Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Silvercup West



February 2006

Project Sponsor

Terra Cotta LLC

Lead Agency

City Planning Commission

City of New York

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Silvercup West

February 17, 2006

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2006
OFFICE OF
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION

CEQR No. 05DCP080Q

ULURP Nos. 060323 ZMQ, 060324 ZRQ, 060325 ZSQ, 060326 ZSQ, 060327 ZSQ, N060328 ZAQ, N060330ZCQ

Action Location: Long Island City, Queens

LEAD AGENCY:
City Planning Commission
City of New York
Amanda M. Burden, A.I.C.P. Chair

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT:
Robert Dobruskin, Director
Environmental Assessment and Review Division
New York City Department of City Planning
22 Reade Steeet, Room 4E
New York, New York 10007
(212) 720-3423

PREPARED BY: Parsons Brinckerhoff

A public hearing on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) will be held at a later date to be announced. Advance notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. Written comments on the DEIS are requested and will be received and considered by the Lead Agency until the 10th calendar day following the close of the public hearing.

DEIS
Table of Contents

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1-1
A. INTRODUCTION 1-1
B. PROJECT IDENTIFICATION 1-1
1. Overview 1-1
2. Preferred Development Program and Potential Variations 1-4
3. Restrictive Declaration 1-7
4. Special Permits 1-8
C. BACKGROUND 1-11
D. PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED 1-12
E. PROPOSED BUILDING PROGRAM AND DESIGN 1-16
1. North Complex 1-26
2. Core Complex 1-26
3. South Complex 1-27
4. Accessory On-site Parking and Loading Berths 1-28
5. Open Space 1-28
6. Vehicular Access 1-33
7. Shoreline Restoration 1-33
F. REQUIRED ACTIONS AND APPROVALS 1-34
G. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS AND RELATED LAND USE REVIEWS 1-37
1. Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP) 1-37
2. Waterfront Revitalization 1-40
3. SEQRA/CEQR Compliance 1-40

2.0 LAND USE, ZONING, AND PUBLIC POLICY 2-1
A. INTRODUCTION 2-1
B. BACKGROUND 2-3
C. EXISTING CONDITIONS 2-4
1. Land Use 2-4
2. Zoning 2-7
3. Public Policy 2-10
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 2-12
1. Land Use 2-12
2. Zoning 2-14
3. Public Policy 2-14
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 2-15
1. Land Use 2-15
2. Zoning 2-17
3. Public Policy 2-20
4. Variations 2-21

3.0 SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS 3-1
A. INTRODUCTION 3-1
B. DEFINITIONS AND METHODOLOGY 3-2
1. Definitions 3-2
2. Initial Screening Criteria 3-5

C. INITIAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT 3-6
1. *Potential Direct Residential Displacement* 3-6
2. *Potential Direct Business and Institutional Displacement* 3-6
3. *Potential Adverse Effects on Specific Industries* 3-6
D. DETAILED ASSESSMENT 3-8
1. *Potential Indirect Residential Displacement* 3-8
2. *Potential Indirect Business or Institutional Displacement* 3-16
E. VARIATIONS 3-34
1. *Potential Direct Residential and Business and Institutional Displacement* 3-34
2. *Potential Indirect Residential Displacement* 3-34
3. *Potential Indirect Business or Institutional Displacement* 3-35
4. *Potential Adverse Effects on Specific Industries* 3-35

4.0 NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 4-1
A. INTRODUCTION 4-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 4-3
1. *Southern Portion of the Study Area* 4-3
2. *Northern Portion of the Study Area* 4-4
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 4-7
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 4-8
E. VARIATIONS 4-10

5.0 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES 5-1
A. INTRODUCTION 5-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 5-2
1. *Police Department* 5-2
2. *Fire Department* 5-2
3. *Public Schools* 5-4
4. *Libraries* 5-7
5. *Health Care Facilities* 5-10
6. *Day Care Centers* 5-10
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 5-10
1. *Public Schools* 5-10
2. *Libraries* 5-14
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 5-15
1. *Public Schools* 5-15
2. *Libraries* 5-16
E. VARIATIONS 5-17
1. *Public Schools* 5-17
2. *Libraries* 5-19

6.0 OPEN SPACE 6-1
A. INTRODUCTION 6-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 6-2
1. *Population* 6-2
2. *Open Space Resources* 6-4
3. *Existing Demand on Open Space Resources* 6-4
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 6-6
1. *Future Residential and Employment Populations in the Study Area* 6-6
2. *Open Space Resources in the Residential and Employment Study Areas* 6-6
3. *Future Demand on Open Space Resources* 6-6
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 6-7
1. *Residents and Employees Introduced by the Proposed Action* 6-7
2. *New Open Space Created by the Proposed Action* 6-8

3. *Demand on Open Space Resources* 6-12
4. *Potential Effects Resulting from the Proposed Action* 6-14

E. VARIATIONS 6-15
1. *Residential Study Area* 6-15
2. *Employment Study Area* 6-15

7.0 SHADOWS 7-1

A. INTRODUCTION 7-1
B. IDENTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE RECEPTORS 7-2
1. *Screening Analysis of Sensitive Resources* 7-2
2. *Public Open Spaces* 7-2
3. *Historic Resources* 7-3
4. *Natural Resources* 7-3

C. SHADOW EFFECTS 7-3
D. EXISTING CONDITIONS 7-4
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 7-4
F. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 7-4
G. CONCLUSIONS 7-13
H. VARIATIONS 7-13

8.0 HISTORIC RESOURCES 8-1

A. INTRODUCTION 8-1
B. METHODOLOGY 8-3
C. EXISTING CONDITIONS 8-3
1. *Archaeological Resources* 8-3
2. *Architectural Resources* 8-4

D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-8
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-9
1. *Impact Assessment* 8-9
2. *Construction Protection* 8-15

F. VARIATIONS 8-15

9.0 TRAFFIC AND PARKING 9-1

A. INTRODUCTION 9-1
B. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (YEAR 2003) 9-2
1. *Roadway Network and Traffic Study Area* 9-2
2. *Existing Traffic Volumes* 9-4
3. *Existing Traffic LOS* 9-5
4. *Parking* 9-12

C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION (NO BUILD CONDITION – YEAR 2009) 9-16
1. *Trip Generation and Assignments* 9-16
2. *LOS (2009 No Build Condition)* 9-21
3. *Parking* 9-29

D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (BUILD CONDITION – YEAR 2009) 9-31
1. *Trip Generation and Traffic Assignments* 9-31
2. *LOS (2009 Build Condition)* 9-38
3. *Parking* 9-48

E. TRAFFIC MITIGATION (YEAR 2009) 9-51
1. *Vernon Boulevard* 9-51
2. *11TH Street* 9-56
3. *21ST Street* 9-58
4. *Jackson Avenue/Northern Boulevard* 9-58

5. *Queens Boulevard/Queens Plaza North And South* 9-58
6. *Van Dam Street* 9-59
F. ASSESSMENT OF VARIATIONS 9-59
1. *Variation 1 (More Residential Space)* 9-59
2. *Variation 2 (More Studio Space)* 9-60
3. *Variation 3 (More Residential Space and More Studio Space)* 9-62
4. *Comparison of Variations with the Preferred Development Program* 9-64

10.0 TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS 10-1
A. INTRODUCTION 10-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-1
1. *Subways* 10-1
2. *Buses* 10-11
3. *Pedestrians* 10-14
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION (NO BUILD CONDITION—YEAR 2009) 10-17
1. *Subways* 10-17
2. *Buses* 10-20
3. *Pedestrians* 10-21
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (BUILD CONDITION—YEAR 2009) 10-22
1. *Subways* 10-22
2. *Buses* 10-26
3. *Pedestrians* 10-27
4. *Pedestrian Safety* 10-28
E. TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIAN MITIGATION (2009) 10-29
1. *Subways* 10-29
2. *Buses* 10-29
3. *Pedestrians* 10-29
F. ASSESSMENT OF VARIATIONS 10-30
1. *Variation 1 (More Residential Space)* 10-30
2. *Variation 2 (More Studio Space)* 10-30
3. *Variation 3 (More Residential Space and More Studio Space)* 10-31

11.0 AIR QUALITY 11-1
A. INTRODUCTION 11-1
B. PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS 11-1
1. *Mobile Source Analysis* 11-1
2. *Stationary Source Analysis* 11-2
3. *Analysis of Variations* 11-2
C. POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN 11-3
1. *Carbon Monoxide* 11-3
2. *Hydrocarbons, Nitrogen Oxides, and Photochemical Oxidants* 11-3
3. *Particulate Matter* 11-3
4. *Sulfur Oxides* 11-4
5. *Lead* 11-4
6. *Air Toxics* 11-4
D. AIR QUALITY STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES 11-5
1. *Standards* 11-5
2. *Impact Criteria* 11-5
E. EXISTING CONDITIONS 11-6
1. *Monitored Data* 11-6
2. *Regulatory Setting* 11-7
3. *Background Values* 11-7
4. *Mobile Source Analysis* 11-8

F. Future Conditions Without the Proposed Action 11-12
G. Future Conditions With the Proposed Action 11-13
1. Mobile Source Results 11-13
2. Analysis of Project-Generated Heating System Emissions 11-14
3. Impacts of Air Toxics 11-16
4. Impacts of Ravenswood Power Plant Emissions 11-18
5. Impacts of the Proposed Parking Garage 11-21
6. Impacts of Queensboro Bridge Emissions 11-21
7. Cumulative Impact Analysis 11-21
H. Variations 11-22

12.0 NOISE 12-1
A. Introduction 12-1
B. Noise Assessment 12-2
1. Noise Descriptors 12-2
2. Analysis Approach 12-2
3. Applicable Noise Codes and Impact Criteria 12-4
4. Existing Conditions 12-7
5. Future Conditions without the Proposed Action 12-11
6. Future Conditions with the Proposed Action 12-12
C. Conclusions 12-17
D. Variations 12-17

13.0 INFRASTRUCTURE 13-1
A. Introduction 13-1
B. Existing Conditions 13-1
1. Water Supply 13-1
2. Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater 13-2
C. Future Conditions Without the Proposed Action 13-3
D. Future Conditions With the Proposed Action 13-3
1. Water Supply 13-3
2. Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater 13-4
E. Variations 13-5
1. Water Supply 13-5
2. Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater 13-5

14.0 SOLID WASTE AND SANITATION SERVICES 14-1
A. Introduction 14-1
B. Existing Conditions 14-1
C. Future Conditions Without the Proposed Action 14-1
D. Future Conditions With the Proposed Action 14-2
E. Variations 14-2

15.0 ENERGY 15-1
A. Introduction 15-1
B. Existing Conditions 15-1
C. Future Conditions Without the Proposed Action 15-1
D. Future Conditions With the Proposed Action 15-1
E. Variations 15-2

16.0 NATURAL RESOURCES 16-1
A. Introduction 16-1
B. Existing Conditions 16-2
1. Terrestrial Ecology 16-4
2. Water Resources 16-4

3. *Wildlife* 16-7
4. *Floodplain* 16-10
5. *Coastal Resources* 16-10
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 16-10
1. *Terrestrial Ecology* 16-12
2. *Water Resources* 16-12
3. *Wildlife* 16-12
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 16-12
1. *Terrestrial Ecology* 16-13
2. *Water Resources* 16-13
3. *Wildlife* 16-14
4. *Floodplain* 16-14
E. VARIATIONS 16-14

17.0 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 17-1
A. INTRODUCTION 17-1
B. LAND USE HISTORY OF THE PROJECT SITE AND ITS ENVIRONS 17-2
1. *Northern Portion of the Project Site* 17-2
2. *Southern Portion of the Project Site* 17-4
3. *Project Site Environs* 17-4
C. GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY 17-4
D. EXISTING CONDITIONS 17-5
1. *Northern Portion* 17-5
2. *Southern Portion* 17-11
E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 17-16
F. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 17-17
G. VARIATIONS 17-19

18.0 URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES 18-1
A. INTRODUCTION 18-1
B. EXISTING CONDITIONS 18-1
1. *Urban Design* 18-1
2. *Visual Resources* 18-4
C. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION 18-5
D. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 18-18
1. *Urban Design* 18-18
2. *Visual Resources* 18-23
E. VARIATIONS 18-27

19.0 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS 19-1
A. INTRODUCTION 19-1
B. CONSTRUCTION STAGES AND SCHEDULE 19-1
C. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES 19-3
1. *Site Preparation – Land Clearing, Excavation and Construction of Foundation and Below-Grade Elements* 19-4
2. *Building Core and Shell* 19-5
3. *Interior Construction and Finishing* 19-6
4. *Bulkhead Reconstruction* 19-6
D. POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION-RELATED IMPACTS 19-6
1. *Land Use and Neighborhood Character* 19-6
2. *Socioeconomic Conditions and Benefits* 19-7
3. *Community Facilities* 19-7
4. *Open Space* 19-7
5. *Historic Resources* 19-7

6. *Visual Resources* 19-8
7. *Traffic and Transit* 19-8
8. *Air Quality* 19-9
9. *Noise and Vibration* 19-11
10. *Natural Resources* 19-13
11. *Infrastructure* 19-14
12. *Hazardous Materials* 19-14

E. VARIATIONS 19-15

20.0 WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM 20-1

A. INTRODUCTION 20-1
B. CONSISTENCY REVIEW 20-2
C. THE POLICIES 20-2
D. VARIATIONS 20-12

21.0 PUBLIC HEALTH 21-1

A. INTRODUCTION 21-1
B. POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO PUBLIC HEALTH 21-1

22.0 GENERIC ANALYSIS OF IMPACTS FROM SALT PILE RELOCATION 22-1

A. INTRODUCTION 22-1
B. ASSESSMENT 22-3
1. *Land Use, Zoning & Public Policy* 22-3
2. *Socioeconomic Conditions* 22-3
3. *Neighborhood Character* 22-3
4. *Community Facilities and Services* 22-4
5. *Open Space* 22-4
6. *Historic Resources* 22-4
7. *Traffic & Parking* 22-4
8. *Transit & Pedestrians* 22-5
9. *Air Quality* 22-5
10. *Noise* 22-5
11. *Infrastructure & Energy* 22-5
12. *Natural Resources* 22-5
13. *Hazardous Materials* 22-5
14. *Urban Design & Visual Quality* 22-6
15. *Waterfront Revitalization* 22-6

23.0 ALTERNATIVES 23-1

A. NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 23-1
1. *Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy* 23-1
2. *Socioeconomic Conditions* 23-1
3. *Neighborhood Character* 23-2
4. *Community Facilities and Services* 23-2
5. *Open Space* 23-2
6. *Shadows* 23-2
7. *Historic and Archaeological Resources* 23-2
8. *Urban Design and Visual Resources* 23-2
9. *Traffic and Parking* 23-3
10. *Transit and Pedestrians* 23-3
11. *Air Quality* 23-3
12. *Noise* 23-3
13. *Infrastructure, Solid Waste and Energy* 23-4
14. *Natural Resources* 23-4
15. *Hazardous Materials* 23-4

16. Construction Impacts 23-4
17. Waterfront Revitalization Program 23-4
18. Public Health 23-4
19. Generic Analysis of Impacts from Salt Pile Relocation 23-5
B. REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE 23-5
1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy 23-5
2. Socioeconomic Conditions 23-5
3. Neighborhood Character 23-6
4. Community Facilities and Services 23-6
5. Open Space 23-6
6. Shadows 23-6
7. Historic Resources 23-6
8. Urban Design and Visual Resources 23-7
9. Traffic and Parking 23-7
10. Transit and Pedestrians 23-9
11. Air Quality 23-9
12. Noise 23-10
13. Infrastructure, Solid Waste, and Energy 23-10
14. Natural Resources 23-10
15. Hazardous Materials 23-10
16. Construction Impacts 23-11
17. Waterfront Revitalization Program 23-11
18. Public Health 23-11
19. Generic Analysis of Impacts from Salt Pile Relocation 23-11
C. RECONFIGURATION OF BULK ALTERNATIVES 23-11

24.0 UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS 24-1

25.0 GROWTH-INDUCING ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 25-1

26.0 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES 26-1

27.0 MITIGATION 27-1
A. INTRODUCTION 27-1
B. TRAFFIC 27-1
1. Vernon Boulevard 27-6
2. 11TH Street 27-8
3. 21ST Street 27-8
4. Jackson Avenue/Northern Boulevard 27-8
5. Queens Boulevard/Queens Plaza North And South 27-8
6. Van Dam Street 27-9
C. TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS 27-9
1. Buses 27-9
2. Pedestrians 27-9
D. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 27-10

List of Tables

TABLE 1-1: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 1-7
TABLE 2-1: ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, 2009 (FUTURE WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION) 2-14
TABLE 2-2: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 2-15
TABLE 2-3: SUMMARY OF BULK REGULATIONS FOR A WATERFRONT BLOCK IN A M1-5/R10 DISTRICT COMPARED TO AN M1-4 DISTRICT 2-19
TABLE 2-4: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM BY SIZE AND FAR 2-19
TABLE 3-1: POPULATION TRENDS 1990-2000 3-10
TABLE 3-2: RACIAL AND ETHNIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA POPULATION 3-11
TABLE 3-3: AGE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA POPULATION 3-11
TABLE 3-4: HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-12
TABLE 3-5: HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-14
TABLE 3-6: BUSINESS INVENTORY OF BLOCKS IN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT SITE 3-21
TABLE 3-7: EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 3-26
TABLE 3-8: TOTAL FIRMS, EMPLOYEES AND WAGES (ZIP CODE AREA) 3-27
TABLE 3-9: AVAILABLE INDUSTRIAL SPACE IN LONG ISLAND CITY (NOVEMBER 2005) 3-28
TABLE 3-10: RESIDENTS AND DWELLING UNITS INTRODUCED BY THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND VARIATIONS 3-35
TABLE 5-1: EXISTING CONDITIONS: PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION 5-6
TABLE 5-2: EXISTING CONDITIONS: PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL UTILIZATION, CAPACITY, AND ENROLLMENT 5-7
TABLE 5-3: EXISTING CONDITIONS: LIBRARY SERVICES WITHIN ¾-MILE OF THE PROJECT SITE 5-8
TABLE 5-4: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-13
TABLE 5-5: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: LIBRARY SERVICES WITHIN ¾ MILE OF THE PROJECT SITE 5-14
TABLE 5-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009) 5-15
TABLE 5-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-16
TABLE 5-8: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009) 5-17
TABLE 5-9: VARIATION 1 OR 3: ESTIMATED PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, CAPACITY, AND UTILIZATION (2009) 5-18
TABLE 5-10: VARIATION 1 OR 3: ESTIMATE OF LIBRARY CATCHMENT AREA POPULATION (2009) 5-19
TABLE 6-1: EXISTING RESIDENTIAL POPULATION (2000) BY AGE GROUP IN THE RESIDENTIAL STUDY AREA 6-2
TABLE 6-2: INVENTORY OF EXISTING OPEN SPACE RESOURCES IN THE RESIDENTIAL AND EMPLOYMENT STUDY AREAS 6-5
TABLE 6-3: OPEN SPACE 6-8
TABLE 6-4: ANALYSIS OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE RESOURCES IN THE STUDY AREA 6-12
TABLE 6-5: RESIDENTS AND EMPLOYEES INTRODUCED BY THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 6-15

TABLE 7-1:	POTENTIAL EXTENT AND DURATION OF INCREMENTAL AND TOTAL NEW SHADOWS	7-6
TABLE 9-1:	EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	9-5
TABLE 9-2:	EXISTING TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY	9-6
TABLE 9-3:	INVENTORY OF PUBLIC PARKING LOTS AND GARAGES	9-14
TABLE 9-4:	ON-STREET PARKING SUMMARY	9-16
TABLE 9-5:	APPROVED DEVELOPMENTS FOR 2009 NO BUILD CONDITIONS	9-18
TABLE 9-6:	2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR	9-19
TABLE 9-7:	2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-19
TABLE 9-8:	2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR	9-19
TABLE 9-9:	2009 NO BUILD VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION FOR SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-20
TABLE 9-10:	2009 NO BUILD TOTAL VEHICULAR TRIP GENERATION	9-20
TABLE 9-11:	2003 EXISTING VS. 2009 NO BUILD TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY	9-23
TABLE 9-12:	2009 NO BUILD PROJECTED PARKING OCCUPANCIES FOR PUBLIC PARKING LOTS AND GARAGES WITHOUT RE-ALLOCATION OF DEMAND	9-30
TABLE 9-13:	2009 NO BUILD PROJECTED ON-STREET PARKING SUMMARY	9-31
TABLE 9-14:	WEEKDAY TRAVEL DEMAND CHARACTERISTICS	9-32
TABLE 9-15:	WEEKEND TRAVEL DEMAND CHARACTERISTICS	9-33
TABLE 9-16:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR	9-37
TABLE 9-17:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-37
TABLE 9-18:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR	9-37
TABLE 9-19:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-38
TABLE 9-20:	2009 NO BUILD VERSUS BUILD WEEKDAY TRAFFIC LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY	9-39
TABLE 9-21:	2009 NO BUILD VERSUS BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY TRAFFIC LOS SUMMARY	9-45
TABLE 9-22:	GARAGE PARKING ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITION	9-49
TABLE 9-23:	SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT MITIGATION SUMMARY	9-51
TABLE 9-24:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR	9-59
TABLE 9-25:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-60
TABLE 9-26:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR	9-60
TABLE 9-27:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 1: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-60
TABLE 9-28:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR	9-61
TABLE 9-29:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-61
TABLE 9-30:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR	9-61
TABLE 9-31:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 2: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-62
TABLE 9-32:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR	9-62
TABLE 9-33:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-63
TABLE 9-34:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR	9-63
TABLE 9-35:	VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY VARIATION 3: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR	9-63
TABLE 9-36:	COMPARISON OF VARIATIONS WITH THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	9-64
TABLE 10-1	EXISTING SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION	10-2
TABLE 10-2	EXISTING SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION	10-4
TABLE 10-3	LOS CRITERIA FOR STAIRWAYS AND CORRIDORS	10-8
TABLE 10-4	EXISTING LOS AND VOLUMES FOR STAIRWAYS AND CORRIDORS	10-8
TABLE 10-5	ESCALATOR CAPACITY	10-10
TABLE 10-6	LOS FOR TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS	10-10

TABLE 10-7 EXISTING LOS FOR TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-11
TABLE 10-8 EXISTING BUS SERVICE AND RIDERSHIP DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOURS 10-14
TABLE 10-9 LOS CRITERIA FOR WALKWAYS AND SIDEWALKS 10-15
TABLE 10-10 LOS CRITERIA FOR CROSSWALKS AND CORNER RESERVOIR SPACES 10-16
TABLE 10-11 LOS CRITERIA FOR PEDESTRIANS AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-16
TABLE 10-12 EXISTING PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-17
TABLE 10-13: NO BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION 10-18
TABLE 10-14: NO BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION 10-18
TABLE 10-15: NO BUILD CONDITION LOS AND VOLUMES FOR STAIRWAYS, CORRIDORS, TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-19
TABLE 10-16: NO BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP DURING AM AND PM PEAK HOURS 10-21
TABLE 10-17: NO BUILD CONDITION PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-22
TABLE 10-18: BUILD CONDITION PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-22
TABLE 10-19: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY STATION PASSENGER VOLUMES GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION 10-23
TABLE 10-20: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, PEAK DIRECTION 10-23
TABLE 10-21: BUILD CONDITION SUBWAY LINE HAUL ANALYSIS – AM PEAK HOUR, REVERSE PEAK DIRECTION 10-24
TABLE 10-22: BUILD CONDITION LOS FOR STAIRWAYS, CORRIDORS, TURNSTILES AND ESCALATORS 10-24
TABLE 10-23: NO BUILD AND BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP COMPARISON – AM PEAK HOUR 10-26
TABLE 10-24: NO BUILD AND BUILD CONDITION BUS RIDERSHIP COMPARISON – PM PEAK HOUR 10-27
TABLE 10-25: BUILD PEDESTRIAN LOS AND VOLUMES AT ANALYZED UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 10-28
TABLE 10-26: VARIATION 1: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-30
TABLE 10-27: VARIATION 2: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-31
TABLE 10-28: VARIATION 3: PEDESTRIAN AND TRANSIT PASSENGER TRIP PROJECTIONS 10-31
TABLE 11-1: APPLICABLE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS 11-5
TABLE 11-2: REPRESENTATIVE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY DATA (2004) 11-7
TABLE 11-3: BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS 11-7
TABLE 11-4: MICROSCALE INTERSECTION ANALYSIS SITES 11-8
TABLE 11-5: 2003 EXISTING CONDITIONS – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-11
TABLE 11-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-12
TABLE 11-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM 8-HOUR CO LEVELS 11-13
TABLE 11-8: FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION (2009) – MAXIMUM $PM_{2.5}$ INCREMENTAL IMPACTS 11-14
TABLE 11-9: BUILDING-ON-BUILDING IMPACTS 11-17
TABLE 11-10: AIR QUALITY IMPACTS OF THE RAVENSWOOD POWER PLANT STACK EMISSIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT'S SENSITIVE RECEPTORS 11-20
TABLE 12-1: NOISE EXPOSURE GUIDELINES FOR USE IN CITY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW[1] 12-6
TABLE 12-2: CEQR EXTERIOR NOISE STANDARDS AND ATTENUATION VALUES 12-6
TABLE 12-3: EXISTING 24-HOUR L_{eq} NOISE LEVELS AT SITE C (QUEENSBRIDGE PARK) 12-10
TABLE 12-4: COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND TNM 2.5 LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E 12-11

TABLE 12-5: EXISTING AM, MIDDAY AND PM NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E 12-11
TABLE 12-6: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: HOURLY EQUIVALENT (L_{EQ}) AND L_{10} NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E DURING THE AM, MIDDAY AND PM PEAK TRAFFIC PERIODS (DBA) 12-12
TABLE 12-7: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION: CEQR NOISE EXPOSURE CLASSIFICATIONS OF PROJECTED NOISE LEVELS AT SITES A THROUGH E 12-12
TABLE 12-8: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION: HOURLY EQUIVALENT NOISE LEVELS (L_{EQ}) AND L_{10} AT SITES A THROUGH I DURING THE AM, MIDDAY, AND PM PEAK TRAFFIC PERIODS 12-13
TABLE 12-9: FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION COMPARED TO 2009 FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION L_{EQ}: CHANGE IN NOISE LEVELS 12-14
TABLE 12-10: BUILDING ATTENUATION RECOMMENDATION BASED ON PROJECTED NOISE EXPOSURE IN 2009 12-15
TABLE 13-1: DAILY FLOW VOLUME 13-2
TABLE 13-2: WATER CONSUMPTION WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION 13-4
TABLE 14-1: SOLID WASTE GENERATION 14-2
TABLE 15-1: ENERGY DEMAND 15-2
TABLE 16-1: EAST RIVER WATER QUALITY 16-5
TABLE 16-2: TYPICAL FISH SPECIES FOUND IN THE EAST RIVER 16-8
TABLE 19-1: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND PROJECTED DURATIONS 19-1
TABLE 19-2: TYPICAL ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS 19-12
TABLE 19-3: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION 19-13
TABLE 23-1: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR 23-7
TABLE 23-2: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 23-7
TABLE 23-3: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR 23-8
TABLE 23-4: VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE: SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 23-8
TABLE 23-5: COMPARISON OF THE REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE WITH THE PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM 23-8
TABLE 23-6: PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND POTENTIAL VARIATIONS 23-16
TABLE 27-1: SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT MITIGATION SUMMARY 27-1

List of Figures

FIGURE 1-1: PROJECT LOCATION 1-2
FIGURE 1-2: REZONING AREA AND PROJECT SITE 1-3
FIGURE 1-3: VIEWS OF SITE 1-5
FIGURE 1-4: MAXIMUM BUILDING ENVELOPE 1-9
FIGURE 1-5: AXONOMETRIC (3 DIMENSIONAL) SITE PLAN 1-10
FIGURE 1-6: INITIATIVES IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT SITE 1-15
FIGURE 1-7A: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – WEST ELEVATION 1-17
FIGURE 1-7B: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – NORTH ELEVATION 1-18
FIGURE 1-7C: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SOUTH ELEVATION 1-19
FIGURE 1-7D: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – EAST ELEVATION 1-20
RENDERING 1-7E: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – WEST VIEW 1-21
RENDERING 1-7F: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SOUTHWEST VIEW 1-22
RENDERING 1-7G: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – PANORAMIC AND NORTHWEST VIEWS 1-23
FIGURE 1-8: PROPOSED SILVERCUP WEST – SITE PLAN 1-24
FIGURE 1-9: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN 1-30
FIGURE 1-10: EXISTING ZONING 1-38
FIGURE 1-11: PROPOSED ZONING 1-39
FIGURE 2-1: LAND USE STUDY AREAS 2-2
FIGURE 2-2: EXISTING LAND USE 2-5
FIGURE 2-3: EXISTING ZONING 2-8
FIGURE 2-4: ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, 2009 (FUTURE WITHOUT THE PROPOSED ACTION) 2-13
FIGURE 2-5: PROPOSED ZONING 2-18
FIGURE 3-1: SOCIOECONOMIC STUDY AREA (INDIRECT RESIDENTIAL DISPLACEMENT) 3-3
FIGURE 3-2: ZIP CODE 11101 AREA (INDIRECT BUSINESS AND INSTITUTIONAL DISPLACEMENT) 3-4
FIGURE 3-3: BUSINESS INVENTORY AREA 3-19
FIGURE 3-4: INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS ZONE AND OMBUDSMAN AREA BOUNDARIES 3-20
PHOTO 3-1: INDUSTRIAL AREA ALONG VERNON BOULEVARD IMMEDIATELY SOUTH OF THE PROJECT SITE 3-23
PHOTO 3-2: TYPICAL INDUSTRIAL AREA NEAR PROJECT SITE 3-23
PHOTO 3: QUEENS PLAZA SOUTH NEAR THE PROJECT SITE 3-24
PHOTO 3-4: INDUSTRIAL BUILDING AT SILVERCUP STUDIOS 3-24
PHOTO 3-5: TYPICAL INDUSTRIAL MIDBLOCK IN THE BUSINESS INVENTORY AREA 3-25
PHOTO 3-6: INDUSTRIAL AREA ALONG NINTH STREET BETWEEN 44TH AVENUE AND THE QUEENSBOROUGH BRIDGE 3-25
FIGURE 4-1: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER STUDY AREA 4-2
FIGURE 4-2: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER – PHOTOS 1 AND 2 4-5
FIGURE 4-3: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER – PHOTOS 3 AND 4 4-6
FIGURE 5-1: POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS 5-3
FIGURE 5-2: PUBLIC SCHOOLS 5-5
FIGURE 5-3: LIBRARIES 5-9
FIGURE 5-4: HEALTH CARE FACILITIES 5-11
FIGURE 6-1: OPEN SPACE RESOURCES WITHIN RESIDENTIAL AND EMPLOYMENT STUDY AREAS 6-3
FIGURE 6-2: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN 6-9
FIGURE 6-3: PROPOSED ESPLANADE PLAN 6-10
FIGURE 6-4: PROPOSED ESPLANADE RENDERING 6-11
FIGURE 7-1: SHADOWS - DECEMBER 7-7

FIGURE 7-2: SHADOWS - MAY 7-8
FIGURE 7-3: SHADOWS - JUNE 7-10
FIGURE 7-4: SHADOWS - SEPTEMBER 7-11
FIGURE 8-1: DESIGNATED HISTORIC (ARCHITECTURAL) RESOURCES 8-7
FIGURE 8-2: HISTORIC CONTEXT 8-11
FIGURE 8-3: TERRA COTTA INSPIRED DESIGN ELEMENTS 8-13
FIGURE 8-4: VIEWS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 8-14
FIGURE 9-1: TRAFFIC ANALYSIS STUDY AREA 9-3
FIGURE 9-2: EXISTING AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-8
FIGURE 9-3: EXISTING MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-9
FIGURE 9-4: EXISTING PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-10
FIGURE 9-5: EXISTING SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-11
FIGURE 9-6: EXISTING OFF-STREET PARKING FACILITIES 9-13
FIGURE 9-7: EXISTING ON-STREET PARKING ZONES 9-15
FIGURE 9-8: BACKGROUND DEVELOPMENTS FOR 2009 NO BUILD CONDITIONS 9-17
FIGURE 9-9: 2009 NO BUILD AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-24
FIGURE 9-10: 2009 NO BUILD MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-25
FIGURE 9-11: 2009 NO BUILD PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-26
FIGURE 9-12: 2009 NO BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-27
FIGURE 9-13: PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 9-40
FIGURE 9-14: 2009 BUILD AM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-41
FIGURE 9-15: 2009 BUILD MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-42
FIGURE 9-16: 2009 BUILD PM PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-43
FIGURE 9-17: 2009 BUILD SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK TRAFFIC LOS 9-44
FIGURE 9-18: GARAGE ACCUMULATION FOR 2009 BUILD CONDITIONS 9-50
FIGURE 9-19: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY AM PEAK 9-52
FIGURE 9-20: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK 9-53
FIGURE 9-21: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY PM PEAK 9-54
FIGURE 9-22: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK 9-55
FIGURE 9-23: PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS ALONG VERNON BOULEVARD NEAR PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 9-57
FIGURE 10-1: EXISTING SUBWAY LINES AND STATIONS 10-3
FIGURE 10-2: QUEENSBORO PLAZA STATION PLAN 10-5
FIGURE 10-3: 21ST STREET QUEENSBRIDGE STATION PLAN 10-6
FIGURE 10-4: 23RD STREET-ELY AVENUE STATION PLAN 10-7
FIGURE 10-5: EXISTING BUS ROUTES 10-12
FIGURE 11-1: AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS SITES 11-9
FIGURE 12-1: COMMON INDOOR AND OUTDOOR NOISE LEVELS 12-3
FIGURE 12-2: NOISE MONITORING AND ANALYSIS LOCATIONS 12-5
FIGURE 12-3: NOISE RECEPTORS FOR DEVELOPMENT VARIATIONS 12-8
FIGURE 16-1: SOUTHWEST VIEW OF NORTHERN UPLAND ZONE FROM EAST RIVER 16-3
FIGURE 16-2: SOUTHEAST VIEW OF NORTHERN UPLAND ZONE FROM EAST RIVER 16-3
FIGURE 16-3: ERODING SHORELINE ALONG WESTERN EDGE OF PROJECT SITE 16-6
FIGURE 16-4: EXISTING BULKHEAD ALONG WESTERN EDGE OF PROJECT SITE 16-6
FIGURE 16-5: FLOODPLAINS 16-11
FIGURE 17-1: AERIAL VIEW OF PROJECT SITE 17-3
FIGURE 17-2: FORMER USES ON NORTHERN PORTION OF PROJECT SITE 17-6
FIGURE 17-3: FORMER USES ON SOUTHERN PORTION OF PROJECT SITE 17-12
FIGURE 18-1: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES STUDY AREAS 18-2
FIGURE 18-2: PHOTO LOCATOR MAP 18-6
FIGURE 18-3: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 1 AND 2 18-7
FIGURE 18-4: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 3 AND 4 18-8
FIGURE 18-5: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 5 AND 6 18-9

FIGURE 18-6: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 7 AND 8 18-10
FIGURE 18-7: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 9 AND 10..... 18-11
FIGURE 18-8: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 11 AND 12..... 18-12
FIGURE 18-9: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 13 AND 14..... 18-13
FIGURE 18-10: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 15 AND 16..... 18-14
FIGURE 18-11: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 17 AND 18..... 18-15
FIGURE 18-12: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTOS 19 AND 20..... 18-16
FIGURE 18-13: URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES – PHOTO 21 18-17
FIGURE 18-14: VIEWS TOWARD QUEENSBORO BRIDGE AND PROJECT SITE (WITHOUT AND WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION) 18-20
FIGURE 18-15: LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE PLAN..... 18-21
FIGURE 18-16: VIEWS OF PROJECT SITE FROM QUEENSBRIDGE PARK (WITHOUT AND WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION) 18-24
FIGURE 18-17: VIEWS OF PROJECT SITE FROM QUEENS PLAZA (WITHOUT AND WITH THE PROPOSED ACTION) 18-26
FIGURE 18-18: WESTWARD VIEW DOWN 43RD AVENUE (WITHOUT AND WITH PROPOSED ACTION) 18-28
FIGURE 19-1: PRELIMINARY MILESTONE SCHEDULE 19-2
FIGURE 20-1: NEW YORK CITY AND NEW YORK STATE COASTAL BOUNDARIES..... 20-3
FIGURE 20-2: FLOODPLAIN MAP 20-9
FIGURE 22-1: VIEW OF SALT STORAGE FACILITY..... 22-2
FIGURE 23-1: TYPICAL SUPPORT SPACE DETAIL..... 23-13
FIGURE 23-2: TYPICAL STUDIO LAYOUT 23-14
FIGURE 27-1: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY AM PEAK 27-2
FIGURE 27-2: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY MIDDAY PEAK..... 27-3
FIGURE 27-3: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR WEEKDAY PM PEAK 27-4
FIGURE 27-4: 2009 TRAFFIC MITIGATION OVERVIEW FOR SATURDAY MIDDAY PEAK 27-5
FIGURE 27-5: PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS ALONG VERNON BOULEVARD NEAR PROJECT SITE ENTRANCES/EXITS 27-7