Racial equity report on housing and opportunity

Project name: South Jamaica Gateway Rezoning

Contact: Kevin Parris, parrisk@hpd.nyc.gov; (212) 863-5105

Prepared by: Annabelle Meunier, meuniera@hpd.nyc.gov; (212) 863-8459 Date:

Note: Racial equity reports are prepared prior to the certification of the subject application by the City Planning Commission (CPC). A description of the final adopted application is available in the associated CPC report.

APPLICATION TYPE

Applications that meet one or more of the following criteria are subject to the racial equity report requirement. Please select all relevant criteria:

☐ Text amendment to the zoning resolution affecting 5 or more community districts

☐ Historic district designation affecting 4 or more city blocks

☐ Seeking a change to the use regulations or permitted floor area for any use in a manufacturing district where a building in the project contains at least 100,000 square feet of floor area

Applications submitted pursuant to subdivision a of section 197-c of the charter that seek approval for:

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a non-residential project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☐ Acquisition or disposition of land to facilitate a residential project, other than a residential project consisting of a building to be preserved, provided that such equity report shall only be required related to a building in such project containing at least 50,000 square feet of floor area

☑ An increase in permitted residential floor area of at least 50,000 square feet

☐ An increase in permitted non-residential floor area of at least 200,000 square feet

☐ A decrease in permitted floor area or number of housing units on at least four contiguous city blocks

If the application meets the above criteria but the proposed development does not, please explain the difference between the proposed development and the application.

Section 1, Executive Summary

Provide a short, plain-language summary of the subsequent sections of the racial equity report, including major project elements and key neighborhood and demographic conditions.

New York City's Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) promotes the quality and affordability of the city's housing and the strength and diversity of its many neighborhoods. One of HPD's key objectives is supporting the development of new, deeply affordable housing through financing programs.

Utilizing HPD's financing tools, the proposed project would create approximately 143 new units of affordable rental housing, plus two superintendents' units, in South Jamaica, Queens. The proposed project would provide high-quality apartments to some of the lowest-income New Yorkers, including approximately 34 apartments for formerly homeless households. The proposed project includes two development sites—Development Site A with 78 units available to senior households and Development Site B with 65 units available to households of all ages. The new units would include studios and one-, two- and three-bedroom apartments, at a range of affordable income bands. Approximately 30% of the units would be set aside for formerly homeless seniors in Development Site A (Block 10161, Lots 1, 3 and 9), and approximately 15% of the units in Development Site B (Block 10129, Lots 1, 3 and 4) would be set aside for formerly homeless households at up to 40% AMI. The remainder of units in Development Site A would be available to seniors at up to 40% of AMI ($45,200 for a two-person household in 2023). Approximately 35% of the units in Development Site B would be for individuals and families of all ages earning under 50% of AMI ($63,550 for a three-person household in 2023), and the remainder of units, approximately half, would be for individuals and families earning under 70% of AMI ($88,970 for a three-person household in 2023).

The proposed project would also facilitate new and expanded community facility space for the organizations currently operating on the development sites. Development Site A will house the Godian Fellowship Church in the ground floor community facility space and the Thomas White Foundation will occupy Development Site B's ground floor community facility space. These organizations would continue to provide social services to residents and community members. In addition to its religious services, the Godian Fellowship runs a local food pantry, a clothing donation center, and senior transportation services. The Thomas White Foundation provides assistance to senior citizens as well as youth programs aimed at crime prevention and drug and alcohol treatment. New jobs for these organizations would be created as a result of the development, and improved spaces and augmented capacity would amplify the organizations' capacity to provide neighborhood services.

The project advances several of the City's stated goals to advance fair and affordable housing in New York City. The proposed project would:

- Provide high-quality, deeply affordable housing and amenities to approximately 143 households with low incomes;
- Provide high-quality homes for New Yorkers currently in shelter;
- Increase housing opportunities for New Yorkers using housing vouchers;
- Set aside housing for people with disabilities and;
- Provide community facility space for programs that would help support the residential population

The South Jamaica neighborhood is in Queens Community District 12 (CD 12), which also includes Jamaica and St. Albans[1]. CD12 is home to predominantly Black non-Hispanic households (54%) where 55% percent of households rent, and 45% own their homes. 59% of CD 12 households spend more than 30% of their income on rent, and over half of neighborhood households earn less than the median income of approximately $69,000 per year. The South Jamaica median income is lower than the Queens ($75,000) and citywide ($71,000) median incomes. Black non-Hispanic households in South Jamaica have a higher median income than Black non-Hispanic households citywide ($70,100 compared to $54,000).

The Non-White population in the South Jamaica Neighborhood Tabulation Area (NTA) is 98%, with a limited-English speaking population of 14%, and 37% of all households living with income below 200% of the Federal Poverty Rate.[2]

South Jamaica also has very few affordable housing units[3] (8%), though 53% of homes are renter-occupied. South Jamaica has a relatively high percentage of poor-quality housing, with a significant number of housing units with three or more maintenance deficiencies. As a predominately Black neighborhood, these conditions reflect racial disparities citywide. Black and Hispanic households in New York City live with three or more maintenance deficiencies – at almost three times the rate of either White Non-Hispanic or Asian Non-Hispanic households.[4]

South Jamaica has intermediate displacement risk relative to other neighborhoods in the city. Higher rent burden and lower incomes, housing quality, and rates of rent stabilized units than citywide all contribute to greater displacement risk in South Jamaica. Despite more vulnerable housing and demographic conditions, Jamaica experiences less housing market pressure and fluctuations than other NYC neighborhoods.

[1] Data referenced is for PUMA 4112, which includes Jamaica, Hollis & St. Albans (2020).
[2] NYC Equitable Development Data Explorer- Displacement Risk Map, 2023
[3] Homes with income restrictions through NYC Housing Preservation and Development financing or NYCHA.
[4] NYC Equitable Development Data Explorer – Displacement Risk Map, 2023 – South Jamaica NTA

Section 2: Project specific information

2.1 Residential affordability

2.1.1 Proposed residential floor area

Total residential zoning square feet: 85,360

2.1.2 Estimated new housing units

Report the number of anticipated housing units. Describe the expected rents or prices for all proposed housing units.

Income restriction	Units #	Units %	Anticipated affordability: AMI	Rent (2023)* Studio	Rent (2023)* 2-bedroom	Eligible income (2023)** 1-person	Eligible income (2023)** 4-person household
Formerly homeless	34	24	N/A	30% of income		Referred to by DHS	
Very Low Income	54	38	40%	$850	$1,270	$39,600	$56,500
Very Low Income	23	16	50%	$1,060	$1,590	$49,500	$70,600
Low Income	32	22	70%	**$1,270**	$1,910	$69,200	$98,800
Total	143	100 %					

*Rents are based on unit size. Rents shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $10) and will change. Final rents will be determined based on the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project construction.

**Qualifying incomes are based on household size. Incomes shown are illustrative (based on [2023] AMI, rounded to the nearest $100) and will change. Final qualifying incomes will be determined by the HUD Income Limits in place at time of project marketing.

It is important to note that any proposed publicly financed affordable housing is subject to change based on several factors including the availability of financing and the parameters of public financing programs in effect at the time of construction.

The proposed project consists of two affordable rental housing buildings with approximately 143 units and one additional superintendent's unit for each of the two buildings. The proposed project will include a mix of studio and one-, two- and three-bedroom units serving individuals and families earning between 40 and 70 percent AMI), as well as formerly homeless households and seniors.

The above table provides an illustration of the anticipated affordability. The cost of an affordable unit is based on the size of the unit and the associated AMI. Eligible household incomes are based on the number of people in a household. Larger units are available to larger households — a studio apartment is available to a household of one or two people while a one-bedroom unit is available to a one- to three-person household. Example qualifying incomes therefore reflect a range of household sizes. For more information about affordable housing eligibility, visit HPD's website.

2.2 Profile of specific anticipated jobs

Report building area and job sector details for specific non-residential uses. Specific nonresidential uses are those where a space is being built for the use of a specific tenant or business. This might be an anchor tenant that is only occupying a portion of the proposed space, or it might be that the applicant is seeking the proposed action to build for their own use.

If the proposal includes non-residential space but no specific tenants or businesses, do not complete this section. General non-residential space can be discussed in Section 4.

2.2.1 Proposed specific non-residential floor area
Total non-residential zoning square feet: **7,210 zsf**
Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by unknown tenants: **0**
Non-residential zoning square feet to be occupied by known tenants: **7,210 zsf**

2.2.2 Specific anticipated new jobs
If the application proposes specific non-residential uses, report the projected number of permanent jobs in each sector. Specific non-residential uses are those where there is a known tenant for the space.

In cases where there is not a known tenant, do not report the projected number of jobs or the characteristics of the potential sectors. Without a specific tenant/business, future industry sectors cannot be known and therefore all wage and workforce reporting would be speculative.

Sector (name and NAICS code)	Job count
Faith-based organizations, NAICS code 813	20
Total	20

For each sector, use most recent available data to describe the educational attainment and racial/ethnic composition of the workforce and median wages for those sectors in New York City.

One of the two development sites would include community facility space tenanted by The Godian Fellowship Church, which runs a local food pantry, clothing donation center, and senior transportation services in addition to its religious services. There would be an anticipated total of approximately 8 new employees.

The other development site would include community facility space tenanted by The Thomas White, Jr. Foundation, which provides community-based programs for senior citizens and youth, focusing on crime-prevention and drug and alcohol treatment. There would be an anticipated total of 12 new employees.

These organizations most closely align with the "Religious, Grantmaking, Civic, Professional, and Similar Organizations" category in the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), the standard categorization tool used by the U.S. Census Bureau. Of the approximately 61,304 people currently working in this sector in NYC, 16% have attended some college or obtained an associate's degree and 66% have a bachelor's degree or higher.[5]

The demographic breakdown of employees in this sector is approximately 11% Asian non-Hispanic, 21% Black non-Hispanic, 20% Hispanic, and 46% White non-Hispanic. The median annual wage for this sector is $51,129.

Section 2.3

2.3 Anticipated construction jobs

Report anticipated construction jobs in both person-years and average yearly workers for each development site.

Development site	Person-years	Average yearly workers
A	96	48
B	90	45
Totals	186	93

[5] 2017-2021 American Community Survey, New York City – NAICS demographic data

Section 3: Community Profile Summary

The community profile summary provides a graphic snapshot of household, housing, and neighborhood indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer for the relevant Community District[1]. Data tables and sources can be found in the full community profile (Section 5 of this report.)

[1] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Community Profile Summary

Jamaica, Hollis, & St. Albans

(PUMA 4112, approximately Queens CD 12)

Each figure includes a description and a sample interpretive sentence. Data is sourced from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey, and the NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey. For more detailed data sourcing, see the associated source table in the Equitable Development Data Explorer, available here.

Contents

Demographic Conditions

Race and ethnicity page 1

Economic Security

Household income page 2

Jobs page 4

Education & Access to Transit

High school graduation & Educational attainment page 6

Access to Transit page 7

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Rental prices & rent burden page 8

Housing Production

Housing and population change page 9

Notes


Understanding Error Bars page 10

Demographic Conditions

Figure 1: Race & Ethnicity, 2020

Shows the share of area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2020, [percent] of the population in [area] identified as [race/ethnicity]."




Source: Census 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Figure 2: Percent Change in Race & Ethnicity, 2010 to 2020

Shows the percent change of the area population by mutually exclusive race and Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2020, the [race/ethnicity] population in [area] increased/decreased by [percent]."




Source: Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Table 1.01

Household Economic Security – Income

Figure 3: Median Household Income, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the estimated median household income (in 2021 dollars) for the local area, borough, and city. Median means half of all households in the area make more than this amount and half make less. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"For 2017-2021, the estimated median household income in [area] was [$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.02

Figure 4: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band. Compares the local area to the borough and city. U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) is based on rental prices.

"For 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were in [HUD AMI band]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Figure 5: Share of Households in Each HUD AMI Band by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of PUMA households within each 2019 U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) band, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity of householder. HUD AMI bands are used to determine eligibility for income-restricted housing.

"In the local area, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] households were in [HUD AMI band] in 2017-2021."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.03

Household Economic Security – Jobs

Figure 6: Labor Force Participation, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] in [area] were employed or seeking work."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation by Race & Ethnicity, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the total population aged 16-64 who is employed or seeking work, by mutually exclusive race & Hispanic ethnicity. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of [race/ethnicity] group in [area] were employed or seeking work."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.04

Figure 8: Share of Employed Residents by Business Sector[1], 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of workers who live in an area by the business sector in which they work. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of employed people in [area] worked in [business sector]."



Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.06


[1] Business sectors are shown as macro-sectors as defined by NYC Department of City Planning. Office sector includes professional, management, finance businesses, and others; institutional sector includes educational services and health care and social assistance; industrial sector includes construction, manufacturing, warehousing businesses, and others; local services sector includes retail, arts and entertainment, food service businesses, among others.

Education & Access to Transit

Figure 9: High School Graduation Rate, 2018

Shows the rate of students who entered 9th grade in 2014 and graduated by 2018. Shows the share of total students and share of students by mutually exclusive race and ethnicity groups. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"The 2018 graduation rate for [race/ethnicity] group in [area] was [percent]."




Source: NYC Department of Education 2018; Community Profile Table 5.13

Figure 10: Educational Attainment, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the share of the population 25 years and over, by highest level of educational attainment. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of the population 25 years and over in [area] had [educational attainment]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 2.01

Figure 11: Access to Transit, 2021

Shows the share of population that lives within ¼ mile of a subway station or select bus service stop; and share of population that lives ¼ mile of an ADA accessible subway station. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2021, about [percent] of residents in [area] lived near an ADA accessible subway station."




Source: Department of City Planning 2021; Community Profile Table 5.09

Housing Security, Affordability, and Quality

Figure 12: Rent-Burdened & Severely Rent-Burdened Households, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the percent of households who spent 30% or more of their income on rent (rent-burdened) and the percent who spent 50% or more of their income on rent (severely rent-burdened). Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, an estimated [percent] of households in [area] were severely rent-burdened."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.04

Figure 13: Median Gross Rent, 2017-2021 (Five-Year Estimate)

Shows the median rent for occupied units paying rent. Median means half of all units have rental costs higher than this amount and half have rents lower than this amount. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"In 2017-2021, the estimated median price of rental units in [area] was [$]."




Source: American Community Survey 2017-2021; Community Profile Table 3.03

Housing Production

Figure 14: Percent Change in Housing Supply and Population, 2010 to 2022


Shows the percent change in the number of housings units and population. Compares the local area to the borough and city.

"From 2010 to 2022, housing units in [area] increased/decreased by [percent] and population increased/decreased by [percent]."



Source: NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database 2022 Q2 and Census 2010, 2020; Community Profile Tables 4.01 and 1.01

Understanding error bars



Five-year estimates refer to data from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is a monthly survey sent to a sample of households throughout the country. To have a large enough sample to create estimates of characteristics for small areas, the ACS "rolls-up" the sample for five-year periods.

Because the ACS data are from a sample, the estimates comes with some uncertainty, which is shown in the chart as ***errror bars.***

These "I" figures are called ***error bars***. Error bars account for uncertainty in ***sample data*** by representing the range in which the data could fall.

In this example, the ***error bars*** tell us that the change in rent in Manhattan is somewhere between about $160 and $245.

Data drawn from a larger sample are more accurate and have a smaller ***error bar***, which is why the size of the ***error bar*** gets smaller as the geography increases in size.

Correct intrepretation of this chart:

"Rents in Chinatown increased between about $50 and $190."

Based on the ***error bar*** for Chinatown, this is correct.

Incorrect intrepretation of this chart:

"Changes in rents in Manhattan were larger than those in Chinatown."


Because the ***error bars*** for Chinatown and Manhattan overlap, we cannot say for certain whether changes were higher or lower. In other words, the range of rent changes in Manhattan ($160-$245) overlap with the range in Chinatown ($45-$195).


Jamaica, Hollis & St. Albans

PUMA 4112

The city's Displacement Risk Index (DRI) estimates comparative levels of residential displacement risk for New York City's neighborhoods. The DRI ranks neighborhoods from lowest to highest risk based on recent population characteristics, housing conditions, and market pressures.

There are five ranks with equal numbers of neighborhoods. For example, neighborhoods ranked "lowest" are among the 20% of NYC neighborhoods with the least intense displacement risk factors while neighborhoods ranked "highest" are among the 20% of neighborhoods with most intense displacement risk factors.





Displacement Risk

by Neighborhood Tabulation Area

Lowest | Lower | Intermediate | Higher | Highest

0 1 2

Miles

N

Section 4. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and promoting equitable access to opportunity

Provide a narrative statement describing how the project relates to New York City's goals and strategies to affirmatively further fair housing and promote equitable access to opportunity. These goals and strategies are described in the city's fair housing plan, Where We Live NYC.

In accordance with the City of New York's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing (AFFH), the City prepared a fair housing plan in 2020, Where We Live NYC (WWL), that articulated six goals to advance fair housing in NYC. WWL describes NYC's multiple overlapping housing crises:

- Housing production has not kept up with population growth in neighborhoods throughout the city, contributing to rising rents and housing costs.
- The lowest-income families are hardest hit by these rising housing costs, resulting in one third of New Yorkers being severely rent burdened (spending more than 50% of their income on rent) and 50,000 New Yorkers sleeping within the City's shelter system.
- A history of racist laws, policies, and practices led to patterns of segregation and disinvestment in low-income communities of color, resulting in a deteriorating built environment and compounding economic, public health, and safety challenges.

The City is tackling these complex issues with a variety of strategies outlined in the plan. The proposed project would advance the identified goals and strategies by redeveloping two properties in South Jamaica and facilitating construction of two 100% affordable rental housing buildings for low-income households with approximately 143 new homes, with 78 reserved for low-income seniors, and community facility uses that would continue to provide non-profit social services to area residents and seniors. The proposed housing would serve some of the most housing-insecure households in the city and include amenities onsite such as laundry facilities, recreation rooms and terraces accessible to tenants.

The proposed development would provide stable housing options for residents who want to stay in the neighborhood (Goal 3).

There is a critically low supply of deeply affordable housing in New York City. Over a half of all NYC households pay more than 30% of their income on rent, and that rate is even higher for low-income households. Black and Hispanic/Latino New Yorkers are disproportionately rent burdened, and therefore face disproportionate housing instability. Market rate housing is simply out of reach for most New York City's renters.

In addition, over 400,000 New York City households live with three or more housing maintenance deficiencies. Maintenance deficiencies can lead to significant health and safety issues, and even loss of housing. There are clear disparities in housing quality by race, ethnicity, and income. Black and Hispanic New Yorkers are much more likely to live in poor quality housing than other groups, and lower income households are more likely to live in poor quality housing than higher income households.

This HPD-sponsored, 100% affordable housing project would directly address these needs. The proposed project would create approximately 143 high-quality, affordable homes for low-income households with incomes between 40 to 70 percent AMI. As described in Section 2, the proposed project would contain approximately 34 units serving formerly homeless households.

New affordable housing is distributed through a lottery system run by the Department of Housing Preservation & Development (HPD). Under current policy, lottery applicants residing in Queens Community District 12 will be given preference for 20% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. If this development is advertised after April 2029, community district residents will be given a preference for 15% of the homes distributed through the Housing Lottery. This policy prioritizes Queens CD 12 residents who may face unsafe or unstable housing but want to remain in the neighborhood.

The proposed project increases housing opportunities for New Yorkers with housing vouchers (Goal 4)

New Yorkers using rental assistance such as Section 8 or CityFHEPS encounter severe discrimination in the housing market. This 'source of income' discrimination often forces households into unsafe, poor-quality homes. Where We Live NYC's fourth goal is to expand opportunities for households using rental assistance benefits. Building approximately 143 units of affordable housing is a direct way to expand these opportunities. These new units are particularly important for voucher holders, as the City has maximized opportunities for voucher holders to qualify for new affordable housing through the updated Housing Connect portal.

New Yorkers transitioning from shelter face a variety of barriers to accessing permanent housing, in part due to source of income discrimination. The proposed project directly addresses this issue by including approximately 34 units set aside for households transitioning from shelter.

The project would create housing for people with disabilities (Goal 5)

New Yorkers with disabilities face distinct challenges finding accessible, safe, and affordable housing. This is why expanding independent, integrated housing opportunities for New Yorkers with disabilities is a key goal of Where We Live NYC. The proposed project directly advances this goal by setting aside approximately 10 units for people with physical disabilities or who are visually/hearing impaired. These units would be permanently set aside for New Yorkers who need specific accessibility features.

The proposed development would provide high quality housing and amenities (Goal 6).

The proposed project is located near public transit, commercial corridors with a variety of local and destination retail and services, as well as community facility resources such as health care, education, social service centers and arts and cultural spaces.

The proposed project would also include new community facility space for two existing non-profits that would be able to continue and expand their social and senior support services to tenants and area residents. These spaces would be open to the public and would add to the opportunities in the neighborhood for social assistance. The presence of these services would help fulfill unmet needs and enhance support for the local community.

Section 5: Community profile

The community profile provides data tables for all indicators from the Equitable Development Data Explorer (EDDE) for the relevant Community District[2]. Includes approximately 50 indicators across five categories:

- *demographic;*
- *household economic security;*
- *housing affordability, quality, and security;*
- *housing production; and*
- *quality of life and access to opportunity.*

Where possible, these indicators are broken down by mutually exclusive race/ethnicity and show change over time.

All community profiles can be downloaded from the Equitable Development Data Explorer.

[2] The EDDE reports data by Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA), statistical areas defined by the U.S. Census. PUMAs in New York City generally approximate Community Districts. Displaying the data at the PUMA scale enables data reporting broken down by race and ethnicity.

Demographic Conditions
PUMA 4112

Table 1.01.T: Mutually Exclusive Race/Hispanic Origin

	Census SF1, 2000		Census SF1, 2010		Census PL 94-171, 2020		Change, 2010 to 2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total population	218,993	100.0%	221,519	100.0%	251,096	100.0%	29,577	13.4%	
Asian non-Hispanic	10,254	4.7%	19,483	8.8%	37,961	15.1%	18,478	94.8%	6.3
Black non-Hispanic	159,126	72.7%	145,326	65.6%	135,797	54.1%	-9,529	-6.6%	-11.5
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	29,817	13.6%	38,069	17.2%	45,184	18.0%	7,115	18.7%	0.8
White non-Hispanic	4,673	2.1%	3,740	1.7%	4,487	1.8%	747	20.0%	0.1
Non-Hispanic of some other race or combination of races	15,123	6.9%	14,901	6.7%	27,667	11.0%	12,766	85.7%	4.3

Table 1.02.T: Age - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	218,003	3,302	0.9	100.0%		221,467	3,499	1.0	100.0%		253,036	4,803	1.2	100.0%		31,569	5,942	14.3%	2.8%		
Under 16 years	53,177	1,869	2.1	24.4%	0.8%	46,022	1,916	2.5	20.8%	0.8%	49,610	1,964	2.4	19.6%	0.7%	3,588	2,744	7.8%	6.2%	-1.2	1.1
16 to 64 years	140,582	2,083	0.9	64.5%	1.4%	149,098	2,492	1.0	67.3%	0.4%	167,382	3,464	1.3	66.1%	0.5%	18,284	4,267	12.3%	3.0%	-1.2	0.6
65 years and over	24,244	1,368	3.4	11.1%	0.6%	26,347	1,005	2.3	11.9%	0.4%	36,044	1,327	2.2	14.2%	0.4%	9,697	1,665	36.8%	7.3%	2.3	0.6
Median age (years)	33.9	0.7	1.3			36.2	0.6	1.0			38.2	0.6	1.0			2.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.A: Age - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	9,649	1,515	9.5	100.0%		23,287	1,602	4.2	100.0%		36,670	2,603	4.3	100.0%		13,383	3,056	57.5%	15.6%		
Under 16 years	1,995	414	12.6	20.7%	2.8%	5,037	666	8.0	21.6%	2.4%	7,855	905	7.0	21.4%	1.9%	2,818	1,124	55.9%	27.3%	-0.2	3.1
16 to 64 years	6,954	765	6.7	72.1%	13.8%	16,094	1,162	4.4	69.1%	1.5%	24,726	1,817	4.5	67.4%	1.3%	8,632	2,157	53.6%	15.8%	-1.7	2.0
65 years and over	700	246	21.4	7.3%	2.3%	2,156	344	9.7	9.3%	1.3%	4,089	632	9.4	11.2%	1.5%	1,933	720	89.7%	42.1%	1.9	2.0
Median age (years)	36.0	2.7	4.6			34.9	1.6	2.8			35.4	1.5	2.6			1.0	2.0				

Table 1.02.B: Age - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	161,228	3,232	1.2	100.0%		144,889	3,031	1.3	100.0%		147,344	3,534	1.5	100.0%		2,455	4,656	1.7%	3.2%		
Under 16 years	40,871	1,699	2.5	25.3%	0.9%	28,108	1,365	3.0	19.4%	0.9%	26,465	1,645	3.8	18.0%	1.0%	-1,643	2,138	-5.8%	7.4%	-1.4	1.3
16 to 64 years	101,522	2,171	1.3	63.0%	0.5%	97,666	2,242	1.4	67.4%	0.6%	97,621	2,437	1.5	66.3%	0.5%	-45	3,311	0.0%	3.4%	-1.1	0.8
65 years and over	18,835	1,223	3.9	11.7%	0.7%	19,115	689	2.2	13.2%	0.4%	23,258	953	2.5	15.8%	0.5%	4,143	1,176	21.7%	6.6%	2.6	0.6
Median age (years)	34.1	0.9	1.6			37.7	0.8	1.2			40.4	0.9	1.3			3.0	1.0				

Table 1.02.H: Age - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	28,828	2,495	5.3	100.0%		36,713	2,263	3.7	100.0%		40,794	2,721	4.1	100.0%		4,081	3,539	11.1%	10.1%		
Under 16 years	6,547	743	6.9	22.7%	1.7%	9,548	1,016	6.5	26.0%	2.3%	8,762	1,080	7.5	21.5%	2.2%	-786	1,483	-8.2%	14.9%	-4.5	3.2
16 to 64 years	20,279	1,264	3.8	70.3%	7.5%	24,152	1,501	3.8	65.8%	0.5%	27,348	1,972	4.4	67.0%	1.8%	3,196	2,478	13.2%	10.8%	1.2	1.9
65 years and over	2,002	415	12.6	6.9%	1.3%	3,013	385	7.8	8.2%	0.9%	4,684	573	7.4	11.5%	1.2%	1,671	690	55.5%	27.5%	3.3	1.5
Median age (years)	30.4	1.4	2.8			31.5	1.3	2.5			34.5	1.3	2.4			3.0	2.0				

Table 1.02.W: Age - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	4,585	1,057	14.0	100.0%		3,194	645	12.3	100.0%		5,201	994	11.6	100.0%		2,007	1,185	62.8%	45.3%		
Under 16 years	436	194	27.1	9.5%	3.6%	321	173	32.8	10.1%	5.0%	1,000	471	28.6	19.2%	8.3%	679	502	211.5%	223.0%	9.1	9.7
16 to 64 years	2,449	459	11.4	53.4%	15.9%	1,942	449	14.1	60.8%	6.8%	3,346	563	10.2	64.3%	16.4%	1,404	720	72.3%	49.3%	3.5	17.8
65 years and over	1,700	383	13.7	37.1%	11.9%	931	269	17.6	29.1%	6.0%	855	261	18.6	16.4%	3.9%	-76	375	-8.2%	38.6%	-12.7	7.2
Median age (years)	52.7	4.1	4.7			52.9	5.0	5.8			44.2	3.0	4.2			-9.0	6.0				

Table 1.03.T: Foreign-born Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total population	218,003	3,302	0.9	100.0%		221,467	3,499	1.0	100.0%		253,036	4,803	1.2	100.0%		31,569	5,942	14.3%	2.8%		
Foreign-born	73,470	2,374	2.0	33.7%	1.0%	91,017	3,561	2.4	41.1%	1.5%	112,651	3,395	1.8	44.5%	1.0%	21,634	4,920	23.8%	6.1%	3.4	1.8

Table 1.03.A: Foreign-born Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population	9,649	1,515	9.5	100.0%		23,287	1,602	4.2	100.0%		36,670	2,603	4.3	100.0%		13,383	3,056	57.5%	15.6%		
Foreign-born	7,794	932	7.3	80.8%	15.9%	17,136	1,319	4.7	73.6%	2.5%	27,485	1,982	4.4	75.0%	1.0%	10,349	2,381	60.4%	16.9%	1.4	2.7

Table 1.03.B: Foreign-born Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population	161,228	3,232	1.2	100.0%		144,889	3,031	1.3	100.0%		147,344	3,534	1.5	100.0%		2,455	4,656	1.7%	3.2%		
Foreign-born	40,046	1,945	3.0	24.8%	1.1%	47,805	2,979	3.8	33.0%	1.9%	50,363	2,449	3.0	34.2%	1.4%	2,558	3,856	5.4%	8.3%	1.2	2.4

Table 1.03.H: Foreign-born Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population	28,828	2,495	5.3	100.0%		36,713	2,263	3.7	100.0%		40,794	2,721	4.1	100.0%		4,081	3,539	11.1%	10.1%		
Foreign-born	16,079	1,313	5.0	55.8%	6.6%	17,782	1,400	4.8	48.4%	2.4%	19,881	1,656	5.1	48.7%	2.4%	2,099	2,168	11.8%	12.8%	0.3	3.4

Table 1.03.W: Foreign-born Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population	4,585	1,057	14.0	100.0%		3,194	645	12.3	100.0%		5,201	994	11.6	100.0%		2,007	1,185	62.8%	45.3%		
Foreign-born	1,172	367	19.0	25.6%	5.4%	1,152	353	18.6	36.1%	8.3%	1,597	460	17.5	30.7%	6.6%	445	580	38.6%	58.3%	-5.4	10.6

Table 1.04.T: Limited English Speaking Population - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 5 years and over	203,275	1,092	0.3	100.0%		207,291	3,215	0.9	100.0%		253,036	5,520	5.4	100.0%		45,745	6,388	22.1%	3.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	21,205	1,587	4.6	10.4%	0.8%	28,274	2,028	4.4	13.6%	1.0%	40,542	2,234	3.4	16.0%	0.8%	12,268	3,017	43.4%	13.0%	2.4	1.3

Table 1.04.A: Limited English Speaking Population - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	9,130	872	5.8	100.0%		21,492	1,508	4.3	100.0%		33,602	2,336	4.2	100.0%		12,110	2,780	56.3%	15.4%		
Speak English less than "very well"	2,316	549	14.4	25.4%	5.5%	7,041	1,063	9.2	32.8%	4.4%	15,460	1,441	5.7	46.0%	2.9%	8,419	1,791	119.6%	39.0%	13.2	5.3

Table 1.04.B: Limited English Speaking Population - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	150,341	2,014	0.8	100.0%		136,812	2,876	1.3	100.0%		140,026	3,391	1.5	100.0%		3,214	4,446	2.3%	3.3%		
Speak English less than "very well"	4,374	751	10.4	2.9%	0.5%	4,698	850	11.0	3.4%	0.6%	6,170	1,071	10.6	4.4%	0.8%	1,472	1,367	31.3%	32.9%	1.0	1.0

Table 1.04.H: Limited English Speaking Population - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 5 years and over	26,719	1,427	3.2	100.0%		33,542	2,035	3.7	100.0%		37,976	2,479	4.0	100.0%		4,434	3,207	13.2%	10.1%		
Speak English less than "very well"	12,430	1,242	6.1	46.5%	3.9%	15,646	1,529	5.9	46.6%	3.6%	16,911	1,527	5.5	44.5%	2.8%	1,265	2,161	8.1%	14.4%	-2.1	4.6

Table 1.04.W: Limited English Speaking Population - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 5 years and over	4,395	612	8.5	100.0%		3,075	623	12.3	100.0%		5,104	976	11.6	100.0%		2,029	1,158	66.0%	46.2%		
Speak English less than "very well"	794	323	24.7	18.1%	6.9%	572	192	20.4	18.6%	5.0%	1,467	639	26.5	28.7%	11.3%	895	667	156.5%	141.0%	10.1	12.4

Household Economic Security
PUMA 4112

Table 2.01.T: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 25 years and over	136,109	2,108	0.9	100.0%		145,084	2,300	1.0	100.0%		174,435	3,229	1.1	100.0%		29,351	3,964	20.2%	2.9%		
Less than high school degree	37,747	1,647	2.7	27.7%	1.1%	31,638	1,630	3.1	21.8%	1.1%	31,589	1,668	3.2	18.1%	0.9%	-49	2,332	-0.2%	7.4%	-3.7	1.4
High school degree or equivalent	40,752	1,697	2.5	29.9%	1.2%	43,661	1,745	2.4	30.1%	1.1%	54,294	2,445	2.7	31.1%	1.3%	10,633	3,004	24.4%	7.5%	1.0	1.7
Some college or Associate's degree	36,685	1,628	2.7	27.0%	1.1%	42,120	1,656	2.4	29.0%	1.0%	50,439	2,127	2.6	28.9%	1.1%	8,319	2,696	19.8%	6.9%	-0.1	1.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	20,925	1,282	3.7	15.4%	0.9%	27,665	1,399	3.1	19.1%	0.9%	38,113	1,868	3.0	21.8%	1.0%	10,448	2,334	37.8%	9.7%	2.7	1.3

Table 2.01.A: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	6,517	741	6.9	100.0%		15,081	1,076	4.3	100.0%		24,752	1,755	4.3	100.0%		9,671	2,059	64.1%	16.5%		
Less than high school degree	1,823	396	13.2	28.0%	5.2%	4,480	604	8.2	29.7%	3.4%	5,376	724	8.2	21.7%	2.5%	896	943	20.0%	22.9%	-8.0	4.2
High school degree or equivalent	1,231	326	16.1	18.9%	4.5%	3,265	474	8.8	21.6%	2.7%	5,929	852	8.7	24.0%	3.0%	2,664	975	81.6%	37.1%	2.4	4.0
Some college or Associate's degree	1,088	307	17.1	16.7%	4.3%	2,795	512	11.1	18.5%	3.1%	5,456	940	10.5	22.0%	3.5%	2,661	1,070	95.2%	49.1%	3.5	4.7
Bachelor's degree or higher	2,375	452	11.6	36.4%	5.6%	4,541	653	8.7	30.1%	3.8%	7,991	949	7.2	32.3%	3.1%	3,450	1,152	76.0%	32.8%	2.2	4.9

Table 2.01.B: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	99,576	2,168	1.3	100.0%		96,775	1,877	1.2	100.0%		104,635	2,544	1.5	100.0%		7,860	3,161	8.1%	3.4%		
Less than high school degree	24,459	1,374	3.4	24.6%	1.3%	15,271	997	4.0	15.8%	1.0%	13,569	943	4.2	13.0%	0.8%	-1,702	1,372	-11.1%	8.5%	-2.8	1.3
High school degree or equivalent	30,499	1,510	3.0	30.6%	1.4%	31,181	1,504	2.9	32.2%	1.4%	33,695	1,760	3.2	32.2%	1.5%	2,514	2,315	8.1%	7.7%	0.0	2.1
Some college or Associate's degree	29,117	1,481	3.1	29.2%	1.3%	31,816	1,529	2.9	32.9%	1.4%	33,994	1,854	3.3	32.5%	1.6%	2,178	2,403	6.8%	7.8%	-0.4	2.1
Bachelor's degree or higher	15,501	1,119	4.4	15.6%	1.1%	18,507	1,273	4.2	19.1%	1.3%	23,377	1,521	4.0	22.3%	1.3%	4,870	1,983	26.3%	12.0%	3.2	1.8

Table 2.01.H: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 25 years and over	17,330	1,177	4.1	100.0%		22,280	1,230	3.4	100.0%		26,443	1,709	3.9	100.0%		4,163	2,106	18.7%	10.1%		
Less than high school degree	7,963	816	6.2	45.9%	3.5%	8,922	1,135	7.7	40.0%	4.6%	8,417	1,102	8.0	31.8%	3.6%	-505	1,582	-5.7%	17.2%	-8.2	5.8
High school degree or equivalent	4,446	615	8.4	25.7%	3.1%	5,904	618	6.4	26.5%	2.4%	7,494	942	7.6	28.3%	3.1%	1,590	1,127	26.9%	20.8%	1.8	3.9
Some college or Associate's degree	3,707	563	9.2	21.4%	2.9%	4,761	744	9.5	21.4%	3.1%	6,907	756	6.7	26.1%	2.3%	2,146	1,061	45.1%	27.7%	4.7	3.9
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,214	324	16.2	7.0%	1.8%	2,693	454	10.3	12.1%	1.9%	3,625	755	12.7	13.7%	2.7%	932	881	34.6%	36.1%	1.6	3.3

Table 2.01.W: Educational Attainment (Highest Grade Completed) - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021	Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021

	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 25 years and over	3,722	564	9.2	100.0%		2,652	555	12.7	100.0%		3,726	575	9.4	100.0%		1,074	799	40.5%	36.5%		
Less than high school degree	1,213	324	16.2	32.6%	7.2%	718	298	25.2	27.1%	9.7%	1,034	400	23.5	27.8%	9.8%	316	499	44.0%	81.7%	0.7	13.8
High school degree or equivalent	1,392	347	15.1	37.4%	7.4%	667	208	19.0	25.2%	5.8%	1,249	331	16.1	33.5%	7.2%	582	391	87.3%	76.6%	8.3	9.2
Some college or Associate's degree	551	219	24.1	14.8%	5.4%	736	219	18.1	27.8%	5.9%	700	202	17.5	18.8%	4.6%	-36	298	-4.9%	39.4%	-9.0	7.5
Bachelor's degree or higher	566	221	23.8	15.2%	5.5%	531	190	21.8	20.0%	5.8%	743	238	19.5	19.9%	5.6%	212	305	39.9%	67.2%	-0.1	8.1

Table 2.02.T: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households	69,210	818	0.7	74,572	1,295	1.1	5,362	1,532	7.7%	2.3%
Median household income (dollars)	$61,956	$2,906	2.9	$69,384	$2,577	2.3	$7,428	$3,884	12.0%	6.7%

Table 2.02.A: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	5,987	484	4.9	9,280	826	5.4	3,293	957	55.0%	18.6%
Median household income (dollars)	$51,047	$9,574	11.4	$66,041	$5,017	4.6	$14,994	$10,809	29.4%	26.2%

Table 2.02.B: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	47,626	1,048	1.3	47,651	1,287	1.6	25	1,660	0.1%	3.5%
Median household income (dollars)	$67,089	$3,346	3.0	$70,653	$3,666	3.2	$3,564	$4,963	5.3%	7.6%

Table 2.02.H: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,271	695	4.1	10,762	891	5.0	491	1,130	4.8%	11.2%
Median household income (dollars)	$48,934	$5,844	7.3	$65,132	$6,199	5.8	$16,198	$8,519	33.1%	20.3%

Table 2.02.W: Median Household Income (2021 Dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	997	243	14.8	1,349	312	14.1	352	395	35.3%	45.5%
Median household income (dollars)	$61,841	$20,213	19.9	$57,522	$16,558	17.5	-$4,319	$26,129	-7.0%	40.5%

Table 2.03.T: Households by AMI Band - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	74,572	1,295	1.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	14,399	1,171	4.9	19.3%	1.5%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	11,762	1,153	6.0	15.8%	1.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	16,128	1,068	4.0	21.6%	1.4%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	16,139	1,340	5.0	21.6%	1.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	8,469	766	5.5	11.4%	1.0%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	7,675	819	6.5	10.3%	1.1%

Table 2.03.A: Households by AMI Band - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	9,280	826	5.4	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	1,857	469	15.4	20.0%	4.7%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,732	444	15.6	18.7%	4.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,487	524	12.8	26.8%	5.1%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	1,621	309	11.6	17.5%	2.9%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	898	234	15.9	9.7%	2.4%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	685	190	16.9	7.4%	1.9%

Table 2.03.B: Households by AMI Band - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	47,651	1,287	1.6	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	9,131	950	6.3	19.2%	1.9%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	7,174	845	7.2	15.1%	1.7%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	9,614	781	4.9	20.2%	1.5%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	10,734	1,084	6.1	22.5%	2.2%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	5,596	623	6.8	11.7%	1.3%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	5,402	631	7.1	11.3%	1.3%

Table 2.03.H: Households by AMI Band - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,762	891	5.0	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	2,174	430	12.0	20.2%	3.6%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	1,939	475	14.9	18.0%	4.2%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	2,356	496	12.8	21.9%	4.2%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	2,444	460	11.4	22.7%	3.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	1,168	319	16.6	10.9%	2.8%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	681	245	21.8	6.3%	2.2%

Table 2.03.W: Households by AMI Band - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,349	312	14.1	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	275	125	27.6	20.4%	8.0%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	324	286	53.6	24.0%	20.4%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	157	98	37.9	11.6%	6.7%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	312	151	29.3	23.1%	9.8%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	134	76	34.5	9.9%	5.2%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	147	117	48.4	10.9%	8.3%

Table 2.04.T: Labor Force - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Population 16 to 64 years	149,098	2,492	1.0	100.0%		167,382	3,464	1.3	100.0%		18,284	4,267	12.3%	3.0%		
In labor force	113,171	2,225	1.2	75.9%	0.8%	121,266	2,804	1.4	72.4%	0.7%	8,095	3,580	7.2%	3.3%	-3.5	1.1

Table 2.04.A: Labor Force - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	16,094	1,162	4.4	100.0%		24,726	1,817	4.5	100.0%		8,632	2,157	53.6%	15.8%		
In labor force	11,116	909	5.0	69.1%	2.6%	17,128	1,462	5.2	69.3%	3.0%	6,012	1,722	54.1%	18.2%	0.2	4.0

Table 2.04.B: Labor Force - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	97,666	2,242	1.4	100.0%		97,621	2,437	1.5	100.0%		-45	3,311	0.0%	3.4%		
In labor force	75,842	2,032	1.6	77.7%	1.1%	72,876	2,273	1.9	74.7%	1.4%	-2,966	3,049	-3.9%	4.0%	-3.0	1.8

Table 2.04.H: Labor Force - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	24,152	1,501	3.8	100.0%		27,348	1,972	4.4	100.0%		3,196	2,478	13.2%	10.8%		
In labor force	18,003	1,313	4.4	74.5%	2.8%	19,499	1,516	4.7	71.3%	2.1%	1,496	2,006	8.3%	11.5%	-3.2	3.5

Table 2.04.W: Labor Force - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,942	449	14.1	100.0%		3,346	563	10.2	100.0%		1,404	720	72.3%	49.3%		
In labor force	1,274	377	18.0	65.6%	12.1%	1,839	404	13.4	55.0%	7.8%	565	553	44.3%	53.2%	-10.6	14.4

Table 2.05.T: Occupation - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	97,145	2,133	1.3	100.0%		110,193	2,621	1.4	100.0%		13,048	3,379	13.4%	3.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	23,039	1,394	3.7	23.7%	1.3%	30,868	1,602	3.2	28.0%	1.3%	7,829	2,124	34.0%	10.7%	4.3	1.8
Service	28,933	1,661	3.5	29.8%	1.6%	30,945	1,511	3.0	28.1%	1.2%	2,012	2,245	7.0%	8.1%	-1.7	2.0
Sales and office	26,194	1,274	3.0	27.0%	1.2%	24,892	1,769	4.3	22.6%	1.5%	-1,302	2,180	-5.0%	8.2%	-4.4	1.9
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	7,111	890	7.6	7.3%	0.9%	9,209	1,133	7.5	8.4%	1.0%	2,098	1,441	29.5%	22.7%	1.1	1.3
Production, transportation, and material moving	11,868	977	5.0	12.2%	1.0%	14,279	1,327	5.6	13.0%	1.2%	2,411	1,648	20.3%	14.9%	0.8	1.6
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$59,224	$3,277	3.4			$62,328	$2,785	2.7			$3,104	$4,300	5.2%	7.5%		
Service	$31,900	$1,993	3.8			$29,580	$1,336	2.7			-$2,320	$2,400	-7.3%	7.1%		
Sales and office	$34,710	$2,563	4.5			$35,635	$2,629	4.5			$925	$3,671	2.7%	10.7%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$41,227	$5,789	8.5			$47,854	$6,179	7.8			$6,627	$8,467	16.1%	22.1%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$34,533	$3,738	6.6			$33,486	$2,475	4.5			-$1,047	$4,483	-3.0%	12.7%		

Table 2.05.A: Occupation - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,828	878	5.4	100.0%		15,836	1,385	5.3	100.0%		6,008	1,640	61.1%	20.1%		
Management, business, science, and arts	2,258	467	12.6	23.0%	4.3%	4,279	658	9.3	27.0%	3.4%	2,021	807	89.5%	48.8%	4.0	5.5
Service	2,522	453	10.9	25.7%	4.0%	3,902	690	10.8	24.6%	3.8%	1,380	825	54.7%	39.0%	-1.1	5.5
Sales and office	2,940	466	9.6	29.9%	3.9%	3,523	600	10.4	22.2%	3.3%	583	760	19.8%	27.9%	-7.7	5.1
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	667	279	25.4	6.8%	2.8%	1,365	563	25.1	8.6%	3.5%	698	628	104.6%	120.2%	1.8	4.5
Production, transportation, and material moving	1,441	351	14.8	14.7%	3.3%	2,767	454	10.0	17.5%	2.4%	1,326	574	92.0%	56.4%	2.8	4.1
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$49,567	$9,811	12.0			$52,689	$6,747	7.8			$3,122	$11,907	6.3%	25.1%		
Service	$26,400	$7,428	17.1			$25,630	$2,203	5.2			-$770	$7,748	-2.9%	28.6%		
Sales and office	$23,126	$4,363	11.5			$32,017	$6,319	12.0			$8,891	$7,679	38.4%	37.8%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$22,898	$8,337	22.1			$46,210	$10,733	14.1			$23,312	$13,591	101.8%	87.2%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$23,987	$8,507	21.6			$16,574	$6,274	23.0			-$7,413	$10,570	-30.9%	35.8%		

Table 2.05.B: Occupation - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	64,765	1,993	1.9	100.0%		65,906	2,264	2.1	100.0%		1,141	3,016	1.8%	4.7%		
Management, business, science, and arts	17,094	1,214	4.3	26.4%	1.7%	20,207	1,159	3.5	30.7%	1.4%	3,113	1,678	18.2%	10.8%	4.3	2.2
Service	19,513	1,464	4.6	30.1%	2.1%	19,166	1,335	4.2	29.1%	1.8%	-347	1,981	-1.8%	10.1%	-1.0	2.8
Sales and office	17,578	1,154	4.0	27.1%	1.6%	14,647	1,448	6.0	22.2%	2.1%	-2,931	1,852	-16.7%	9.9%	-4.9	2.6
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	3,687	549	9.1	5.7%	0.8%	4,272	596	8.5	6.5%	0.9%	585	810	15.9%	23.6%	0.8	1.2
Production, transportation, and material moving	6,893	724	6.4	10.6%	1.1%	7,614	985	7.9	11.6%	1.4%	721	1,222	10.5%	18.4%	1.0	1.8
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$61,096	$3,527	3.5			$64,558	$3,011	2.8			$3,462	$4,637	5.7%	7.8%		
Service	$35,594	$2,057	3.5			$31,362	$2,197	4.3			-$4,232	$3,010	-11.9%	8.0%		
Sales and office	$38,199	$2,304	3.7			$37,786	$4,073	6.6			-$413	$4,679	-1.1%	12.2%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$48,125	$5,776	7.3			$61,812	$7,884	7.8			$13,687	$9,773	28.4%	22.5%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$41,016	$4,699	7.0			$39,012	$5,997	9.3			-$2,004	$7,619	-4.9%	18.2%		

Table 2.05.H: Occupation - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	15,565	1,106	4.3	100.0%		17,881	1,413	4.8	100.0%		2,316	1,794	14.9%	12.2%		
Management, business, science, and arts	2,005	484	14.7	12.9%	3.0%	3,688	648	10.7	20.6%	3.2%	1,683	809	83.9%	54.9%	7.7	4.4
Service	5,368	695	7.9	34.5%	3.7%	5,161	635	7.5	28.9%	2.7%	-207	941	-3.9%	17.2%	-5.6	4.6
Sales and office	3,484	583	10.2	22.4%	3.4%	4,135	729	10.7	23.1%	3.6%	651	933	18.7%	28.8%	0.7	5.0
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	2,130	466	13.3	13.7%	2.8%	2,318	601	15.8	13.0%	3.2%	188	760	8.8%	36.9%	-0.7	4.3
Production, transportation, and material moving	2,578	513	12.1	16.6%	3.1%	2,579	438	10.3	14.4%	2.2%	1	675	0.0%	26.2%	-2.2	3.8
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$47,815	$11,421	14.5			$57,382	$8,603	9.1			$9,567	$14,299	20.0%	33.8%		
Service	$22,167	$3,664	10.0			$25,888	$2,797	6.6			$3,721	$4,609	16.8%	23.1%		
Sales and office	$24,033	$5,933	15.0			$31,516	$6,063	11.7			$7,483	$8,483	31.1%	41.0%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$29,714	$12,543	25.7			$35,870	$5,913	10.0			$6,156	$13,867	20.7%	54.7%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$24,903	$4,555	11.1			$35,408	$6,241	10.7			$10,505	$7,726	42.2%	36.1%		

Table 2.05.W: Occupation - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,193	373	19.0	100.0%		1,507	352	14.2	100.0%		314	513	26.3%	49.3%		
Management, business, science, and arts	216	121	34.2	18.1%	8.5%	358	149	25.3	23.8%	8.2%	142	192	65.7%	115.7%	5.7	11.8
Service	252	159	38.4	21.1%	11.6%	455	282	37.6	30.2%	17.3%	203	324	80.6%	159.7%	9.1	20.8
Sales and office	347	187	32.8	29.1%	12.8%	422	179	25.8	28.0%	9.9%	75	259	21.6%	83.4%	-1.1	16.2
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	202	148	44.6	16.9%	11.2%	109	65	36.1	7.2%	3.9%	-93	162	-46.0%	51.0%	-9.7	11.9
Production, transportation, and material moving	176	101	35.0	14.8%	7.1%	163	110	40.9	10.8%	6.8%	-13	149	-7.4%	82.0%	-4.0	9.8
Median Wages by Occupation																
Management, business, science, and arts	$70,497	$76,817	66.2			$60,749	$23,909	23.9			-$9,748	$80,452	-13.8%	99.8%		
Service	$32,889	$23,702	43.8			$44,710	$9,552	13.0			$11,821	$25,554	35.9%	102.2%		
Sales and office	$41,530	$35,361	51.8			$64,589	$20,058	18.9			$23,059	$40,654	55.5%	141.0%		
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	$34,666	$17,839	31.3			$66,249	$107,710	98.8			$31,583	$109,177	91.1%	325.9%		
Production, transportation, and material moving	$58,076	$30,882	32.3			$37,634	$33,522	54.1			-$20,442	$45,579	-35.2%	67.2%		

Table 2.06.T: Industry - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed population 16 to 64 years	97,145	2,133	1.3	100.0%		110,193	2,621	1.4	100.0%		13,048	3,379	13.4%	3.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	52	63	73.4	0.1%	0.1%	42	51	74.5	0.0%	0.0%	-10	81	-19.2%	138.5%	-0.1	0.1
Construction	4,919	706	8.7	5.1%	0.7%	7,216	1,069	9.0	6.5%	1.0%	2,297	1,281	46.7%	30.3%	1.4	1.2
Manufacturing	3,741	577	9.4	3.9%	0.6%	2,661	557	12.7	2.4%	0.5%	-1,080	802	-28.9%	18.5%	-1.5	0.8
Wholesale trade	1,763	412	14.2	1.8%	0.4%	643	179	16.9	0.6%	0.2%	-1,120	449	-63.5%	13.3%	-1.2	0.4
Retail trade	11,442	940	5.0	11.8%	0.9%	11,444	1,034	5.5	10.4%	0.9%	2	1,397	0.0%	12.2%	-1.4	1.3
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	10,799	989	5.6	11.1%	1.0%	14,691	1,116	4.6	13.3%	1.0%	3,892	1,491	36.0%	16.2%	2.2	1.4
Information	1,539	432	17.1	1.6%	0.4%	1,938	444	13.9	1.8%	0.4%	399	619	25.9%	45.6%	0.2	0.6
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6,434	729	6.9	6.6%	0.7%	6,964	1,252	10.9	6.3%	1.1%	530	1,449	8.2%	23.0%	-0.3	1.3
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	7,185	826	7.0	7.4%	0.8%	8,018	805	6.1	7.3%	0.7%	833	1,153	11.6%	17.0%	-0.1	1.1
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	30,017	1,434	2.9	30.9%	1.3%	35,095	1,695	2.9	31.8%	1.3%	5,078	2,220	16.9%	7.9%	0.9	1.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	7,992	810	6.2	8.2%	0.8%	8,699	1,041	7.3	7.9%	0.9%	707	1,319	8.8%	17.1%	-0.3	1.2
Other services, except public administration	4,940	672	8.3	5.1%	0.7%	4,885	679	8.4	4.4%	0.6%	-55	955	-1.1%	19.2%	-0.7	0.9
Public administration	6,322	700	6.7	6.5%	0.7%	7,897	767	5.9	7.2%	0.7%	1,575	1,038	24.9%	18.4%	0.7	1.0

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$24,603	$22,812	56.4			$9,999					-$14,604	$22,812	-59.4%			
Construction	$33,600	$10,218	18.5			$42,330	$2,205	3.2			$8,730	$10,453	26.0%	38.9%		
Manufacturing	$38,586	$6,006	9.5			$45,488	$5,822	7.8			$6,902	$8,364	17.9%	23.8%		
Wholesale trade	$40,806	$6,541	9.7			$42,374	$16,681	23.9			$1,568	$17,918	3.8%	44.1%		
Retail trade	$23,465	$2,300	6.0			$25,396	$2,926	7.0			$1,931	$3,722	8.2%	16.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$39,968	$3,471	5.3			$39,551	$4,348	6.7			-$417	$5,563	-1.0%	13.9%		
Information	$55,091	$14,955	16.5			$66,622	$11,864	10.8			$11,531	$19,090	20.9%	39.3%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$52,114	$3,925	4.6			$48,672	$1,878	2.3			-$3,442	$4,351	-6.6%	7.9%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$36,223	$4,107	6.9			$52,070	$6,041	7.1			$15,847	$7,305	43.7%	23.3%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$41,299	$1,692	2.5			$41,616	$1,601	2.3			$317	$2,330	0.8%	5.7%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$23,803	$4,669	11.9			$24,870	$2,789	6.8			$1,067	$5,439	4.5%	23.6%		
Other services, except public administration	$22,425	$5,372	14.6			$27,161	$2,560	5.7			$4,736	$5,951	21.1%	31.2%		
Public administration	$62,482	$5,977	5.8			$61,573	$4,809	4.7			-$909	$7,671	-1.5%	12.2%		

Table 2.06.A: Industry - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Asian non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	9,828	878	5.4	100.0%		15,836	1,385	5.3	100.0%		6,008	1,640	61.1%	20.1%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	551	249	27.5	5.6%	2.5%	1,062	445	25.5	6.7%	2.7%	511	510	92.7%	118.8%	1.1	3.7
Manufacturing	665	210	19.2	6.8%	2.1%	781	397	30.9	4.9%	2.5%	116	449	17.4%	70.3%	-1.9	3.3
Wholesale trade	156	85	33.1	1.6%	0.9%	134	63	28.6	0.8%	0.4%	-22	106	-14.1%	61.8%	-0.8	1.0
Retail trade	1,561	383	14.9	15.9%	3.6%	2,441	601	15.0	15.4%	3.5%	880	713	56.4%	54.4%	-0.5	5.0
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	955	279	17.8	9.7%	2.7%	2,533	467	11.2	16.0%	2.6%	1,578	544	165.2%	91.6%	6.3	3.7
Information	122	87	43.6	1.2%	0.9%	126	68	33.0	0.8%	0.4%	4	110	3.3%	92.4%	-0.4	1.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	729	252	21.0	7.4%	2.5%	932	311	20.3	5.9%	1.9%	203	400	27.8%	61.4%	-1.5	3.1
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	551	189	20.8	5.6%	1.9%	990	246	15.1	6.3%	1.5%	439	310	79.7%	76.1%	0.7	2.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,051	413	12.2	20.9%	3.8%	3,469	535	9.4	21.9%	2.8%	1,418	676	69.1%	42.9%	1.0	4.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,794	426	14.4	18.3%	4.0%	2,102	536	15.5	13.3%	3.2%	308	685	17.2%	40.8%	-5.0	5.1
Other services, except public administration	458	162	21.6	4.7%	1.6%	621	366	35.8	3.9%	2.3%	163	400	35.6%	93.2%	-0.8	2.8
Public administration	235	109	28.1	2.4%	1.1%	645	222	20.9	4.1%	1.4%	410	247	174.5%	158.5%	1.7	1.8

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$19,386	$10,281	32.2			$42,320	$11,820	17.0			$22,934	$15,665	118.3%	130.8%		
Manufacturing	$36,346	$15,524	26.0			$51,225	$7,129	8.5			$14,879	$17,083	40.9%	63.3%		
Wholesale trade	$46,391	$31,038	40.7			$28,808	$21,594	45.6			-$17,583	$37,811	-37.9%	62.4%		
Retail trade	$22,315	$3,604	9.8			$19,939	$4,869	14.8			-$2,376	$6,058	-10.6%	26.2%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$35,737	$11,680	19.9			$16,776	$8,491	30.8			-$18,961	$14,440	-53.1%	28.3%		
Information	$31,287	$20,143	39.1			$124,998	$107,270	52.2			$93,711	$109,145	299.5%	428.6%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$57,173	$14,880	15.8			$52,519	$14,743	17.1			-$4,654	$20,947	-8.1%	35.2%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$29,207	$14,640	30.5			$53,533	$17,421	19.8			$24,326	$22,755	83.3%	109.5%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$28,404	$10,303	22.1			$31,296	$4,723	9.2			$2,892	$11,334	10.2%	43.3%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$23,983	$6,708	17.0			$24,783	$7,337	18.0			$800	$9,941	3.3%	42.1%		
Other services, except public administration	$22,226	$11,998	32.8			$27,488	$2,939	6.5			$5,262	$12,352	23.7%	68.1%		
Public administration	$51,473	$9,410	11.1			$58,779	$9,992	10.3			$7,306	$13,725	14.2%	28.5%		

Table 2.06.B: Industry - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Black non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	64,765	1,993	1.9	100.0%		65,906	2,264	2.1	100.0%		1,141	3,016	1.8%	4.7%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	52	63	73.4	0.1%	0.1%	0					-52	63	-100.0%	0.0%	-0.1	0.1
Construction	2,251	473	12.8	3.5%	0.7%	3,047	593	11.8	4.6%	0.9%	796	759	35.4%	38.8%	1.1	1.1
Manufacturing	1,362	341	15.2	2.1%	0.5%	999	304	18.5	1.5%	0.5%	-363	457	-26.7%	28.9%	-0.6	0.7
Wholesale trade	933	322	20.9	1.4%	0.5%	196	111	34.3	0.3%	0.2%	-737	341	-79.0%	13.9%	-1.1	0.5
Retail trade	6,404	827	7.8	9.9%	1.2%	5,244	661	7.7	8.0%	1.0%	-1,160	1,059	-18.1%	14.8%	-1.9	1.6
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	8,056	865	6.5	12.4%	1.3%	8,899	915	6.2	13.5%	1.3%	843	1,259	10.5%	16.4%	1.1	1.8
Information	1,019	355	21.2	1.6%	0.5%	1,405	372	16.1	2.1%	0.6%	386	514	37.9%	60.3%	0.5	0.8
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	4,579	598	7.9	7.1%	0.9%	4,621	1,119	14.7	7.0%	1.7%	42	1,269	0.9%	27.8%	-0.1	1.9
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	4,857	709	8.9	7.5%	1.1%	4,510	613	8.3	6.8%	0.9%	-347	937	-7.1%	18.5%	-0.7	1.4
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	23,062	1,426	3.8	35.6%	1.9%	24,525	1,395	3.5	37.2%	1.7%	1,463	1,995	6.3%	8.9%	1.6	2.5
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	4,063	539	8.1	6.3%	0.8%	3,694	605	10.0	5.6%	0.9%	-369	810	-9.1%	19.2%	-0.7	1.2
Other services, except public administration	2,755	543	12.0	4.3%	0.8%	2,562	519	12.3	3.9%	0.8%	-193	751	-7.0%	26.3%	-0.4	1.1
Public administration	5,372	674	7.6	8.3%	1.0%	6,204	768	7.5	9.4%	1.1%	832	1,022	15.5%	20.4%	1.1	1.5
Median Wages by Industry																
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	$24,603	$22,812	56.4								-$24,603	$22,812	-100.0%	0.0%		
Construction	$47,046	$8,719	11.3			$50,515	$11,195	13.5			$3,469	$14,190	7.4%	31.0%		
Manufacturing	$48,659	$13,057	16.3			$45,991	$15,598	20.6			-$2,668	$20,342	-5.5%	40.9%		
Wholesale trade	$45,703	$6,734	9.0			$55,891	$19,504	21.2			$10,188	$20,634	22.3%	46.3%		
Retail trade	$25,333	$2,422	5.8			$26,616	$3,916	8.9			$1,283	$4,604	5.1%	18.4%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$42,595	$4,425	6.3			$42,161	$4,897	7.1			-$434	$6,600	-1.0%	15.4%		
Information	$64,562	$10,235	9.6			$61,360	$14,734	14.6			-$3,202	$17,940	-5.0%	27.3%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$51,640	$4,064	4.8			$48,871	$1,672	2.1			-$2,769	$4,395	-5.4%	8.1%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$37,009	$5,192	8.5			$53,254	$7,804	8.9			$16,245	$9,373	43.9%	29.2%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$43,082	$1,932	2.7			$43,235	$1,781	2.5			$153	$2,628	0.4%	6.1%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$26,354	$8,977	20.7			$22,293	$4,708	12.8			-$4,061	$10,137	-15.4%	33.9%		
Other services, except public administration	$32,273	$7,787	14.7			$28,166	$7,284	15.7			-$4,107	$10,663	-12.7%	30.9%		
Public administration	$64,224	$6,565	6.2			$60,823	$6,187	6.2			-$3,401	$9,021	-5.3%	13.7%		

Table 2.06.H: Industry - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	15,565	1,106	4.3	100.0%		17,881	1,413	4.8	100.0%		2,316	1,794	14.9%	12.2%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	1,713	414	14.7	11.0%	2.5%	2,539	633	15.1	14.2%	3.4%	826	756	48.2%	51.5%	3.2	4.2
Manufacturing	1,054	356	20.6	6.8%	2.2%	541	214	24.0	3.0%	1.2%	-513	415	-48.7%	26.7%	-3.8	2.5
Wholesale trade	565	238	25.6	3.6%	1.5%	213	119	34.0	1.2%	0.7%	-352	266	-62.3%	26.4%	-2.4	1.7
Retail trade	2,468	563	13.9	15.9%	3.4%	2,663	541	12.4	14.9%	2.8%	195	781	7.9%	33.0%	-1.0	4.4
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,098	324	18.0	7.1%	2.0%	1,553	370	14.5	8.7%	2.0%	455	492	41.4%	53.6%	1.6	2.8
Information	228	172	45.9	1.5%	1.1%	200	138	42.0	1.1%	0.8%	-28	221	-12.3%	89.7%	-0.4	1.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	635	282	27.0	4.1%	1.8%	643	234	22.2	3.6%	1.3%	8	366	1.3%	58.1%	-0.5	2.2
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,024	346	20.5	6.6%	2.2%	1,669	390	14.2	9.3%	2.1%	645	521	63.0%	67.0%	2.7	3.0
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	2,992	510	10.4	19.2%	3.0%	3,882	727	11.4	21.7%	3.7%	890	888	29.7%	32.9%	2.5	4.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	1,740	412	14.4	11.2%	2.5%	2,199	462	12.8	12.3%	2.4%	459	619	26.4%	40.0%	1.1	3.5
Other services, except public administration	1,476	393	16.2	9.5%	2.4%	1,221	369	18.4	6.8%	2.0%	-255	539	-17.3%	33.3%	-2.7	3.1
Public administration	572	221	23.5	3.7%	1.4%	558	168	18.3	3.1%	0.9%	-14	278	-2.4%	47.8%	-0.6	1.7

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$23,956	$9,538	24.2			$34,756	$6,360	11.1			$10,800	$11,464	45.1%	63.6%		
Manufacturing	$23,545	$10,370	26.8			$44,667	$11,884	16.2			$21,122	$15,772	89.7%	97.6%		
Wholesale trade	$23,680	$8,008	20.6			$39,451	$28,740	44.3			$15,771	$29,835	66.6%	133.8%		
Retail trade	$18,397	$3,310	10.9			$26,744	$6,344	14.4			$8,347	$7,155	45.4%	43.3%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$30,666	$9,573	19.0			$43,388	$5,430	7.6			$12,722	$11,006	41.5%	47.6%		
Information	$45,882	$37,826	50.1			$89,284	$22,424	15.3			$43,402	$43,973	94.6%	167.7%		
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$50,836	$23,755	28.4			$40,570	$12,326	18.5			-$10,266	$26,762	-20.2%	44.5%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$28,034	$13,556	29.4			$32,388	$15,271	28.7			$4,354	$20,420	15.5%	78.0%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$36,882	$5,302	8.7			$39,017	$6,399	10.0			$2,135	$8,310	5.8%	23.1%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$21,155	$7,118	20.5			$25,061	$3,440	8.3			$3,906	$7,906	18.5%	43.1%		
Other services, except public administration	$14,790	$3,736	15.4			$19,548	$11,358	35.3			$4,758	$11,957	32.2%	83.7%		
Public administration	$57,842	$20,029	21.1			$63,862	$21,277	20.3			$6,020	$29,221	10.4%	53.1%		

Table 2.06.W: Industry - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Civilian employed White non-Hispanic population 16 to 64 years	1,193	373	19.0	100.0%		1,507	352	14.2	100.0%		314	513	26.3%	49.3%		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0					0					0		0.0%			
Construction	88	65	45.0	7.4%	4.9%	69	54	47.4	4.6%	3.4%	-19	85	-21.6%	84.4%	-2.8	6.0
Manufacturing	44	36	49.5	3.7%	2.8%	4	8	123.4	0.3%	0.5%	-40	37	-90.9%	19.6%	-3.4	2.8
Wholesale trade	50	63	76.7	4.2%	5.1%	42	39	56.8	2.8%	2.5%	-8	74	-16.0%	131.5%	-1.4	5.7
Retail trade	199	115	35.2	16.7%	8.1%	192	105	33.4	12.7%	6.3%	-7	156	-3.5%	76.8%	-4.0	10.3
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	108	95	53.7	9.1%	7.5%	125	83	40.2	8.3%	5.1%	17	126	15.7%	127.6%	-0.8	9.1
Information	0					0					0		0.0%			
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	47	39	50.2	3.9%	3.0%	153	114	45.2	10.2%	7.2%	106	120	225.5%	363.0%	6.3	7.8
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	193	137	43.0	16.2%	10.3%	154	95	37.6	10.2%	5.8%	-39	167	-20.2%	75.0%	-6.0	11.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	215	127	35.8	18.0%	9.0%	470	167	21.6	31.2%	8.4%	255	210	118.6%	150.7%	13.2	12.3
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	99	85	52.4	8.3%	6.7%	277	258	56.5	18.4%	16.5%	178	272	179.8%	354.4%	10.1	17.8
Other services, except public administration	104	86	50.2	8.7%	6.7%	12	19	98.3	0.8%	1.3%	-92	88	-88.5%	20.6%	-7.9	6.8
Public administration	46	54	71.4	3.9%	4.4%	9	14	97.9	0.6%	1.0%	-37	56	-80.4%	38.1%	-3.3	4.5

Median Wages by Industry

Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining																
Construction	$62,963	$33,209	32.1			$56,537	$159,009	171.0			-$6,426	$162,440	-10.2%	256.9%		
Manufacturing	$41,388	$194,151	285.2			$67,498					$26,110	$194,151	63.1%			
Wholesale trade	$33,083	$91,106	167.4			$44,998					$11,915	$91,106	36.0%			
Retail trade	$38,946	$46,944	73.3			$41,249	$98,686	145.4			$2,303	$109,283	5.9%	283.7%		
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	$54,660	$42,138	46.9			$45,984	$42,402	56.1			-$8,676	$59,779	-15.9%	101.1%		
Information																
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	$157,506	$193,211	74.6			$66,874	$53,138	48.3			-$90,632	$200,385	-57.5%	62.1%		
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	$33,519	$36,907	66.9			$63,749	$75,513	72.0			$30,230	$84,050	90.2%	307.6%		
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	$47,032	$26,149	33.8			$57,299	$8,895	9.4			$10,267	$27,620	21.8%	70.3%		
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation, and food services	$39,910	$160,822	245.0			$42,801	$2,712	3.9			$2,891	$160,845	7.2%	432.2%		
Other services, except public administration	$19,051	$47,683	152.2			$112,498					$93,447	$47,683	490.5%			
Public administration	$39,507	$93,746	144.2			$112,498					$72,991	$93,746	184.8%			

Housing Affordability, Quality, and Security

PUMA 4112

Table 3.01.T: Housing Tenure - Total Population

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	69,299	1,866	1.6	100.0%		69,210	818	0.7	100.0%		74,572	1,295	1.1	100.0%		5,362	1,532	7.7%	2.3%		
Owner-occupied	34,758	1,133	2.0	50.2%	0.9%	33,997	1,125	2.0	49.1%	1.5%	36,760	1,446	2.4	49.3%	1.7%	2,763	1,832	8.1%	5.6%	0.2	2.3
Renter-occupied	34,541	1,133	2.0	49.8%	0.9%	35,213	1,123	1.9	50.9%	1.5%	37,812	1,446	2.3	50.7%	1.7%	2,599	1,831	7.4%	5.3%	-0.2	2.3

Table 3.01.A: Housing Tenure - Asian Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,731	441	9.8	100.0%		5,987	484	4.9	100.0%		9,280	826	5.4	100.0%		3,293	957	55.0%	18.6%		
Owner-occupied	1,473	327	13.5	53.9%	8.2%	2,771	415	9.1	46.3%	5.8%	4,077	524	7.8	43.9%	4.1%	1,306	668	47.1%	29.0%	-2.4	7.1
Renter-occupied	1,258	302	14.6	46.1%	8.2%	3,216	407	7.7	53.7%	5.2%	5,203	745	8.7	56.1%	6.3%	1,987	849	61.8%	30.9%	2.4	8.2

Table 3.01.B: Housing Tenure - Black Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	52,925	962	1.1	100.0%		47,626	1,048	1.3	100.0%		47,651	1,287	1.6	100.0%		25	1,660	0.1%	3.5%		
Owner-occupied	28,309	1,113	2.4	53.5%	1.9%	25,281	1,054	2.5	53.1%	1.9%	24,996	1,090	2.7	52.5%	1.8%	-285	1,516	-1.1%	6.0%	-0.6	2.6
Renter-occupied	24,616	1,084	2.7	46.5%	1.9%	22,345	1,041	2.8	46.9%	1.9%	22,655	1,181	3.2	47.5%	2.1%	310	1,574	1.4%	7.1%	0.6	2.8

Table 3.01.H: Housing Tenure - Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	7,872	659	5.1	100.0%		10,271	695	4.1	100.0%		10,762	891	5.0	100.0%		491	1,130	4.8%	11.2%		
Owner-occupied	2,247	401	10.9	28.5%	4.5%	2,907	420	8.8	28.3%	3.6%	3,600	465	7.9	33.5%	3.3%	693	627	23.8%	24.0%	5.2	4.9
Renter-occupied	5,625	619	6.7	71.5%	5.1%	7,364	662	5.5	71.7%	4.2%	7,162	731	6.2	66.5%	4.0%	-202	986	-2.7%	13.2%	-5.2	5.8

Table 3.01.W: Housing Tenure - White Non-Hispanic

	Census PUMS, 2000					ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,674	348	12.6	100.0%		997	243	14.8	100.0%		1,349	312	14.1	100.0%		352	395	35.3%	45.5%		
Owner-occupied	830	246	18.0	49.6%	10.5%	540	173	19.4	54.2%	11.2%	531	177	20.3	39.4%	9.5%	-9	248	-1.7%	45.5%	-14.8	14.7
Renter-occupied	844	248	17.9	50.4%	10.5%	457	153	20.4	45.8%	10.5%	818	271	20.2	60.6%	14.4%	361	311	79.0%	84.3%	14.8	17.8

Table 3.02.T: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units	33,997	1,125	2.0	36,760	1,446	2.4	2,763	1,832	8.1%	5.6%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$467,215	$10,124	1.3	$499,320	$13,740	1.7	$32,105	$17,067	6.9%	3.7%

Table 3.02.A: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	2,771	415	9.1	4,077	524	7.8	1,306	668	47.1%	29.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$505,732	$36,846	4.4	$615,914	$48,529	4.8	$110,182	$60,932	21.8%	13.1%

Table 3.02.B: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	25,281	1,054	2.5	24,996	1,090	2.7	-285	1,516	-1.1%	6.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$459,827	$11,073	1.5	$486,012	$11,622	1.5	$26,185	$16,053	5.7%	3.6%

Table 3.02.H: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a Hispanic householder	2,907	420	8.8	3,600	465	7.9	693	627	23.8%	24.0%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$498,257	$39,123	4.8	$473,162	$32,072	4.1	-$25,095	$50,589	-5.0%	9.9%

Table 3.02.W: Median Home Value (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Owner-occupied units with a White non-Hispanic householder	540	173	19.4	531	177	20.3	-9	248	-1.7%	45.5%
Median value (2021 dollars)	$481,790	$90,411	11.4	$580,018	$109,528	11.5	$98,228	$142,023	20.4%	32.0%

Table 3.03.T: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	34,487	1,088	1.9	37,003	1,512	2.5	2,516	1,863	7.3%	5.5%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,362	$39	1.8	$1,475	$44	1.8	$113	$59	8.3%	4.5%

Table 3.03.A: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	3,186	409	7.8	5,189	744	8.7	2,003	849	62.9%	31.3%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,454	$95	4.0	$1,728	$87	3.1	$274	$129	18.8%	9.8%

Table 3.03.B: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder	21,795	1,004	2.8	22,060	1,226	3.4	265	1,585	1.2%	7.3%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,332	$57	2.6	$1,366	$55	2.4	$34	$79	2.5%	6.0%

Table 3.03.H: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder	7,299	661	5.5	7,031	725	6.3	-268	981	-3.7%	13.2%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,390	$77	3.4	$1,545	$101	4.0	$155	$127	11.1%	9.5%

Table 3.03.W: Median Gross Rent (2021 dollars) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012			ACS PUMS, 2017-2021			Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021			
	Number			Number			Number		Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder	428	153	21.8	790	275	21.2	362	315	84.6%	92.1%
Median gross rent (2021 dollars)	$1,177	$393	20.3	$1,440	$307	12.9	$263	$499	22.3%	48.5%

Table 3.04.T: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	33,816	1,131	2.0	100.0%		36,199	1,554	2.6	100.0%		2,383	1,922	7.0%	5.8%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	19,642	1,072	3.3	58.1%	2.5%	21,262	1,332	3.8	58.7%	2.7%	1,620	1,710	8.2%	9.0%	0.6	3.7
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	11,539	914	4.8	34.1%	2.5%	11,533	1,089	5.7	31.9%	2.7%	-6	1,422	-0.1%	12.3%	-2.2	3.7

Table 3.04.A: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with an Asian non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	3,052	398	7.9	100.0%		4,983	725	8.8	100.0%		1,931	827	63.3%	31.9%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	2,192	370	10.3	71.8%	7.7%	3,445	708	12.5	69.1%	10.0%	1,253	799	57.2%	41.8%	-2.7	12.6
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	1,439	336	14.2	47.1%	9.1%	1,980	652	20.0	39.7%	11.7%	541	733	37.6%	55.5%	-7.4	14.8

Table 3.04.B: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Black non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	21,460	1,013	2.9	100.0%		21,687	1,217	3.4	100.0%		227	1,583	1.1%	7.4%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	11,299	823	4.4	52.7%	2.9%	12,033	943	4.8	55.5%	3.0%	734	1,252	6.5%	11.4%	2.8	4.2
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	6,632	741	6.8	30.9%	3.1%	6,985	792	6.9	32.2%	3.2%	353	1,085	5.3%	16.8%	1.3	4.5

Table 3.04.H: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	7,097	676	5.8	100.0%		6,884	719	6.4	100.0%		-213	987	-3.0%	13.7%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	4,733	528	6.8	66.7%	3.9%	4,291	673	9.5	62.3%	7.3%	-442	855	-9.3%	17.4%	-4.4	8.3
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	2,643	433	10.0	37.2%	5.0%	1,731	477	16.8	25.1%	6.4%	-912	644	-34.5%	21.0%	-12.1	8.1

Table 3.04.W: Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income (GRAPI) - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent with a White non-Hispanic householder (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	428	153	21.8	100.0%		754	277	22.4	100.0%		326	316	76.2%	90.3%		
30.0 percent or more (rent-burdened)	187	115	37.3	43.7%	21.7%	497	269	32.9	65.9%	26.2%	310	293	165.8%	217.7%	22.2	34.0
50.0 percent or more (extremely rent-burdened)	154	116	46.0	36.0%	24.0%	251	126	30.4	33.3%	11.3%	97	171	63.0%	147.5%	-2.7	26.5

Table 3.05.T: Rent Stabilized Housing

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Rent stabilized units	9,577	4,047	21.0	13.6%	5.5%

Table 3.06.T: Rental Units Affordable to Households by AMI Band

	2017-2021 ACS PUMS				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Occupied units paying rent	37,003	1,512	2.5	100.0%	
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	3,602	519	8.8	9.7%	1.3%
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	9,049	985	6.6	24.5%	2.5%
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	18,264	1,290	4.3	49.4%	2.8%
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	5,701	653	7.0	15.4%	1.6%
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	149	111	45.3	0.4%	0.3%
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	238	321	81.9	0.6%	0.9%

Table 3.07.T: Housing maintenance deficiencies

	NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey, 2017				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Units with 3 or more maintenance deficiencies	6,127	2,654	22.0	10.2%	4.2%

Table 3.08.T: Overcrowding - Total Population

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units	69,210	818	0.7	100.0%		74,572	1,295	1.1	100.0%		5,362	1,532	7.7%	2.3%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	6,353	697	6.7	9.2%	1.0%	7,732	825	6.5	10.4%	1.1%	1,379	1,080	21.7%	18.6%	1.2	1.5

Table 3.08.A: Overcrowding - Asian Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	5,987	484	4.9	100.0%		9,280	826	5.4	100.0%		3,293	957	55.0%	18.6%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,227	261	12.9	20.5%	4.0%	2,378	503	12.9	25.6%	4.9%	1,151	567	93.8%	58.1%	5.1	6.3

Table 3.08.B: Overcrowding - Black Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Black non-Hispanic householder	47,626	1,048	1.3	100.0%		47,651	1,287	1.6	100.0%		25	1,660	0.1%	3.5%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	2,802	476	10.3	5.9%	1.0%	2,548	420	10.0	5.3%	0.9%	-254	635	-9.1%	21.5%	-0.6	1.3

Table 3.08.H: Overcrowding - Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a Hispanic householder	10,271	695	4.1	100.0%		10,762	891	5.0	100.0%		491	1,130	4.8%	11.2%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	1,847	363	11.9	18.0%	3.3%	1,849	364	12.0	17.2%	3.1%	2	514	0.1%	27.8%	-0.8	4.5

Table 3.08.W: Overcrowding - White Non-Hispanic

	2008-2012 ACS PUMS					2017-2021 ACS PUMS					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Occupied housing units with a White non-Hispanic householder	997	243	14.8	100.0%		1,349	312	14.1	100.0%		352	395	35.3%	45.5%		
Overcrowded units (> 1 person per room)	40	44	67.6	4.0%	4.4%	217	135	37.8	16.1%	9.3%	177	142	442.5%	685.6%	12.1	10.3

Table 3.09.T: Residential evictions

	NYC Department of Investigation, 2019-2023
	Number
Residential evictions executed by a city marshal	2,227

Table 3.10.T: Number of individuals in shelter system by last address

	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2020	NYC Department of Homeless Services, 2022	Change, 2020 - 2022	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total number of individuals in DHS shelter system whose last address was in this area	1,706	1,137	-569	-33.4%

Table 3.11.T: Income-restricted housing

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022; NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2022
	Number
NYC Housing Authority units	2,046
Homes with new or extended affordability requirements	9,300

Table 3.12.T: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Total Population

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Total Population	251,096	100.0%
NYC Housing Authority tenants	3,686	1.5%

Table 3.12.A: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic Population	37,961	100.0%
Asian non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	218	0.6%

Table 3.12.B: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic Population	135,797	100.0%
Black non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	2,435	1.8%

Table 3.12.H: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
Hispanic Population	45,184	100.0%
Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	847	1.9%

Table 3.12.W: Population In NYC Housing Authority Housing - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Housing Authority, 2022	
	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic Population	4,487	100.0%
White non-Hispanic NYC Housing Authority tenants	102	2.3%

Table 3.13.T: Housing Lottery Applications - Total

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.A: Housing Lottery Applications - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.B: Housing Lottery Applications - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.H: Housing Lottery Applications - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.13.W: Housing Lottery Applications - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total housing lottery applications with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.T: Housing Lottery Leases - Total Population

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.A: Housing Lottery Leases - Asian Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.B: Housing Lottery Leases - Black Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Black non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.H: Housing Lottery Leases - Hispanic

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Table 3.14.W: Housing Lottery Leases - White Non-

	NYC Housing	
	Number	Percent
Total signed leases with a White non-Hispanic householder	Data are not available by Community District	

Housing Production
PUMA 4112

Table 4.01.T: Change in Housing Units 2010 - 2022

	NYC Department of City Planning Housing Database, 2022 Q2	
	Number	Percent
Net change	5,804	7.7%
New building	5,384	7.2%
Alterations that increase units	684	0.9%
Alterations that decrease units	-23	0.0%
Demolitions	-241	-0.3%

Table 4.02.T: Homes with New or Extended Affordability Requirements by AMI Band

	NYC Housing Preservation and Development, 2014-2023	
	New Construction	Preservation
	Number	Number
Extremely low-income (0-30% AMI)	264	346
Very low-income (31-50% AMI)	310	5,368
Low-income (51-80% AMI)	863	670
Moderate-income (81-120% AMI)	500	11
Middle-income (121-165% AMI)	709	0
High-income (166% or higher AMI)	12	3

Table 4.03.T: Areas Within A Historic District

	Historic Districts - Open Data	
	Number	Percent
Total square miles within the PUMA	9.3	100.0%
Square miles of historic districts	0.1	1.3%

Quality of Life and Access to Opportunity
PUMA 4112

Table 5.01.T: Health Outcomes - Self-reported Health Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Good, Very Good, or Excellent health	78.9%	-7.4%	5.9%

Table 5.02.T: Health Outcomes - Diabetes Prevalence Among Survey Respondents

	NYC Community Health Survey, 2019-2020		
	Percent		
	Estimate	MOE (-)	MOE (+)
Diagnosed with diabetes	15.7%	-5.2%	7.2%

Table 5.03.T: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 65 years	268.4	191.2	208.7	18.0	9.2%

Table 5.03.A: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	155.6	143.7	159.1	15.0	10.7%

Table 5.03.B: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	290.8	209.3	211.2	2.0	0.9%

Table 5.03.H: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 65 years	150.5	114.7	184.9	70.0	61.2%

Table 5.03.W: Health Outcomes - Premature Mortality (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 65 years	532.3	467.8	410.0	-58.0	-12.4%

Table 5.04.T: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Total Population

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Deaths before 1 year	8.3	7.9	5.8	-2.0	-26.3%

Table 5.04.A: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	6.5	0.0	-6.0	-100.0%

Table 5.04.B: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	10.2	10.4	7.8	-3.0	-25.0%

Table 5.04.H: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.0	0.0%

Table 5.04.W: Health Outcomes - Infant Mortality (Rate Per 1,000 Live Births) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Vital Statistics, 2000-2004	NYC Vital Statistics, 2010-2014	NYC Vital Statistics, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic deaths before 1 year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.T: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Total Population

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	6.5	16.3	10.0	151.4%

Table 5.05.A: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Asian non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.B: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Black non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	6.6	15.2	9.0	130.3%

Table 5.05.H: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	19.5	20.0	0.0%

Table 5.05.W: Health Outcomes - Overdose Deaths (Rate Per 100,000 Residents) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC EpiQuery, 2000-2004	NYC EpiQuery, 2010-2014	NYC EpiQuery, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percent
White non-Hispanic drug-related overdose deaths	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%

Table 5.06.T: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Total Population

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	613

Table 5.06.A: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Asian non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	332

Table 5.06.B: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Black non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	620

Table 5.06.H: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	584

Table 5.06.W: Health Outcomes - COVID-19 Deaths - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2022
	Number
White non-Hispanic COVID-19 deaths between 2020 and February 2022	1,716

Table 5.07.T: Health Outcomes - Heat Vulnerability Index

	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2021
	Score 1-5
Heat Vulnerability Index (1=Low)	5

Table 5.08.T: Access to Jobs

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021
	Number
Total jobs within 30 minutes	42,501

Table 5.09.T: Access to Transit

	NYC Department of City Planning, 2021 Percent Estimate
Residents living within 1/4 mile of Select Bus Station or subway station	12.0%
Residents living within 1/4 mile of ADA accessible subway stations	4.4%

Table 5.10.T: Commute - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Total workers 16 years and over	97,782	2,087	1.3	100.0%		114,225	2,817	1.5	100.0%		16,443	3,506	16.8%	3.8%		
Drove or carpooled to work	40,975	1,812	2.7	41.9%	1.6%	51,481	2,268	2.7	45.1%	1.6%	10,506	2,903	25.6%	7.8%	3.2	2.3

Table 5.10.A: Commute - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Asian non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	9,901	866	5.3	100.0%		16,419	1,525	5.6	100.0%		6,518	1,754	65.8%	21.2%		
Drove or carpooled to work	3,195	548	10.4	32.3%	4.8%	6,062	833	8.4	36.9%	3.7%	2,867	997	89.7%	41.7%	4.6	6.1

Table 5.10.B: Commute - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Black non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	65,026	2,075	1.9	100.0%		68,233	2,345	2.1	100.0%		3,207	3,131	4.9%	4.9%		
Drove or carpooled to work	30,528	1,658	3.3	46.9%	2.1%	32,919	1,975	3.6	48.2%	2.4%	2,391	2,579	7.8%	8.7%	1.3	3.2

Table 5.10.H: Commute - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
Hispanic workers 16 years and over	15,607	1,093	4.3	100.0%		18,387	1,452	4.8	100.0%		2,780	1,817	17.8%	12.4%		
Drove or carpooled to work	4,268	741	10.6	27.3%	4.3%	7,336	858	7.1	39.9%	3.4%	3,068	1,134	71.9%	36.0%	12.6	5.5

Table 5.10.W: Commute - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2008-2012					ACS PUMS, 2017-2021					Change, 2008-2012 to 2017-2021					
	Number			Percent		Number			Percent		Number		Percent		Pctg. Pt.	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE	Estimate	MOE
White non-Hispanic workers 16 years and over	1,267	374	17.9	100.0%		1,524	350	14.0	100.0%		257	512	20.3%	45.0%		
Drove or carpooled to work	631	189	18.2	49.8%	2.7%	578	193	20.2	37.9%	9.1%	-53	270	-8.4%	41.1%	-11.9	9.5

Table 5.11.T: Education Access - Access To Broadband Internet At Home - Total Population

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households	74,572	1,295	1.1	100.0%	
With a computer	58,204	1,497	1.6	78.1%	1.5%
With a broadband internet subscription	45,014	1,528	2.1	60.4%	1.8%

Table 5.11.A: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Asian Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with an Asian non-Hispanic householder	9,280	826	5.4	100.0%	
With a computer	7,730	705	5.5	83.3%	1.7%
With a broadband internet subscription	6,052	554	5.6	65.2%	1.4%

Table 5.11.B: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Black Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Black non-Hispanic householder	47,651	1,287	1.6	100.0%	
With a computer	36,633	1,493	2.5	76.9%	2.3%
With a broadband internet subscription	27,414	1,297	2.9	57.5%	2.2%

Table 5.11.H: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a Hispanic householder	10,762	891	5.0	100.0%	
With a computer	8,128	806	6.0	75.5%	4.1%
With a broadband internet subscription	7,166	782	6.6	66.6%	4.7%

Table 5.11.W: Education Access - Access to Broadband Internet At Home - White Non-Hispanic

	ACS PUMS, 2017-2021				
	Number			Percent	
	Estimate	MOE	CV	Estimate	MOE
Total households with a White non-Hispanic householder	1,349	312	14.1	100.0%	
With a computer	1,120	302	16.4	83.0%	11.5%
With a broadband internet subscription	873	316	22.0	64.7%	18.0%

Table 5.12.T: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	40.8%
Math proficient	34.5%

Table 5.12.A: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	58.7%
Math proficient	57.8%

Table 5.12.B: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	38.6%
Math proficient	29.6%

Table 5.12.H: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	33.0%
Math proficient	29.1%

Table 5.12.W: Educational Outcomes - Subject Test Proficiency (Tested Student Population, 3rd-8th Grade) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
English Language Arts proficient	35.3%
Math proficient	32.2%

Table 5.13.T: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Total Population

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	73.2%

Table 5.13.A: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Asian Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	84.5%

Table 5.13.B: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Black Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	72.7%

Table 5.13.H: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	65.4%

Table 5.13.W: Educational Outcomes - High School Graduation Rate (Students Entering 9th Grade In 2014) - White Non-Hispanic

	NYC Department of Education, 2018
	Percent
Students graduating high school by 2018 (local or Regents diploma)	80.4%

Table 5.14.T: Open Space - Park Access

	Department of Parks and Recreation, 2021	
	Number Estimate	Percent Estimate
Total Population	251,096	100.0%
Residents within walking distance of a park	190,025	75.7%

Table 5.15.T: Public Safety - Traffic Injuries (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014		NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020		Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Pctg. Pt.
Total injuries	661.8	100%	736.1	100%	74.0	11.2%	
Pedestrian injuries	125.5	19%	118.7	16%	-7.0	-6%	-2.8
Cyclist injuries	26.2	4%	26.6	4%	0.0	0%	-0.3
Motorist injuries	510.0	77%	590.8	80%	81.0	16%	3.2

Table 5.16.T: Public Safety - Traffic Fatalities (Rate Per 100 Street Miles)

	NYC Vision Zero View, 2010-2014	NYC Vision Zero View, 2016-2020	Change, 2010-2014 to 2016-2020	
	Number	Number	Number	Percent
Total fatalities	2.4	2.2	-0.2	-7.6%

Table 5.17.T: Public Safety - Pedestrian Injury Hospitalization (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total pedestrian hospitalizations	19.9

Table 5.18.T: Public Safety - Non Fatal Assault Hospitalizations (Rate Per 100,000 Residents)

	NYC Department of Heath and Mental Hygiene, 2019
	Number
Total non-fatal assault hospitalizations	59.8