	-3.8%	5.4%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	178.1	148.6	140.3	-8.0	-5.6%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	96.4	73.6	84.9	11.0	15.4%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	287.9	305.9	310.4	5.0	1.5%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	147.9	152.1	198.2	46.0	30.3%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	185.9	158.8	141.9	-17.0	-10.6%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	4.1	2.5	2.4	0.0	-5.4%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	3.0	1.9	1.8	0.0	-5.3%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	7.9	13.5	6.0	69.9%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	10.4	16.8	6.0	61.5%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	702

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	295

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	808

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	410

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	858

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021	
	Score 1-5	
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)		2

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	88,557

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Percent
	Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	37.8%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	15.4%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	59,312	1,741	1.8	100.0%		66,803	2,200	2.0	100.0%		7,491	2,806	12.6%	5.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	21,516	1,135	3.2	36.3%	1.6%	23,738	1,369	3.5	35.5%	1.7%	2,222	1,778	10.3%	8.6%	-0.8	2.3

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	10,082	1,062	6.4	100.0%		12,703	973	4.7	100.0%		2,621	1,440	26.0%	16.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	2,603	472	11.0	25.8%	3.8%	4,181	638	9.3	32.9%	4.3%	1,578	794	60.6%	38.1%	7.1	5.7

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	1,705	376	13.4	100.0%		2,628	582	13.5	100.0%		923	693	54.1%	48.2%		
Drove or carpooled to work	511	236	28.1	30.0%	12.2%	631	232	22.3	24.0%	7.0%	120	331	23.5%	72.9%	-6.0	14.1

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	4,227	671	9.6	100.0%		6,026	730	7.4	100.0%		1,799	992	42.6%	28.5%		
Drove or carpooled to work	1,183	348	17.9	28.0%	6.9%	1,813	422	14.2	30.1%	6.0%	630	547	53.3%	57.5%	2.1	9.1

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	42,745	1,394	2.0	100.0%		43,324	1,876	2.6	100.0%		579	2,337	1.4%	5.5%		
Drove or carpooled to work	17,102	1,007	3.6	40.0%	2.0%	16,455	1,038	3.8	38.0%	1.7%	-647	1,446	-3.8%	8.3%	-2.0	2.6

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	57,922	1,156	1.2	100.0%	
With a computer	45,632	1,304	1.7	78.8%	1.6%
With a broadband internet subscription	44,328	1,158	1.6	76.5%	1.3%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	8,191	711	5.3	100.0%	
With a computer	7,388	689	5.7	90.2%	3.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	7,053	651	5.6	86.1%	2.7%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	2,390	432	11.0	100.0%	
With a computer	1,946	450	14.1	81.4%	11.8%
With a broadband internet subscription	1,946	396	12.4	81.4%	7.6%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	4,199	531	7.7	100.0%	
With a computer	3,105	476	9.3	73.9%	6.4%
With a broadband internet subscription	3,247	441	8.2	77.3%	3.8%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	41,468	1,086	1.6	100.0%	
With a computer	31,869	1,134	2.2	76.9%	1.9%
With a broadband internet subscription	30,756	1,162	2.3	74.2%	2.0%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	58.6%
Math proficient	58.4%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	66.6%
Math proficient	68.3%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	34.7%
Math proficient	29.1%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	41.4%
Math proficient	34.2%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic	
	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	63.9%
Math proficient	65.8%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	80.0%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	107.5%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	68.6%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	41.4%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
	81.0%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number	Percent
	Estimate	Estimate
Total Population	156,693	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	113,733	74.1%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	618.4	100%	575.0	100%	-43.0	-7.0%	
Pedestrian injuries	177.5	29%	166.9	29%	-11.0	-6%	0.3
Cyclist injuries	47.3	8%	61.3	11%	14.0	30%	3.0
Motorist injuries	393.7	64%	346.8	60%	-47.0	-12%	-3.3

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	5.4	4.0	-1.4	-26.5%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization
(Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019	
	Number	
Total pedestrian hospitalizations		16.9

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault
Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019	
	Number	
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations		15.0